

© 2007 The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 1818 H Street NW Washington, D.C. 20433 Telephone 202-473-1000 Internet www.worldbank.org E-mail feedback@worldbank.org

All rights reserved.

1 2 3 4 5 09 08 07 06

A copublication of the World Bank and the International Finance Corporation.

This volume is a product of the staff of the World Bank Group. The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this volume do not necessarily reflect the views of the Executive Directors of The World Bank or the governments they represent. The World Bank Group does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work.

Rights and Permissions

The material in this publication is copyrighted. Copying and/or transmitting portions or all of this work without permission may be a violation of applicable law. The World Bank Group encourages dissemination of its work and will normally grant permission to reproduce portions of the work promptly.

For permission to photocopy or reprint any part of this work, please send a request with complete information to the Copyright Clearance Center Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA; telephone: 978-750-8400; fax: 978-750-4470; Internet: www.copyright.com.

All other queries on rights and licenses, including subsidiary rights, should be addressed to the Office of the Publisher, The World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2422; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

Additional copies of *Doing Business 2008*, *Doing Business 2007*: *How to Reform, Doing Business in 2006*: *Creating Jobs, Doing Business in 2005*: *Removing Obstacles to Growth* and *Doing Business in 2004*: *Understanding Regulation* may be purchased at www.doingbusiness.org.

ISBN: 978-0-8213-7231-9 E-ISBN: 978-0-8213-7232-6 DOI: 10.1596/978-0-8213-7231-9 ISSN: 1729-2638

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data has been applied for.

Contents

Doing Business 2008 is the fifth in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on business regulations and the protection of property rights that can be compared across 178 economies—from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe—and over time.

Regulations affecting 10 stages of a business's life are measured: starting a business, dealing with licenses, employing workers, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and closing a business. Data in *Doing Business 2008* are current as of June 1, 2007. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms have worked, where, and why.

The *Doing Business* methodology has limitations. Other areas important to business—such as a country's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than those related to trading across borders), the security of property from theft and looting, the transparency of government procurement, macroeconomic conditions or the underlying strength of institutions—are not studied directly by *Doing Business*. To make the data comparable across countries, the indicators refer to a specific type of business—generally a limited liability company operating in the largest business city.

The methodology for 3 of the *Doing Business* topics changed for *Doing Business 2008:* dealing with licenses, employing workers and enforcing contracts. See Data notes for details. Three new topics—not paying bribes, opportunities for women and infrastructure—are under development. The analysis is described in What to expect.

| Overview | 1 |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Starting a business | 9 |
| Dealing with licenses | 14 |
| Employing workers | 19 |
| Registering property | 24 |
| Getting credit | 29 |
| Protecting investors | 34 |
| Paying taxes | 39 |
| Trading across borders | 44 |
| Enforcing contracts | 49 |
| Closing a business | 54 |
| What to expect | 59 |
| References | 63 |
| Data notes | 67 |
| Ease of doing business | 82 |
| Doing Business indicators | 87 |
| Country tables | 103 |
| Acknowledgments | 163 |

This year Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union surpassed East Asia in the ease of doing business (figure 1.1). Several of the region's countries have gone even further, surpassing many Western European economies. Estonia, the most business friendly of the former socialist bloc, ranks 17 on the ease of doing business. Georgia and Latvia are also in the top 25.

The result is a boom in new businesses. Georgia now has 15 registered businesses per 100 people (same as Malaysia). The Czech Republic and Slovakia have 13 (same as Singapore). Estonia and Poland have 12 (same as Hong Kong, China). Some of these new businesses have become global leaders in their field—for example, the Estonian-born software company Skype and the Czech carmaker Škoda.

Ranking on the ease of doing business (1-178) EACH LINE SHOWS **AVERAGE** THE RANK OF ONE COUNTRY IN THE REGION OECD ົງງ high income Eastern Europe & Central Asia East Asia & Pacific Latin America & Caribbean Middle East & North Africa South Asia Sub-Saharan 136 Africa Source: Doing Business database.

As in previous years, Eastern European countries

dominate the list of top reformers in 2006/07, with Croatia, FYR Macedonia, Georgia and Bulgaria reforming the most. Croatia is a top reformer for the second year running, Georgia for the third.

Overview

Many others are reforming too. Two hundred reforms —in 98 economies—were introduced between April 2006 and June 2007. Reformers simplified business regulations, strengthened property rights, eased tax burdens, increased access to credit and reduced the cost of exporting and importing.

Across regions, Eastern Europe and Central Asia reformed the most, followed by South Asia and rich countries (figure 1.2). Latin America reformed the least. The pickup in South Asia was led by India, which rose 12 ranks on the ease of doing business. The slowdown in Latin America could be a result of a busy election year:





FIGURE 1.1

| Economy | Starting a business | Dealing with licenses | Employing workers | Registering property | Getting credit | Protecting investors | Paying taxes | Trading across borders | Enforcing contracts | Closing a business |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Egypt | ✓ | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | ✓ | | |
| Croatia | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Ghana | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Macedonia, FYR | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Georgia | 1 | ✓ | | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | | | | 1 |
| Colombia | | | | | | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 1 | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Kenya | 1 | ✓ | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| China | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Bulgaria | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |


Note: Economies are ranked on the number and impact of reforms. First, Doing Business selects the economies that reformed in 3 or more of the Doing Business topics. Second, it ranks these economies on the increase in rank on the ease of doing business from the previous year. The larger the improvement, the higher the ranking as a reformer. Source: Doing Business database.

13 countries saw new governments sworn in. Earlier analysis suggests that the region might experience a reform boom next year, as nearly 85% of reforms take place in the first 15 months of a new government.¹

Egypt is the top reformer for 2006/07, improving in 5 of the 10 areas studied by *Doing Business* (table 1.1). Egypt's reforms went deep. They made starting a business easier, slashing the minimum capital requirement from 50,000 Egyptian pounds to 1,000 and halving start-up time and cost. Fees for registering property were reduced from 3% of the property value to a low fixed fee. With more properties registered and less evasion, revenue from title registrations jumped by 39% in the 6 months after the reform. New one-stop shops were launched for traders at the ports, cutting the time to import by 7 days and the time to export by 5. The first private credit bureau was established. And builders now face less bureaucracy in getting construction permits.

FIGURE 1.3





Croatia is the runner-up, with reforms in 4 of the *Doing Business* areas. Two years ago registering a property in Croatia took 956 days. Now it takes 174. Croatia also sped company start-up, consolidating procedures at the one-stop shop and allowing pension and health services registration online. Two procedures and 5 days were cut from the process. Credit became easier to access: a new credit bureau got off the ground, and a unified registry now records all charges against movable property in one place. In the first 2 months \in 1.4 billion of credit was registered. Finally, amendments to the Croatian insolvency law introduced professional requirements for bankruptcy trustees and shortened timelines.

Large emerging economies—fast reformers

China, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Turkey and Vietnam all improved in the ease of doing business.

In China a new property law put private property rights on equal footing with state property rights. The law also expanded the range of assets that can be used as collateral to include inventory and accounts receivable. China also passed a new bankruptcy law. The law gives secured creditors priority to the proceeds from their collateral. And construction became easier, with electronic processing of building permits reducing delays by 2 weeks.

India rivaled this pace of reform. Traders can now submit customs declarations and pay customs fees online before the cargo arrives in port. It takes 18 days to meet all the administrative requirements to export—in 2006 it took 27 (figure 1.3). The credit bureau expanded to include payment histories on businesses as well as individuals. And reformers introduced an electronic collateral registry for security rights granted by companies.

Other big emerging markets also made large reforms. Russia established a new credit bureau. Indonesia strengthened investor protections and expanded credit information by removing the minimum size cutoff for loans covered by the public credit registry.


Vietnam also strengthened investor protections, with a new enterprise law and securities act. A secured transactions decree allows businesses to use a wider range of assets as collateral, easing access to credit. Nigeria introduced electronic procedures at the company registry and sped start-up time by 9 days. And reforms reduced the time to obtain building permits from 90 days to 30. Turkey cut its corporate income tax from 30% to 20% and introduced electronic customs procedures, reducing the time to export by 6 days and the time to import by 10.

Investors are taking note. They look for upside potential, and they find it in economies that are reforming regardless of the starting point. Indeed, equity returns are highest in countries that are reforming the most (figure 1.4). With emerging markets aggressively improving their business regulations, there has hardly been a better time to invest.

Reform in Africa-uneven

Some African countries have reformed, led by Ghana and Kenya—both top 10 reformers. In southern Africa several have reformed, with Madagascar, Mauritius and Mozambique taking the lead (figure 1.5). Mauritius now ranks 27 on the ease of doing business, the highest among African countries. In West and Central Africa, however, little reform took place outside Burkina Faso and Ghana.

FIGURE 1.4



Easing business entry-the most popular reform

Reforms to ease the entry of new firms were the most popular in 2006/07 (figure 1.6). Thirty-nine countries made start-up simpler, faster or cheaper. The second most popular were reforms to cut taxes and simplify their administration. Some reforms are harder, requiring new legislation and the political tradeoffs that come with it. Only 10 countries revised their bankruptcy laws. And the fewest positive reforms took place in the area of employing workers. Eight countries increased the flexibility of labor regulations; 4 made them more rigid.

The 3 boldest reforms, driving the biggest improvements in the *Doing Business* indicators:

- Saudi Arabia's easing of business start-up.
- · Georgia's increase in investor protections.
- Russia's opening of its new credit bureau.


Saudi Arabia eliminated layers of bureaucracy that had previously made it one of the toughest places in the world to start a business. The reforms cut 6 procedures for forming a new company—speeding processes at the Ministry of Commerce, merging publication requirements and allowing social security registration online. The time for start-up dropped from 39 days to 15.

But most dramatic was Saudi Arabia's elimination of the minimum capital requirement. Saudi entrepreneurs once had to set aside \$124,464—the fifth largest minimum capital requirement in the world. No more. New business owners can now put that capital to work immediately—hiring staff, renting office space and marketing new products.

Georgia made investing safer. Amendments to its securities law eliminated loopholes that allowed corporate insiders to expropriate minority investors. Reform-



FIGURE 1.6 200 reforms made business easier—27 made it more difficult



ers increased disclosure requirements for directors' conflicts of interest, detailed stricter duties to the firm for directors and heightened penalties for self-dealing.


Russia's first credit bureau started up in 2006 and by July 2007 had extended its coverage to more than 6 million people. Before, banks had no central database to tap when judging a client's creditworthiness. Now they can turn to the new bureau for data on both individuals and firms—and for positive as well as negative information (for example, on payment history and number and frequency of late payments).

Some countries slipped backward. Venezuela had the largest negative reforms. Doing business there was already hard. In 2006/07 it got harder. Exporters now need a separate license for each transaction. To get the license, they must submit proof of identity and solvency documents that themselves must be frequently renewed. The time to export stretched to 45 days, barely faster than in landlocked Burundi. But slow clerks need not worry about losing their job: Venezuela also expanded its ban on firing workers to cover anyone who earns less than 3 times the minimum wage.

Singapore-number 1, again

For the second year running, Singapore tops the rankings on the ease of doing business (table 1.2). New Zealand, the United States and Hong Kong (China) follow close behind. Denmark is next, demonstrating that countries can be business friendly and provide strong social protections.

Georgia and Saudi Arabia entered the top 25. Many countries with the most business-friendly regulations continued to reform, such as Australia, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland. Some stopped and slipped in the rankings. The message: if you are not reforming, another country will overtake you.



Rankings on the ease of doing business do not tell the whole story. The indicator is limited in scope: it covers only business regulations. It does not account for a country's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than those related to trading across borders), the security of property from theft and looting, the transparency of government procurement, macroeconomic conditions or the underlying strength of institutions.

Still, a high ranking on the ease of doing business does mean that the government has created a regulatory environment conducive to operating a business.

Opportunities for women

Payoffs from reform can be large. Higher rankings on the ease of doing business are associated with more growth, more jobs and a smaller share of the economy in the informal sector.² Take Mexico, where reforms cut the time to establish a business from 58 days to 27. A recent study reports the payoffs: the number of registered businesses rose by nearly 6%, employment increased by 2.6%, and prices fell by 1% because of the competition from new entrants.³


The benefits are especially large for women. Countries with higher scores on the ease of doing business have larger shares of women in the ranks of both entrepreneurs and workers (figure 1.7). Consider Uganda. Complex start-up regulations there allowed more contact between entrepreneurs and public officials—and more chances for bribery. Women were seen as easy targets: 43% of female entrepreneurs reported harassment from government officials, while only 25% of all entrepreneurs did. When reformers simplified business start-up, business registrations shot up. The increase in first-time business owners was 33% higher for women than men.

TABLE 1.2 Rankings on the ease of doing business

| 2008 rank | Economy | 2008 rank | Economy | 2008 rank | Economy |
|--------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Singapore | 61 | Samoa | 121 | Honduras |
| 2 | New Zealand | 62 | Vanuatu | 122 | Brazil |
| 3 | United States | 63 | Jamaica | 123 | Indonesia |
| 4 | Hong Kong, China | 64 | St. Kitts and Nevis | 124 | Lesotho |
| 5 | Denmark | 65 | Panama | 125 | Algeria |
| 6 | United Kingdom | 66 | Colombia | 126 | Egypt |
| 7 | Canada | 67 | Trinidad and Tobago | 127 | Malawi |
| 8 | Ireland | 68 | United Arab Emirates | 128 | Ecuador |
| 9 | Australia | 69 | El Salvador | 129 | Morocco |
| 10 | Iceland | 70 | Grenada | 130 | Tanzania |
| 11 | Norway | 71 | Kazakhstan | 131 | Gambia |
| 12 | Japan | 72 | Kenya | 132 | Cape Verde |
| 13 | Finland | 73 | Kiribati | 133 | Philippines |
| 14 | Sweden | 74 | Poland | 134 | Mozambique |
| 15 | Thailand | 75 | Macedonia, FYR | 135 | Iran |
| 16 | Switzerland | 76 | Pakistan | 136 | Albania |
| 17 | Estonia | 77 | Dominica | 137 | Syria |
| 18 | Georgia | 78 | Brunei | 138 | Uzbekistan |
| 19 | Belgium | 79 | Solomon Islands | 139 | Ukraine |
| 20 | Germany | 80 | Jordan | 140 | Bolivia |
| 21 | Netherlands | 81 | Montenegro | 141 | Iraq |
| 22 | Latvia | 82 | Palau | 142 | Suriname |
| 23 | Saudi Arabia | 83 | China | 143 | Sudan |
| 24 | Malaysia | 84 | Papua New Guinea | 144 | Gabon |
| 25 | Austria | 85 | Lebanon | 145 | Cambodia |
| 26 | Lithuania | 86 | Serbia | 146 | Djibouti |
| 27 | Mauritius | 87 | Ghana | 147 | Comoros |
| 28 | Puerto Rico | 88 | Tunisia | 148 | Haiti |
| 29 | Israel | 89 | Marshall Islands | 149 | Madagascar |
| 30 | Korea | 90 | Seychelles | 150 | Rwanda |
| 31 | France | 91 | Vietnam | 151 | Benin |
| 32 | Slovakia | 92 | Moldova | 152 | Zimbabwe |
| 33 | Chile | 93 | Nicaragua | 153 | Tajikistan |
| 34 | St. Lucia | 94 | Kyrgyz Republic | 154 | Cameroon |
| 35 | South Africa | 95 | Swaziland | 155 | Côte d'Ivoire |
| 36 | Fiji | 96 | Azerbaijan | 155 | Togo |
| 37 | Portugal | 97 | Croatia | 150 | Mauritania |
| 38 | Spain | 98 | Uruquay | 158 | Mali |
| 39 | Armenia | 99 | Dominican Republic | 150 | Afghanistan |
| 40 | Kuwait | 100 | Greece | 160 | Sierra Leone |
| 41 | Antigua and Barbuda | 100 | Sri Lanka | 161 | Burkina Faso |
| 41 | Luxembourg | 101 | Ethiopia | 162 | Senegal |
| 43 | Namibia | 102 | Paraguay | 163 | São Tomé and Principe |
| 43 44 | Mexico | 103 | Guyana | 164 | Lao PDR |
| 45 | Hungary | 104 | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 165 | Equatorial Guinea |
| 45 46 | Bulgaria | 105 | Russia | 166 | Guinea |
| 40 47 | Tonga | 100 | Bangladesh | 167 | Angola |
| 47 | Romania | 107 | Nigeria | 168 | Timor-Leste |
| 40 49 | Oman | 108 | Argentina | 168 | Niger |
| 49 50 | Taiwan, China | 109 | Belarus | 170 | Liberia |
| 50 51 | Botswana | | | | Eritrea |
| 51 52 | | 111 | Nepal | 171 172 | Venezuela |
| | Mongolia | 112 | Micronesia | | |
| 53 | Italy St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 113 | Yemen | 173 | Chad Burundi |
| 54 | | 114 | Guatemala | 174 | |
| 55 | Slovenia | 115 | Costa Rica | 175 | Congo, Rep. |
| 56 | Czech Republic | 116 | Zambia | 176 | Guinea-Bissau |
| 57 | Turkey | 117 | West Bank and Gaza | 177 | Central African Republic |
| 58 | Peru | 118 | Uganda | 178 | Congo, Dem. Rep. |
| 59 | Belize | 119 | Bhutan | | |

Note: The rankings for all economies are benchmarked to June 2007 and reported in the Country tables. Rankings on the ease of doing business are the average of the country rankings on the 10 topics covered in *Doing Business 2008*. See Ease of doing business for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

FIGURE 1.7 Greater ease of doing business, more women entrepreneurs and workers



In some countries explicit discrimination in laws compounds the effects of complex regulations. Women in the United Arab Emirates and Yemen are forbidden to work at night. And now so are women in Kuwait, thanks to a new law passed in June 2007. In Zimbabwe married women need permission from their husband to register land. In the Democratic Republic of Congo they need their husband's consent to start a business. Women run only 18% of the small businesses there. In neighboring Rwanda, which has no such regulations, women run more than 41% of small businesses.⁴

The idea behind some of these regulations may be to protect women. But they backfire, taking work away from willing workers and business opportunities away from entrepreneurs. Women end up in the informal economy: they are 3 times as likely as men to be hired informally in most developing countries. In these jobs they receive no social benefits. And if they are abused by their employer, they have limited legal recourse.

Some countries are taking action. Lesotho passed a law in November 2006 allowing married women to own and transfer property and engage in legal acts without their husband's signature. Before the reform the law classified women as legal minors.

What gets measured gets done

Publishing comparative data on the ease of doing business inspires governments to reform. Since its start in October 2003 the *Doing Business* project has inspired or informed 113 reforms around the world. In 2006 Georgia targeted the top 25 list and used *Doing Business* indicators as benchmarks of its progress. It now ranks 18 on the ease of doing business, and the government has set an even more ambitious goal. Saudi Arabia and Mauritius have targeted the top 10. Both have made tremendous progress: Saudi Arabia now ranks 23, and Mauritius 27.

Mozambique is reforming several aspects of its business environment, with the goal of reaching the top rank on the ease of doing business in southern Africa. The result: it rose by 6 places in the rankings.

Comparisons among cities within a country are even stronger drivers of reform. The time to obtain a business license in India ranges from 159 days in Bhubaneshwar to 522 in Ranchi. The time to register property, from 35 days in Hyderabad to 155 in Calcutta. A hypothetical Indian city with the country's top performance in each of the *Doing Business* indicators would rank 55 places higher on the ease of doing business than Mumbai. The Indian government is taking action. This year India is the top reformer in trading across borders (table 1.3).

| TABLE 1.3 Top reformers in 2006/07 by indicator set | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Starting a business | Saudi Arabia | | | |
| Dealing with licenses | Georgia | | | |
| Employing workers | Czech Republic | | | |
| Registering property | Ghana | | | |
| Getting credit | Croatia | | | |
| Protecting investors | Georgia | | | |
| Paying taxes | Bulgaria | | | |
| Trading across borders | India | | | |
| Enforcing contracts | Tonga | | | |
| Closing a business | China | | | |
| Source: Doing Business database. | | | | |

Reforms go beyond the fixes that improve the *Doing Business* rankings. When the Philippines issued a decree to lower administrative fees, it covered all types of licenses and permits, not just those measured in *Doing Business*. In Malawi and Rwanda reformers are using the indicators to encourage simplification across all government agencies. Kenya is reforming all business licenses.

To help reformers, this year the *Doing Business* project published a book of 11 case studies of successful reforms.⁵ These span the globe—from El Salvador to Serbia, from Egypt to Nigeria—and show what it takes to succeed. In cooperation with the U.S. Agency for International Development, *Doing Business* also created a prize to recognize leading reformers. The first one went to Zurab Nogaideli, the prime minister of Georgia.⁶ Since then, several reformist governments—such as those in Azerbaijan, Guatemala and Mozambique—have studied the Georgian reform experience for ideas on how to reform.

Notes

- 1. World Bank (2006b, p. 5).
- 2. Djankov, McLiesh and Ramalho (2006) and World Bank (2005a).
- 3. Bruhn (2007).
- 4. The percentages of businesses run by women are from the 2006 World Bank Enterprise Surveys, available at http://www.enterprisesurveys.org.
- 5. World Bank (2007a).
- 6. For more on those recognized as leading reformers, go to http://www.reformersclub.org.

Starting a business

Starting a business in the Democratic Republic of Congo is not easy. It takes 13 procedures and 155 days—and costs 5 times the annual income per capita. It's worse for women: they need the consent of their husband. If you are a single woman, or if your husband refuses consent or suffers from mental illness, a judge decides whether you can become a businesswoman. The result: only 18% of small businesses are run by women. In neighboring Rwanda, which has no such regulations, more than 41% are.¹


Thirty-nine countries made it easier for entrepreneurs to start a new business in 2006/07. Eighteen of them reformed for the second year in a row, including Burkina Faso, Egypt, Guatemala and Madagascar. Eastern Europe and Central Asia had 11 reforms. So did Africa, keeping up its growing pace of reform. Mauritius and Georgia entered the top 10 on the ease of starting a business (table 2.1).

Reform pays off. In 2005 Mexico was among the top reformers in simplifying business entry. It cut the time needed to establish a business from 58 days to 27. A recent study reports the results: the number of registered businesses rose by nearly 6%, employment increased by 2.6%, and prices fell by 1% because of the competition from new entrants.² Another study estimates that the size of the informal sector in Peru would drop from 60% of the economy to 37% if entry regulations were as simple as those in the United States.³ Much remains to be done: starting a business takes less than a week in New York, 72 days in Lima.

Cumbersome start-up procedures prevent people from getting out of poverty. Some entrepreneurs still

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|----------------|------|-------------------|------|
| Australia | 1 | Syria | 169 |
| Canada | 2 | Haiti | 170 |
| New Zealand | 3 | Guinea | 171 |
| United States | 4 | Equatorial Guinea | 172 |
| Ireland | 5 | Angola | 173 |
| United Kingdom | 6 | Eritrea | 174 |
| Puerto Rico | 7 | Yemen | 175 |
| Mauritius | 8 | Тодо | 176 |
| Singapore | 9 | Chad | 177 |
| Georgia | 10 | Guinea-Bissau | 178 |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital for starting a business. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.



start businesses, but only informal ones. Without access to courts and credit, and in constant fear of inspections, informal businesses remain small. A survey in Guinea-Bissau found that, controlling for industry, formal businesses hire 4 times as many workers and produce 4 times as much output as informal ones.⁴ That's why many informal businesses are first in the queue when reform makes it easier to legalize operations, resulting in big jumps in registrations (figure 2.1).

Who is reforming?

Saudi Arabia was the top reformer in business start-up in 2006/07 (figure 2.2). Last year it had the fifth largest paid-in minimum capital requirement in the world, at \$124,464. In June 2007 the country scrapped that requirement. It also merged publication requirements, combined several procedures at the Ministry of Commerce and launched online social security registration. That reduced the number of procedures from 13 to 7, the time from 39 days to 15, and the cost from 59% of income per capita to 32%.

Four of the top 10 reformers were in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. FYR Macedonia, a repeat top reformer, abolished the paid-in minimum capital requirement. So did Georgia. Belarus launched a one-stop shop that cut 6 procedures and 3 weeks from the start-up process. Estonia cut start-up time from 35 days to 7 by introducing standard articles of association, available on the registry's website. Notaries are now optional, and registration fees are a fixed amount rather than a percentage of capital. These changes cut start-up costs in half.


Another 7 countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia introduced substantial reforms. Among these, Hun-

And once a large number of informal businesses legalize their operations, staying informal is no longer profitable.⁵ Most clients require receipts—because otherwise they'll lose value added tax rebates and other tax benefits. In a short time informality ceases to be an issue. In Slovakia less than 2% of businesses now see informal competition as an issue. In 1999 a third did. Since then Slovakia has slashed the days to start a business from 103 to 25.

gary created standard documents. Croatia streamlined processes at the one-stop shop. Tajikistan simplified licensing requirements, saving entrepreneurs more than 2 weeks. Since the start of *Doing Business* in 2003, 24 of the region's 28 countries have simplified start-up. After 5 years of reform the average time to start a business is now 26 days, approaching that in OECD high-income countries (figure 2.3).

But catching up with rich countries is increasingly tough—they are reforming too. In 2006/07 Portugal eliminated outdated start-up formalities such as registering company books. Belgium—another repeat top 10 reformer—and Germany made registration and publication electronic. Finland reduced its minimum capital requirement by almost 70%. Australia cut registration fees in half. Its start-up process is the least burdensome (table 2.2).

Most African countries focused on improving technology at the business registry. Mauritius launched a virtual one-stop shop linking the commercial registry and tax and local authorities through a central electronic database. Requiring 6 procedures and 7 days, business entry in Mauritius is as easy as in the United Kingdom.



Top 10 reformers in business start-up

FIGURE 2.2




FIGURE 2.3 Biggest improvement in Faste

TABLE 2.2

Who regulates business start-up the least—and who the most?

Procedures (number)

| Fewest | | Most | |
|-------------|---|-------------------|----|
| Australia | 2 | Bolivia | 15 |
| Canada | 2 | Montenegro | 15 |
| New Zealand | 2 | Philippines | 15 |
| Belgium | 3 | Venezuela | 16 |
| Finland | 3 | Guinea-Bissau | 17 |
| Sweden | 3 | Brazil | 18 |
| Afghanistan | 4 | Brunei | 18 |
| Denmark | 4 | Uganda | 18 |
| Ireland | 4 | Chad | 19 |
| Tonga | 4 | Equatorial Guinea | 20 |

Time (days)

| Least | | Most | |
|---------------|---|-----------------------|-----|
| Australia | 2 | Brunei | 116 |
| Canada | 3 | Angola | 119 |
| Belgium | 4 | Equatorial Guinea | 136 |
| Iceland | 5 | Venezuela | 141 |
| Singapore | 5 | São Tomé and Principe | 144 |
| Denmark | 6 | Brazil | 152 |
| Turkey | 6 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 155 |
| United States | 6 | Haiti | 202 |
| France | 7 | Guinea-Bissau | 233 |
| Madagascar | 7 | Suriname | 694 |
| | | | |

Cost (% of income per capita)

| Least | | Most | |
|----------------|-----|--------------------|---------|
| Denmark | 0.0 | Djibouti | 206.6 |
| New Zealand | 0.1 | Тодо | 245.7 |
| Ireland | 0.3 | Burundi | 251.0 |
| Sweden | 0.6 | Guinea-Bissau | 255.5 |
| United States | 0.7 | Gambia | 279.0 |
| Puerto Rico | 0.8 | West Bank and Gaza | 280.4 |
| United Kingdom | 0.8 | Angola | 343.7 |
| Singapore | 0.8 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 487.2 |
| Australia | 0.8 | Liberia | 493.3 |
| Canada | 0.9 | Sierra Leone | 1,075.2 |

Paid-in minimum capital

| | % of income | US\$ | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Most | per capita | | |
| Central African Republic | 531 | 1,912 | |
| Oman | 542 | 51,947 | |
| Тодо | 546 | 1,912 | |
| Timor-Leste | 595 | 5,000 | |
| Niger | 736 | 1,912 | |
| Jordan | 795 | 21,157 | |
| Ethiopia | 960 | 1,728 | |
| Guinea-Bissau | 1,007 | 1,912 | |
| Yemen | 2,003 | 15,225 | |
| Syria | 3,673 | 57,670 | |

Note: Sixty-five countries have no paid-in minimum capital requirement. *Source: Doing Business* database.

TABLE 2.3

One-stop shops—the most popular reform in 2006/07

Created or improved one-stop shop Belarus, Burkina Faso, Croatia, Egypt, Guatemala, Jordan, Madagascar, Mauritius, Paraguay, Uzbekistan

Sped registration through administrative reforms Bhutan, Dominican Republic, Malaysia, Mali, Moldova, Mozambique, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Timor-Leste

Streamlined or abolished licensing procedures Bhutan, Ghana, Honduras, Kenya, Lao PDR, Mauritius, Tajikistan, Tanzania

Simplified and standardized document requirements at registry Burkina Faso, Estonia, Finland, Hungary, Portugal, Uzbekistan

Abolished or reduced paid-in minimum capital requirement Egypt, Finland, Georgia, FYR Macedonia, Saudi Arabia

Introduced online procedures Belgium, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Mauritius

Cut or simplified publication

Egypt, Germany, Mozambique, Portugal, Saudi Arabia

Reduced costs or taxes Australia, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger

Reformed company seal requirement

Azerbaijan, Sri Lanka, Tanzania

Introduced statutory time limits on registration Czech Republic, Uzbekistan

Source: Doing Business database.

Nigeria used computerization to further speed company name verification and registration. Ghana's computerization of its registry is expediting name searches and application processes. Thanks to this as well as licensing reforms, delays fell by 39 days. Tanzania is computerizing its registry too. It also reduced start-up fees from 92% of annual income to 47%. Kenya improved processes at the company registry, saving entrepreneurs 10 days.

Other African countries cut unnecessary procedures (table 2.3). Burkina Faso simplified documentation requirements. Madagascar, again a top reformer, changed publication formalities and dropped the validation of signatures at the mayor's office. It cut 10 procedures to 5, and the time from 3 weeks to 1. Mozambique revised its 1888 commercial code and reformed the business registry. Entrepreneurs no longer have to wait for 3 months to publish their articles of association in the official gazette; the registry publishes extracts online. And the use of notaries is now optional. Start-up cost dropped by twothirds, and the time from 113 days to 29. Not all news is good for businesses in Mozambique: the minimum capital requirement was raised tenfold and is now equivalent to the average yearly income.

In Latin America and the Caribbean, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala and Honduras reformed for the second year running, joined by Paraguay. More is needed. Latin American entrepreneurs starting a business face 10 procedures on average, compared with 6 in OECD high-income countries. More than half the procedures come after the business is registered, including municipal licenses and inspections. In 2006/07 Honduras reformed municipal licenses and reduced start-up time by 3 weeks. Paraguay took a different approach: it launched a one-stop shop, merging procedures and cutting the time in half—to 35 days.

Egypt was the second biggest reformer in the world. It slashed its minimum capital requirement from 50,000 Egyptian pounds to 1,000. The one-stop shop now processes the tax registration, the publication and the chamber of commerce membership. The reforms cut start-up cost and time by more than half, and reduced 10 procedures to 7. Elsewhere in the Middle East, Jordan improved the operation of its one-stop shop but failed to

What to reform?

Since 2003, 93 countries have simplified business start-up through 146 reforms. Here are the 5 most successful reforms:

- Cut the minimum capital requirement.
- Introduce a one-stop shop.
- Standardize incorporation documents.
- Cut antiquated formalities.
- Allow online start-up.

Cut the minimum capital requirement

The easiest reform of business entry—one that can be done with the stroke of a pen—is to cut the capital requirement. Some countries justify the capital requirement as protecting creditors, as protecting the company against insolvency and as protecting consumers against bad products. But this makes little sense. Lenders base their decisions on commercial risk, not whether a business meets a government-imposed capital requirement. And in many countries minimum capital can be paid with in-kind contributions or withdrawn immediately after registration—hardly of value in insolvency. Recovery rates in bankruptcy are no higher in countries with capital requirements than in those without.

In about 20 economies the capital requirement is still a major obstacle to starting a business. In these, an entrepreneur needs to put up at least 3 times the average annual income to register—and often much more. Aside from Timor-Leste, all are in Africa and the Middle East. Syria has the highest requirement, with minimum capireduce the minimum capital requirement. At 8 times the annual income, few Jordanians can afford it.

Sri Lanka made the most progress in South Asia. A new companies act eliminated burdensome approvals and introduced a flat registration fee. Company seals and notaries were made optional. Procedures were reduced from 8 to 5, and the time for start-up from 50 days to 39. Bhutan abolished 2 procedures and sped registration. Pakistan simplified tax registration. India is implementing electronic filing.

East Asia made the fewest reforms in 2006/07. Malaysia sped name checking and registration, reducing delays by a week. Timor-Leste eliminated approvals by the Ministry of Land. Indonesia slipped backward, adding a week of delays with additional approvals at the regional level. It now has the longest time for business start-up among Asian economies.

tal equivalent to 37 times the annual income per capita (see table 2.2). Yemen comes next, requiring 20 times the average annual income. Few Yemenis can afford to operate a business legally. The result is widespread informality, the highest in the region.


Here's a question for the governments of Syria, Yemen, Guinea-Bissau, Ethiopia, Jordan, Niger, Timor-Leste, Togo, Oman, the Central African Republic, Djibouti, Mauritania, Eritrea, Guinea, Mali, Burkina Faso, Chad, Benin, Madagascar and the United Arab Emirates: if a high minimum capital requirement is beneficial, why doesn't a single rich country have one?

Introduce a one-stop shop

One-stop shops have been the most common reform in business start-up—24 countries have created one since 2003. On average this has cut 5 procedures from the start-up process and more than halved delays. One-stop shops can show results quickly. Morocco created one in 6 months; Cairo and Alexandria, in Egypt, did so in less than a year.

Reformers have chosen different ways to merge procedures. In Eastern Europe and Central Asia most countries—including Belarus, Georgia, Hungary, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, FYR Macedonia and Russia have delegated formalities such as tax and statistical registrations to the company registrar. In Latin America one-stop shops bring officials from different agencies together in one location—such as in El Salvador, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Paraguay. This arrangement works when these officials have decision power. That's

FIGURE 2.4 Big gains from standard documents



not always the case: in Nicaragua the one-stop shop has to forward applications to other agencies and await their approval. Delays have hardly budged.

Reformers may use one-stop shops to create momentum for bigger reforms. Portugal created its first one-stop shop in 1998—but its biggest drop in time came only in 2005, when it introduced standard incorporation documents (figure 2.4). Before, processing start-up applications took 3 weeks.

Standardize incorporation documents

In El Salvador 70% of new business applications are rejected for flawed or insufficient paperwork. In Kazakhstan, 65%. By contrast, the rejection rate is only 3% in Canada, 8% in the United Kingdom and 10% in Mauritius.

Why the difference? Standard incorporation documents. With these, entrepreneurs ensure legality without visiting notaries and lawyers. And the workload eases at the registry, preventing errors and speeding processing. After Estonia introduced standard documents, processing time at the registry fell from 15 days to 1. Entrepreneurs saved another 2 weeks by avoiding the use of notaries. Another 64 countries have standard forms including China, Egypt, Malaysia, Oman, Slovakia and South Africa.

Cut antiquated formalities

Some requirements are leftovers from a bygone era. These should be cut. One example is the requirement for a company seal or stamp—still on the books in 81 countries. In 7 of these, entrepreneurs have to get official approval to make a seal. In Lao PDR the Ministry of Industry and Commerce designs the seal, while the Ministry of Public Security issues a permit to the entrepreneur to carve and register it.

In earlier centuries a seal symbolized the legal identity of a business and authenticated all its contracts. Now most documents are sent electronically. More than 100 countries have regulations allowing electronic signatures. These cost nothing and are more difficult to forge.

Allow online start-up

In Denmark an entrepreneur can start a business without leaving the house. Using the Internet, the entrepreneur can obtain a digital signature, register with the commercial registry and tax authority and submit the incorporation documents. All data are automatically validated—no public officials are involved. The entrepreneur receives a business identification number online, and the company notice is published on the web.

Making registration electronic is one of the most effective ways to speed start-up. Since 2003, 13 countries have introduced electronic registration, including Belgium, Ireland, Mauritius and Norway. This cut the average time to start a business in those countries from 40 days to 17. And with no contact between the entrepreneur and the public official, no bribes can change hands.

Online start-up works best in countries with high Internet penetration and laws allowing electronic signatures. As a start, countries can introduce online name search and publication or computerize registration records. Since 2005 Germany, FYR Macedonia, Mozambique and Serbia have made the company establishment notice electronic, saving up to 3 months in waiting time. Online name checking is now available in Croatia, Moldova, Nigeria and Vietnam. Such reforms can be cheap. When Guatemala made registry records electronic, it took 5 months to scan nearly 2 million files, all at a cost of \$100,000.

Notes

- 1. The percentages of businesses run by women are from the 2006 World Bank Enterprise Surveys (http://www .enterprisesurveys.org).
- 2. Bruhn (2007).
- 3. Antunes and Cavalcanti (2007).
- 4. These numbers are calculated based on data from the 2006 World Bank Enterprise Surveys for Africa (http:// www.enterprisesurveys.org).
- 5. Masatlioglu and Rigolini (2006).

Dealing with licenses

Sextus Julius Frontinus, water commissioner of Rome in AD 97, wrote the first regulation for the maintenance of the city water system. It starts with this: "Anyone who wishes to draw water for private use must make an official application and deliver in person to the commissioner a written authorization from the emperor."¹ The regulation was prompted by widespread "puncturing" of the aqueducts—illegal water connections. Sadly, it could not be enforced. With more than a million citizens living in ancient Rome, the emperor would have spent most of his time reviewing water applications.

The new inspection rules for construction in Harare would have made Frontinus proud. In an effort to prevent illegal construction, the chief building inspector or his deputy now conducts all building inspections. The downside is a mounting backlog, in a city where it already

| TABLE 3.1 Where is it easy to deal with licenses—and where not? | | | | |
|--|------|----------------|------|--|
| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 1 | Burkina Faso | 169 | |
| New Zealand | 2 | Tanzania | 170 | |
| Belize | 3 | Burundi | 171 | |
| Marshall Islands | 4 | Zimbabwe | 172 | |
| Singapore | 5 | Kazakhstan | 173 | |
| Denmark | 6 | Ukraine | 174 | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 7 | China | 175 | |
| Maldives | 8 | Liberia | 176 | |
| Kenya | 9 | Russia | 177 | |
| Micronesia | 10 | Eritrea | 178 | |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost to comply with formalities to build a warehouse. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database. takes 952 days and \$38,347 to obtain all construction approvals. The official construction sector has shrunk to 2% of GDP, and the government periodically bulldozes illegally built houses to show resolve. Zimbabwe ranks 172 on the ease of dealing with licenses (table 3.1).

Georgia used to be like Zimbabwe. Just 3 years ago getting a construction permit for a commercial warehouse in Tbilisi required 29 different procedures. Before even applying for the permit a builder needed permission from agencies as diverse as the Center of Archaeology at the Academy of Science and the Inspector of Sanitary Observation. Illegal construction activity was widespread. In 2004 less than 45% of ongoing construction projects in Tbilisi had permits.

Things have changed. Georgia was one of the top reformers in business licensing in each of the past 3



years, reducing the types of activities subject to licensing from 909 to 159. In the construction industry (which *Doing Business* studies as an example of licensing) Georgia eliminated many of the approvals required to obtain a construction permit and introduced a one-stop shop for licensing, a "silence is consent" rule and statutory time limits—while maintaining procedures necessary for regulating in the public interest. The number of procedures needed to build a warehouse dropped to 12. The time required fell by nearly 3 months. The approval process for building a warehouse in Georgia is now more efficient than in all EU countries except Denmark. The result: in 2006 the number of construction permits issued in Georgia was 151% higher than in 2005 and 370% higher than in 2004 (figure 3.1). Construction grew from 6.4% of the Georgian economy in 2003 to more than 9% in 2006.


The transition to legal construction is not without pain. On July 20, 2007, the residents of downtown Tbilisi woke to the sound of sirens. Fire brigades had begun demolishing a 13-story building that had gone up before the reform and was now in danger of collapsing because of faulty engineering. The building had no project or operating license—and didn't even show up in the city plan. Yet it towered over the surrounding houses, kept from falling over by steel beams. To avoid the many approval procedures, the building company had simply paid off the mayor. Who loses out? The people who bought apartments and now must find a new place to live.²

Who is reforming?

Fifteen countries made it easier to comply with building requirements in 2006/07. Eastern Europe had the biggest reforms—in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Georgia and FYR Macedonia. Africa followed, with reforms in Kenya, Mauritius, Nigeria and Rwanda. The Middle East and North Africa was next, with reforms in Egypt, Kuwait and Morocco. Three countries—Georgia, Guatemala and Kenya—reformed for the second year in a row.

Some countries reviewed all business licenses required and eliminated unnecessary ones. Kazakhstan cut the number of licensed activities from 426 to 100. Uzbekistan extended the minimum term for a business license from 1 year to 5. And it now allows 13 business activities—including tourism, auditing, brokerage services and securities market operations—to be licensed for life. Kenya eliminated 110 licenses and simplified 8

FIGURE 3.2



others. The government plans to cut another 314 licenses and simplify 599 more.

"I recently received a call from the city council to follow up on plans I had submitted—completely unheard of before," comments a Kenyan architect. Such services have become more common since the minister of housing and lands launched a rapid response initiative in November 2006. Getting a building permit used to take 80 days. It required clearances from 6 agencies and review by a ministerial committee. The new initiative removed the committee review, shortening the time to obtain a permit by 30 days (figure 3.2).

Simplifying procedures was the most popular reform in construction licensing in 2006/07 (table 3.2). Mauritius combined its development and building per-

| TABLE 3.2 Simplifying licensing—the most popular reform in 2006/07 |
|--|
| Simplified licensing and inspection procedures Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Georgia, Guatemala, Indonesia, Kenya, Mauritius, Rwanda |
| Established statutory time limits for issuing licenses FYR Macedonia, Mauritius, Nigeria |
| Introduced electronic processing of applications China, Honduras, Kuwait, Morocco |
| Adopted new building code Czech Republic, Nigeria |
| Introduced fast-track procedures Czech Republic, Georgia |
| Introduced private inspections Czech Republic |
| Lowered fees Egypt |
| Source: Doing Business database. |

TABLE 3.3

Who regulates licensing the least—and who the most?

Procedures (number)

| Fewest | | Most | |
|-------------|----|----------------|----|
| Denmark | 6 | Brunei | 32 |
| New Zealand | 7 | Burkina Faso | 32 |
| Vanuatu | 7 | Guinea | 32 |
| Sweden | 8 | Tajikistan | 32 |
| Chad | 9 | El Salvador | 34 |
| Grenada | 9 | Czech Republic | 36 |
| Maldives | 9 | China | 37 |
| St. Lucia | 9 | Kazakhstan | 38 |
| Jamaica | 10 | Sierra Leone | 47 |
| Kenya | 10 | Russia | 54 |
| | | | |

Time (days)

| Least | | Most | |
|---------------------|----|------------------------|-------|
| Korea | 34 | Ukraine | 429 |
| Finland | 38 | Suriname | 431 |
| United States | 40 | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 467 |
| Vanuatu | 51 | Lesotho | 601 |
| Marshall Islands | 55 | Côte d'Ivoire | 628 |
| Solomon Islands | 62 | Iran | 670 |
| New Zealand | 65 | Russia | 704 |
| Belize | 66 | Cambodia | 709 |
| Denmark | 69 | Zimbabwe | 952 |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 72 | Haiti | 1,179 |

Cost (% of income per capita)

| Least | | Most | |
|----------------------------------|------|---------------|--------|
| United Arab Emirates | 1.5 | Kazakhstan | 2,130 |
| Brunei | 5.2 | Tanzania | 2,366 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5.9 | Guinea-Bissau | 2,607 |
| Palau | 6.1 | Serbia | 2,713 |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 9.2 | Niger | 2,824 |
| Malaysia | 10.0 | Russia | 3,788 |
| Hungary | 10.4 | Burundi | 9,939 |
| Thailand | 10.7 | Zimbabwe | 11,799 |
| Australia | 13.2 | Afghanistan | 21,231 |
| United States | 13.4 | Liberia | 61,049 |
| Source: Doing Business database. | | | |

mits into one. The dual permit is now issued in 2 weeks, reducing the time to complete formalities by 55 days. In Guatemala the Ministry of Environment eliminated duplications in procedures by placing its staff in municipal offices and the Ministry of Healthcare, cutting the time by 60 days. Russia abolished the notification permit required to begin construction (which was separate from the construction permit), saving entrepreneurs 20 days. But more needs to be done in a country where the permitting process still takes almost 2 years.

Other countries focused on cutting delays. The Czech Republic streamlined provisions of its new building code, speeding construction approvals by 50 days. Builders can now apply for 2 permits at the same time, and a simple notification has replaced the occupancy permit. Indonesia introduced a simplified process and new temporary permits that allow construction to begin while the full permit is being approved, cutting the time to obtain a building permit from 49 days to 21. In FYR Macedonia it now takes only 1 day to obtain proof of landownership—59 days less than in 2006. Rwanda sped the issuance of building and occupancy permits by 24 days after transferring authorities from the prefecture to the municipality. Nigeria shifted approval to local authorities and equipped their staff with computers and training, shortening the time for building authorizations from 90 days to 42.

Another popular reform was to make processes electronic. Honduras launched electronic processing of applications for fixed telephone lines, cutting the time for approval from 2 weeks to 1. Kuwait installed a new automated system in all agencies responsible for issuing technical approvals. The time to obtain an approval for a phone line dropped from 30 days to 20, for electricity from 2 weeks to 1, and for a water plan from 14 days to 5. In China, Beijing and Shanghai now process applications for construction permits electronically and allow construction companies to apply for safety certificates online, reducing delays by 2 weeks. But more remains to be done: to complete construction permitting in China still takes 37 procedures and 336 days (table 3.3).

Morocco set up an electronic one-stop shop for construction permits at the end of 2006, reducing the time to obtain a building permit from 30 days to 20. More can be done. The commission that issues approvals reviews projects by neighborhood. Two weeks can pass waiting for your neighborhood's turn in the commission's schedule.

What to reform?

In the past 3 years 42 countries have reformed their construction licensing regulations. Here are the 5 most successful reforms:

- Reduce licensing requirements.
- Make information easily available.
- Introduce online license applications.
- Curb inspections.
- Consolidate project clearances.

Reduce licensing requirements

Reducing business licensing requirements demands action by many ministries. Here are 2 ways to make it happen. First, make the ministry of finance or the prime minister's office responsible for implementation, since other ministries respond best when their budgets depend on it. Second, commit to a target reduction in the administrative costs of issuing and regulating licenses and set up a measuring system to ensure that it is achieved. This holds regulators accountable.

The Netherlands, with the best such reform yet, has done both. The government set a target of reducing the administrative burden by 25% by 2007. The minister of finance was responsible for achieving the target, reporting to parliament every 6 months. Uncooperative ministries could see their budget cut. An independent agency, the Advisory Board on Administrative Burden, was established to monitor progress and publicize its findings. The program aims to save €4 billion. Its savings from streamlining tax requirements alone are estimated at €600 million. And Dutch entrepreneurs have saved €11.3 million from simplifications in construction licensing.³ The advisory board also vets new regulatory proposals before they reach parliament—to stop creeping reregulation, a common problem.

Other European countries are starting to benefit from this experience, as Dutch reformers are lobbying the European Union to adopt similar targets. Several governments—in the Czech Republic, Denmark and the United Kingdom—have already done so. The European Commission recently announced a target of reducing administrative burdens by 25%—similar to the Dutch approach. Since EU regulations account for about 40% of all business regulations in the 27 member countries, there is a lot to gain.⁴ Comprehensive reforms like these are not just for rich countries. With its plan to cut 424 unnecessary licenses well under way, Kenya is the first African country to show how poor ones can gain too.

Make information easily available

In China public utilities are now required to publish online their service fees, time limits for issuing approvals and ways to launch complaints. Another way to save entrepreneurs time: help them navigate the process for a building permit by making all the forms and requirements—including step-by-step charts on procedures available at municipal offices. When Latvia did this, it cut processing time by 2 months. FYR Macedonia, as part of its recent reforms, distributed an electronic construction permitting package with documents and manuals to all 84 of its municipalities, along with posters and flyers explaining each step for applicants.

Introduce online license applications

In Singapore builders submit all permit applications electronically. Developers in Austria, Denmark, Iceland, Malaysia, Norway and the United States also complete their applications online. Some developing countries with adequate Internet penetration—such as El Salvador, Honduras and Mexico—are introducing online systems too. This reform saves time for both entrepreneurs and government officials. It also removes the contact between them—and the chance for bribe payments along with it.

Curb inspections

In Burkina Faso inspectors visit construction sites every 2 weeks and charge \$240 in fees. In Denmark and Singapore there is only one inspection and it's free. But no one would argue that buildings in Copenhagen and Singapore are less safe than those in Ouagadougou.

Inspections are needed to ensure construction quality. But in many countries inspection fees and fines are viewed as an important source of government revenue. That needs to change. Recent studies show that eliminating unnecessary and redundant procedures can increase revenue. Kenya reported a revenue increase of up to 33% after replacing dozens of local permits with a single business permit.⁵

One way to make inspections more efficient is to privatize them. The Czech Republic just did so, by creating a new independent profession—authorized inspectors. By hiring an authorized inspector, an entrepreneur can speed the process of getting a building permit by up to 5 weeks. The inspector issues a certificate confirming that the project documentation is in compliance with the building code and that the building can be constructed.

FIGURE 3.3





Finland introduced private inspections in 2004. In the United States 25% of inspectors work for private architectural and engineering services firms, conducting inspections for a fee or on a contract basis. One in 10 construction and building inspectors is self-employed.

Consolidate project clearances

In Mauritania applicants for a building permit have to follow up at least twice with each of 7 different departments in the municipality to make sure their application gets processed and approved. Why not centralize all project clearances in a single office at the municipality? That's what Italy did, and the process is much faster now that developers no longer have to make separate trips to the fire, worker safety, water, sanitation, health, project design and tax departments. Another 34 countries from Armenia to Canada to Panama—have consolidated approvals to simplify the licensing process.

Consolidating project clearances requires reform at the municipal level of government. In India, for example, approving a construction permit takes about 5 months for the municipality of Mumbai—but only 1 month for the municipalities of Hyderabad and Jaipur (figure 3.3). To reduce the delays in Mumbai, the Maharashtra state government is introducing a single window for clearances.





Such reforms encourage investment in property development. That lowers costs for end users. In Kiev, where construction approvals take 429 days, the monthly cost to rent a warehouse averages \$14 a square meter. That's twice what an entrepreneur pays in Copenhagen, where licensing takes only 69 days, or Paris, where it takes 137 (figure 3.4). Reforms pay off for governments too. A recent study in the United States showed that a 3-month acceleration in permit approvals on a 22-month project cycle could increase property tax revenue by 16.5% and construction spending for local governments by 5.7%.⁶

Notes

- Sextus Julius Frontinus, "On the Water Management of the City of Rome," translated by R. H. Rodgers, University of Vermont, Burlington, 2003 (http://www .uvm.edu/~rrodgers/Frontinus.html).
- 2. The municipality of Tbilisi has offered the residents compensation so they can buy apartments elsewhere.
- 3. Advisory Board on Administrative Burden (2007).
- 4. Ladegaard, Djankov and McLiesh (2007).
- 5. Devas and Kelly (2001).
- 6. PricewaterhouseCoopers (2005).

Employing workers

In the 1970s symphony orchestras in the United States started using "blind" auditions—where the performer plays behind a screen so the evaluator can hear the music but not see the person. The result: the share of women among new hires shot up by 75%.¹

Bias against the hiring and promotion of women still exists in some countries. In a few, it is explicit in the regulations. In the Democratic Republic of Congo, the United Arab Emirates and Yemen women are forbidden to work at night. In Lao PDR women are prohibited from performing certain types of manual work. In others, bias is simply the prevailing practice. The example of symphony orchestra hiring is telling—nothing in the rules discriminated against female musicians.

To protect workers from discrimination and other unfair employment practices, countries turn to regulation. The International Labour Organization has estab-

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| United States | 1 | Sierra Leone | 169 |
| Singapore | 2 | Panama | 170 |
| Marshall Islands | 3 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 171 |
| Georgia | 4 | Angola | 172 |
| Brunei | 5 | Paraguay | 173 |
| Tonga | 6 | Guinea-Bissau | 174 |
| Maldives | 7 | Equatorial Guinea | 175 |
| Australia | 8 | São Tomé and Principe | 176 |
| Palau | 9 | Bolivia | 177 |
| Denmark | 10 | Venezuela | 178 |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the difficulty of hiring, rigidity of hours, difficulty of firing and cost of firing indices. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database. lished a set of fundamental principles and rights at work covering the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of forced labor, the abolition of child labor and the elimination of discrimination in hiring and work practices.²

Beyond these regulations and principles, governments struggle to strike the right balance between labor market flexibility and job stability. Many countries err on the side of excessive rigidity, to the detriment of businesses and workers alike. In Sierra Leone, for example, it costs an employer 189 weeks in severance pay to dismiss a worker. Venezuelan laws ban firing any low-paid workers. These are among the countries with the most rigid employment regulations (table 4.1).


In these and other countries laws created to protect workers often hurt them—especially women, youth and unskilled workers. Their employment opportunities vanish (figure 4.1). They end up in the informal economy. Women are 3 times as likely as men to be hired informally. In these jobs they receive no social benefits. And if they are abused by their employer, they have fewer protections.

More flexible labor regulations boost job creation. And they don't mean giving up protections. Georgia has some of the most flexible labor regulations in the world and it has ratified all the core labor standards of the International Labour Organization. Few can argue that workers are exploited in Denmark, another of the top 10 on the ease of employing workers. Workers in these countries have the best protection—flexible labor regulations that give them the opportunity for a job in the formal sector and easy transitions from one job to another.

20 DOING BUSINESS 2008

FIGURE 4.1

Women and youth lose out from rigid employment laws



The collection of data on the flexibility of labor regulations has spurred significant new research: 112 studies have used these *Doing Business* data. One set of studies finds that rigid labor regulation reduces jobs. In the Indian state of Maharashtra, for example, a study finds that rigid labor laws have resulted in 15% fewer jobs being created in the retail sector. Instead, store owners buy expensive computer equipment so they can run their operations with fewer workers.³

A second set of studies analyzes the effects of trade openness on the economy in the presence of flexible

Who is reforming?

Twelve countries made significant changes to their labor regulations in 2006/07. Eight increased flexibility; 4 made regulations more rigid. Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the greatest number of positive reforms, followed by Western Europe and Africa (table 4.2).

The Czech Republic was the top reformer, adopting a new labor code that replaced its 1965 code. The new law provides for more flexible working hours. It also eases restrictions on dismissals. Before the reform an employer could dismiss an employee for economic reasons only if the employee could not be reassigned to another position or retrained. The new law eliminates this requirement. It also reduces notice periods for dismissals from 3 months to 2. Firing costs remain the same, however, because severance pay rose by a month.

Latvia extended the maximum duration of fixedterm contracts from 2 years to 3, making it easier to hire new workers when demand is high without imposing high costs for dismissal if demand declines. labor regulations. A study of 90 developing countries finds that exporting businesses grew faster where labor regulations were flexible.⁴ Another study shows that this growth is due in part to the ability of these exporters to operate in industries with high volatility in demand. For example, textile exporters, whose business is driven by changes in fashion, thrive in countries with flexible regulation.⁵ A third study estimates that in an open economy, flexible labor regulation can increase annual growth by up to 1.5%.⁶

Labor laws in rich countries, already among the most flexible, continued to evolve (table 4.3). Both Switzerland and the Netherlands made working hours more flexible. Switzerland eased restrictions on weekend work. And a new law in the Netherlands increases allowable overtime hours and extends the period for averaging them.

Reforms in Spain made it easier for employers to convert workers' contracts from fixed term to open

| | _{LE 4.2} re flexible working hours—a popular reform in 2006/07 |
|---------------------|--|
| | le working hours more flexible :h Republic, Netherlands, Pakistan, Switzerland, Uganda |
| | ended limits on temporary work tan, Latvia, Togo |
| Offe Spai | red incentives to make temporary workers permanent n |
| | le firing more difficult tan, Czech Republic, Latvia, Moldova, Venezuela |
| | eased restrictions on fixed-term employment enia, Togo |
| Sourc | e: Doing Business database. |

| Rigidity of employr | nent ind | ex (0–100) | |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| Least | | Most | |
| Hong Kong, China | 0 | Equatorial Guinea | 66 |
| United States | 0 | Guinea-Bissau | 66 |
| Singapore | 0 | Romania | 66 |
| Maldives | 0 | Angola | 69 |
| Marshall Islands | 0 | Congo, Rep. | 69 |
| Australia | 3 | Panama | 69 |
| Uganda | 3 | Niger | 70 |
| Canada | 4 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 74 |
| Jamaica | 4 | Bolivia | 79 |
| Palau | 4 | Venezuela | 79 |
| Firing cost (weeks o | f salary) | | |
| Least | | Most | |
| Denmark | 0 | Ecuador | 135 |
| New Zealand | 0 | Argentina | 139 |
| United States | 0 | Mozambique | 143 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | Sri Lanka | 169 |
| Afghanistan | 0 | Ghana | 178 |
| Iraq | 0 | Zambia | 178 |
| Marshall Islands | 0 | Sierra Leone | 189 |
| Micronesia | 0 | Zimbabwe | 446 |
| Palau | 0 | Bolivia | not possibl |
| Tonga | 0 | Venezuela | not possib |

| Least | | Most | |
|--------------------|---|----------------|----|
| 13, including: | | Hungary | 34 |
| Bangladesh | 0 | Czech Republic | 35 |
| Botswana | 0 | Slovakia | 35 |
| Cambodia | 0 | Brazil | 37 |
| Comoros | 0 | Italy | 37 |
| Eritrea | 0 | Ukraine | 38 |
| Ethiopia | 0 | Belarus | 39 |
| Lesotho | 0 | China | 44 |
| Maldives | 0 | France | 47 |
| West Bank and Gaza | 0 | Belgium | 55 |
| | | | |

Note: "Not possible" indicates a full ban on firing low-paid workers for economic reasons. Source: Doing Business database. ended. The reforms lowered nonwage labor costs and some types of severance payments and offered incentives to make temporary workers permanent. Businesses and workers welcomed the more flexible arrangements: 126,901 conversions took place in July and August 2006, a 204% increase over the same period the previous year.

In Africa, Uganda and Togo adopted new labor laws. Uganda's employment act removed restrictions on weekend work. Employers and employees are free to set the legally required rest day anytime they choose. And there is no required premium for working on a rest day, except for overtime. But the law also requires employers to notify unions and the labor commissioner before dismissing 10 or more redundant workers. Togo's new law extends the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts from 24 months to 48. But it limits fixed-term contracts to temporary tasks, reducing the ease of hiring overall.

In South Asia, Pakistan extended overtime limits for retail workers from 150 hours a year to 624 and made working hours more flexible. Bhutan went much further, implementing its first labor code. The law removes the 12-month limit on fixed-term contracts, eases restrictions on night work and does away with mandatory pay premiums for daytime overtime.

Bhutan's law also prohibits forced labor, discrimination, sexual harassment and child labor. And it replaces protections that hurt workers with ones that make sense. For example, before the reform it was illegal for a pregnant woman to work past 9:00 p.m.—even a woman just 1 month into her pregnancy. Now a pregnant employee can work past 9:00 p.m. if she chooses. More important, the new law prohibits firing a woman on the grounds of pregnancy.

Some countries made their employment regulations more rigid. Venezuela extended its prohibition on redundancy dismissals to workers earning up to 3 times the minimum wage. Moldova increased its severance pay requirements from 20 weeks to 28.7. And Slovenia reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts to 24 months.

What to reform?

Since 2004, 35 countries have made their labor laws more flexible (figure 4.2). Another 15 have made them more rigid. Here are 4 reforms that create job opportunities —especially for women, youth and the unskilled—by making it easier for businesses to operate:

- Allow flexible working hours.
- Introduce apprentice wages.
- Lower dismissal costs.
- Raise and equalize mandatory retirement ages.


Allow flexible working hours

In Ukraine overtime is allowed only under exceptional circumstances—such as to respond to natural disasters or avoid the destruction of property. In Côte d'Ivoire employees cannot work more than 75 hours of overtime a year—even if they want to. In Panama only specially designated businesses can operate on Sundays. In Algeria a woman can work at night only if she has a special exception granted by a labor inspector. These laws were created to protect employees. But they usually backfire—and take work away from willing workers.

Businesses sometimes need longer workweeks to respond to seasonal increases in demand. Reformers in the Czech Republic and Serbia have responded by allowing businesses to shift their work schedules with rising demand. Hours worked can be averaged over a 6-month period—a year in the Czech Republic, if established by collective agreement. Bhutan's new Labor and Employment Act provides for more flexible night work schedules by removing the previous 7-hour cap on night shifts and replacing it with extra pay for overtime at night.

FIGURE 4.2

More labor law reforms in Eastern Europe & Central Asia



Seventy-five countries permit flexible night work and allow employees to work up to 50 hours a week for 2 months a year to respond to a seasonal increase in demand—for example, to serve as a lifeguard at the beach in the summer or to sell Christmas trees in the winter.

Introduce apprentice wages

Apprentice contracts exist in nearly all rich countries along with about 25 others, including Burkina Faso, Chile, Madagascar, Thailand and Tunisia. These allow businesses to hire first-time employees for a portion typically 75%—of the mandatory wage for a short period. The workers can get training and the opportunity for a job, and the businesses have an incentive to keep the workers once they have invested in their training. This is an easy reform to introduce: beneficiaries are easy to target and political opposition is unlikely, especially in countries with high youth unemployment.

Lower dismissal costs

In the Republic of Congo an employer who wishes to dismiss redundant workers must first write to the workers' representatives, seeking their guidance. Then the employer must obtain the authorization of a commission headed by the labor inspector. If the dismissal is approved, the employer must choose whom to lay off according to the order set out in the law, taking into account seniority and other factors. And the workers can choose to appeal the decision to the minister of labor.

Zambia has no third-party notification requirements. But a worker with 20 years of service is entitled to 40 months' severance pay. In Sri Lanka the law mandates 39 months' severance pay when the layoff is due to economic downturn. These rules hit businesses at the worst possible time—when layoffs are needed because of falling demand for their products.

Some of these requirements were created with good intentions. But high firing costs scare employers away from creating jobs. Women and youth are affected most. One study shows that tougher regulation of dismissals in Chile increased relative employment for middle-aged men at the expense of women, youth and unskilled workers.⁷ In Peru reductions in dismissal costs in the 1990s brought more women into the formal sector. And wages for women rose relative to those for men.⁸

Another way to ease dismissal costs is to offer unemployment insurance rather than severance pay. This is a viable reform in middle-income and rich countries. In Austria employers contribute to a fund from which they may withdraw if a worker is made redundant after 3 years of employment. In St. Kitts and Nevis severance payments are made from a government-administered fund that employers pay into over time. In Italy employers deposit a portion of each employee's salary into a designated fund over the course of the employment relationship. In these countries employers do not have to pay additional severance when dismissing workers because of redundancy.

Raise and equalize mandatory retirement ages


Every country with an aging population faces a choice: raise the mandatory retirement age or face the collapse of the pension system. Pension systems have not kept pace with changing demographics. Most Western European countries introduced their 65-year retirement age before World War II. Life expectancies have risen by a decade, but the retirement age stands unchanged. Requiring more years at work would reduce the burden on social security and make it easier for governments to reduce taxes on business.

When raising retirement ages, reformers can take the opportunity to equalize them. In Russia women live 12 years longer than men on average. But they must retire at age 55, while men retire at 60. Worldwide, women live 4 years longer than men on average. But half of countries force women to retire earlier than men. The retirement age gap is largest in Eastern Europe and Central Asia (figure 4.3). The idea may be to benefit women. Instead, earlier retirement reduces their pension pay and career opportunities.

FIGURE 4.3

Equality in retirement age—lowest in Eastern Europe





The European Union has obliged members to adopt identical retirement ages. Germany just revised its labor law: starting in 2029, both men and women will retire at 67. Other countries should follow. Women will see higher retirement pay. And businesses will be able to keep their productive workers longer.

Notes

- 1. Goldin and Rouse (2000).
- 2. ILO (1998).
- 3. Amin (2007).
- 4. López-Córdova (2007).
- 5. Cunat and Melitz (2007).
- 6. Freund and Bolaky (2007).
- 7. Montenegro and Pagés (2003).
- 8. Saavedra (1998).

Registering property

TABLE 5.1

"The process is slow for everyone, but especially for women. I wanted to sell our store last year, but since my husband was abroad, I had to wait 2 months for him to return and sign. When he signed the papers for me, the deal went through—after 3 more months of bureaucracy," says Catherine in Lesotho. This just changed. A law passed in November 2006 allows married women in Lesotho to transfer property without their husband's signature.

Making it easier to transfer property is good for entrepreneurs. Land and buildings account for between half and three-quarters of the wealth in most economies.¹ And with formal property titles, entrepreneurs can obtain mortgages on their land or homes and start businesses. Banks prefer land and buildings as collateral because they are impossible to move or hide. In Zambia 95% of commercial bank loans to businesses are secured by land, in Indonesia 80%, and in Uganda 75%.²

But a large share of the property in developing countries is not formally registered. In 2000 Peruvian economist Hernando de Soto estimated the value at \$9.3 trillion, calling it "dead capital."³ Unregistered property limits financing opportunities for new businesses and expansion opportunities for existing ones. In Ethiopia 57% of firms cite access to land as their main obstacle, as do 35% in Bangladesh and 25% in Kenya and Tanzania.⁴ Recognizing these bottlenecks, some governments have started extensive property titling programs. Others have focused on making property transfers cheaper and faster. The 10 countries that make property registration easiest are the same as in last year's report—with New Zealand again at the top (table 5.1). But some at the bottom of the rankings have changed. Uzbekistan moved up 53 places after it cut fees. Guinea-Bissau moved out of the bottom 10 by reducing the property transfer tax by 8 percentage points.

Countries that make property registration simple, fast and cheap have more properties registered formally. That leads to greater access to finance and greater opportunities to invest.⁵ Country experience shows the benefits of formalizing title and keeping it that way. Thailand's land reform program has issued more than 8.5 million property titles and created one of the most efficient registration systems in the world. For people who received formal titles, land values and investment almost doubled, and access to credit increased threefold.⁶

| Easiest Rank Most difficult Rank | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|------------------|------|--|
| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank | |
| New Zealand | 1 | Afghanistan | 169 | |
| Armenia | 2 | Burkina Faso | 170 | |
| Saudi Arabia | 3 | Bangladesh | 171 | |
| Lithuania | 4 | Sierra Leone | 172 | |
| Slovakia | 5 | Nigeria | 173 | |
| Norway | 6 | Brunei | 174 | |
| Sweden | 7 | Maldives | 175 | |
| Iceland | 8 | Marshall Islands | 176 | |
| United Arab Emirates | 9 | Micronesia | 177 | |
| United States | 10 | Timor-Leste | 178 | |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost to register property. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database. Peru's experience is just as striking. Since the late 1990s the time required to formalize property has fallen from 6 years to 33 days. More than 1.3 million titles have been issued, and two-thirds of those issued to individuals have gone to women. The benefits of secure title for

Who is reforming?

Twenty-seven countries made property registration easier in 2006/07. Most made the process faster through administrative reform. A few simplified it. Some of the biggest gains were in lowering registration costs. On average the top 10 reformers cut the time and cost by a third (figure 5.1).

Ghana made the most progress. A ministerial directive cut the requirement to register deeds of sale with the land commission. Before, entrepreneurs would have to wait 135 days for the commission to issue a registration number. And then they would have to register yet again with the land title registry. With the stroke of a pen the bottleneck is now gone, and the delays with it (figure 5.2).

For the second year running, Africa had the most reforms. Kenya liberalized the profession of land valuers. With more people able to practice, the wait for valuations fell from 34 days to 7. Mali cut delays with quicker services for property registration at the tax authority. But the biggest trend was to slash costs (table 5.2). Burundi abolished its 7% property registration tax. Benin cut registration taxes from 12% of the property value to 8%, Burkina Faso from 15% to 10%, Guinea-Bissau from 10% to 2%, Mauritius and Niger from 10% to 5%.

More is needed. Africa accounts for 9 of the 10 most expensive countries in which to register property. And

women are especially great. Studies in India, Honduras and Nicaragua show that poor women's access to property is associated with more investment in the house-hold, especially in children's health and education.⁷

even with the reforms, costs remain steep. With all costs added in, registration in Burkina Faso still costs 12.2% of the property value. In Burundi the cost is 11.5%—and in Niger, 9%.

Eastern Europe and Central Asia saw 5 reforms. Uzbekistan reduced the notarization fee from 10% of the property value to a fee based on surface area. The cost to register property fell from 10.5% of the property value to 1.4%. Poland converted registration fees from a percentage to a fixed fee, lowering the cost to only 0.5%. In Hungary a second registry operating in Budapest reduced the backlog and cut the time from 78 days to 63. Croatia's computerization of the land registry cut the time from 399 days to 174. Georgia cut the requirement to use notaries in property registration.

In Latin America and the Caribbean reforms targeted delays. The Dominican Republic made the region's biggest reform—passing 6 laws in the past year alone to restructure the registration process—and cut the time from 107 days to 60. Guatemala now allows registrars to sign documents electronically, speeding the process at the registry by a week. Honduras cut delays by imposing time limits and publishing more information online. And Haiti's tax administration hired 60 university interns to reduce the backlog of registrations. The time dropped from 683 days to 405—still a long wait.




FIGURE 5.2 Making it easier to register property in Ghana




TABLE 5.2

Lower cost to register—a popular reform in 2006/07

Reduced taxes or fees Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Egypt, Guinea-Bissau, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Poland, Uzbekistan

Sped procedures in the registry Croatia, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Haiti, Honduras, Hungary, Lesotho, Mali, Niger, Tunisia

Computerized procedures, put procedures online France, Guatemala, Portugal

Combined and reduced procedures Afghanistan, Georgia, Ghana

Sped procedures in the court Bhutan

Allowed private valuers to complete valuations Kenya

Source: Doing Business database.

Egypt was the top reformer in the Middle East and North Africa. Fees were cut from 3% of the property value to a low fixed fee of 2,000 Egyptian pounds (\$350). The total cost to register dropped from 5.9% to 1%. Djibouti reorganized procedures in the registry to cut the time from 49 days to 40. Tunisia digitized property records, allowing notaries to check files more efficiently.

In South Asia, Afghanistan eased registration by eliminating 2 government approvals and simplifying forms. In Bhutan, where registration takes place in the courts, 2 new judges were assigned to deal with cases more promptly. One month was cut from the process.

Rich countries continue to use new technologies to speed property registration. Portugal digitized its registry records, cutting the time from 81 days to 42. France now allows notaries to work online, checking encumbrances, sending property contracts to the registry and receiving confirmation numbers—all electronically.

The countries of East Asia and Pacific distinguished themselves by making no improvements—for the second year running. It was not for lack of need. The region ranks fourth on the ease of registering property, after the OECD high-income countries, Eastern Europe and Central Asia, and the Middle East and North Africa. Several Pacific countries have no functioning property registration. And the only country in the region that reformed in 2006/07 slipped backward. Vanuatu increased the cost of registration from 7% of the property value to 11%.

Another 5 countries made registering property more difficult. The cost to register in the Kyrgyz Re-

Who regulates property registration the least—and who the most?

| Proced | lures | (number |
|--------|-------|---------|
|--------|-------|---------|

TABLE 5.3

| Fewest | | Most | |
|----------------|---|------------|----|
| Norway | 1 | Swaziland | 11 |
| Sweden | 1 | Eritrea | 12 |
| Netherlands | 2 | Greece | 12 |
| New Zealand | 2 | Uzbekistan | 12 |
| Oman | 2 | Ethiopia | 13 |
| Thailand | 2 | Liberia | 13 |
| United Kingdom | 2 | Uganda | 13 |
| Vanuatu | 2 | Algeria | 14 |
| Armenia | 3 | Brazil | 14 |
| Lithuania | 3 | Nigeria | 14 |

Time (days)

| Least | | Most | |
|---|--|--|---|
| New Zealand | 2 | Тодо | 295 |
| Sweden | 2 | Solomon Islands | 297 |
| Thailand | 2 | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 331 |
| Lithuania | 3 | Angola | 334 |
| Norway | 3 | Gambia | 371 |
| Armenia | 4 | Rwanda | 371 |
| Iceland | 4 | Slovenia | 391 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 4 | Haiti | 405 |
| Saudi Arabia | 4 | Bangladesh | 425 |
| | _ | | E10 |
| Netherlands | 5 | Kiribati | 513 |
| Netherlands Cost (% of property | - | Kiribati | 513 |
| _ | - | Kiribati Most | 513 |
| Cost (% of property | - | | |
| Cost (% of property Least | value) | Most | 16.9 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia | value) 0.0 | Most Côte d'Ivoire | 16.9 17.8 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan | 0.0 0.0 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon | 16.9 17.8 19.5 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan Belarus | value) 0.0 0.0 0.1 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon Senegal | 16.9 17.8 19.5 20.8 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan Belarus Kiribati | value) 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon Senegal Comoros | 16.9 17.8 19.5 20.8 21.2 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan Belarus Kiribati Slovakia | value) 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon Senegal Comoros Chad | 16.9 17.8 19.5 20.8 21.2 21.2 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan Belarus Kiribati Slovakia New Zealand | value) 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon Senegal Comoros Chad Mali | 16.9 17.8 19.5 20.8 21.2 21.2 22.2 |
| Cost (% of property Least Saudi Arabia Bhutan Belarus Kiribati Slovakia New Zealand Georgia | value) 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | Most Côte d'Ivoire Cameroon Senegal Comoros Chad Mali Nigeria | 513 16.9 17.8 19.5 20.8 21.2 21.2 22.2 25.0 27.3 |

public more than doubled after notary fees rose. With less than half of rural properties registered, the change will increase informality. Morocco now requires entrepreneurs to visit 3 tax agencies to obtain tax clearance. Germany, Paraguay and Zimbabwe increased registration fees. Registering property in Zimbabwe costs 25% of the property's value (table 5.3).

What to reform?

Since 2004 *Doing Business* has recorded 87 reforms in 64 countries that made it easier to register property. Some countries reformed in successive years—Australia, Croatia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Georgia, Ghana, Honduras, Mali, Poland and Tanzania. The 5 most effective reforms:

- Simplify and lower fees.
- Introduce fast-track procedures.
- Make the registry electronic.
- Take registration out of the courts.
- Make the use of notaries optional.

Simplify and lower fees

FIGURE 5.3

Lowering fees is the simplest way to ease property registration. A more significant reform is to introduce a fixed fee. Seventeen countries have fixed fees, including Armenia, Estonia, Italy and New Zealand as well as reformers such as Egypt, Poland and Uzbekistan. Charging fees on a percentage basis encourages fraudulent declarations of property values. To counter evasion, many governments increase regulation, making the process more complex with physical inspections or record checking at the cadastre. Delays and bribes increase. A fixed fee avoids all this.

Less radical reformers can simply lower percentage fees. Forty-four countries have done so since 2004. This doesn't mean reducing revenues. When India cut its stamp duties from 10% of the property value to 5%, revenue jumped by 20%. In Burkina Faso registrations rose by 27% in the 5 months after this year's reduction in the

Cutting fees increased revenue in Egypt Six months' revenue Cost to register property from property registration (% of property value) (£E millions) 201 5.9 Registration 145 3.0 fee Other 2.9 fees Before After Before After reform reform reform reform Source: Doing Business database, Egyptian Ministry of State for Administrative Development.

transfer tax. In Egypt revenue from title registrations increased by 40% between the 6 months before the reform and the 6 months after (figure 5.3). More affordability usually means more registrations and less evasion. Property registries then have better information on property values and who owns what. And that supports the collection of capital gains and property taxes.

Introduce fast-track procedures

To register property in Lithuania, entrepreneurs must obtain a certificate of execution and a land and building reappraisal. This takes 9 days. Then they apply for transfer of title at the registry, which takes another 20 days. But if they don't want to wait, they can pay a higher official fee and cut delays from 29 days to 3. "I need the title now for collateral to expand my business. If I wait, I lose customers," says one property owner.

Fast-track procedures help prioritize the work of the registry and allow entrepreneurs to focus on their business. Such procedures can save 2 months in Ukraine, 21 days in Argentina, 20 in Russia, 15 in Kazakhstan and Slovakia and 10 in Mongolia.

Another 56 countries try to expedite registration by setting time limits at the registry. This works best when registrars are evaluated on whether they meet the targets. One example is Botswana. The days to register property in Gaborone fell by 25% in 2005 after time limits were imposed and compliance monitored in annual staff performance evaluations. Spain went one step further: if the registrars miss their 15-day deadline, they must cut their fees by 30%.

Make the registry electronic

"It is almost impossible to find records of past land transactions. All records are paper, and the filing system broke down long ago. If there is a fire, we have no records at all," says a public official in Rwanda. It takes 371 days to register property in Kigali.

Countries that transfer records from paper to electronic form always benefit from shorter processing times. Going electronic also makes it easier to identify errors and overlapping titles, improving title security. Croatia digitized land records as part of its far-reaching land reform—and reduced the time for registration by more than 70%. Guatemala has seen the time to register fall from 69 days to 30 since completing its electronic system in 2005. El Salvador, Georgia, Honduras, Poland, Portugal and Tunisia have recorded similar gains. The next step is to put procedures online. This works when people using the registration services (notaries, lawyers, the public) have Internet access. The Netherlands and New Zealand are leaders in online property registration and, with Internet penetration above 70%, use is brisk.

Other countries can benefit from putting individual procedures rather than the entire system online. Costa Rica allows transfer taxes to be paid online. Brazil has made judicial certificates available over the Internet. Bosnia and Herzegovina recently allowed property records to be checked online. Latvia has done so since 2004.

Take registration out of the courts

In 2004 the Dominican Republic took some property registration procedures out of the courts and made them administrative. The time to register property fell by 44%. El Salvador took registration out of the courts in 1999, cutting several months from the time. Honduras and Serbia are following suit.

In countries where courts are involved in registering property, the process takes 70% longer on average. And judges who deal with property registration have less time for their main work—resolving disputes. Taking registration out of the courts means that it can be more easily linked with the agency responsible for the cadastre, as Norway has done. That makes it easier to detect overlapping and duplicate titles, improving the security of property rights.

Make the use of notaries optional

In Senegal entrepreneurs pay a notary fee of 4.5% of the property value to authenticate a sale deed. Then they pay another 15% when the registry reviews and authenticates it again. In Honduras the notary charges 4% to verify the contract, and the registry costs another 1.6%. Burundi, Costa Rica, Mali and more than 40 other countries require this double verification.

Here are 2 ways to reduce cost and delay. First, provide standard deeds and registration documents. These reduce delays by ensuring fewer mistakes and eliminating the need to scrutinize documents before registration. Registration is just 2 steps in Thailand—pick up standard documents from the Ministry of Commerce, then proceed to the land office to register the transfer. The registration is done in 2 days. Second, in countries where laws require deeds to be notarized, such as Rwanda, reformers can give notary powers to the registrars. When Portugal did this, notary fees fell by between 28% and 60% in less than a year.

Notaries have useful purposes. But their functions in property registration are irrelevant in countries where registry officials perform the same tasks. And in countries where notaries are responsible for registration, costs are 33% higher than average. Three of 4 countries manage property registration without mandating the use of notaries. Property rights are no less secure, and efficiency is greater. Some property owners still use notaries, but they do so by choice.

Notes

- 1. Fleisig, Safavian and de la Peña (2006).
- Data are from World Bank Enterprise Surveys conducted in 2006/07 (http://www.enterprisesurveys.org).
- 3. De Soto (2000).
- Data are from World Bank Enterprise Surveys conducted in 2006/07 (http://www.enterprisesurveys.org).
- 5. Field (2006).
- 6. Feder (2002).
- Beegle and Strauss (1996) and Katz and Chamorro (2003).

Getting credit

Credit is now more accessible in Panama, thanks to an ambitious reform of the credit bureau law in early 2006. Before the reform many of Panama's 300,000 small and medium-size businesses—employing 68% of the country's workers—were cut off from access to formal credit. So were more than 40% of Panamanian adults, mostly the poorest. They were forced to rely instead on pawnshops and street lenders.

This was despite advanced financial markets. With more than 80 national and foreign banks, Panama has one of the highest ratios of domestic credit to GDP in Latin America. But the 2002 credit bureau law prohibited collecting payment information from utility firms. That made it harder for poor people and small firms without bank loans or credit cards to build a credit history. And the system was opaque for borrowers: they could see the information stored in the credit bureau only by requesting a report in person at its main offices in Panama City or the city of David. "We were seen as the 'bad guy' who was denying credit to people," recalls German Espinosa, an officer at the Panamanian Credit Association.¹

Lenders look at a borrower's credit history in deciding whether to extend credit and what interest to charge.² They also want to know whether they can recover their money if a borrower defaults. The main way to recover bad debt is by taking collateral. By giving creditors the right to an asset on default, collateral also reduces a lender's costs of screening loan applicants.

Where credit registries and effective collateral laws are lacking—as they are in most poor countries—banks make fewer loans.³ Credit to the private sector averages 8% of GDP in the 10 economies ranking at the bottom on how well credit registries and collateral laws facilitate credit markets (table 6.1). In the top 10 economies it exceeds 130% of GDP.

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|------------------|------|------------------|------|
| United Kingdom | 1 | Uganda | 169 |
| Hong Kong, China | 2 | Burundi | 170 |
| Australia | 3 | Lao PDR | 171 |
| Germany | 4 | Marshall Islands | 172 |
| Malaysia | 5 | Palau | 173 |
| New Zealand | 6 | Timor-Leste | 174 |
| Canada | 7 | Uzbekistan | 175 |
| Israel | 8 | Madagascar | 176 |
| Singapore | 9 | Afghanistan | 177 |
| United States | 10 | Cambodia | 178 |

Note: Rankings are based on the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.

Who is reforming?

Croatia was the top reformer in 2006/07, improving both its collateral regulations and the availability of credit information. In September 2006 a new collateral registry started operations—and creditors leapt at the opportunity to use this new resource in deciding on loans. In May 2007 a new private credit bureau started distributing information. Coverage of borrowers in credit information systems shot up from 0 to 72%. At that rate it won't be long before Croatia ranks among the economies with the most coverage (table 6.2).

Another 15 economies improved their credit information systems in 2006/07. Armenia and Russia saw new private credit bureaus get off the ground, both providing online access to credit data. The credit bureaus in India and Saudi Arabia now cover information on businesses, not just individuals. Egypt's first private credit bureau will soon start distributing information to clients.

The most popular reform to credit information was to expand the range of data available on borrowers (table 6.3). Georgia added payment information from electricity and telecommunications companies. Kenya incorporated data from retailers and telecommunications companies. Kuwait's credit bureau now includes information from furniture sellers—and those in Saudi Arabia and Trinidad and Tobago information from mobile phone companies. Bosnia and Herzegovina expanded the number of trade creditors providing information on firms, tripling coverage. Colombia's bureau membership jumped from 1,450 to 2,100 in a year after more trade creditors started contributing data. In Brazil credit unions and microfinance institutions started sub-

TABLE 6.2 Who has the most credit information—and who the least?

| Borrowers covered as a share of adults | | | | |
|--|-----|------------|------|--|
| Most | % | Least | % | |
| Argentina | 100 | Algeria | 0.19 | |
| Australia | 100 | Mauritania | 0.18 | |
| Canada | 100 | Rwanda | 0.17 | |
| Iceland | 100 | Djibouti | 0.16 | |
| Ireland | 100 | Chad | 0.15 | |
| Israel | 100 | Ethiopia | 0.15 | |
| New Zealand | 100 | Madagascar | 0.08 | |
| Norway | 100 | Yemen | 0.07 | |
| Sweden | 100 | Nigeria | 0.04 | |
| United States | 100 | Guinea | 0.02 | |
| | | | | |

Note: The rankings reflected in the table include only economies with public or private credit registries (122 in total). Another 56 economies have no credit registry and therefore no coverage. Source: Doing Business database.

mitting credit information to the public registry. And Honduras tripled its coverage of borrowers by adding new suppliers of information and persuading existing ones to provide all their data.

Some countries revised their credit information regulations. Kenya's central bank issued new regulations requiring banks to report information on defaults to the private credit bureau. In Honduras the National Commission of Banks and Insurance certified the private credit bureau, guaranteeing that borrowers can access their data once a year for free. Serbia now requires lenders to request a credit report before giving a loan. South Africa requires lenders to check their customers' overall debt levels and guarantees borrowers the right to access and challenge their credit records. The public registry in Belarus issued regulations guaranteeing that borrowers can inspect their data.

The biggest pickup in reform was in the Middle East and North Africa (figure 6.1). Tunisia's credit registries now record all loans, expanding coverage of borrowers by 25%. West Bank and Gaza tripled coverage with a similar reform. In Saudi Arabia the public registry closed as the private bureau expanded coverage by 60fold. Morocco now has legislation in place for a bidding process to establish one or more private bureaus. Egypt's new private bureau will use the data feed between commercial banks and the central bank, avoiding duplicate investments in data transmission technology.

Elsewhere, public registries expanded coverage by lowering or removing the threshold at which loans are included. Indonesia's public registry eliminated its minimum loan cutoff of 50 million rupiah (\$5,460), increasing

TABLE 6.3 More credit information—the most popular reform in 2006/07

Expanded set of information collected in credit registry Georgia, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Kuwait, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, West Bank and Gaza

Established new credit registry or bureau Armenia, Croatia, Egypt, Russia

Revised credit information regulations Honduras, Kenya, South Africa

Allowed out-of-court enforcement of collateral Ghana, Honduras, Romania, Vietnam


Established unified collateral registry Croatia, France, India, Micronesia

Expanded range of assets that can be used as collateral China, Croatia, Vietnam

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 6.1





coverage of loans by 150%. Pakistan eliminated its loan threshold of 500,000 Pakistan rupees (\$8,350), boosting coverage by 20 times. Central Africa's monetary union computerized its registry, allowing information to be distributed on all loans above 10,000 CFA francs (\$20) rather than 5,000,000 (\$10,400) as before.

Sri Lanka slipped backward. It raised its minimum loan cutoff from 100,000 Sri Lanka rupees (\$900) to 500,000 (\$4,500) and restricted the availability of information on repaid defaults to 1 year.

Reforms also strengthened legal rights for borrowers and lenders. Nine countries made it easier to create and enforce collateral. China made the most progress, exiting the bottom 10 list on the strength of legal rights for borrowers and lenders (table 6.4). A new bankruptcy law gives secured creditors priority in receiving proceeds from their collateral if the borrower becomes insolvent. And a new property law expands the range of assets that can be used as collateral to include inventory and accounts receivable. Before the reform Chinese businesses held more than \$2 trillion in "dead capital"—assets that could not be used as collateral because of restrictions in laws. With the new property law, some of these assets

| TABLE 0.4 | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Who has the most legal | rights for borrowers and lenders |
| who has the most legal | rights for borrowers and lenders |
| —and who the least? | |
| and who the least. | |

| Streng | th of | legal | rights | index | (0–10) |
|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| | | | | | |

| Most | | Least | |
|------------------|----|-------------|---|
| Hong Kong, China | 10 | Timor-Leste | 2 |
| United Kingdom | 10 | Tunisia | 2 |
| Albania | 9 | Bolivia | 1 |
| Australia | 9 | Burundi | 1 |
| New Zealand | 9 | Ecuador | 1 |
| Singapore | 9 | Egypt | 1 |
| Slovakia | 9 | Madagascar | 1 |
| Denmark | 8 | Rwanda | 1 |
| Ireland | 8 | Afghanistan | 0 |
| Malaysia | 8 | Cambodia | 0 |

can be used for loans to expand businesses.

Two other countries expanded the range of assets that can be used as collateral. Vietnam now allows businesses to use all types of assets and debt. Croatia's new law permits inventory to be used as collateral as long as the location of the goods is specified.

A big trend in collateral reforms was to set up collateral registries. France, the top reformer in getting credit in 2005/06, launched a nationwide online registry of movable collateral. India introduced an electronic registry for security rights granted by companies. Micronesia implemented its first secured transactions law and also launched an online collateral registry. The registry applies to all assets and all types of creditors and debtors.

Making out-of-court enforcement possible was another popular reform to collateral laws. Ghana's new insolvency act allows secured creditors to enforce their security directly rather than waiting for a judge to review their claims and order enforcement. Honduras now permits borrowers to agree with lenders on direct enforcement by notaries. Going through the courts used to take years.

What to reform?

Since 2004 *Doing Business* has recorded 79 reforms to credit information in 56 countries—and 42 reforms to collateral laws in 32 countries (figure 6.2). The experience of these countries points to 5 reforms that help entrepreneurs get loans:


- Expand the range of information available in credit registries.
- Eliminate legal obstacles to sharing credit information.
- Allow all types of assets to be used as collateral.
- Establish registries for all collateral.
- Permit out-of-court enforcement of collateral.

Expand the range of information available in credit registries

Include information on telephone and electricity payments in the credit reporting. That way, people who've never had a bank loan or a credit card can still build a credit history. And avoid limits on this coverage. Panama allows utility information to be included, but only after the consumers give their written permission—making data on such payments expensive to collect.

Credit reporting also is more valuable when it includes both data on defaults over the past 5 years and data on on-time payments. That allows lenders to evaluate the borrowing capacity of clients. And it lets good borrowers benefit from more and cheaper lending. For banks, past payment behavior is the best indicator of future payment behavior.





Eliminate legal obstacles to sharing credit information

Credit registries store sensitive information, making credit reporting an easy target for critics. The best way to counter their concerns is to introduce laws that safeguard borrowers' privacy and create incentives for registries to maintain high-quality data. Legislation can guarantee borrowers the right to inspect their data, obliging the credit bureau to send them the data for review once a year free of charge. Such legislation is under review in 13 countries.

Many countries—including Bolivia, the Dominican Republic, El Salvador and Honduras—have such regulations in place for private bureaus but not public credit registries. Costa Rica and Malaysia allow borrowers to check their files in public registries but not private bureaus. There is no reason for the different treatment.

Allow all types of assets to be used as collateral


Alejandro, an Internet service provider in Guatemala, describes getting a loan: "I was able to use my business equipment and frequencies as collateral. But they required detailed descriptions in the contract of each of our 6,000odd units of equipment, including their serial number, brand and model. We hired a technician to help. The contract was 127 pages long! And when we update our equipment in a year, we'll need to renegotiate the loan."

Countries that require specific descriptions of assets in collateral agreements make it impossible for a borrower to use changing pools of assets (such as inventory or receivables), future assets (such as crops) or the entire business as collateral. This is especially costly for small firms, which are less likely to own real property and instead need to rely on receivables and movable property as collateral.

A better way is to permit general descriptions in loan agreements, allowing the use of all types of assets as collateral—present and future, tangible and intangible. Bosnia and Herzegovina, Denmark, France, Peru, Serbia, Ukraine and Vietnam have done so since 2004. When Slovakia allowed general descriptions, credit to the private sector jumped by 10%. More than 70% of the new credit was secured by movables and receivables. Even without such radical change, reformers can ease access to credit by broadening the range of assets that can be used as collateral to include such asset classes as accounts receivable (as China did in 2007), inventory (as Croatia did in 2006) and future assets (as Japan did in 2005).

FIGURE 6.3






Establish registries for all collateral

In Syria charges over movable property are possible only if there is a corresponding registry—now the case only for vehicles, vessels and intellectual property. Turkey has a similar system. The solution: allow all types of assets to be used as collateral and create a unified registry enabling creditors to notify others of their claim. Eastern Europe has led the way in such reforms: in the past decade 12 of the region's 15 countries have created unified registries of charges over movable collateral. Other countries—from Nepal to Vietnam—are in the process of doing so. With the costs of technology falling, establishing a unified registry has never been easier.

Once established, the most efficient registries require only an administrative review for completeness of the application rather than a legal authentication, which stalls the registration process. The time and cost of registering can also be an obstacle. In Mexico, for example, it takes 8 days to register collateral in Michoacán but 51 days in Quintana Roo. And it costs 0.8% of the loan value in Colima but 8.1% in Nayarit (figure 6.3).

FIGURE 6.4 **Easier to recover collateral in India**



Permit out-of-court enforcement of collateral

Allowing creditors to enforce collateral out of court is one of the toughest reforms, opposed by both borrowers and the judiciary. But the benefits can be great. Peru's recent reform to allow out-of-court enforcement reduced enforcement time from more than 2 years (longer than the economic life of many assets) to 3 months. Other reformers—such as Armenia, the Kyrgyz Republic and Slovakia—saw similar gains. Borrowers benefit the most. When creditors know they can enforce their collateral if a borrower defaults, they are more likely to lend in the first place.

Summary proceedings are an important backstop to out-of-court enforcement, which could wind up in the courts if debtors appeal. Only 2 pieces of evidence need to be presented to a court in a summary proceeding: a valid security agreement and proof of default. In the 56 countries with summary proceedings, enforcing collateral takes half as long as in the countries that rely on other judicial measures. When India introduced summary proceedings in 2004, the time to enforce collateral fell from more than 9 years to as little as 6 months (figure 6.4).

Notes

- 1. Bustelo (2007).
- 2. Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2007).
- 3. Sorge and Zhang (2007).

Protecting investors

Mexican investors had a good year in 2006. A new securities law came into effect, replacing outdated regulations with greater investor protections.¹ The stock market soared—equity prices rose by 55% for the year. The good fortune continued into 2007. In June the courts upheld the new law against an appeal by its fiercest opponent, one of the country's richest businessmen. The ruling preserved the law's protections for small shareholders.² Stock prices rose even further.

Mexico's reforms helped small investors—by providing for broad disclosure of related-party transactions,³ clear obligations for company directors and managers and easy access to the courts when investors are harmed. Economies that regulate self-dealing—the use of corporate assets for personal gain—see greater equity investment and lower concentration of ownership.⁴ A recent study of Bulgaria found exactly that: tougher investor

| Most protected | Rank | Least protected | Rank |
|------------------|------|-----------------|------|
| New Zealand | 1 | Guinea | 169 |
| Singapore | 2 | Micronesia | 170 |
| Hong Kong, China | 3 | Palau | 171 |
| Malaysia | 4 | Venezuela | 172 |
| Canada | 5 | Djibouti | 173 |
| Israel | 6 | Suriname | 174 |
| United States | 7 | Swaziland | 175 |
| Ireland | 8 | Lao PDR | 176 |
| South Africa | 9 | Tajikistan | 177 |
| United Kingdom | 10 | Afghanistan | 178 |

Note: Rankings are on the strength of investor protection index. See Data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.


protections from a 2002 securities law reform stopped a growing flow of delistings from the stock exchange and led to higher equity values.⁵

New Zealand provides the greatest protection against self-dealing (table 7.1). Singapore comes in second. Selfdealing is just one corporate governance failure, but it is the most important. Other investor protections—such as election of directors, disclosure of remuneration or rules on takeover bids—are relevant mostly for richer countries in a position to develop large share markets.

Several developing countries protect investors well. South Africa ranks among the top 10, and Mauritius comes in at 11. But across 178 economies, it is the rich ones that regulate self-dealing the most (figure 7.1). They require greater disclosure for companies, impose stronger duties on directors and make it easier for investors to







Better investor protection—higher market capitalization and more listed firms

confront negligent or fraudulent directors in court.

Source: Doing Business database, World Bank (2005c).

Across regions, Latin America requires the least disclosure of related-party transactions. Countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia regulate directors' duties only minimally, imposing vague obligations that cannot easily be challenged. And court rules in the Middle East and North Africa make it difficult for small investors to gather evidence. Investors in Syria, for example, have no access to internal company documents when bringing

suit. That makes it easy for insiders to hide misconduct. Both disclosure and extensive approval requirements

Who is reforming?

FIGURE 7.2

Ten economies strengthened their investor protections in 2006/07 (table 7.2). Georgia was the top reformer. A revised securities law limits the possibility of selfdealing in several ways. The law defines "interested parties" in a transaction and requires supervisory board or shareholder approval for related-party transactions. It requires companies to immediately notify the securities commission of a related-party transaction and post a

TABLE 7.2 Greater disclosure—the most popular reform in 2006/07 Increased disclosure requirements Belarus, Colombia, Georgia, Iceland, Indonesia, Vietnam Defined duties for directors and controlling shareholders Georgia, Mozambique, Portugal Regulated approval of related-party transactions Norway, Slovenia Passed a new securities law Vietnam Source: Doing Business database.

and private rights of action for minority shareholders are important for developing strong equity markets. Countries that provide both protections have larger and more active stock markets, smaller block premiums and higher rates of new listings (figure 7.2).⁶ One example: 2 months after Georgia adopted new securities rules, the country's largest winemaker listed on the Tbilisi stock exchange, and 4 other companies have since started the listing process. In addition, reforms aimed at countering self-dealing are associated with higher equity returns. Investors look for upside potential, and they find it in reforming markets.

notice of the deal, its terms and the conflict of interest on their own website or that of the Georgian stock exchange. Firms must also include such information in their annual report.

The Georgian reforms also allow investors to appeal harmful actions of directors to the court and demand compensation—including a refund of the benefiting director's personal profit. To receive these damages, investors need only prove that the transaction could have had better terms and conditions. "Now directors will think twice before entering into transactions with related parties," says a corporate lawyer in Georgia. All this comes with a clear goal: "We have reformed; we are offering you protections. Now it is your turn to invest in Georgia," says a government official.

Mozambique was the runner-up in reforms, adopting a new commercial code that replaced legislation dating to 1888. The new code makes it easier to hold directors and controlling shareholders liable for misconduct in 4 ways. First, it permits derivative suits—suits by minority investors against company directors in the

TABLE 7.3

Where are investor protections strong—and where not?

| E | xtent | t of | discl | osure | index | (0 - 10) |
|---|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| | | | | | | |

| Most | | Least | |
|------------------|----|-------------|---|
| Bulgaria | 10 | Afghanistan | 0 |
| China | 10 | Albania | 0 |
| France | 10 | Lao PDR | 0 |
| Hong Kong, China | 10 | Maldives | 0 |
| Ireland | 10 | Micronesia | 0 |
| Malaysia | 10 | Palau | 0 |
| New Zealand | 10 | Sudan | 0 |
| Singapore | 10 | Swaziland | 0 |
| Thailand | 10 | Switzerland | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 10 | Tajikistan | 0 |

Extent of director liability index (0–10)

| Most | | Least | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| Cambodia | 9 | Bulgaria | 1 |
| Canada | 9 | China | 1 |
| Israel | 9 | Afghanistan | 0 |
| Malaysia | 9 | Dominican Republic | 0 |
| New Zealand | 9 | Marshall Islands | 0 |
| Singapore | 9 | Micronesia | 0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 9 | Palau | 0 |
| United States | 9 | Suriname | 0 |
| Hong Kong, China | 8 | Tajikistan | 0 |
| South Africa | 8 | Vietnam | 0 |

Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)

| Easiest | | Most difficult | |
|------------------|----|----------------|---|
| Kenya | 10 | Afghanistan | 2 |
| New Zealand | 10 | Cambodia | 2 |
| Colombia | 9 | Syria | 2 |
| Hong Kong, China | 9 | Venezuela | 2 |
| Ireland | 9 | Yemen | 2 |
| Israel | 9 | Guinea | 1 |
| Mauritius | 9 | Morocco | 1 |
| Mozambique | 9 | Rwanda | 1 |
| Singapore | 9 | Djibouti | 0 |
| United States | 9 | Iran | 0 |

company's name. Second, it provides a detailed list of directors' duties to the company. The previous code assigned directors a vague responsibility to "act in good faith." Third, the code introduced special liability for controlling shareholders, including board approval for decisions that may be harmful to the company. These guidelines for controlling shareholders are demanding and unique in the world. Finally, the new code gives investors the right to review company documents. If company officials refuse, investors can turn to government inspectors to investigate.

The reform raised Mozambique's score on the extent of director liability index from 2 to 4—and its score on the ease of shareholder suits index from 6 to 9, among the highest in the world (table 7.3). As a local lawyer says, "More information, more chances to win in court."

Vietnam adopted new securities and enterprise laws. The securities law sets up a new securities exchange and trading center. The enterprise law mandates investor involvement in major company actions—for example, transactions exceeding 35% of the assets of the company. The law also increases disclosure for relatedparty transactions. And it introduces fiduciary duties for directors—but fails to provide a way to enforce those duties. No commercial tribunals in Vietnam have jurisdiction over investor suits against directors.

Last year Belarus ranked among the countries with the least disclosure of corporate dealings. This year it jumped 22 places in the strength of investor protection rankings. A new law requires greater annual disclosure to shareholders and approval of related-party transactions by disinterested shareholders (those without a personal stake in the deal). But shareholder involvement in major decisions comes at a price: directors cannot be held liable for damages if shareholders approve. So if a director persuades the majority of shareholders to support a deal and the deal goes bad, the opposing minority cannot challenge it in court.

Other countries also increased disclosure. Iceland extended requirements for immediate and annual disclosure to all nonlisted public corporations and leapt 17 places in the strength of investor protection rankings. Indonesia now requires more detailed information on companies' activities in their annual report. And Colombia, under its new Decree 3139, requires listed companies to report more information to investors. Before the reform, listed companies had to report any "relevant" or "extraordinary" event—a subjective standard that was open to abuse. The new decree maintains the broad "relevant" requirement but lists specific events that must be disclosed to the financial authorities. It also requires a company to report extensive information before going public.

European countries continued to strengthen protections. Slovenia now requires boards to obtain the prior approval of shareholders in transactions affecting 25% or more of the company's assets. Norway expanded the definition of related-party transactions that shareholders must approve. Portugal made it easier for shareholders to sue by lowering the threshold for derivative suits from 5% of the share capital to 2%. Finland and Ireland implemented a European Union directive to make capital markets more transparent.⁷ And the European Commission opened consultations on creating one-stop shops for company information (such as the prospectus, annual report and ownership levels) in member states. With these, potential investors in Copenhagen, for example, can access information about a company in Greece. Disagreements about harmonizing disclosure forms have delayed implementation.

The most active financial markets reform continually. Japan and the United Kingdom both have company acts that are less than 2 years old. The U.S. Securities and

What to reform?

First, get the basics right. Investor protections are not the first point of reform for many countries. Poor countries would do better to ease business start-up, simplify property registration and strengthen collateral laws first. Too many countries get the order wrong. Take Mozambique. The Maputo stock exchange was established in 1999, before the country had reformed its commercial, investment and trade laws. It lists only 1 domestic company (a brewery, Cervejas de Moçambique), 1 international corporation and 5 government bonds. But a recent overhaul of basic corporate laws will likely attract other listings to the exchange.⁸

Once a country has the basics, it can help protect investors against self-dealing. Since 2005, 43 reforms aimed at this have taken place in 34 economies (table 7.4). Hong Kong (China) is the star reformer, appearing each year among the top 10. Here are the 3 most effective reforms:

- Open company activities to investor scrutiny.
- Require disinterested shareholder approval.
- Where courts are strong, help investors bring suit.

Open company activities to investor scrutiny

Investor protections start with transparency of corporate dealings. Require public reports for large transactions involving directors, as in Poland. Involve shareholders more in corporate decisions, as in South Africa. And open company books for inspection, as in Egypt. Investors need to know what stakes directors have in proposed deals so they can stop harmful activities—or sue for damages.

More disclosure is possible in rich and poor countries alike. The Internet makes distributing financial and ownership information cheap. Malaysia and Thailand, with among the most stringent disclosure rules, require extensive online disclosure on related-party transactions before they go to a vote by the company's disinterested shareholders. Ghana requires directors to disclose any personal interest in a proposed transaction to their felExchange Commission issued more than 50 rules or amendments in the past 3 years. And Hong Kong (China), now a top destination for new listings, has increased disclosure requirements each of the past 3 years. A note to market regulators: if your country's laws on investor protection haven't changed in the past 5 years, they're out of date.

| TABLE 7.4 Simple solutions and where they have worked |
|--|
| Increased disclosure of related-party transactions Belarus, Canada, Colombia, Georgia, Iceland, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Mexico, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Romania, Spain, Sweden, Thailand, Turkey, United Kingdom, Vietnam |
| Defined duties for directors and controlling shareholders Georgia, Jamaica, Mozambique, Namibia, Portugal, Spain |
| Regulated approval of related-party transactions Israel, Jamaica, New Zealand, Norway, Thailand |
| Made it easier to sue directors Germany, Korea, Mexico, Tanzania |
| Strengthened audit committees Costa Rica, India, Indonesia, Thailand |
| Gave shareholders access to company documents China, Hong Kong (China), Mozambique |
| Increased penalties for self-dealing Malaysia, Pakistan |
| Source: Doing Business database. |

low board members, detailing both the nature and the extent of that interest. If the transaction is approved, the company's annual report must fully describe the terms of the deal and the director's conflict of interest. In Switzerland, in contrast, no public disclosure is required—not even in the annual report.

Require disinterested shareholder approval

In Colombia and 2 dozen other countries related-party transactions automatically trigger a vote by the company's disinterested shareholders. In 9 of these countries an external auditor does a thorough review of the transaction and reports to the shareholders before the vote takes place. Compare that with Ecuador, where large deals involving company insiders need sign-off by only one person—a manager, director, president or whoever is named in the bylaws. This invites abuse.

Shareholder approval is easily undermined by loopholes, however. Investors in Cameroon vote on relatedparty transactions months after they have taken place. By then it's too late to undo a bad deal. Lebanese shareholders vote at the annual meeting for all related-party transactions arising during the coming year—turning shareholder involvement into a meaningless rubber stamp. Still other countries, such as Slovakia, exempt related-party transactions from shareholder scrutiny when they occur in the "ordinary course of business." The problem is, Slovak law doesn't say what falls outside the "ordinary course of business." Companies easily bypass shareholder approval.

Where courts are strong, help investors bring suit


Many countries restrict private lawsuits by investors, relying instead on government inspectors to police corporate activities. This has 2 weaknesses. First, no government can investigate every possible crime, especially in poor countries. Second, criminal penalties paid to the state do nothing to repay investors for the loss of their investment. When investors can sue, the damage awards go directly to compensate investors or the company harmed.

Hong Kong (China) does it right. Strict disclosure rules expose company decisions to investors, regulators and the press almost immediately. Unhappy investors can sue directors for multiple misdeeds—including improper personal gains, oppression of minority shareholder interests and negligence in running the company. Once in court, plaintiff investors have full access to company documents to find evidence for proving their case. Cambodia goes only halfway—it permits investors to sue company insiders for a variety of offenses, but

Notes

- 1. See Johns and Lobet (2007).
- Jesus Aranda, "Niega la Corte a Tv Azteca amparo contra artículos de la ley del mercado de valores," *La Jornada*, June 28, 2007, http://www.jornada.unam.mx/2007/06/28/ index.php?section=economia&article=025n1eco.
- 3. Transactions between a director or controlling shareholder and the company, such as the example considered by *Doing Business*.
- 4. Djankov and others (forthcoming).
- 5. Atanasov, Ciccotello and Gyoshev (2006).
- 6. See Djankov and others (forthcoming).
- 7. European Union Directive 2004/109/EC.
- 8. Moss (2006).
- 9. Cigna and Enriques (2005).

FIGURE 7.3 **Efficient courts help protect minority shareholders**



court rules block plaintiffs from gathering the evidence needed to prove their case.⁹ The result? No cases are brought because proving them is nearly impossible.

Even the best of rules are useless if courts are weak. Papua New Guinea, Maldives and Slovenia have strong protections on the books allowing investors to bring suit and gather evidence. But even simple commercial disputes take a long time to resolve—591, 665 and 1,350 days, respectively. Less abuse of investors is seen where courts perform well (figure 7.3). **Paying taxes**

"In Sweden we pay taxes online. The corporate income tax, value added tax, labor contributions and property tax are filed on a single form. Doesn't everyone do it that way?" asks Astrid, a Swedish business owner.


Not yet. In Papua New Guinea, Syria and Zimbabwe tax forms are brought in person to the tax office and "discussed" with a tax officer to make sure calculations are correct. To comply with regulations on taxes and contributions¹ in the Republic of Congo, a company must make 89 payments a year, spend 106 days and pay 65.4% of its profits. Meanwhile, the company has to fill out 50 pages of forms for corporate income taxes, 50 for labor taxes and contributions and 36 for consumption taxes. Only Belarus and Ukraine have a more burdensome tax system.

The ease of paying taxes can range from filing a

FIGURE 8.1

single online form in Sweden to making 124 payments a year in Belarus. Investors make their choices accordingly. Countries with more payments have fewer formal businesses per capita and lower rates of business entry (figure 8.1).² In Brazil, for example, the Simples program, which eases tax requirements for small businesses, increased business registrations in the retail sector by 13 percent compared with the year before the program started.³

Countries that make it easier to pay taxes and contributions also have higher rates of workforce participation, and lower rates of unemployment, among women.⁴ The reason is simple: a burdensome tax system disproportionately hurts smaller businesses, especially in the services sector, and this is where most women work. In Colombia, where women outnumber men almost 2 to 1 among the unemployed, small businesses have to pay 82.4% of their



More burdensome taxes and contributions, fewer formal businesses

Note: Relationships are significant at the 1% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Business density is the number of formally registered firms per capita Business entry is the number of firms created in a year as a percentage of all registered firms. Source: Doing Business database, Djankov and others (2007).

TABLE 8.1

Where is it easy to pay taxes—and where not?

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|----------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Maldives | 1 | Panama | 169 |
| Singapore | 2 | Jamaica | 170 |
| Hong Kong, China | 3 | Mauritania | 171 |
| United Arab Emirates | 4 | Bolivia | 172 |
| Oman | 5 | Gambia | 173 |
| Ireland | 6 | Venezuela | 174 |
| Saudi Arabia | 7 | Central African Republic | 175 |
| Kuwait | 8 | Congo, Rep. | 176 |
| New Zealand | 9 | Ukraine | 177 |
| Kiribati | 10 | Belarus | 178 |

Source: Doing Business database.

Who is reforming?

Thirty-one economies made it easier to pay taxes in 2006/07. Reducing the corporate income tax rate was the most popular reform, done in 27 economies (table 8.2). Moldova, Mongolia, Sierra Leone, Syria, Turkey and Uruguay made major revisions in their tax codes. Colombia, Israel, the Kyrgyz Republic, South Africa, Uruguay and Uzbekistan reduced the number of taxes paid by businesses by consolidating or eliminating taxes. Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Colombia, Lesotho, Malaysia, the Netherlands, Turkey and Uzbekistan simplified the process of paying taxes by introducing or expanding electronic filing and reducing the frequency of payments.

Bangladesh, the Dominican Republic, Hungary, Venezuela and Zimbabwe increased the tax burden on businesses. Bangladesh raised its corporate income tax from 37.5% of profits to 40%. Only Comoros and São Tomé and Principe have higher corporate income tax. The Dominican Republic passed a law requiring companies to submit paper receipts every month. Hungary introduced a temporary 4% tax on profits (the solidarity tax) and increased employers' labor contributions by 3.5 percentage points—both with the aim of reducing the budget deficit. Venezuela introduced 3 new taxes. Zimbabwe increased the road tax and the tax on check transactions. It also introduced a new corporate tax form to accompany each quarterly payment. That increased the time for tax compliance by 40 hours a year.

Bulgaria was the top reformer in 2006/07: it reduced the corporate tax from 15% to 10% and employers' labor taxes by 7 percentage points (table 8.3). And online filing is now widely used for corporate income tax and social security contributions. commercial profits, make 69 tax payments a year and spend 47 days to comply with all tax requirements. This is changing, thanks to a new tax law enacted by the congress in late 2006.

There is good news: paying taxes is now easier, especially in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, which had the most reforms in 2006/07. Revenues are growing as well. For example, the Czech Republic saw its tax revenue rise by 2% after reducing the corporate income tax between 2004 and 2005.⁵ This is part of a longer global trend—the tax burden on businesses has decreased every year since 1985.⁶ A few places—much of Africa, some countries of the former Soviet Union and several Latin American countries—have yet to catch on (table 8.1).

Turkey was the runner-up in reforms. It reduced the top rate for corporate income tax from 30% in 2005 to 20% in 2006 and introduced a new corporate tax code. Turkey also reduced the tax on interest from 18% to 15% in 2006 and simplified other taxes, such as the property tax and the tax on check transactions. And it improved e-filing, reducing the time businesses need to comply with tax regulations by 31 hours.

Eastern Europe and Central Asia accounted for about a third of the reforms in 2006/07. Besides Bulgaria, 8 countries reduced the corporate income tax and 6 reduced social contributions paid by employers. Uzbekistan reduced the corporate tax from 15% in 2005 to 12% in 2006 and 10% in 2007. It gradually reduced labor contributions from 33% in 2004 to 24% in 2007. And it expanded the single tax payment regime for small

TABLE 8.2

Reducing tax rates—the most common reform in 2006/07

Reduced profit tax

Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, Greece, Israel, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Lesotho, FYR Macedonia, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Netherlands, Portugal, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Syria, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, West Bank and Gaza

Reduced labor taxes or contributions

Albania, Bulgaria, Israel, Kyrgyz Republic, Mexico, Moldova, Netherlands, Romania, Seychelles, Slovenia, South Africa, Uzbekistan

Simplified process of paying taxes

Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Colombia, Lesotho, Malaysia, Netherlands, Turkey, Uzbekistan

Revised tax code

Moldova, Mongolia, Sierra Leone, Syria, Turkey, Uruguay

Eliminated taxes

Colombia, Israel, Kyrgyz Republic, South Africa, Uruguay, Uzbekistan

Source: Doing Business database.

| Region | Changes in corporate income tax rate (%) |
|----------------------------|--|
| Eastern Europe | Azerbaijan 24 to 22 |
| & Central Asia | Bulgaria 15 to 10 |
| | Kyrgyz Republic 20 to 10 |
| | FYR Macedonia 15 to 12 |
| | Moldova 18 to 15 |
| | Slovenia 25 to 23 |
| | Turkey 30 to 20 |
| | Uzbekistan 15 to 12 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | Côte d'Ivoire 35 to 27 |
| | Lesotho 35 to 25 |
| | Mauritius 25 to 22.5 |
| | South Africa 12.5 to 10 ^a |
| Latin America & Caribbean | Colombia 35 to 34 |
| | Mexico 29 to 28 |
| | Trinidad and Tobago 30 to 25 |
| | Uruguay 30 to 25 |
| OECD high income | Greece 29 to 25 |
| | Netherlands 29.6 to 25.5 |
| | Portugal 27.5 to 26.5 |
| | Spain 35 to 32.5 |
| Middle East & North Africa | Israel 31 to 29 |
| | Syria 35 to 28 |
| | Tunisia 35 to 30 |
| | West Bank and Gaza 16 to 15 |
| East Asia & Pacific | Malaysia 28 to 27 |
| | Mongolia 30 to 25 |

businesses. Moldova is taking the most ambitious step: reducing the corporate income tax from 15% to 0% in 2008 after already lowering it from 18% in 2006. FYR Macedonia committed to reducing the corporate income tax from 15% in 2006 to 12% in 2007 and 10% in 2008.

In Eastern Europe the main motivation for simplifying taxes is joining and being competitive in the European Union. That creates pressure on Western European countries to simplify taxes too. The Netherlands reduced the top rate for corporate income tax from 31.5% in 2005 to 29.6% in 2006 and 25.5% in 2007. It also reduced 3 of the labor contributions. And it introduced e-filing for social security contributions, greatly simplifying the process of paying taxes.

Six countries reformed in Africa. Sierra Leone reduced a cascading sales tax—a sales tax that must be paid on raw materials and cannot be deducted upon sale of the final product—from 15% to 10%. Next year it is likely to complete the process of replacing this tax with a value added tax. Four other African countries lowered their profit tax, and 2 reduced labor contributions. But Africa is still the region with the highest tax rates—with

| INDLL | 0.4 | | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|----------|------|
| Who | makes | paying | taxes | easy—and | who does | not? |

| Fewest | | Most | |
|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|-------|
| Maldives | 1 | Venezuela | 70 |
| Sweden | 2 | Jamaica | 72 |
| Hong Kong, China | 4 | Dominican Republic | 74 |
| Norway | 4 | Kyrgyz Republic | 75 |
| Singapore | 5 | Montenegro | 88 |
| Afghanistan | 6 | Congo, Rep. | 89 |
| Kiribati | 7 | Romania | 96 |
| Latvia | 7 | Ukraine | 99 |
| Mauritius | 7 | Uzbekistan | 118 |
| New Zealand | 8 | Belarus | 124 |
| Time (hours per year) | | | |
| Least | | Most | |
| Maldives | 0 | Czech Republic | 930 |
| United Arab Emirates | 12 | Azerbaijan | 952 |
| Singapore | 49 | Vietnam | 1,050 |
| Luxembourg | 58 | Bolivia | 1,080 |
| Oman | 62 | Armenia | 1,120 |
| Switzerland | 63 | Nigeria | 1,120 |
| New Zealand | 70 | Belarus | 1,188 |
| St. Lucia | 71 | Cameroon | 1,400 |
| Ireland | 76 | Ukraine | 2,085 |
| Seychelles | 76 | Brazil | 2,600 |
| Total tax rate (% of pro | fit) | | |
| Lowest | | Highest | |
| Vanuatu | 8.4 | Eritrea | 84.5 |
| Maldives | 9.1 | Uzbekistan | 96.3 |
| United Arab Emirates | 14.4 | Mauritania | 107.5 |
| Kuwait | 14.4 | Argentina | 112.9 |
| Saudi Arabia | 14.5 | Belarus | 144.4 |
| Zambia | 16.1 | Central African Republic | 203.8 |
| West Bank and Gaza | 17.1 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 229.8 |
| Botswana | 17.2 | Sierra Leone | 233.5 |
| Samoa | 19.8 | Burundi | 278.7 |
| Lesotho | 20.8 | Gambia | 286.7 |

the Central African Republic, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Sierra Leone, Burundi and Gambia each requiring businesses to pay more than 200% of their profits (table 8.4).

In Latin America and the Caribbean, Trinidad and Tobago made the biggest reduction in the total tax rate by cutting the profit tax by 5 percentage points. Uruguay passed a new tax law that eliminates 15 taxes, simplifies the social contributions and reduces the profit, personal income and value added taxes. Colombia eliminated the system of adjustment for inflation, simplifying tax computation. Four economies in the Middle East and North Africa made their tax law more business friendly. While the main focus of reforms was reducing the profit tax, some countries went beyond that. Israel eliminated the stamp duty. Syria developed a large-taxpayer unit to make it easier for large businesses to pay taxes.

Only 2 countries reformed in East Asia and Pacific, the region with the second lowest tax rate (figure 8.2). Mongolia put in place new laws for the corporate income, value added and personal income taxes, including a new flat tax for individual income. Malaysia reduced the profit tax by 1 percentage point (with another 1 percentage point reduction planned by 2008) and simplified online tax filing.

What to reform?

Tax reforms are usually controversial, attracting intense political debate. The choice is often perceived as being between lower taxes with more votes but potentially less government revenue—and higher rates with discontented voters but potentially smaller fiscal deficits. In reality there is often no tradeoff between revenues and votes. Since 2005, 90 reforms in 65 economies have pointed to the 4 most successful reforms:


- Introduce online filing.
- Combine taxes.
- Simplify tax administration.
- Reduce tax rates and broaden the base.

Of those 65 economies, 4 improved their tax system every year: Albania, Bulgaria, Mexico and Moldova. Eighteen others reformed twice: the Czech Republic, Estonia, Ghana, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Latvia, Lesotho, Lithuania, Morocco, the Netherlands, Pakistan, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Spain, Turkey and Uzbekistan.

Introduce online filing

A quarter of the world's countries have electronic filing and payment of business taxes. That means no need for paper documents—and no need for personal interaction with tax officers. A third of the world's countries now use electronic payment such as bank transfer—and half use payment by check. In Mozambique the tax authority favors check payments by clearing them faster than bank transfers. But this choice has not been incident free: some checks were deposited in accounts belonging to tax officers.






Combine taxes

Almost 50% of countries have more than one labor tax or contribution, 27% more than one tax on profits and 41% more than one tax on property. If the base is the same (salaries, profits or property value), why not just combine these? Having multiple taxes increases the bureaucratic burden for both the taxpayer and the tax administration. Poland has the highest administrative costs of tax collection among OECD countries, at 2.62% of revenue.⁷ The reason? A business has to make 41 tax payments a year, including 4 different labor taxes. Many countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia have a similar burden (figure 8.3). In contrast, tax administration in Sweden costs only 0.59% of revenue, since all business taxes can be paid online.

Several countries have joint tax payments. Bosnia and Herzegovina combines 3 labor contributions—and



Uruguay 4—in one monthly payment. In Portugal companies can pay 2 taxes on profits together.


Simplify tax administration

More than half of countries require special accounting books for tax purposes. Two-fifths have more than one law per type of tax. So businesses spend a lot of time complying with tax regulations (figure 8.4). Making the tax rules for businesses complex is unlikely to bring more revenue—quite the opposite. Countries that don't require special books have 10% more revenue (as a percentage of GDP) on average than countries that do. And having a clear tax law increases tax revenue by 6% on average.⁸


Clarity on audit rules can make a big difference. While the vast majority of countries have a system of self-assessment for calculating taxes, only about 16% use risk analysis as the basis for their tax audits. Yet tax audits are a big opportunity for bribes. Using clear rules (and even statistical analysis) to determine who and how to audit can reduce this opportunity and increase tax revenue. Indeed, countries with audits based on risk analysis have higher tax revenue as a percentage of GDP—18% higher on average—despite having lower tax rates.

The reason is that businesses have fewer incentives to hide revenues. One example: a 2007 study of transition economies finds that businesses that report frequent tax audits are also 17% less likely to borrow from banks. Instead, they resort to informal lenders. That way the borrowed money stays out of the tax records.⁹

FIGURE 8.4







Reduce tax rates and broaden the base

High tax rates can force companies into the informal sector (figure 8.5). In the Democratic Republic of Congo, with taxes as high as twice the commercial profit for a company with a profit margin of 20%, businesses have a strong incentive to evade taxes. Indeed, half the country's manufacturing activity is in the informal sector.¹⁰ Such countries can increase tax revenue by lowering rates and persuading more businesses to comply with the new tax system. Even countries with a smaller informal sector can gain from this strategy. Greece saw its corporate tax revenue grow from 4% of GDP to 5% after reducing the corporate tax rate in 2005.

Notes


- 1. *Doing Business* measures taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business. The indicator includes taxes as defined by the system of national accounts (compulsory unrequited payments to general government) as well as government-mandated contributions such as compulsory payments to the employee social security where the statutory incidence is on the employer. See Data notes for details.
- 2. Djankov and others (2007).
- 3. Monteiro and Assunção (2006).
- 4. Alesina and Ichino (2007) and Azmat, Guell and Manning (2006).
- 5. World Bank, World Development Indicators database.
- 6. Slemrod (2004).
- 7. OECD (2007).
- 8. World Bank, World Development Indicators database.
- 9. Safavian and Wimpey (2007).
- 10. Schneider (2005).

Trading across borders

Tarik, a fish exporter from Yemen, knows the benefits of reform: "If I export fresh tuna to Germany, I get \$5.20 a kilo. If I export frozen tuna to Pakistan, I get \$1.10 a kilo. I would like everything to go to Germany. But it takes so long to comply with all the exporting procedures that the fresh tuna frequently goes bad. So only 15% of the fish is sent to Germany. My factory exports 2,000 tons of tuna a year. You make the calculation." Here it is: Tarik loses \$7 million a year because exporting from Yemen takes 33 days on average.

Twenty-four countries made it easier to trade in 2006/07. Yemen wasn't one of them. Most countries sped the approvals to import and export. Reforms took place in rich countries like Austria, large emerging markets like Brazil, India and Thailand, and poor countries like Djibouti and Uganda. Africa led, accounting for a third

FIGURE 9.1



of the reforms. Globally, the time needed to comply with export requirements fell by nearly 1 day (figure 9.1).


Still, in many countries trading across borders is more difficult than it need be. It takes a trader in the Central African Republic 57 days to complete all export formalities. The trader has to submit 8 documents to a range of government agencies, and spend \$4,581, before the container leaves the port in Yaoundé, in neighboring Cameroon. That puts the Central African Republic at 172 in the rankings on the ease of trading across borders (table 9.1). In Angola a vessel arriving at the port of Luanda has to wait 8 days before berthing. In the rainy season delays go up to 14 days.

Much is lost from delays in trading. The longest are in Africa (figure 9.2). Each additional day that an export product is delayed reduces exports by more than 1%. For

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Singapore | 1 | Zimbabwe | 169 |
| Denmark | 2 | Burkina Faso | 170 |
| Hong Kong, China | 3 | Congo, Rep. | 171 |
| Norway | 4 | Central African Republic | 172 |
| Finland | 5 | Azerbaijan | 173 |
| Sweden | 6 | Afghanistan | 174 |
| Estonia | 7 | Iraq | 175 |
| Israel | 8 | Tajikistan | 176 |
| Panama | 9 | Kyrgyz Republic | 177 |
| Germany | 10 | Kazakhstan | 178 |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the documents, time and cost required to import and export. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Rusings clarabase

FIGURE 9.2 Longest export delays in Africa and South Asia



Who is reforming?

India was the top reformer in trading across borders in 2006/07. It introduced online customs declarations for imports and exports. Arriving ships now submit their cargo manifests electronically, allowing the clearance process to begin even before the ship docks. These reforms helped cut delays for exporters and importers by 7 days. On average the top 10 reformers each cut the time to export by 5 days.

In Bosnia and Herzegovina customs clearance sped up sharply, thanks to a new online system. Traders submit their customs declarations electronically and get a response within 30 minutes. Before, this was done faceto-face with customs officials, a long process and a great opportunity to extract bribes. Another 13 reformers set up or improved electronic exchange of information between traders and customs (table 9.2).

Several African countries reformed. Uganda extended online declarations for traders to more border crossings across the country and is linking its new systems with Kenya's. That will speed transit trade through the port of Mombasa to Uganda. In Ghana delays at the Tema port were cut by 2 days with the construction of a new terminal and the creation of a system that allows into the port area only trucks ready to load or unload cargo. The changes also cut trading time for Burkina Faso, a landlocked neighbor.

Mauritius introduced a computerized risk management system for customs clearance. Now less than 30% of cargo undergoes physical inspection. Rwanda partime-sensitive agricultural products, reducing delays by 10% increases exports by more than 30%.¹ Often, just a few days less in exporting formalities can bring you into the market. Just ask Tarik.

There are other benefits of reform. In a survey of large multinationals, 65% indicated that they would add new investments in Africa and the Middle East if it were easier to trade.² Instead, many prefer to locate in Eastern Europe, closer to home. One example: in 2005 Tunisia lost a bid for a new factory for a German car manufacturer—and the 1,700 jobs it would have created. Romania was chosen instead.³

Easier trade across borders can diversify exports and boost employment.⁴ In developing countries women benefit the most, because female workers dominate many export-oriented industries. In Kenya, for example, women make up 75% of workers in the cut-flower industry, the country's most successful exporter.⁵

tially privatized the customs bonded warehouse, making it cheaper for traders to store goods there. Because of greater competition from newly built warehouses, prices for storage dropped by 40%.

Countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia continued to reform. Armenia introduced electronic transmission of trading documents, reducing the time to prepare and submit documents to customs by 3 days. FYR Macedonia eliminated duplicate customs procedures at its borders. Waiting time fell by 75%.

Some of the reduction in delays for the region's exporters comes from an unusual source: the entry of foreign banks. "Banking services are improving every day.

TABLE 9.2

Electronic data interchange—the most popular reform in 2006/07

Introduced or improved electronic data interchange system Armenia, Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Costa Rica, Djibouti, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Madagascar, Rwanda, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Turkey, Uganda

Improved customs administration

Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Guatemala, India, Mauritius, Morocco, Turkey

Introduced risk-based inspections

Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Egypt, Guatemala, India, Uganda Improved procedures at ports


Colombia, Djibouti, Egypt, Gambia, Ghana, Madagascar, Saudi Arabia

Implemented border cooperation agreements Bosnia and Herzegovina, Lao PDR, Thailand, Turkey, Uganda

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 9.3





Banks from Germany and Greece are opening branches in Albania, and the time to obtain a letter of credit has dropped from 14 days to 7," says Murati, an Albanian exporter. Similar improvements took place in Bulgaria and Croatia.

Customs reforms sped up in Central America, inspired by the recently signed free trade agreements with the United States. Costa Rica cut trading time by more than a week by allowing traders to submit declarations electronically. Guatemala made it easier to pay customs duties by setting up online payment. El Salvador intro-

What to reform?

In the past 3 years 55 countries have undertaken 68 reforms to speed trading. Pakistan reformed every year. Austria, China, Egypt, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Jamaica, Mauritius, Pakistan, Rwanda and Yemen reformed twice. Five reforms are most successful in reducing delays and improving export opportunities:

- Limit physical inspections.
- Go online.
- Shorten inland delays in Africa.
- Measure delays at the border.
- Shift from cutting tariffs to cutting delays.

Limit physical inspections

Imports and exports need to undergo several types of inspections—for tax, security, environmental, border control and health and safety reasons. There is no reason these cannot be done at the same time. "At the port there are 5 government agencies that stop your cargo—quality control, port security, police, state security and bomb squad. All of them demand bribes," says Tunde, a freight duced a one-stop shop for importers, bringing together different government ministries and agencies involved in issuing clearances.

The Dominican Republic abolished the requirement for a consular invoice. Before, all trading documents had to be validated at consulates overseas. Brazil upgraded its online declaration system, reducing data requirements by 50%. Colombia expanded operating hours at the ports, improved roads to the ports and introduced selective customs inspections of cargo. All these helped cut the time to export by 10 days (figure 9.3).

In the Middle East and North Africa, Saudi Arabia abolished the requirement for a consular certificate. Much of the information required for customs clearance can now be submitted electronically, reducing trading time by 2 days. Djibouti's customs extended its working hours to weekends and national holidays. And the container terminal, now under new ownership, was refurbished. Morocco applied risk management to customs clearance, halving clearance time. Algeria hastened the approval of licenses for customs brokers. The number of brokers increased, and customs fees dropped by 40%.

In South Asia, Sri Lanka introduced electronic submission of customs declarations, cutting the time for trading by 7 days. Pakistan continued to expand its online declaration system to other parts of the country.

forwarder in Nigeria. It used to be like that in Pakistan. No more.

Pakistan made risk assessment a priority in its trade reform. "Our studies indicate that up to 80% of trade is by responsible and legitimate businesses and does not pose a threat to the country or its exchequer," writes the customs office.⁶ So Pakistan limited physical inspections to the riskiest consignments. These inspections dropped from 100% of cargo before reform to less than 5% today. Now 70% of cargo is cleared in 1 hour, compared with an average of 10 days in 2004. Fewer inspections don't mean less revenue—customs revenue is up by 20%.

Reform wasn't easy. Pakistan had failed earlier.⁷ This time customs reforms started with simple administrative improvements. Success in these brought support for further reforms.

Border agencies can combine their teams to reduce the burden on traders. One study estimated that in 2003 exporting from the Kyrgyz Republic to Russia by land took 208 hours and that 60% of this was waiting time at the borders—thanks to multiple inspections by customs, phytosanitary officers, immigration officers and the border police.⁸ It used to be like that in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Now there is a single inspection. "The clearance process is very smooth—no delays at all unless you fill in your forms incorrectly," says Sarko, a freight forwarder. Similar reforms have taken place in El Salvador and Georgia.

Go online

In 2005 Kenya set up an electronic system for processing customs declarations. In 2 years clearance times dropped by half. "We have not even started exploiting the potential of our information technology systems," says Buyonge, a Kenyan revenue authority official.

The reforms did not start smoothly. Many traders did not have the Internet access needed to use the new system. At first port congestion worsened. The International Freight and Warehousing Association initiated a court action against the Kenyan revenue authority and won. The court ruled that the old paper-based system had to be restored. The deadlock was broken when the reformers invited the main freight forwarders for consultation. Discussions led to more operational support to make it easier to use the new system. Now nearly all large cross-border trades are submitted online.

Shorten inland delays in Africa

Often goods get delayed because of numerous checks on the roads. Traveling from Lagos to Abidjan (992 kilometers), a trucker faces 69 checkpoints. From Abidjan to Ouagadougou (1,122 kilometers) there are "only" 37. The situation is similar in the Central African Republic and Chad, adding to the already high cost of trading (table 9.3).

Reforms often involve cross-border cooperation important, because transit regulations often impose restrictions, such as quotas on the number of trucks allowed from neighboring countries. Accords with neighbors can speed the release of transit goods.

Measure delays at the border

In Tanzania the tax authority carries out an annual exercise of random checks across border points. In August 2005 the average time to process documents for imports, from arrival to entry into the country, was 8 days, 23 hours across seaports; 6 days, 15 hours across airports; and 3 days, 9 hours across land crossings. In August 2006 the exercise was repeated to monitor the pace of improvements. In a year, thanks to this and other efforts, delays for importers had been reduced by a quarter on average.

Georgia's customs office is going even further. With the help of the U.S. Agency for International Development, evaluators were posted at random times at the 2 main border crossings (Sarphi and Red Bridge) and the 4 customs terminals (Adlia, Opiza, Lasare and Barvil). Their task was to clock the speed at which inbound cargo is processed. Interesting differences emerged: while processing trucks takes 28 minutes on average at the Red Bridge crossing, it takes 52 minutes at Sarphi. Similar differences were recorded at customs terminals.⁹ Customs is now setting up a bonus system to reward teams of customs officials that process goods faster than their colleagues. The bonus is substantial: 2 months' salary for each customs official on a winning team.

Shift from cutting tariffs to cutting delays

Trade reformers still focus too much on cutting tariffs and not enough on cutting delays for exporters and importers. This attention is misplaced: a recent study finds that the cost of import delays exceeds tariff costs in every region, while the cost of export delays exceeds tariff costs in every region but East Asia and Western Europe.¹⁰

According to the same study, in Africa the cost of delays is 4 times the tariff payments African exporters face. This is corroborated by a striking number: despite the many U.S. trade preferences for African exporters under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, Africa accounts for only 0.23% of U.S. imports.¹¹ Similarly, only 8.6% of the European Union's imports come from countries in Africa, the Pacific and the Caribbean—all beneficiaries of tariff preferences under the Cotonou agreement.

TABLE 9.3 Who makes exporting easy—and who does not?

Documents (number)

| Fewest | | Most | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|----|
| Canada | 3 | Burkina Faso | 11 |
| Estonia | 3 | Congo, Rep. | 11 |
| Micronesia | 3 | Mauritania | 11 |
| Panama | 3 | Namibia | 11 |
| Denmark | 4 | Afghanistan | 12 |
| Finland | 4 | Angola | 12 |
| France | 4 | Kazakhstan | 12 |
| Hong Kong, China | 4 | Malawi | 12 |
| Norway | 4 | Fiji | 13 |
| Singapore | 4 | Kyrgyz Republic | 13 |

Time (days)

| Least | | Most | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|-----|
| Denmark | 5 | Eritrea | 59 |
| Estonia | 5 | Niger | 59 |
| Singapore | 5 | Angola | 64 |
| Hong Kong, China | 6 | Kyrgyz Republic | 64 |
| Luxembourg | 6 | Afghanistan | 67 |
| Netherlands | 6 | Chad | 78 |
| United States | 6 | Uzbekistan | 80 |
| Canada | 7 | Tajikistan | 82 |
| Germany | 7 | Kazakhstan | 89 |
| Ireland | 7 | Irag | 102 |

Cost (US\$ per container)

| Least | | Most | |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-------|
| China | 390 | Uzbekistan | 2,550 |
| Singapore | 416 | Azerbaijan | 2,715 |
| Finland | 420 | Kazakhstan | 2,730 |
| Malaysia | 432 | Uganda | 2,940 |
| United Arab Emirates | 462 | Niger | 2,945 |
| Iceland | 469 | Rwanda | 2,975 |
| Brunei | 515 | Tajikistan | 3,000 |
| Pakistan | 515 | Iraq | 3,400 |
| Norway | 518 | Central African Republic | 4,581 |
| Hong Kong, China | 525 | Chad | 4,867 |

Who makes importing easy—and who does not?

Documents (number)

| Fewest | | Most | |
|------------------|---|--------------------------|----|
| Denmark | 3 | Tajikistan | 11 |
| Sweden | 3 | Congo, Rep. | 12 |
| Canada | 4 | Eritrea | 13 |
| Estonia | 4 | Fiji | 13 |
| Hong Kong, China | 4 | Kyrgyz Republic | 13 |
| Ireland | 4 | Russia | 13 |
| Israel | 4 | Zimbabwe | 13 |
| Norway | 4 | Azerbaijan | 14 |
| Panama | 4 | Kazakhstan | 14 |
| Singapore | 4 | Central African Republic | 18 |

Time (days)

| Least | | Most | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|-----|
| Singapore | 3 | Eritrea | 69 |
| Denmark | 5 | Rwanda | 69 |
| Estonia | 5 | Afghanistan | 71 |
| Hong Kong, China | 5 | Burundi | 71 |
| United States | 5 | Kyrgyz Republic | 75 |
| Luxembourg | 6 | Kazakhstan | 76 |
| Netherlands | 6 | Tajikistan | 83 |
| Sweden | 6 | Iraq | 101 |
| Germany | 7 | Chad | 102 |
| Norway | 7 | Uzbekistan | 104 |

Cost (US\$ per container)

| Least | | Most | |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-------|
| Singapore | 367 | Uganda | 2,990 |
| Malaysia | 385 | Mongolia | 3,197 |
| Finland | 420 | Iraq | 3,400 |
| China | 430 | Burkina Faso | 3,522 |
| Iceland | 443 | Burundi | 3,705 |
| United Arab Emirates | 462 | Uzbekistan | 4,050 |
| Norway | 468 | Tajikistan | 4,500 |
| Hong Kong, China | 525 | Central African Republic | 4,534 |
| Denmark | 540 | Rwanda | 4,970 |
| El Salvador | 540 | Chad | 5,520 |

Source: Doing Business database.

Notes

- 1. Djankov, Freund and Pham (2007).
- ERT (2005). 2.
- 3. Muller-Jentsch (2005).
- 4. Dennis and Shepherd (2007).
- World Bank (2005b). 5.
- Pakistan Customs Office, "PACCS Salient Features," 6. http://www.paccs.gov.pk/DesktopDefault aspx ?tabindex=0&tabid=8 (accessed May 17, 2007).
- 7. Dennis (2007).
- 8. ESCAP (2003).
- 9. USAID (2006).
- 10. Hummels (2007).
- 11. Bureau, Chakir and Gallezot (2006).

Enforcing contracts

Why do many Italians live with their parents? A recent study finds that renting an apartment is difficult in countries where the courts are slow.¹ In Italy resolving disputes in the courts takes 1,210 days on average, so landlords hesitate to rent to young people.

Running efficient courts is a challenge for many governments, even in rich countries such as Italy and Slovenia. In 2006 Slovenia adopted a law obliging the government to pay plaintiffs up to €5,000 per case as a fine for delayed justice. This came after the European Court of Human Rights ruled that the Slovenian government had failed to deliver justice within a reasonable time. Slovenian courts outdo those in Djibouti and Guinea-Bissau in trying the patience of plaintiffs, taking 1,350 days on average to resolve a dispute.

Sometimes governments are to blame for the heavy caseloads in the courts. In Bosnia and Herzegovina state

| Easiest | Rank | Most difficult | Rank |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Hong Kong, China | 1 | Central African Republic | 169 |
| Luxembourg | 2 | Belize | 170 |
| Latvia | 3 | Syria | 171 |
| Iceland | 4 | Cameroon | 172 |
| Singapore | 5 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 173 |
| Austria | 6 | Suriname | 174 |
| Finland | 7 | Bangladesh | 175 |
| United States | 8 | Angola | 176 |
| Norway | 9 | India | 177 |
| Korea | 10 | Timor-Leste | 178 |

Note: Rankings are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute through the courts. See Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.

utility companies bring truckloads of claims for unpaid bills to the courthouse. These represented 70% of the court backlog in 2006/07. In India the government is the biggest litigator—mostly on tax matters. That country has one of the least efficient judiciaries in the world (table 10.1).

The primary role of the judiciary is to enhance justice, fairness and equity. But efficient courts do much more—they help the economy grow. A recent study finds that in countries with expedient courts, such as Lithuania, adopting a creditor protection law increases bank lending by 27% on average. But such a law makes little difference in countries with slow courts, such as Serbia, increasing bank lending by only 7%.²

In many countries only the rich can afford to resolve disputes through the courts. For the rest, justice is out of reach. In Indonesia recovering an overdue debt of \$2,840 (twice the annual income per capita) would often cost more in court and attorney fees than the amount claimed. In Papua New Guinea a creditor might pay as much as \$1,700 to recover a debt of \$1,540 (again twice the average income). In Mozambique the fees for collecting a debt of \$680 can be more than the amount claimed. Businesses have little incentive to use the courts.

Who is reforming?

In 2006/07, 14 countries reformed contract enforcement. Almost half the reforms were in Africa—in Burkina Faso, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Malawi, Mauritania and Mozambique. Eastern Europe followed, with reforms in Bulgaria, Moldova and Poland. For the third straight year no major reforms took place in South Asia, the region with the longest court delays (figure 10.1).


Tonga was the top reformer, cutting the time to enforce contracts from 510 days to 350. A newly appointed chief justice introduced case management, transferring 90% of all cases—criminal, commercial and land—from paper to computer. Cases are now monitored daily. If they remain inactive for 3 months, the judge summons the parties and asks whether they plan to pursue the dispute. In this way 472 cases that had been dormant for years were uncovered and dropped. Others were put on a strict timetable.

The reforms also raised the threshold for the magistrates courts fivefold, to 10,000 pa'anga (\$5,230), allowing more cases to use a simpler procedure. And new rules of procedure for the supreme court provide for court referral to mediation in civil cases for the first time. Court staff are undergoing training in Australia.

Elsewhere in the Pacific, Fiji reformed. Judges now focus exclusively on deciding legal disputes. A magistrate was appointed to deal with other matters, such as assessing damages after liability has been established.

The big trend in Africa was to introduce specialized commercial courts. All 6 African reformers did so. Since October 2006, 4 judges in Burkina Faso have been dealing exclusively with commercial cases in

FIGURE 10.1



Bobo-Dioulasso and Ouagadougou. Getting there wasn't easy—the reform had been in the works since 1990. The Democratic Republic of Congo did it faster. Four years after being created on paper, commercial courts in Kinshasa started operating in November 2006. New rules for these courts set strict deadlines, such as 8 days to appeal judgments. Still, the cost of going to court is among the highest in the world (table 10.2).

Ghana's commercial courts, now fully operational, have reduced the time to enforce a contract from 552 days to 487. In Mauritania separate commercial courts

TABLE 10.2

Where is enforcing contracts the most efficient—and where the least?

Procedures (number)

| riocedures (number) | | | |
|------------------------------|------|----------------------|-------|
| Fewest | | Most | |
| Ireland | 20 | Guinea | 50 |
| Singapore | 22 | Kuwait | 50 |
| Hong Kong, China | 24 | United Arab Emirates | 50 |
| Rwanda | 24 | Belize | 51 |
| Netherlands | 25 | Iraq | 51 |
| Austria | 26 | Oman | 51 |
| Iceland | 26 | Timor-Leste | 51 |
| Luxembourg | 26 | Sudan | 53 |
| Belgium | 27 | Syria | 55 |
| Czech Republic | 27 | Brunei | 58 |
| Time (days) | | | |
| Fastest | | Slowest | |
| Singapore | 120 | Sri Lanka | 1,318 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 177 | Trinidad and Tobago | 1,340 |
| Uzbekistan | 195 | Colombia | 1,346 |
| Lithuania | 210 | Slovenia | 1,350 |
| Hong Kong, China | 211 | India | 1,420 |
| New Zealand | 216 | Bangladesh | 1,442 |
| Belarus | 225 | Guatemala | 1,459 |
| Kazakhstan | 230 | Afghanistan | 1,642 |
| Korea | 230 | Suriname | 1,715 |
| Finland | 235 | Timor-Leste | 1,800 |
| Cost (% of claim) | | | |
| Least | | Most | |
| Bhutan | 0.1 | Comoros | 89.4 |
| lceland | 6.1 | Cambodia | 102.7 |
| China | 8.8 | Burkina Faso | 107.4 |
| Luxembourg | 8.8 | Papua New Guinea | 110.3 |
| United States | 9.4 | Indonesia | 122.7 |
| Norway | 9.9 | Malawi | 142.4 |
| Poland | 10.0 | Mozambique | 142.5 |
| Korea | 10.3 | Sierra Leone | 149.5 |
| Finland | 10.4 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 151.8 |
| Germany | 11.8 | Timor-Leste | 163.2 |
| Source: Doing Business datab | ase. | | |
| | | | |

have replaced the commercial chambers in the general courts. And in March 2007, 2 commercial judges were added to the 3 judges who had been dealing with all civil matters in Maputo, Mozambique's capital.

More recently, in May 2007, the commercial division of the Blantyre high court in Malawi started hearing cases above 50,000 kwacha (\$350). The court had been scheduled to start earlier, but the procurement rules of a major donor delayed the disbursement of money needed to equip the courthouse.

Also in May 2007 Rwanda adopted a law to replace the 12 commercial chambers in the general courts with 3 separate specialized courts, 1 of them in Kigali. The new courts have a separate budget and court rules tailored to resolving commercial disputes. This followed earlier reforms that abolished the requirement for 2 lay judges to assist each professional judge in hearing commercial cases. No one had volunteered to work as a lay judge, as this implied no pay, so no commercial cases were heard between March 2004 and March 2006.

In Eastern Europe reformers in Bulgaria, Moldova and Poland focused on making the enforcement of judgments more efficient and reducing judicial corruption.

Bulgaria introduced private bailiffs in 2006/07, shortening the time to enforce a judgment from 150 days to 125. In Poland judgments are now immediately enforceable, with no need for a separate enforcement procedure. For debt collection, creditors will soon be able to choose the most effective bailiff in each district court, because the restriction of one bailiff per district court was abolished. Poland also changed its procedural code. Now new evidence can be presented for only 2 weeks after the trial starts, and judges can impose fines on parties *and* their attorneys for adjourning cases by relying on false facts. Another common delaying tactic—filing counterclaims—has been restricted. The average time to obtain a judgment fell from 730 days to 580.

TABLE 10.3 Specialized commercial courts—still a popular reform in 2006/07 Introduced specialized commercial courts Burkina Faso, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Malawi, Mauritania, Mozambique Made enforcement of judgments more efficient or cheaper Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Poland Expanded scope of small claims courts or introduced simplified rules for small claims Guatemala, Portugal, Tonga **Established case management** Fiji, Tonga Made efforts to reduce judicial corruption Bulgaria, Moldova Streamlined appeal Brazil Source: Doing Business database.

To fight corruption, Bulgaria and Moldova introduced random allocation of court cases to judges and doubled judges' salaries. Bulgaria also made the selection and appointment of judges more transparent.

In Latin America, Brazil continued its efforts to make enforcement easier by allowing assets to be sold through private rather than public sales only. Creditors can often get a higher price that way. Brazil now also obliges debtors to tell their creditors where their goods are. If debtors do not cooperate, they risk a penalty of 20% of the claim. Guatemala focused on expanding its small claims courts; they can now hear cases up to \$7,850, rather than \$2,000 as before.

Portugal was the only rich country to reform (table 10.3). Since 2006 it has experimented with 4 pilot courts—2 near Lisbon and 2 near Porto. The 4 pilot courts apply simplified rules to debt collection cases below \notin 15,000. If successful by 2008, the reform will be extended to the rest of the country. This reform is based on the model used in the United Kingdom, a country that continues to inspire court reform worldwide.

What to reform?

Doing Business has documented 65 reforms in contract enforcement since 2004. Some countries—Brazil, Burundi, Germany, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Rwanda and Serbia—have reformed more than once. Five reforms made the biggest difference:

- Introduce specialized commercial courts.
- Streamline appeals.
- Make the enforcement of judgments faster and cheaper.
- Introduce case management.
- Introduce e-courts.

Introduce specialized commercial courts

Six of the reformers in 2006/07 introduced specialized courts. Other countries, such as Azerbaijan and Egypt, will do so in the coming months. Countries with specialized courts resolve commercial disputes about 30% faster on average than those without them (figure 10.2). And if reforms in the specialized courts yield satisfied users, they embolden governments to try broader reforms.

Success with specialized courts also can bring unreasonable demand. One example is Peru. The Lima commercial courts, in operation since April 2005, made headlines in 2006 for deciding cases in less than a year. In February 2007 the judiciary ordered the transfer to them of 11,000 enforcement cases—about 11 times their caseload—flooding the commercial courts and increasing average delays.



Streamline appeals

A number of countries have reformed their appeals processes, respecting the right to appeal while limiting abuses. A balance can be achieved by excluding only the smallest cases from appeals and by allowing the higher courts to accept only cases that are new and fundamentally important to the country. In Sweden commercial cases can be appealed, but the appeals court now decides which cases to consider.

In Brazil and Denmark the supreme court now determines which cases it will decide. Still, judges are overwhelmed. The supreme court in Brazil issues opinions in 700 times as many cases as that in the United States.

Make the enforcement of judgments faster and cheaper

On average 30% of the total time to resolve a commercial dispute—the number of days from the time a case is filed until payment is made to the winning party—is spent enforcing the judgment. Sometimes enforcement actions take years. In Côte d'Ivoire, for example, enforcing the judgment takes more than half the total time spent to resolve a dispute. Obtaining a written copy of the judgment and resolving disputes over enforcement are the biggest bottlenecks (figure 10.3).

Several countries—Brazil, the Czech Republic, Finland, FYR Macedonia, Poland and Romania—have recently reformed enforcement laws. In Poland creditors can attach debtors' goods while the case is being appealed. If debtors want to keep possession of their goods during appeal, they must give a financial guarantee instead. Since last year courts in the Czech Republic can order all financial institutions in the country to check




FIGURE 10.3 Big delays in enforcing judgments in Côte d'Ivoire

whether the debtor holds an account with them and, if so, to automatically pay a judgment from that account. Before, creditors themselves had to identify the banks where debtors held an account. In Romania a new law allows creditors to attach credit balances and accounts receivable. In FYR Macedonia private bailiffs have started operating, shortening the average time to enforce a judgment from 90 days to 60.

In some countries creditors pay a registration tax to obtain an original copy of the judgment. Without it, they cannot start enforcement proceedings. In the Central African Republic and the Democratic Republic of Congo creditors pay 6% of the judgment amount as a registration tax. Cameroon, Guinea and Niger impose a 5% tax. In 2006/07 Burkina Faso reduced its registration tax from 4% to 2%. Côte d'Ivoire reduced its tax from 5% to 2.5%.

Introduce case management

Case management involves monitoring and managing cases in the court docket from the moment the action is filed until the moment it is finally decided. Analyzing court workloads can help predict trends and plan strategically.

Case management is successful if court data are simultaneously being computerized and if support functions such as case tracking, document management, deadline reminders and scheduling of hearings are done automatically. In 2006/07 the Philippines introduced such procedures for 60% of all lower courts in Manila.

Case management also makes it possible to measure the performance of judges. And measuring performance enhances performance—even for judges. If lazy judges are not disciplined and hard-working ones not compensated or promoted, performance suffers.

In countries such as Nicaragua judges continue to oppose case management and performance measurement by arguing that they would interfere with their independence. The rationale for this opposition lies elsewhere. Randomly assigning cases to judges, by using case management software, can prevent shopping for the judge most willing to accept bribes. In 2006 FYR Macedonia introduced such software in its effort to fight judicial corruption. By July 2007 the country's judicial council had brought charges of corruption against 10 judges.

Introduce e-courts

Litigants in New York have been able to access case data and documents through the Internet since 2006. Lawyers in Milan can upload case information in a bar code that court staff scan and transfer to a case management database. In 2006 Singapore introduced 3G mobile phones to conduct virtual court hearings and a pilot project allowing pretrial conferences by e-mail. Austria, Finland, Germany, Ireland and the United Kingdom set up online systems with simplified procedures for dealing with small claims. These claims previously took up most of the courts' time.

In rich countries such as Australia, Denmark, Finland, Norway, the Netherlands and Portugal businesses can file court cases electronically. Some middle-income countries, such as Brazil, the Czech Republic, Slovakia and Turkey, made it possible to submit claims by e-mail using electronic signatures.

Courts in some poor countries are going high-tech too. In India, for example, the supreme court, many high courts and even some district courts have their own website where businesses can download forms, look at the court's schedule for the day, check the status of a case or read the judge's orders. The supreme court even allows electronic filing of cases. That saves lawyers time and money, because they no longer need to go to the courthouse to pick up forms or receive the judge's order.

Notes

- 1. Casas-Arce and Saiz (2006).
- 2. Safavian and Sharma (forthcoming).

Closing a business

Ahmed, the former owner of a clothing shop in Abu Dhabi, made some bad business decisions that forced him to close shop last year. Hesitant to strike out on his own again, he has been looking for a job—to no avail. "No one wants to hire me," he complains. "There's a stigma to having a business that went bankrupt." It was worse in past centuries. The penalty for declaring bankruptcy in ancient Rome was slavery or being cut to pieces. The choice was left to the creditor. By the Middle Ages the treatment of insolvent debtors had softened. In Northern Italy bankrupt debtors hit their naked backside against a rock 3 times before a jeering crowd and cried out, "I declare bankruptcy." In England bankrupt debtors were often pilloried or thrown into prison and occasionally had an ear cut off.

Attitudes toward bankruptcy are one major obstacle for reformers drafting bankruptcy laws. But there are other good reasons why few bankruptcy reforms take place. First, bankruptcy reforms are complex: they typically involve making changes not only in the bankruptcy code but also in the code of civil procedure and the administration of the judiciary. That may take years. Second, in developing countries a large share of businesses are in the informal sector, and bankruptcy is not a priority reform. Only 10 economies undertook significant bankruptcy reforms in 2006/07.

It's not that reforms are not needed—in many countries creditors recover almost nothing (table 11.1). And everyone agrees on the goals of a good bankruptcy regime. The first goal is to maximize the total value of proceeds received by creditors, shareholders, employees and other stakeholders. Businesses should be rehabilitated, sold as a going concern or liquidated—whichever generates the greatest total value. The second is to rehabilitate viable businesses and liquidate unviable ones. In other words, bankruptcy law should be neither hard on good businesses nor soft on bad ones. The third is to provide for a smooth, predictable transition in the priority of claims as the company moves from a good financial state to a bad one—and thus reduce investors' risk. That goal is achieved by maintaining the absolute priority of claims in bankruptcy.

Why reform bankruptcy? Bankruptcy reform is less glamorous and takes longer than setting up a one-stop business registry. But having laws that deal effectively with troubled businesses helps get entrepreneurs to the onestop shop in the first place. Easier exit means easier entry. One study shows that reforms to encourage a fresh start

| TABLE 11.1 Where is it easy | to close a | business—and where n | ot? |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Easiest | Recovery rate | Most difficult | Recovery rate |
| Japan | 92.6 | Liberia | 7.8 |
| Singapore | 91.3 | Mauritania | 7.8 |
| Norway | 90.7 | Suriname | 7.4 |
| Canada | 88.8 | Venezuela | 6.6 |
| Finland | 88.2 | Philippines | 4.2 |
| Ireland | 87.1 | Haiti | 3.1 |
| Denmark | 87.0 | Micronesia | 3.1 |
| Netherlands | 86.7 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 2.9 |
| Belgium | 85.5 | Zimbabwe | 0.1 |
| United Kingdom | 84.6 | Central African Republic | 0.0 |

Note: Rankings are based on the recovery rate: how many cents on the dollar claimants (creditors, tax authorities and employees) recover from the insolvent firm. See Data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

have raised rates of new business creation by 8–9%.¹ The freedom to fail, and to do so through an efficient process, puts people and capital to their most effective use. The result is more productive businesses and more jobs.


That's not all. A functioning bankruptcy system

Who is reforming?

China was the top reformer in bankruptcy in 2006/07. Its Enterprise Bankruptcy Law, 12 years in the making, took effect on June 1, 2007. The law, China's first regulating the bankruptcy of private enterprises since 1949, significantly strengthens creditors' powers. Secured creditors with claims created after the law was passed now rank first in payment priority, even over tax and new wage claims. Another first for China: a reorganization procedure for restructuring insolvent companies. The introduction of creditors' meetings and committees gives creditors more say. Finally, the new law introduces bankruptcy administrators to operate insolvent companies during bankruptcy proceedings.

Five countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia join China as top reformers this year (figure 11.1). Georgia, the number 2 reformer, passed a new law that maximizes the value of debtors' assets, sets shorter time limits, regulates bankruptcy trustees and strengthens creditors' rights. In place of a liquidation process that takes 3.5 years on average, the law establishes bankruptcy procedures that should take less than 1 year in the event of reorganization and just 6 months if the business is slated for liquidation. That would allow Georgia to enter the top 10 list on the speed of resolving bankruptcy (table 11.2).

Armenia passed a new law that incorporates time



reassures creditors that if things go wrong, they stand a good chance of getting their money back. So they are more likely to lend, and to require less collateral than they would otherwise.

limits into the reorganization procedure. Secured creditors no longer vote on a reorganization plan unless the plan involves their pledged property. But the law explicitly prohibits the debtor's owners from voting as well, so creditors will have a greater say. Hungary passed a law that in most cases grants secured creditors absolute priority to the proceeds from the sale of their collateral. Croatia introduced educational and professional requirements for bankruptcy trustees and shortened timelines.

In April 2007 Uzbekistan issued a decree on voluntary winding-up of companies outside regular bankruptcy. The decree simplifies procedures and provides that if the tax authority does not conduct a tax inspection in time, the company pays only its self-assessed taxes. The decree also exempts financial assistance by the company's owners from income taxes and sets out the

| Where is bankruptcy the most efficient—and where the leas | t? |
|---|----|
| Time (vears) | |

TADLE 11

| Least | | Most | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Ireland | 0.4 | Ecuador | 5.3 |
| Japan | 0.6 | Indonesia | 5.5 |
| Canada | 0.8 | Haiti | 5.7 |
| Singapore | 0.8 | Philippines | 5.7 |
| Belgium | 0.9 | Belarus | 5.8 |
| Finland | 0.9 | Angola | 6.2 |
| Norway | 0.9 | Czech Republic | 6.5 |
| Belize | 1.0 | Maldives | 6.7 |
| Iceland | 1.0 | Mauritania | 8.0 |
| Constan | 1.0 | India | 10.0 |
| Spain | 1.0 | India | 10.0 |
| | 1.0 | India | 10.0 |
| Spain Cost (% of estate) Least | 1.0 | Most | 10.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 1.0 | | 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | | Most | |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia | 1.0 | Most Dominican Republic | 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait | 1.0 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands | 38.0 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait Norway | 1.0 1.0 1.0 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands Micronesia | 38.0 38.0 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait Norway Singapore | 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands Micronesia Philippines | 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait Norway Singapore Brunei Finland | 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 3.5 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands Micronesia Philippines Solomon Islands | 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait Norway Singapore Brunei | 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 3.5 3.5 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands Micronesia Philippines Solomon Islands Venezuela | 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) Least Colombia Kuwait Norway Singapore Brunei Finland Georgia | 1.0 1.0 1.0 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 | Most Dominican Republic Marshall Islands Micronesia Philippines Solomon Islands Venezuela Sierra Leone | 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 42.0 |

procedure for notifying the company's creditors.

Three rich economies improved their bankruptcy systems. Italy reformed for the second year in a row. Italian trustees now have broader discretion to maximize recovery for creditors in asset sales. This is expected to result in more sales of companies as going concerns. Denmark granted the courts more power to oversee trustees and make sure they act efficiently; this has already shortened bankruptcy proceedings. Portugal created fast-track procedures for the voluntary liquidation of businesses. Now an entrepreneur can wind up a company at the registry office. The changes, similar to the recently adopted fast-track provisions for starting a business, are intended to reduce the administrative burden of voluntary closings.

Mauritius made debt enforcement easier by passing the Borrower Protection Act 2007. Before, asset sales took place through a long "sale by levy" process that failed to realize the assets' market value. The new law allows land and buildings to be sold at private auction (table 11.3). Mauritius was Africa's only reformer. Three regions—Latin America, the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia—saw no reforms.

Two countries made bankruptcy more difficult in 2006/07. Botswana amended its Insolvency Act to give wage claims preference over the claims of secured credi-

What to reform?

Forty countries have implemented bankruptcy reforms since 2003 (figure 11.2). Many of these reforms were long overdue. That's especially so for poor and middleincome countries, where bankruptcy laws are 40 years old on average. In contrast, rich countries have laws that average 5 years in age. By now the largest emerging economies—such as Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam—have all introduced significant bankruptcy reforms. Eight types of reform were most effective:

- Minimize dependence on the courts.
- Establish specialized courts.
- Shift power to creditors.
- Limit appeals.
- Introduce time limits.
- Use the Internet to post decisions and publicize auctions.
- Introduce floating charges.
- Develop the trustee profession.


| TABLE 11.3 Increasing creditors' rights—a popular reform in 2006/07 |
|--|
| Granted priority to secured creditors China, Hungary, Uzbekistan |
| Introduced or shortened time limits on bankruptcy procedures Armenia, Georgia |
| Established reorganization procedure China, Georgia |
| Set up one-stop shop for voluntary liquidation Portugal, Uzbekistan |
| Introduced professional requirements for trustees Croatia, Georgia |
| Strengthened trustees' role Denmark, Italy |
| Allowed sale at private auction Mauritius |
| Source: Doing Business database. |

tors. This could dampen creditors' interest in extending credit. Meanwhile, Argentina stripped bankruptcy judges of jurisdiction over labor lawsuits and exempted such claims from the automatic stay applicable to claims. Now labor suits are to be concluded at the labor courts before presentation to the bankruptcy court for verification. Argentina also enhanced employees' right to demand payment of wage claims out of a distressed company's assets. A company must set aside 1% of its gross revenue to satisfy labor claims—even if it failed to turn a profit.

Minimize dependence on the courts

In many countries, improving bankruptcy means improving the courts. The reason is that winding up or reorganizing a company often depends on the judicial system, with courts and court-appointed trustees directing proceedings. Thirteen of the top 25 economies on the ease of closing a business also rank among the top 25 on the ease of enforcing contracts.

One solution is to minimize the involvement of judges. In some economies with efficient bankruptcy, courts play only a limited role, if any. In Australia, Hong Kong (China), Singapore and the United Kingdom secured creditors can appoint a receiver to take control of a distressed company. This happens without any court involvement. The receiver then manages the company in preparation for selling its assets. More often than not the business is sold as a whole unit. The recent reforms in Georgia and Mauritius are based on the same idea. Other countries—such as Portugal and Uzbekistan in 2006/07—have made voluntary liquidation an administrative process. FIGURE 11.2



Establish specialized courts

Other economies—including the Dominican Republic, Georgia, Moldova, Tanzania, Thailand and Uganda have made it easier to process bankruptcy cases by creating specialized commercial or even bankruptcy courts. Specialization increases efficiency.² Judges can more easily gain expertise in bankruptcy and will be better equipped to deal with issues of insolvent businesses. Bosnia and Herzegovina and Ghana have created bankruptcy sections within commercial courts, with specially trained judges and innovative management systems to deal with court backlogs.

Shift power to creditors

Many economies have altered the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in bankruptcy proceedings. Those that have strengthened the power of creditors include China, France, Indonesia, Korea, FYR Macedonia, Poland, Puerto Rico, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, the United States and Vietnam. In Poland the creditors' committee now decides whether a business should be reorganized or liquidated. In France, Korea and Slovakia the creditors' committee votes on reorganization plans. Before, the court made the final decision.

Strengthening creditors' rights—for example, by establishing creditors' committees—increases their confidence in the bankruptcy process. A bankruptcy case is likely to result in the continuation of the underlying business in countries that allow creditors to appoint or replace an administrator and have access to the administrator's report. In contrast, such an outcome occurs in only 34% of countries that do not grant creditors such rights. Several economies have given priority in bankruptcy claims to creditors. Bosnia and Herzegovina, China, Finland, FYR Macedonia and Vietnam granted a higher priority ranking to secured creditors. France gave a "super secured" position to creditors that lend money to distressed companies, making it easier for such companies to obtain new loans and continue operating.

Limit appeals

Another solution is to limit procedural appeals. In El Salvador the wait for a first-instance court to hand down its decision in a debt enforcement case can last up to 3 years. Appeals may drag the litigation out for another year or more. In both El Salvador and Slovenia, where the initial decision can be appealed to 2 higher levels of courts, restricting appeals to just 1 would speed bank-ruptcy proceedings. In Spain appeals no longer suspend debt recovery.

Restricting the number of appeals, or allowing debt recovery to proceed even when there is an appeal, is a simple way to make bankruptcy more efficient.³ When used as a delay tactic, appeals reduce recovery rates, which depend on how quickly the business or its assets are sold.

Introduce time limits

FYR Macedonia, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain and the United States have all either introduced or shortened statutory deadlines for bankruptcy proceedings. Imposing time limits also makes bankruptcy cheaper: reforms in Bulgaria, Estonia and the United Kingdom have halved bankruptcy costs. But some countries have bucked the trend. Thailand abolished a 1999 regulation limiting appeals, making it easier for debtors to abuse the appeals process and prolong bankruptcy.

Use the Internet to post decisions and publicize auctions

Where court reform is difficult, reformers can take advantage of the Internet. Croatia has launched a website, called "Judges Web," where the court posts information on decisions in bankruptcy cases and announcements of asset sales. Assets are more likely to fetch a higher price, because detailed descriptions and even pictures can be posted for long periods. Before, sales would typically draw few buyers because they were advertised only on a certain day and in a certain newspaper. FYR Macedonia and Serbia plan to introduce similar websites.


Introduce floating charges

Reformers need not focus on bankruptcy law alone. Denmark and several Eastern European countries have introduced floating charges (or similar enterprise charges) over the past decade. These are instruments through which companies grant a general security covering even future assets—over their entire business. With them, viable businesses are more likely to be sold as a going concern in liquidation and foreclosure proceedings, since the charge prevents creditors from laying claim to different assets of the company. Creditors gain maximum flexibility in enforcing their security. They also recover more: countries that allow floating charges have higher recovery rates than countries that don't allow them (figure 11.3).

Develop the trustee profession

Finally, several middle-income countries have taken steps to develop the profession and role of bankruptcy trustees, who play an important part in reorganization. Argentina, Chile, Serbia and Slovakia require trustees to have certain educational or business qualifications and to pass an exam. Serbia established a special agency to

FIGURE 11.3 Floating charges improve results in bankruptcy



supervise the profession and introduced ethical standards that all administrators must abide by.⁴ Chile stopped paying trustees a fixed monthly salary and linked their pay to the proceeds realized from asset sales. That encourages trustees to maximize returns by selling distressed assets quickly and removes any incentive to drag out the bankruptcy process.

Notes

- 1. Armour and Cumming (2006).
- 2. World Bank (2005a).
- 3. Djankov and others (2006).
- 4. Yap (2007).

What to expect

Doing Business 2009 will bring updates to the 10 sets of indicators reported in this year's edition. It may also incorporate some further improvements in methodology.


Beyond this, *Doing Business 2009* will reflect research on 3 new topics: not paying bribes, opportunities for women and infrastructure (starting with electricity). It will present the findings either as new analysis on the benefits of reforms or as new or developing sets of indicators. Whether the analysis and indicators become a standard part of *Doing Business* depends on the quality of the research and the ability to sustain the new indicators. Quality will be judged by academic peers, through the journal refereeing process. Sustainability will be judged on the basis of the cost of producing these indicators every year.

The choice of these research topics is natural. The research to construct indicators on not paying bribes and on infrastructure is motivated by evidence from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys on the main obstacles businesses face.¹ Corruption and poor access to infrastructure appear among the top constraints to business growth in most African countries, in many Middle Eastern and South Asian countries and in some Central American countries (figure 12.1).

Earlier editions of *Doing Business* have shown that burdensome business regulations hurt women the most. But more analysis is needed on what types of reforms most benefit working women. Expanding job and business opportunities for women is likely to have a large impact on economic growth. Precisely how large can be measured if new data are collected and analyzed. That's what the *Doing Business* team is set to do.

This chapter describes the analysis so far and the work that will take place in the coming year. The research on not paying bribes is most advanced, followed by the analysis on opportunities for women and the preliminary work on infrastructure.

FIGURE 12.1 Top obstacles to enterprises in Africa



Not paying bribes

In June 2005 the U.S. media reported that the previous year a defense contractor had bought the house of a U.S. congressman, Randy Cunningham, for \$1,675,000. A month later the contractor put the property back on the market, where it eventually sold for \$975,000. At the time Cunningham was a member of the defense appropriations committee. Soon after purchasing the house, the contractor began to receive tens of millions of dollars in defense and intelligence contracts.

Cunningham resigned in late 2005 after pleading guilty to accepting at least \$2.4 million in bribes and underreporting his income the previous year. In March 2006 he received a prison sentence of 8 years and 4 months.

This case would have taken longer to resolve had Cunningham not underreported his income in the disclosure forms that all members of the U.S. Congress file annually. The ability of the media and prosecutors to access these disclosures and point out inconsistencies made all the difference.

That is the focus of ongoing research by the *Doing Business* team: the ability of citizens, the media and prosecutors to monitor whether the actions of government officials are dictated by their private interests.² In every country the texts of relevant laws and regulations are collected. As for existing *Doing Business* topics, local partners are identified as contributors, in this case partners knowledgeable about the rules on public procurement and disclosure. Two global partnerships, one with PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services and one with Lex Mundi law firms, are also helping verify data.

In 80% of countries that require members of the legislature to disclose their financial or business interests, disclosure requirements also apply to the executive. In countries where there are separate regulations for each branch, both sets are compiled.

Some examples: OECD high-income countries require extensive disclosure on the business interests of public officials. All but one require annual public disclosure on such things as sources of income other than the official's salary, all equity stakes held and all positions held on company boards of directors—as well as disclosure of interests when deciding on laws or contracts that may affect their income (for example, a contract that may be awarded to a business in which a public official has a stake). In South Asia only a third of countries require such disclosure. Even where public officials are required to make such disclosures, there are differences. In Austria, New Zealand, Switzerland and the United Kingdom legislators are required to disclose the names of all companies in which they have a business interest. In other countries legislators must report only the value of equity holdings and other investments, without naming the companies in which assets are held.

Many countries prohibit legislators from serving on company boards or as company officers. These include the Czech Republic, Georgia, Israel, Russia and Vietnam. Others, such as Lithuania and Slovenia, go even further, prohibiting any employment outside parliament.

Across the current research sample, 79% of countries require disclosure of interests. Among these, more than half mandate that these disclosures be available for public scrutiny. Half a dozen countries, mostly in Latin America and the Caribbean, allow disclosure to the public only when certain conditions are met.

Physical access to disclosures also varies. In the United Kingdom disclosures are available on the House of Commons website. In Pakistan they are published in the official gazette each year. In Moldova the registrar will e-mail you disclosures upon request. In more than a dozen countries you would have to pay the registrar a visit to access disclosures. In some, you would need to submit a request to the chairing committee of the parliament, which decides whether to grant access to disclosures.

In every country where public disclosure is mandated by law, the research team has requested the files of the first 5 members of parliament in alphabetical order. The process of obtaining these disclosures is documented in a time-and-motion study like those done for existing *Doing Business* indicators. The disclosure forms received are then studied to assess the extensiveness and completeness of the information filed.

What remains to be done? The analysis of collected data has started, with a first research paper expected in November 2007. The findings will then be subjected to peer review.

A second area of work is to compare these newly constructed indicators with existing ones. Three previous initiatives have informed the discussion on transparency. The first, conducted by Transparency International, is based on a number of perception surveys. The second, by the World Bank Institute, has similar sources of data but uses a more rigorous methodology for aggregation. The third is the most recent, conducted by Global Integrity.³ Like the ongoing *Doing Business* research in this area, it also covers public disclosure. Unlike the *Doing*

Opportunities for women

The *Doing Business* project commits to a 2-year research program on reforms that improve the job and business opportunities for women. The analysis will be developed in 3 directions.

First, it will identify laws and regulations that discriminate against women. For example, until 2006 Lesotho's law hindered women from becoming landowners and engaging in legal acts such as entering into a contract. Similarly, 2 dozen countries have labor laws that prevent women from having the same freedom to work as men. One example is Kuwait, which in June 2007 passed a law prohibiting women from working between 8 p.m. and 7 a.m., even if they want to. A few countries consider women legal minors and do not allow them standing in court. Instead, a woman must be represented by her husband, father or brother. And several countries do not allow married women to start a business on their own, requiring their husband's consent.

Several other areas of regulation, now beyond the scope of *Doing Business*, also reduce opportunities for women to find a job or start a business. One is inheritance law. In some regions, such as East Africa, women have fewer inheritance rights than men. That makes it harder to show collateral to the bank when starting a business. More important, it sometimes makes it impossible for women to provide for their children if their husband leaves or dies. Other regulatory requirements—such as the need to obtain a husband's consent before getting a passport, or to locate a business in licensed commercial premises—may also indirectly affect women's ability to participate fully in business. The research will study the legal restrictions that women face in such areas.

Second, analyses will identify the traditional reforms

Business research, its main source of information is local experts on disclosure requirements, not an analysis of the text of the law.

—as captured in existing *Doing Business* indicators that have the biggest benefits for women. Reforms that reduce informality disproportionately benefit women, because they make up a large share of the informal economy. This year's report documents the link between the ease of doing business and the shares of women among workers and among entrepreneurs (see figure 1.7, page 7). In the next 2 years research will focus on reforms that do the most to increase these shares. This work will use the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, which have data on women entrepreneurs and the share of women in total employment since 2005.

Third, the *Doing Business* team will prepare case studies on women entrepreneurs and describe the reasons for their success as well as the main obstacles they face in expanding their business. These case studies may identify additional reforms that the study of laws and regulations might have missed. In particular, even after a government reforms, the prevailing practice takes some time to change.

In areas such as these, the case studies can lead to communication campaigns to promote the benefits of reform. In Uganda, for example, women's groups formed a coalition to lobby for reforms in business law that would especially benefit women. The result: more awareness of the need for reform and new bills drafted by the Ministry of Finance, now awaiting approval by the attorney general. These include the Companies Bill, the Chattels Transfer Act and personal property and securities laws.

Findings in these 3 areas of research will be reported in a stand-alone publication on opportunities for women. Just as for existing *Doing Business* indicators, all research will be subjected to peer review at academic journals.

Infrastructure

A variety of indicators on infrastructure services are available. But these typically measure outcomes: kilometers of paved roads, number of telephone lines, percentage of households connected to running water. Indicators like these have 2 limits. First, since building infrastructure is expensive, the cross-country comparisons show an obvious pattern: rich countries have good infrastructure, and poor countries don't. Second, if a reformist government wants to make its mark by improving infrastructure services, it can do little to change these indicators in a short time. Heavy investment is needed.

What a reformer can do is adopt regulation that facilitates expansion in infrastructure services. A reformer can also simplify the process of hooking up to these services for new businesses. These are the 2 aspects of infrastructure services on which the *Doing Business* team is constructing new indicators.

The first set of indicators builds on a specific case: an unexpected rise in electricity demand in the country has created opportunities for expansion. The case study documents the process that a private or public utility goes through to procure the components needed to extend the electricity distribution grid.

Notes

- The World Bank Enterprise Surveys collect information on the main constraints that businesses perceive as well as some hard data on the time and cost of complying with particular regulations. The surveys in Latin America are done in cooperation with the Inter-American Development Bank. Those in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union are done in cooperation with the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. Each year more than 30 surveys are conducted. Data for more than 100 countries are available at http://www .enterprisesurveys.org.
- 2. The research is done jointly with scholars at Dartmouth College, Harvard University and the University of Nice.
- For information, go to http://www.globalintegrity.org/ and look for Global Integrity Index 2006.

The second set looks at the process of obtaining a power connection, a water connection and a telephone line for a newly constructed building. The number of procedures for getting these services, and the associated time and cost, are recorded. The study does not compare prices of these services after the building is connected. That would involve detailed knowledge of subsidy policies, which is beyond the scope of *Doing Business*.

References

- Advisory Board on Administrative Burden. 2007. Annual Report 2006. The Hague.
- Alesina, Alberto, and Andrea Ichino. 2007. "Gender-Based Taxation." Harvard University, Department of Economics, Cambridge, Mass.
- Amin, Mohammad. 2007. "Labor Regulations and Technology in India's Retail Stores." Policy Research Working Paper 4274. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Antunes, Antonio, and Tiago Cavalcanti. 2007. "Start-Up Costs, Limited Enforcement and the Hidden Economy." *European Economic Review* 51 (1): 203–24.
- Armour, John, and Douglas Cumming. 2006. "The Legislative Road to Silicon Valley." *Oxford Economic Papers* 58: 596–635.
- Atanasov, Vladimir, Conrad Ciccotello and Stanley Gyoshev. 2006. "How Does Law Affect Finance? An Empirical Examination of Tunneling in an Emerging Market." Babson College, Finance Division, Babson Park, Mass.
- Azmat, Ghazala, Maia Guell and Alan Manning. 2006. "Gender Gaps in Unemployment Rates in OECD Countries." *Journal of Labor Economics* 24 (1): 1–38.
- Bagaudinova, Svetlana, Dana Omran and Umar Shavurov. 2007. "Licensing 159 Activities—Not 909." In World Bank, *Celebrating Reform*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.
- Beegle, Kathleen, and John Strauss. 1996. "Intrahousehold Allocations: A Review of Theories, Empirical Evidence and Policy Issues." Michigan State University, Department of Agricultural Economics, East Lansing.
- Botero, Juan C., Simeon Djankov, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2004. "The Regulation of Labor." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (4): 1339–82.

- Bruhn, Miriam. 2007. "License to Sell: The Effect of Business Registration Reform on Entrepreneurial Activity in Mexico." Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Economics, Cambridge, Mass.
- Bureau, Jean-Cristophe, Raja Chakir and Jacques Gallezot.
 2006. "The Utilization of EU and U.S. Trade Preferences for Developing Countries in the Agri-Food Sector."
 IIIS Discussion Paper 193. Trinity College, Institute for International Integration Studies, Dublin.
- Bustelo, Frederic. 2007. "Reforming the Credit Bureau Law." In World Bank, *Celebrating Reform*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.
- Casas-Arce, Pablo, and Albert Saiz. 2006. "Do Courts Matter? Rental Markets and the Law." Working Paper Series. University of Pennsylvania, Wharton School, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Philadelphia.
- Cigna, Jean Piero, and Luca Enriques. 2005. Assessing the Effectiveness of Corporate Governance Legislation: Disclosure and Redress in Related-Party Transactions. EBRD Legal Indicator Survey 2005. London: European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. http://www .ebrd.com/country/sector/law/articles/assess.pdf.
- Cunat, Alejandro, and Marc Melitz. 2007. Volatility, Labor Market Flexibility, and the Pattern of Comparative Advantage. NBER Working Paper 13062. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Dennis, Allen. 2007. "Speeding Trade." In World Bank, *Celebrating Reform.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.
- Dennis, Allen, and Ben Shepherd. 2007. "Trade Costs, Barriers to Entry, and Export Diversification in Developing Countries." World Bank, Washington, D.C.

de Soto, Hernando. 2000. *The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails Everywhere Else*. New York: Basic Books.

Devas, Nick, and Roy Kelly. 2001. "Regulation or Revenues? An Analysis of Local Business Licences, with a Case Study of the Single Business Permit Reform in Kenya." *Public Administration and Development* 21 (5): 381–91.

Djankov, Simeon, Caroline Freund and Cong Pham. 2007. "Trading on Time." Policy Research Working Paper 3909. World Bank, Washington, D.C.

Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho. 2006. "Regulation and Growth." *Economics Letters* 92 (3): 395–401.

Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2007. "Private Credit in 129 Countries." *Journal of Financial Economics* 84 (2): 299–329.

Djankov, Simeon, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2006. Debt Enforcement around the World. NBER Working Paper 12807. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. "The Regulation of Entry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (1): 1–37.

. 2003. "Courts." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118 (2): 453–517.

——. Forthcoming. "The Law and Economics of Self-Dealing." *Journal of Financial Economics*.

Djankov, Simeon, Darshini Manraj, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho. 2005. "Doing Business Indicators: Why Aggregate, and How to Do It." World Bank, Washington, D.C. http://www.doingbusiness.org/documents/how_to _aggregate.pdf.

Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer. 2007. "Taxation, Investment and Entrepreneurship." Harvard University, Department of Economics, Cambridge, Mass.

ERT (European Round Table of Industrialists). 2005. ERT Survey on Trade Facilitation. Brussels. http://www.ert.be.

ESCAP (United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific). 2003. *Transit Transport Issues in Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries*. Landlocked Developing Countries Series, no. 1. New York: United Nations.

Feder, Gershon. 2002. "The Intricacies of Land Markets: Why the World Bank Succeeds in Economic Reform through Land Registration and Tenure Security." Paper presented at the Conference of the International Federation of Surveyors, Washington, D.C., April 19–26.

Field, Erica. 2006. "Property Rights and Investment in Urban Slums." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 3 (2–3): 279–90.

Fleisig, Heywood, Mehnaz Safavian and Nuria de la Peña. 2006. *Reforming Collateral Laws to Expand Access to Finance*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank. Freund, Caroline, and Bineswaree Bolaky. 2007. "When Does Trade Promote Growth?" Working paper. International Monetary Fund, Trade Department, Washington, D.C.

Goldin, Claudia, and Cecilia Rouse. 2000. "Orchestrating Impartiality: The Impact of 'Blind' Auditions on Female Musicians." *American Economic Review* 90 (4): 715–41.

Hummels, David. 2007. "Calculating Tariff Equivalents for Time in Trade." Purdue University, Department of Economics, West Lafayette, Ind.

ILO (International Labour Organization). 1998. *ILO* Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. Geneva.

Jacobs, Scott, and César Cordova. 2005. "Good Practices for Regulatory Inspections: Guidelines for Reformers." Report prepared for World Bank Group, Small and Medium Enterprise Department, Washington, D.C.

Johns, Melissa, and Jean Michel Lobet. 2007. "Protecting Investors from Self-Dealing." In World Bank, *Celebrating Reform.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.

Katz, Elizabeth, and Juan Sebastian Chamorro. 2003. "Gender, Land Rights, and the Household Economy in Rural Nicaragua and Honduras." Paper presented at the annual conference of the Latin American and Caribbean Economics Association, Puebla, Mexico, October 9–11.

Ladegaard, Peter, Simeon Djankov and Caralee McLiesh. 2007. "Review of the Dutch Administrative Burden Reduction Programme." World Bank, Investment Climate Department, Washington, D.C.

López-Córdova, Ernesto. 2007. "Labor Regulation and the Allocative Efficiency of International Trade." World Bank, Enterprise Analysis Unit, Washington, D.C.

Masatlioglu, Yusufcan, and Jamele Rigolini. 2006. "Informality Traps." University of Michigan, Department of Economics, Ann Arbor.

Monteiro, Joana C. M., and Juliano J. Assunção. 2005. "Matching e determinação de contratos nas micro empresas no Brasil." Discussion Paper 499. Pontifícia Universidáde Católica, Department of Economics, Rio de Janeiro.

——. 2006. "Outgoing the Shadows: Estimating the Impact of Bureaucracy Simplification and Tax Cut on Formality and Investment." Pontifícia Universidáde Católica, Department of Economics, Rio de Janeiro.

Montenegro, Claudio E., and Carmen Pagés. 2003. Who Benefits from Labor Market Regulations? Chile 1960–1998. NBER Working Paper 9850. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research. http://www.nber.org/ papers/w9850.pdf.

Moss, Todd. 2006. "Why Doesn't Africa Get More Equity Investment?" Center for Global Development, Washington, D.C. Muller-Jentsch, Daniel. 2005. *Deeper Integration and Trade in Services in the Euro-Mediterranean Region: Southern Dimensions of the European Neighborhood Policy.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2007. *Tax Administration in OECD and Selected Non-OECD Countries: Comparative Information Series* (2006). Paris.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers. 2005. "The Economic Impact of Accelerating Permit Processes on Local Development and Government Revenues." Report prepared for American Institute of Architects, Washington, D.C.
- Saavedra, Jaime. 1998. "Crisis Real o Crisis de Expectativas: El Mercado Laboral Peruano Antes y Despues de la Reformas." Working Paper 388. Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Saavedra, Jaime, and Máximo Torero. 2000. "Labor Market Reforms and Their Impact over Formal Labor Demand and Job Market Turnover: The Case of Peru." Research Network Working Paper R-394. Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Safavian, Mehnaz, and Siddharth Sharma. Forthcoming. "When Do Creditor Rights Work?" *Journal of Comparative Economics.*
- Safavian, Mehnaz, and Joshua Wimpey. 2007. "When Do Enterprises Prefer Informal Credit?" World Bank, Enterprise Analysis Unit, Washington, D.C.
- Schneider, Friedrich. 2005. "Shadow Economies of 145 Countries All Over the World: What Do We Really Know?" CREMA Working Paper 2005-13. Center of Research in Economics, Management and the Arts, Zurich.
- Slemrod, Joel. 2004. "Are Corporate Tax Rates, or Countries, Converging?" *Journal of Public Economics* 88 (6): 1169–86.

- Sorge, Marco, and Chendi Zhang. 2007. "Credit Information Quality and Corporate Debt Maturity: Theory and Evidence." University of Sheffield, Department of Economics, Sheffield, England.
- USAID (U.S. Agency for International Development). 2006. "Time Measurement Related to Customs Clearance and Transit Procedures." Tbilisi.
- WEF (World Economic Forum). 2006. *Global Competitiveness Report 2006–2007*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- World Bank. 2004. *Doing Business in 2005: Removing Obstacles to Growth.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- ------. 2005a. Doing Business in 2006: Creating Jobs. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- ——. 2005b. "Kenya: Growth and Competitiveness." Report 31387-KE. Africa Region, Private Sector Unit, Washington, D.C.
- ——. 2005c. World Development Indicators 2005. Washington, D.C.
- ——. 2006a. Doing Business in Mexico 2007: Comparing Regulation in the 31 States and Mexico City. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- ——. 2006b. *Doing Business 2007: How to Reform.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- ——. 2007a. *Celebrating Reform.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.
- ——. 2007b. *World Development Indicators 2007.* Washington, D.C.
- Yap, Justin. 2007. "Faster, More Orderly Exit." In World Bank, *Celebrating Reform*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group and U.S. Agency for International Development.

Data notes

The indicators presented and analyzed in *Doing Business* measure business regulation and the protection of property rights—and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the degree of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or register commercial property. Second, they gauge regulatory outcomes, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of investors against

Methodology

The Doing Business data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the Doing Business team, with academic advisers, designs a survey. The survey uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across countries and over time-with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations. Surveys are administered through more than 5,000 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 13.1). These experts have several (typically 4) rounds of interaction with the Doing Business team, through conference calls, written correspondence and country visits. For Doing Business 2008 team members visited 71 countries to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from surveys are subjected to numerous tests for robustness, which lead to revisions or expansions of the information collected.


The *Doing Business* methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, they measure the flexibility of employment regulation. Finally, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. For details on how the rankings on these indicators are constructed, see Ease of doing business, page 82.

The data for all sets of indicators in *Doing Business 2008* are for June 2007. Three new countries—Brunei, Liberia and Luxembourg—were added to the sample, now comprising 178 economies.

not an issue, as the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive and easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across countries. And the data not only highlight the extent of obstacles to doing business; they also identify their source and point to the needed reform.

| Indicator set | Number of contributors |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Starting a business | 970 |
| Dealing with licenses | 495 |
| Employing workers | 661 |
| Registering property | 753 |
| Getting credit | 695 |
| Protecting investors | 484 |
| Paying taxes | 701 |
| Trading across borders | 912 |
| Enforcing contracts | 676 |
| Closing a business | 659 |





No

Source: World Bank (2006a).

Limits to what is measured

The Doing Business methodology has 5 limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, the collected data refer to businesses in the country's most populous city and may not be representative of regulation in other parts of the country. To address this limitation, subnational Doing Business indicators were created for 5 economies in 2006/07: Bangladesh, Brazil, India, Mexico and Pakistan. Eight other subnational studies are under way-for China, Colombia, Egypt, Morocco, Nigeria, the Philippines, Russia and Ukraine. Some of the existing studies are updated annually, such as those in Mexico and the South Asian economies. These subnational studies point to significant differences in the speed of reform and the ease of doing business across cities in the same country (figure 13.1).

Second, the data often focus on a specific business form-a limited liability company of a specified size-and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses, for example, sole proprietorships. Third, transactions described in a standardized case study refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in Doing Business represent the median value of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in Doing Business 2008 would differ from the perceptions of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other perception surveys.

Changes in what is measured

The methodology for 3 of the Doing Business topicsdealing with licenses, employing workers and enforcing contracts-improved this year. For dealing with licenses, 3 changes were made. First, the case study now applies

to builders that are fully licensed and insured at the start of the project. As a result, procedures that involve insuring or licensing the construction business are no longer counted. Second, inspections are now assumed to take 1 day to complete even where there is a delay between the request for an inspection and its occurrence. This change was made to eliminate discretion in interpreting the time that respondents report for inspections. Third, preconstruction inspections were added to the list of procedures; these affect mainly countries in the former Soviet Union. The first 2 changes reduce the number of procedures and delays associated with the case study; the third increases them.

For employing workers, improvements were made to align the Doing Business methodology with International Labour Organization (ILO) conventions. It is now possible for an economy to receive the highest score on the ease of employing workers-indicating the most flexible labor regulationsand comply with all 187 ILO conventions. Two main changes were made. First, the calculation of firing costs was modified so that 8 or fewer weeks of salary now receives a score of 0 for purposes of calculating the rankings on the ease of employing workers. Second, restrictions on night work such as higher overtime premiums or limitations on scheduling work hours are no longer coded as rigidities. Both changes result in more flexibility in employment regulations as coded in Doing Business.

For enforcing contracts, the list of procedures was revised to accommodate procedural differences between civil and common law. For example, in civil law countries the judge appoints an independent expert, while in common law countries parties send the court a list of their expert witnesses. Two assumptions were added, on attaching the defendant's goods prior to judgment and on providing expert opinions. To indicate the overall efficiency of court procedures, 1 procedure is now subtracted for countries that have specialized commercial courts and 1 procedure for countries that allow electronic filing of court cases. Finally, the cost indicator now includes all fees for enforcing judgments.

Data corrections

The laws and regulations underlying the Doing Business data are available on the Doing Business website at http://www .doingbusiness.org. All the sample surveys and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through the site's "Ask a Question" function.

Doing Business publishes 8,900 indicators each year. To create these indicators, the team measures more than 52,000 data points, each of which is made available on the Doing Business website. Since the publication of Doing Business 2007, 20 economies have challenged last year's data. In several cases the government reviewed every data point measured. These reviews resulted in corrections to 47 data points. These changes are reflected in the ease of doing business index. They are also reflected in the database on the website.

Economy characteristics

Gross national income (GNI) per capita

Doing Business 2008 reports 2006 income per capita as published in the World Bank's World Development Indicators 2007. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (current US\$). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2006 GNI in local currency units is used as the denominator. GNI data were not available from the World Bank for the Republic of Congo, Iraq, Oman, Puerto Rico, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, West Bank and Gaza or Zimbabwe. In these cases GDP or GNP per capita data and growth rates from the Economist Intelligence Unit 2006 country profiles and the U.S. State Department 2007 country profiles were used.

Starting a business

Doing Business records all procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to start up and formally operate an industrial or commercial business. These include obtaining all necessary licenses and permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions for the company and employees with relevant authorities.

After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures is developed, along with the time and cost of complying with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital requirements. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers and government officials complete and verify the data.

Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that all agencies involved in the start-up process function without corruption. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the country, the limited liability form most popular among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most popular form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita at the end of 2006, paid in cash.

Region and income group

Doing Business uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at http://www.worldbank .org/data/countryclass. Throughout the report the term rich economies refers to the high-income group, middleincome economies to the upper-middle-income group and poor economies to the lower-middle-income and lowincome groups.

Population

Doing Business 2008 reports midyear 2006 population statistics as published in *World Development Indicators 2007*.

- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the production or sale of products or services to the public. The business does not perform foreign trade activities and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. It is not using heavily polluting production processes.
- Leases the commercial plant and offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has at least 10 and up to 50 employees 1 month after the commencement of operations, all of them nationals.
- Has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed 10 pages long.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founder with external parties (for example, government agencies, lawyers, auditors or notaries). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed in the same building but in different offices are counted as separate procedures. If founders have to visit the same office several times for different sequential procedures, each is counted separately. The founders are assumed to complete all procedures themselves, without middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law. If the services of professionals are required, procedures conducted by such professionals on behalf of the company are counted separately.

Both pre- and postincorporation procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to formally operate a business are recorded (table 13.2).

Procedures required for official correspondence or transactions with public agencies are also included. For example, if a company seal or stamp is required on official documents, such as tax declarations, obtaining the seal or stamp is counted. Similarly, if a company must open a bank account

TABLE 13.2

What does starting a business measure?

Procedures to legally start and operate a company

- Preregistration (name verification, notarization)
- Registration in the economy's most populous city
- Postregistration (social security registration, company seal)

Time required to complete each procedure

- Does not include time spent gathering information
- Each procedure starts on a separate day
- Procedure completed once final document is received
 No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure

- Official costs only, no bribes
- No professional fees unless services required by law

Paid-in minimum capital

· Deposited in a bank or with a notary before registration begins

Source: Doing Business database.

before registering for sales tax or value added tax, this transaction is included as a procedure. Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill 4 criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, they are used by the majority of companies, and avoiding them causes substantial delays.

Only procedures required of all businesses are covered. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses conducting general commercial or industrial activities. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers indicate is necessary to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no extra payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). A procedure is considered completed once the company has received the final document, such as the company registration certificate or tax number. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed

Dealing with licenses

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a standardized warehouse. These procedures include submitting all relevant projectspecific documents (for example, building plans and site maps) to the authorities; obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates; completing all required notifications; and receiving all necessary inspections. *Doing Business* also records procedures for obtaining all utility connections. Procedures necessary to register the property so that it can be used that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry regulations and their sequence from the beginning but has had no prior contact with any of the officials.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the country's income per capita. It includes all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. The company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating costs. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official source. In the absence of a government officer's estimate, estimates of incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Paid-in minimum capital

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank or with a notary before registration and up to 3 months following incorporation and is recorded as a percentage of the country's income per capita. The amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. Many countries have a minimum capital requirement but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Germany in June 2007 the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies was €25,000, of which at least €12,500 was payable before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Germany is therefore €12,500, or 42.8% of income per capita. In Serbia the minimum capital requirement was €500, of which only half needed to be paid before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Serbia is therefore €250, or 8% of income per capita.

This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.

as collateral or transferred are also counted. The survey divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures and calculates the time and cost of completing each procedure in practice under normal circumstances.

Information is collected from experts in construction licensing, including architects, construction lawyers, construction firms, utility service providers and public officials who deal with building regulations, including approvals and inspections. To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business, the warehouse project and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the construction company

The business (BuildCo):

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Is fully licensed and insured to carry out construction projects, such as building warehouses.
- Has 20 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to obtain construction permits and approvals.
- Has at least 1 employee who is a licensed architect and registered with the local association of architects.
- Has paid all taxes and taken out all necessary insurance applicable to its general business activity (for example, accidental insurance for construction workers and thirdperson liability insurance).
- Owns the land on which the warehouse is built.

Assumptions about the warehouse project

The warehouse:

- Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface of approximately 14,000 square feet (1,300.6 square meters). Each floor is 9 feet, 10 inches (3 meters) high.
- Has road access and is located in the periurban area of the country's most populous city (that is, is on the fringes of the city but still within its official limits). It is not located in a special economic or industrial zone.
- Is located on a land plot of 10,000 square feet (929 square meters) that is 100% owned by BuildCo and is registered in the cadastre and land registry.
- Is a new construction (there was no previous construction on the land).
- Has complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect.
- Will be connected to the following utilities—electricity, water, sewerage (sewage system, septic tank or their equivalent) and one land phone line. The connection to each utility network will be 32 feet, 10 inches (10 meters) long.
- Will require a 10-ampere power connection and 140 kilowatts of electricity.
- Will require up to 100 cubic meters of water daily.
- Will be used for general storage activities, such as storage of books or stationery. The warehouse will not be used for any goods requiring special conditions, such as food, chemicals or pharmaceuticals.
- Will include all technical equipment required to make the warehouse fully operational.
- Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements).

Procedures

A procedure is any interaction of the company's employees or managers with external parties, including government agencies, notaries, the land registry, the cadastre, utility companies, public and private inspectors and technical experts apart from in-house architects and engineers. Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and inspections conducted by employees, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, sewerage and phone services are included. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for building a warehouse are counted, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that local experts indicate is necessary to complete a procedure in practice. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that BuildCo is aware of all building requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the country's income per capita. Only official costs are recorded. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are recorded, including those associated with obtaining land use approvals and preconstruction design clearances; receiving inspections before, during and after construction; getting utility connections; and registering the warehouse property. Nonrecurring taxes required for the completion of the warehouse project also are recorded. The building code, information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used.

Employing workers

Doing Business measures the regulation of employment, specifically as it affects the hiring and firing of workers and the rigidity of working hours. The data on employing workers are based on a detailed survey of employment regulations that is completed by local lawyers and public officials. Employment laws and regulations as well as secondary sources are reviewed to ensure accuracy.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

Assumptions about the worker

The worker:

- Is a 42-year-old, nonexecutive, full-time, male employee.
- Has worked at the same company for 20 years.
- Earns a salary plus benefits equal to the country's average wage during the entire period of his employment.
- Is a lawful citizen who belongs to the same race and religion as the majority of the country's population.
- Resides in the country's most populous city.
- Is not a member of a labor union, unless membership is mandatory.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned.
- Operates in the manufacturing sector.
- Has 201 employees.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements in countries where such agreements cover more than half the manufacturing sector and apply even to firms not party to them.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than mandated by law, regulation or (if applicable) collective bargaining agreement.

Rigidity of employment index

The rigidity of employment index is the average of 3 subindices: a difficulty of hiring index, a rigidity of hours index and a difficulty of firing index. All the subindices have several components. And all take values between 0 and 100, with higher values indicating more rigid regulation.

The difficulty of hiring index measures (i) whether fixedterm contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks; (ii) the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts; and (iii) the ratio of the minimum wage for a trainee or first-time employee to the average value added per worker. A country is assigned a score of 1 if fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks and a score of 0 if they can be used for any task. A score of 1 is assigned if the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts is less than 3 years; 0.5 if it is 3 years or more but less than 5 years; and 0 if fixed-term contracts can last 5 years or more. Finally, a score of 1 is assigned if the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value added per worker is 0.75 or more; 0.67 for a ratio of 0.50 or more but less than 0.75; 0.33 for a ratio of 0.25 or more but less than 0.50; and 0 for a ratio of less than 0.25. In the Central African Republic, for example, fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks (a score of 1), and they can be used for a maximum of 4 years (a score of 0.5). The ratio of the mandated minimum wage to the value added per worker is 0.64 (a score of 0.67). Averaging the 3 values and scaling the index to 100 gives the Central African Republic a score of 72.

The rigidity of hours index has 5 components: (i) whether night work is unrestricted; (ii) whether weekend work is unrestricted; (iii) whether the workweek can consist of 5.5 days; (iv) whether the workweek can extend to 50 hours or more (including overtime) for 2 months a year to respond to a seasonal increase in production; and (v) whether paid annual vacation is 21 working days or fewer. For each of these questions, if the answer is no, the country is assigned a score of 1; otherwise a score of 0 is assigned. For example, Serbia imposes restrictions on night work (a score of 1) and weekend work (a score of 1), allows 6-day workweeks (a score of 0), permits 50-hour workweeks for 2 months (a score of 0) and requires paid vacation of 20 working days (a score of 0). Averaging the scores and scaling the result to 100 gives a final index of 40 for Serbia.

The difficulty of firing index has 8 components: (i) whether redundancy is disallowed as a basis for terminating workers; (ii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party (such as a government agency) to terminate 1 redundant worker; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party to terminate a group of 25 redundant workers; (iv) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate 1 redundant worker; (v) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate a group of 25 redundant workers; (vi) whether the law requires the employer to consider reassignment or retraining options before redundancy termination; (vii) whether priority rules apply for redundancies; and (viii) whether priority rules apply for reemployment. For the first question an answer of yes for workers of any income level gives a score of 10 and means that the rest of the questions do not apply. An answer of yes to question (iv) gives a score of 2. For every other question, if the answer is yes, a score of 1 is assigned; otherwise a score of 0 is given. Questions (i) and (iv), as the most restrictive regulations, have greater weight in the construction of the index.

In Tunisia, for example, redundancy is allowed as grounds for termination (a score of 0). An employer has to both notify a third party (a score of 1) and obtain its approval (a score of 2) to terminate a single redundant worker, and has to both notify a third party (a score of 1) and obtain its approval (a score of 1) to terminate a group of 25 redundant workers. The law mandates consideration of retraining or alternative placement before termination (a score of 1). There are priority rules for termination (a score of 1) and reemployment (a score of 1). Adding the scores and scaling to 100 gives a final index of 80.

Nonwage labor cost

The nonwage labor cost indicator measures all social security payments (including retirement fund; sickness, maternity and health insurance; workplace injury; family allowance; and other obligatory contributions) and payroll taxes associated with hiring an employee in fiscal 2006. The cost is expressed as a percentage of the worker's salary. In Honduras, for example, the taxes paid by the employer amount to 9.5% of the worker's wages and include 7% for social security, 1% for professional training and 1.5% for the pension contribution.

Firing cost

The firing cost indicator measures the cost of advance notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weekly wages. If the firing cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary, a score of 0 is assigned for the purposes of calculating the aggregate ease of doing business ranking. If the cost adds up to more than 8 weeks of salary, the score is the number of weeks. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks. In Mozambique, for example, an employer is required to give 90 days' notice before a redundancy termination, and the severance pay for a worker with 20 years of service equals 30 months of wages. No penalty is levied. Altogether, the employer pays the equivalent of 143 weeks of salary to dismiss the worker.

This methodology was developed in Botero and others (2004) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Registering property

Doing Business records the full sequence of procedures necessary when a business purchases land and a building to transfer the property title from another business so that the buyer can use the property for expanding its business, as collateral in taking new loans or, if necessary, to sell to another business. Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or must be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers, notaries and property registries provide information on procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the parties

The parties (buyer and seller):

- Are limited liability companies.
- Are located in the periurban area of the country's most populous city.
- Are 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

Assumptions about the property

The property:

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita. The sale price equals the value.
- Is fully owned by the seller.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.

- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 6,000 square feet (557.4 square meters). A 2-story warehouse of 10,000 square feet (929 square meters) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements. The property of land and building will be transferred in its entirety.
- Will not be subject to renovations or additional building following the purchase.
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.
- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants (legal or illegal), and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the buyer or the seller, their agents (if an agent is legally or in practice required) or the property with external parties, including government agencies, inspectors, notaries and lawyers. Interactions between company officers and employees are not considered. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for registering property are recorded, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases. It is assumed that the buyer follows the fastest legal option available and used by the majority of property owners. Although the buyer may use lawyers or other professionals where necessary in the registration process, it is assumed that it does not employ an outside facilitator in the registration process unless legally or in practice required to do so (table 13.3).

TABLE 13.3

What does registering property measure?

Procedures to legally transfer title on real property

- · Preregistration (checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement)
- Registration in the economy's most populous city
- Postregistration (paying taxes, filing title with municipality)

Time required to complete each procedure

- Does not include time spent gathering information
- Each procedure starts on a separate day
- Procedure completed once final document is received
 No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure • Official costs only, no bribes

No value added or capital gains taxes included

Source: Doing Business database.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that property lawyers, notaries or registry officials indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously,

Getting credit

Doing Business constructs measures of the legal rights of borrowers and lenders and the sharing of credit information. The first set of indicators describes how well collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending. The second set measures the coverage, scope, quality and accessibility of credit information available through public and private credit registries.

The data on the legal rights of borrowers and lenders are gathered through a survey of financial lawyers and verified through analysis of laws and regulations as well as public sources of information on collateral and bankruptcy laws. The data on credit information sharing are built in 2 stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of public credit registries and private credit information bureaus. Second, when applicable, a detailed survey on the public or private credit registry's structure, law and associated rules is administered to the credit registry. Survey responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The survey data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all countries.

Strength of legal rights index

The strength of legal rights index measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders and thus facilitate lending. The index includes 7 aspects related to legal rights in collateral law and 3 aspects in bankruptcy law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

• General rather than specific description of assets is permitted in collateral agreements.

they cannot start on the same day. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all regulations and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. Both costs borne by the buyer and those borne by the seller are included. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

- General rather than specific description of debt is permitted in collateral agreements.
- Any legal or natural person may grant or take security in the property.
- A unified registry operates that includes charges over movable property.
- Secured creditors have priority outside of bankruptcy.
- Secured creditors, rather than other parties such as government or workers, are paid first out of the proceeds from liquidating a bankrupt firm.
- Secured creditors are able to seize their collateral when a debtor enters reorganization; there is no "automatic stay" or "asset freeze" imposed by the court.
- Management does not stay during reorganization. An administrator is responsible for managing the business during reorganization.
- Parties may agree on out-of-court enforcement by contract.
- By law, and without the need for a contract, creditors may both seize and sell collateral out of court without restriction.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

Depth of credit information index

The depth of credit information index measures rules affecting the scope, accessibility and quality of credit information available through either public or private credit registries. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following 6 features of the public registry or the private credit bureau (or both):

• Both positive credit information (for example, loan amounts and pattern of on-time repayments) and negative

information (for example, late payments, number and amount of defaults and bankruptcies) are distributed.

- Data on both firms and individuals are distributed.
- Data from retailers, trade creditors or utility companies as well as financial institutions are distributed.
- More than 2 years of historical data are distributed. Registries that erase data on defaults as soon as they are repaid obtain a score of 0 for this indicator.
- Data on loans below 1% of income per capita are distributed. A registry must have a minimum coverage of 1% of the adult population to score a 1 for this indicator.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data in the largest registry in the country.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating the availability of more credit information, from either a public registry or a private bureau, to facilitate lending decisions. If the registry is not operational or has coverage of less than 0.1% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit index is 0.

In Turkey, for example, both a public and a private registry operate. Both distribute positive and negative information (a score of 1). The private bureau distributes data only on individuals, but the public registry covers firms as well as individuals (a score of 1). The public and private registries share data among financial institutions only; no data are collected from retailers or utilities (a score of 0). The private bureau distributes more than 2 years of historical data (a score of 1). The public registry collects data only on loans of \$3,493 (64% of income per capita) or more, but the private bureau collects information on loans of any value (a score of 1). Borrowers have the right to access their data in both the private and the public registry (a score of 1). Summing across the indicators gives Turkey a total score of 5.

Public credit registry coverage

The public credit registry coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a public credit registry with current information on repayment history, unpaid debts or credit outstanding. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population. A public credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (persons or businesses) in the financial system and makes it available to financial institutions. If no public registry operates, the coverage value is 0.

Private credit bureau coverage

The private credit bureau coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed by a private credit bureau with current information on repayment history, unpaid debts or credit outstanding. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population. A private credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database on the creditworthiness of borrowers (persons or businesses) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and financial institutions. Credit investigative bureaus and credit reporting firms that do not directly facilitate information exchange among banks and other financial institutions are not considered. If no private bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.

This methodology was developed in Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2007) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Protecting investors

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor protection: transparency of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and shareholders' ability to sue officers and directors for misconduct (ease of shareholder suits index). The data come from a survey of corporate lawyers and are based on securities regulations, company laws and court rules of evidence.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business (Buyer):

• Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the country's most important stock exchange. If the number of publicly traded companies listed on that exchange is less than 10, or if there is no stock exchange in the country, it

is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.

- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- Is a food manufacturer.
- · Has its own distribution network.

Assumptions about the transaction

- Mr. James is Buyer's controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer's board of directors. He owns 60% of Buyer and elected 2 directors to Buyer's 5-member board.
- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently closed a large number of its stores.
- Mr. James proposes to Buyer that it purchase Seller's unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer's distribution of its food products. Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer's assets and is higher than the market value.

- The proposed transaction is part of the company's ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made (that is, the transaction is not fraudulent).
- The transaction is unfair to Buyer. Shareholders sue Mr. James and the other parties that approved the transaction.

Extent of disclosure index

The extent of disclosure index has 5 components:

- What corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction. A score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors or shareholders must vote and Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote; 3 if shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote.
- Whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the regulator or the shareholders is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction but not Mr. James's conflict of interest is required; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure in the annual report is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction but not Mr. James's conflict of interest is required; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if a general disclosure of the existence of a conflict of interest is required without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts relating to Mr. James's interest in the Buyer-Seller transaction is required.
- Whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. In Poland, for example, the board of directors must approve the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James's ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index.

Extent of director liability index

The extent of director liability index has 7 components:

- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold Mr. James liable for damage the Buyer-Seller transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if Mr. James can be held liable only if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold the approving body (the CEO or board of directors) liable for damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if the approving body cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a court can void the transaction upon a successful claim by a shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud or bad faith; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest.
- Whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether fines and imprisonment can be applied against Mr. James. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholder plaintiffs are able to sue directly or derivatively for damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if suits are unavailable or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company's share capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. To hold Mr. James liable in Panama, for example, a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). The unfair transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1) but he is not required to disgorge his profits (a score of 0). Mr. James cannot be fined or imprisoned (a score of 0). Direct suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Panama a score of 4 on the extent of director liability index.

Ease of shareholder suits index

The ease of shareholder suits index has 6 components.

- What range of documents is available to the shareholder plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff's claim; any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim; and any information that may lead to the discovery of relevant information.
- Whether the plaintiff can directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval.
- Whether the plaintiff can obtain categories of relevant documents from the defendant without identifying each document specifically. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital can request that a government inspector investigate the Buyer-Seller transaction without filing suit in court. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital have the right to inspect the transaction documents before filing suit. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Greece, for example, the plaintiff can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense and that directly prove facts in the plaintiff's claim (a score of 2). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial, though only with prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents being sought (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2006) and cannot just request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). A shareholder holding 5% of Buyer's shares can request that a government inspector review suspected mismanagement by Mr. James and the CEO without filing suit in court (a score of 1). And any shareholder can inspect the transaction documents before deciding whether to sue (a score of 1). The standard of proof for civil suits is the same as that for a criminal case (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Greece a score of 5 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

Strength of investor protection index

The strength of investor protection index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating more investor protection.

This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (forthcoming) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Paying taxes

Doing Business records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay or withhold in a given year, as well as measures of the administrative burden in paying taxes and contributions. Taxes and contributions measured include the profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, property transfer taxes, the dividend tax, the capital gains tax, the financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes and vehicle and road taxes.

Doing Business measures all taxes and contributions that are government mandated (at any level—federal, state or local), apply to the standardized business and have an impact in its income statements. In doing so, *Doing Business* goes beyond the traditional definition of a tax: as defined for the purposes of government national accounts, taxes include only compulsory, unrequited payments to general government. *Doing Business* differs from this definition because it measures imposts that affect business accounts, not government accounts. The main differences are in labor contributions and value added tax. The *Doing Business* measure includes government-mandated contributions paid by the employer to a requited private pension fund or workers' insurance fund. The indicator includes, for example, Australia's compulsory superannuation guarantee and workers' compensation insurance. It excludes value added taxes because they do not affect the accounting profits of the business—that is, they are not reflected in the income statement.

To measure the taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business and the complexity of a country's tax system, a case study is prepared with a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the year. Experts in each country compute the taxes and contributions owed in their jurisdiction based on the standardized case facts. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing, audits and other costs of compliance. The project was developed and implemented in cooperation with PricewaterhouseCoopers.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability, taxable company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the country, the limited liability form most popular among domestic firms is chosen. The most popular form is reported by incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Started operations on January 1, 2005. At that time the company purchased all the assets shown in its balance sheet and hired all its workers.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, all of whom are natural persons.
- Has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita at the end of 2005.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
- Owns 2 plots of land, 1 building, machinery, office equipment, computers and 1 truck and leases 1 truck.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
- Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and 1 manager is also an owner.
- Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
- Makes a loss in the first year of operation.
- Has a gross margin (pretax) of 20% (that is, sales are 120% of the cost of goods sold).
- Distributes 50% of its profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the 2nd year.
- Sells one of its plots of land at a profit during the 2nd year.
- Has annual fuel costs for its trucks equal to twice income per capita.
- Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case. All financial statement variables are proportional to 2005 income per capita. For example, the owner who is also a manager spends 10% of income per capita on traveling for the company (20% of the expenses are purely private, 20% for entertaining customers and 60% for business travel).

Assumptions about the taxes and contributions

- All the taxes and contributions paid or withheld in the second year of operation (fiscal 2006) are recorded. A tax or contribution is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes and contributions with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax or contribution (table 13.4).
- The number of times the company pays or withholds taxes and contributions in a year is the number of different taxes or contributions multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each one. The frequency of payment includes advance payments (or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

TABLE 13.4 What does paying taxes measure?

Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2006

- Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax)
- Method and frequency of payment

Time required to comply with 3 major taxes

- Collecting information to compute tax payable
- Completing tax forms, filing with proper agencies
- Arranging payment or withholdingPreparing separate tax accounting books

Total tax rate

- Profit or corporate income tax
- · Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer
- Property and property transfer taxes
- Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes
- Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes

Source: Doing Business database.

Tax payments

The tax payments indicator reflects the total number of taxes and contributions paid, the method of payment, the frequency of payment and the number of agencies involved for this standardized case during the second year of operation. It includes payments made by the company on consumption taxes, such as sales tax or value added tax. These taxes are traditionally withheld on behalf of the consumer. Although they do not affect the income statements of the company, they add to the administrative burden of complying with the tax system and so are included in the tax payments measure.

The number of payments takes into account electronic filing. Where full electronic filing is allowed and it is used by the majority of medium-size businesses, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if the payment is more frequent. For taxes paid through third parties, such as tax on interest paid by a financial institution or fuel tax paid by the fuel distributor, only one payment is included even if payments are more frequent. These are taxes withheld at source where no filing is made by the company.

Where 2 or more taxes or contributions are paid jointly using the same form, each of these joint payments is counted once. For example, if mandatory health insurance contributions and mandatory pension contributions are filed and paid together, only one of these contributions would be included in the number of payments.

Time

Time is recorded in hours per year. The indicator measures the time to prepare, file and pay (or withhold) 3 major types of taxes and contributions: the corporate income tax, value added or sales tax and labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social contributions. Preparation time includes the time to collect all information necessary to compute the tax payable. If separate accounting books must be kept for tax purposes—or separate calculations made—the time associated with these processes is included. This extra time is included only if the regular accounting work is not enough to fulfill the tax accounting requirements. Filing time includes the time to complete all necessary tax forms and make all necessary calculations. Payment time is the hours needed to make the payment online or at the tax office. Where taxes and contributions are paid in person, the time includes delays while waiting.

Total tax rate

The total tax rate measures the amount of taxes and mandatory contributions payable by the business in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profits. *Doing Business 2008* reports the total tax rate for fiscal 2006. The total amount of taxes is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld (such as sales or value added tax or personal income tax) but not paid by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into 5 categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer (for which all mandatory contributions are included, even if paid to a private entity such as a requited pension fund), property taxes, turnover taxes and other small taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle and fuel taxes).

Commercial profits are defined as sales minus cost of goods sold, minus gross salaries, minus administrative ex-

penses, minus other expenses, minus provisions, plus capital gains (from the property sale) minus interest expense, plus interest income and minus commercial depreciation. To compute the commercial depreciation, a straight-line depreciation method is applied with the following rates: 0% for the land, 5% for the building, 10% for the machinery, 33% for the computers, 20% for the office equipment, 20% for the truck and 10% for business development expenses. The assumption on the interest expense was changed this year, reducing the value of this expense. Commercial profits therefore changed from 57.8 times income per capita to 59.4 times.

The methodology is consistent with the calculation of total tax contribution by PricewaterhouseCoopers. The total tax contribution measures the taxes that are borne by companies and affect their income statements, as in *Doing Business*. However, PricewaterhouseCoopers bases its calculation on data from the largest companies in the country, while *Doing Business* focuses on a standardized medium-size company.

This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2007).

Trading across borders

Doing Business compiles procedural requirements for exporting and importing a standardized cargo of goods by ocean transport. Every official procedure for exporting and importing the goods is recorded—from the contractual agreement between the 2 parties to the delivery of goods—along with the time and cost necessary for completion. All documents required for clearance of the goods across the border are also recorded. For exporting goods, procedures range from packing the goods at the factory to their departure from the port of exit. For importing goods, procedures range from the vessel's arrival at the port of entry to the cargo's delivery at the factory warehouse. Payment is made by letter of credit.

Local freight forwarders, shipping lines, customs brokers and port officials provide information on required documents and cost as well as the time to complete each procedure. To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the traded goods are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Has 100 or more employees.
- Is located in the country's most populous city.
- Is a private, limited liability company. It does not operate within an export processing zone or an industrial estate with special export or import privileges.
- · Is domestically owned with no foreign ownership.
- Exports more than 10% of its sales.

Assumptions about the traded goods

The traded product travels in a dry-cargo, 20-foot, full container load. The product:

- · Is not hazardous nor does it include military items.
- Does not require refrigeration or any other special environment.
- Does not require any special phytosanitary or environmental safety standards other than accepted international standards.

Documents

All documents required to export and import the goods are recorded. It is assumed that the contract has already been agreed upon and signed by both parties. Documents include bank documents, customs declaration and clearance documents, port filing documents, import licenses and other official documents exchanged between the concerned parties. Documents filed simultaneously are considered different documents but with the same time frame for completion.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The time calculation for a procedure starts from the moment it is initiated and runs until it is completed. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure is chosen. It is assumed that neither the exporter nor the importer wastes time and that each commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. Procedures that can be completed in paral-

TABLE 13.5

What does trading across borders measure?

Documents necessary to import or export

- Bank documents
- Customs clearance documents
- Port and terminal handling documents
- Transport documents

Time required to import or export

- Obtaining all the documents
- Inland transport
- Customs clearance and inspections
- Port and terminal handling
- Does not include ocean transport time

Cost required to import or export

- Obtaining all the documents
- Inland transport
- Customs clearance and inspections
- Port and terminal handling
- Official costs only, no bribes

Source: Doing Business database.

Enforcing contracts

Indicators on enforcing contracts measure the efficiency of the judicial system in resolving a commercial dispute. The data are built by following the step-by-step evolution of a commercial sale dispute before local courts. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedure and other court regulations as well as surveys completed by local litigation lawyers (and, in a quarter of the countries, by judges as well).

Assumptions about the case

- The value of the claim equals 200% of the country's income per capita.
- The dispute concerns a lawful transaction between 2 businesses (Seller and Buyer), located in the country's most populous city. Seller sells goods worth 200% of the country's income per capita to Buyer. After Seller delivers the goods to Buyer, Buyer does not pay for the goods on the grounds that the delivered goods were not of adequate quality.
- Seller (the plaintiff) sues Buyer (the defendant) to recover the amount under the sales agreement (that is, 200% of the country's income per capita). Buyer opposes Seller's claim, saying that the quality of the goods is not adequate. The claim is disputed on the merits.
- A court in the country's most populous city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita decides the dispute.
- Seller attaches Buyer's goods prior to obtaining a judgment because Seller fears that Buyer may become insolvent during the lawsuit.
- Expert opinions are given on the quality of the delivered goods. If it is standard practice in the country for parties to call witnesses or expert witnesses to give an opinion on the quality of the goods, the parties each call one witness or expert witness. If it is standard practice for the judge to appoint an independent expert to give an expert opinion

lel are measured as simultaneous. The waiting time between procedures—for example, during unloading of the cargo—is included in the measure (table 13.5).

Cost

Cost measures the fees levied on a 20-foot container in U.S. dollars. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to export or import the goods are included. These include costs for documents, administrative fees for customs clearance and technical control, terminal handling charges and inland transport. The cost measure does not include tariffs or trade taxes. Only official costs are recorded.

This methodology was developed by Djankov, Freund and Pham (2007) and is adopted here with minor changes.

on the quality of the goods, the judge does so. In this case the judge does not allow opposing expert testimony.

- The judgment is 100% in favor of Seller: the judge decides that the goods are of adequate quality and that Buyer must pay the agreed price.
- Buyer does not appeal the judgment. The judgment becomes final.
- Seller takes all required steps for prompt enforcement of the judgment. The money is successfully collected through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment).

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction between the parties, or between them and the judge or court officer. This includes steps to file the case, steps for trial and judgment and steps necessary to enforce the judgment. This year the survey allowed respondents to record procedures that exist in civil law but not common law jurisdictions, and vice versa. For example, the judge can appoint an independent expert in civil law countries whereas both parties in common law countries send a list of their expert witnesses to the court. To indicate the overall efficiency of court procedures, 1 procedure is now subtracted for countries that have specialized commercial courts and 1 procedure for countries that allow electronic filing of court cases.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment the plaintiff files the lawsuit in court until payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods between. The respondents make separate estimates of the average duration of different stages of dispute resolution: the completion of service of process (time to file the case), the issuance of judgment (time for the trial and obtaining the judgment) and the moment of payment (time for enforcement).

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the claim, assumed to be equivalent to 200% of income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including court and enforcement costs and average attorney fees where the use of attorneys is mandatory or common.

Closing a business

Doing Business studies the time, cost and outcomes of bankruptcy proceedings involving domestic entities. The data are derived from survey responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on bankruptcy systems.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned, with the founder, who is also the chairman of the supervisory board, owning 51% (no other shareholder holds more than 5% of shares).
- Has downtown real estate, where it runs a hotel, as its major asset.
- Has a professional general manager.
- Has had average annual revenue of 1,000 times income per capita over the past 3 years.
- Has 201 employees and 50 suppliers, each of which is owed money for the last delivery.
- Borrowed from a domestic bank 5 years ago (the loan has 10 years to full repayment) and bought real estate (the hotel building), using it as security for the bank loan.
- Has observed the payment schedule and all other conditions of the loan up to now.
- Has a mortgage, with the value of the mortgage principal being exactly equal to the market value of the hotel.

Assumptions about the case

The business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2006 reduced its net worth to a negative figure. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due tomorrow. Therefore, the business defaults on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2007 and 2008 as well.

The bank holds a floating charge against the hotel in countries where floating charges are possible. If the law does not permit a floating charge but contracts commonly use some other provision to that effect, this provision is specified in the lending contract.

The business has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court workout. It has the following options: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the business to permit its continued operation; a judicial *This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with minor changes.*

procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding up of the company; or a debt enforcement or foreclosure procedure aimed at selling the hotel either piecemeal or as a going concern, enforced either in court (or through a government authority like a debt collection agency) or out of court (for example, by appointing a receiver).

Time

Time is recorded in calendar years. Information is collected on the sequence of procedures and on whether any procedures can be carried out simultaneously. Potential delay tactics by the parties, such as the filing of dilatory appeals or requests for extension, are taken into consideration.

Cost

The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the estate's value. The cost is calculated on the basis of survey responses by insolvency practitioners and includes court fees as well as fees of insolvency practitioners, independent assessors, lawyers and accountants. Respondents provide cost estimates from among the following options: less than 2%, 2–5%, 5–8%, 8–11%, 11–18%, 18–25%, 25–33%, 33–50%, 50–75% and more than 75% of the value of the business estate.

Recovery rate

The recovery rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recouped by creditors through the bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings. The calculation takes into account whether the business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern as well as costs and the loss in value due to the time spent closing down. If the business keeps operating, no value is lost on the initial claim, set at 100 cents on the dollar. If it does not, the initial 100 cents on the dollar are reduced to 70 cents on the dollar. Then the official costs of the insolvency procedure are deducted (1 cent for each percentage of the initial value). Finally, the value lost as a result of the time the money remains tied up in insolvency proceedings is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the depreciation rate for furniture is taken to be 20%. The furniture is assumed to account for a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2006 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics, supplemented with data from central banks.

This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2006).

Ease of doing business

The ease of doing business index ranks economies from 1 to 178. The index is calculated as the ranking on the simple average of country percentile rankings on each of the 10 topics covered in *Doing Business 2008*. The ranking on each topic is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators.

If an economy has no laws or regulations covering a specific area—for example, bankruptcy—it receives a "no practice" or "not possible" mark. Similarly, an economy receives a "no practice" or "not possible" mark if regulation exists but is never used in practice or if a competing regulation prohibits such practice. Either way, such a mark puts the country at the bottom of the rankings on the relevant indicator.

Here is one example of how the ranking on the ease of doing business is constructed. In Iceland it takes 5 procedures, 5 days and 3% of annual income per capita in fees to open a business. The minimum capital required amounts to 14% of income per capita. On these 4 indicators Iceland ranks in the 6th, 2nd, 10th and 55th percentiles. So Iceland ranks in the 18th percentile—the average of the 4 percentiles—on the ease of starting a business. It ranks in the 46th percentile on protecting investors, 11th on trading across borders, 8th on enforcing contracts, 6th on closing a business and so on. Higher rankings indicate simpler regulation and stronger protection of property rights. The simple average of Iceland's percentile rankings on all topics is 19%. When all countries are ordered by their average percentile ranking, Iceland is in 10th place.

More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components—yield nearly identical rankings.¹ The choice of aggregation method has little influence on the rankings because the 10 sets of indicators in *Doing Business* provide sufficiently broad coverage across topics. So *Doing Business* uses the method most likely to lead to reform—the simplest method. The ease of doing business index is limited in scope. It does not account for a country's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders), the security of property from theft and looting, macroeconomic conditions or the strength of underlying institutions. There remains a large unfinished agenda for research into what regulation constitutes binding constraints, what package of reforms is most effective and how these issues are shaped by the country context. The *Doing Business* indicators provide a new empirical data set that may improve understanding of these issues.

Doing Business also uses a simple method to determine the top reformers (see table 1.1). First, it selects the economies that reformed in 3 or more of the 10 Doing Business topics (table 14.1). This year 21 economies met this criterion: Armenia, Bhutan, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, China, Colombia, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Egypt, Georgia, Ghana, Guatemala, Honduras, Kenya, FYR Macedonia, Mauritius, Mozambique, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, Tunisia and Uzbekistan. Second, Doing Business ranks these economies on the increase in their ranking on the ease of doing business from the previous year. For example, Croatia, Honduras and Kenya each reformed in 4 aspects of business regulation. Croatia's ranking improved from 120 to 97, Honduras's from 126 to 121 and Kenya's from 82 to 72. These changes represent an improvement in the rankings by 23 places, 5 places and 10 places, respectively. Croatia therefore ranks ahead of Kenya in the list of top 10 reformers. Honduras doesn't make the list.

Note

1. See Djankov and others (2005).

| TABLE 14.1 | | | | | REFORMS | IN 2006/07 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Positive reform | | Dealing | | | | | | Trading | | |
| X Negative reform | Starting a business | with licenses | Employing workers | Registering property | Getting credit | Protecting investors | Paying taxes | across borders | Enforcing contracts | Closing a business |
| Economy | a business | incenses | | | cicuit | | tuxes | boracio | contracto | |
| Afghanistan Albania | | | | • | | | 1 | | | |
| Algeria | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Angola | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | | | | | | | | | | |
| Argentina | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Armenia | | | | | 1 | | | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Australia | Image: A second s | | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | , | | | | | | , | 1 | | |
| Azerbaijan | × | | | | | | × | | | |
| Bangladesh | <i>,</i> | | | | | 1 | ^ | | | |
| Belarus Belgium | | | | | | | | | | |
| Belize | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benin | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Bhutan | Image: A start of the start of | | Image: A start of the start of | 1 | | | | | | |
| Bolivia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Botswana | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Brazil | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Brunei | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Bulgaria | 1 | v | | 1 | | | × | | | |
| Burkina Faso Burundi | | | | | | | | | • | |
| Cambodia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cameroon | | | | | | | | | | |
| Canada | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cape Verde | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central African Republic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chad | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chile | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | , |
| China | | × | | | ~ | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Colombia | | | | | | • | • | × | | |
| Comoros Congo, Dem. Rep. | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Congo, Rep. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costa Rica | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Croatia | ✓ | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | ✓ |
| Czech Republic | ✓ | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Djibouti | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Dominica | , | | | , | | | v | , | | |
| Dominican Republic | 1 | | | 1 | | | × | | | |
| Ecuador | | | | | | | | | | |
| Egypt El Salvador | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| El Salvador Equatorial Guinea | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eritrea | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estonia | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethiopia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fiji | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Finland | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| France | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Gabon | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gambia | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Georgia Germany | 1 | | | × | | | | | | |
| Ghana | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Ghunu | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | REFORMS | IN 2006/07 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| Positive reformX Negative reform | 6 | Dealing | | | e | | | Trading | | |
| Economy | Starting a business | with licenses | Employing workers | Registering property | Getting credit | Protecting investors | Paying taxes | across borders | Enforcing contracts | Closing a business |
| Greece | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Grenada | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guatemala | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Guinea | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guinea-Bissau | | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Guyana | | | | | | | | | | |
| Haiti | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Honduras | 1 | 1 | | 1 | Image: A second s | | | | | |
| Hong Kong, China | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hungary | 1 | | | 1 | | | X | | | 1 |
| Iceland | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| India | | | | | Image: A second s | | | 1 | | |
| Indonesia | × | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Iran | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iraq | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ireland | | | | | | | | | | |
| Israel | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Italy | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Jamaica | | | | | | | | | | |
| Japan | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jordan | × | | | | | | , | | | |
| Kazakhstan | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Kenya | × | × | | × | × | | | | | |
| Kiribati | | | | | | | | | | |
| Korea | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kuwait Kuwait | | • | | × | · · | | 1 | | | |
| Kyrgyz Republic Lao PDR | 1 | | | ~ | | | • | 1 | | |
| Lao PDR Latvia | • | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Lebanon | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lesotho | | | | / | | | | | | |
| Liberia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lithuania | | | | | | | | | | |
| Luxembourg | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macedonia, FYR | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Madagascar | ✓ | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Malawi | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Malaysia | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Maldives | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mali | 1 | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Marshall Islands | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mauritania | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Mauritius | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | Image: A second s |
| Mexico | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Micronesia | | | | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| Moldova | 1 | | X | | | | 1 | | | |
| Mongolia | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Montenegro | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morocco | | 1 | | X | | | | 1 | | |
| Mozambique | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Namibia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nepal | | | | | | | , | | | |
| Netherlands | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| New Zealand | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nicaragua | | | | | | | | | | |
| Niger | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Nigeria | V | · | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Norway | | | | | | · | | | | |
| Oman | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | REFORMS | IN 2006/07 | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Positive reform | | Dealing | | | | | | Trading | | |
| X Negative reform Economy | Starting a business | with licenses | Employing workers | Registering property | Getting credit | Protecting investors | Paying taxes | across borders | Enforcing contracts | Closing a business |
| Pakistan | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| Palau | | | | | | | | | | |
| Panama | | | | | | | | | | |
| Papua New Guinea | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paraguay | ✓ | | | X | | | | | | |
| Peru | | | | | | | | | | |
| Philippines | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poland | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portugal | 1 | | | v | | 1 | 1 | | × | 1 |
| Puerto Rico | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Romania Russia | ŕ | × | | | 1 | | • | | | |
| Rwanda | | <i>,</i> | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Samoa | | | | | | | | | | |
| São Tomé and Principe | | | | | | | | | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 1 | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Senegal | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serbia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Sierra Leone | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Singapore | | | | | | | | | | |
| Slovakia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Slovenia | | | X | | X | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Solomon Islands | | | | | | | | | | |
| South Africa | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Spain Sei Leala | 1 | | ~ | | x | | × | 1 | | |
| Sri Lanka St. Kitta and Navia | × | | | | ^ | | | × | | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis St. Lucia | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sudan | | | | | | | | | | |
| Suriname | | | | | | | | | | |
| Swaziland | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sweden | | | | | | | | | | |
| Switzerland | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Syria | X | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Taiwan, China | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tajikistan | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tanzania | | | | | | | | , | | |
| Thailand | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Timor-Leste | × | | × | | | | | | | |
| Togo Tonga | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Tunisia | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Turkey | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Uganda | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| Ukraine | | | | | | | | | | |
| United Arab Emirates | | | | | | | | | | |
| United Kingdom | | | | | | | | | | |
| United States | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uruguay | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Uzbekistan | 1 | | | ✓ × | | | 1 | | | |
| Vanuatu | | | × | × | | | × | × | | |
| Venezuela | | | ~ | | | | ^ | ^ | | |
| Vietnam West Bank and Gaza | | | | | 1 | • | 1 | | | |
| Yemen | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zambia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | × | | × | | | × | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Indicator tables

Doing business indicators Country tables

| | Starting a business | | | | Dealing with licenses | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Minimum | | | | | | |
| | Procedures | Time | Cost (% of income | capital | | Procedures | Time | Cost (% of income | | |
| Economy | (number) | (days) | (% of income per capita) | (% of income per capita) | | (number) | (days) | (% of income per capita) | | |
| Afghanistan | 4 | 9 | 84.6 | 0.0 | | 13 | 340 | 21,230.8 | | |
| Albania | 10 | 36 | 20.9 | 34.3 | | 24 | 331 | 461.0 | | |
| Algeria | 14 | 24 | 13.2 | 45.2 | | 22 | 240 | 57.8 | | |
| Angola | 12 | 119 | 343.7 | 50.5 | | 14 | 337 | 1,109.7 | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 7 | 21 | 11.8 | 0.0 | | 14 | 157 | 26.3 | | |
| Argentina | 14 | 31 | 9.7 | 4.8 | | 28 | 338 | 234.1 | | |
| Armenia | 9 | 18 | 4.8 | 3.1 | | 19 | 116 | 411.3 | | |
| Australia Austria | 2 8 | 2 28 | 0.8 5.4 | 0.0 55.5 | | 16 13 | 221 194 | 13.2 73.7 | | |
| Azerbaijan | 13 | 30 | 6.9 | 0.0 | | 31 | 207 | 768.3 | | |
| Bangladesh | 8 | 74 | 46.2 | 0.0 | | 14 | 252 | 751.0 | | |
| Belarus | 10 | 48 | 8.8 | 29.7 | | 17 | 350 | 60.9 | | |
| Belgium | 3 | 4 | 5.3 | 20.1 | | 14 | 169 | 63.7 | | |
| Belize | 9 | 44 | 53.1 | 0.0 | | 11 | 66 | 18.5 | | |
| Benin | 7 | 31 | 195.0 | 354.2 | | 15 | 332 | 316.6 | | |
| Bhutan | 8 | 48 | 10.4 | 0.0 | | 25 | 183 | 195.9 | | |
| Bolivia | 15 | 50 | 134.1 | 3.4 | | 17 | 249 | 198.6 | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 12 | 54 | 30.1 | 43.0 | | 16 | 467 | 790.3 | | |
| Botswana Brazil | 11 18 | 108 152 | 9.9 10.4 | 0.0 0.0 | | 24 18 | 167 411 | 322.3 59.4 | | |
| Brunei | 18 | 116 | 9.0 | 0.0 | | 32 | 167 | 59.4 | | |
| Bulgaria | 9 | 32 | 8.4 | 56.3 | | 22 | 131 | 499.9 | | |
| Burkina Faso | 6 | 18 | 82.1 | 415.7 | | 32 | 226 | 701.2 | | |
| Burundi | 11 | 43 | 251.0 | 0.0 | | 20 | 384 | 9,939.0 | | |
| Cambodia | 10 | 86 | 190.3 | 50.8 | | 23 | 709 | 74.3 | | |
| Cameroon | 13 | 37 | 129.2 | 177.1 | | 15 | 426 | 1,202.9 | | |
| Canada | 2 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | 14 | 75 | 125.4 | | |
| Cape Verde | 12 | 52 | 40.1 | 53.4 | | 18 | 120 | 718.3 | | |
| Central African Republic | 10 | 14 | 205.4 | 531.2 | | 21 | 239 | 288.3 | | |
| Chad Chile | 19 9 | 75 27 | 188.8 8.6 | <u> </u> | | 9 | 181 155 | 1,063.8 128.1 | | |
| China | 13 | 35 | 8.4 | 190.2 | | 37 | 336 | 840.2 | | |
| Colombia | 11 | 42 | 19.3 | 0.0 | | 14 | 146 | 602.8 | | |
| Comoros | 11 | 23 | 188.4 | 280.3 | | 18 | 164 | 77.8 | | |
| Congo, Dem. Rep. | 13 | 155 | 487.2 | 0.0 | | 14 | 322 | 2,112.6 | | |
| Congo, Rep. | 10 | 37 | 150.1 | 206.3 | | 14 | 169 | 565.9 | | |
| Costa Rica | 12 | 77 | 21.3 | 0.0 | | 23 | 178 | 244.2 | | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 10 | 40 | 135.8 | 219.8 | | 21 | 628 | 247.7 | | |
| Croatia | 8 | 40 | 11.7 | 18.4 | | 22 | 255 | 722.4 | | |
| Czech Republic | 10 | 17 | 10.6 | 34.9 | | 36 | 180 | 18.5 | | |
| Denmark Djibouti | 4 11 | 6 37 | 0.0 206.6 | 40.7 530.8 | | 6 14 | 69 195 | 61.8 1,010.6 | | |
| Dominica | 5 | 19 | 200.0 | 0.0 | | 14 | 219 | 74.4 | | |
| Dominican Republic | 9 | 22 | 31.1 | 0.0 | | 17 | 219 | 116.1 | | |
| Ecuador | 14 | 65 | 29.2 | 7.0 | | 19 | 148 | 55.3 | | |
| Egypt | 7 | 9 | 28.6 | 12.9 | | 28 | 249 | 474.9 | | |
| El Salvador | 9 | 26 | 73.1 | 112.5 | | 34 | 155 | 197.9 | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | 20 | 136 | 105.1 | 23.2 | | 18 | 201 | 239.9 | | |
| Eritrea | 13 | 84 | 125.8 | 488.0 | | no practice | no practice | no practice | | |
| Estonia | 5 | 7 | 2.0 | 28.1 | | 13 | 117 | 28.1 | | |
| Ethiopia | 7 | 16 | 41.3 | 960.0 | | 12 | 128 | 1,094.4 | | |
| Fiji Fipland | 8 | 46 14 | 25.3 | 0.0 | | 16 19 | 114 | 40.8 | | |
| Finland France | 3 5 | 14 7 | 1.0 1.1 | 7.7 0.0 | | 18 13 | 38 137 | 122.3 24.9 | | |
| Gabon | 9 | 58 | 164.0 | 38.2 | | 15 | 210 | 48.3 | | |
| Gambia | 9 | 32 | 279.0 | 0.0 | | 17 | 146 | 363.7 | | |
| Georgia | 5 | 11 | 9.5 | 0.0 | | 12 | 113 | 28.9 | | |
| Germany | 9 | 18 | 5.7 | 42.8 | | 12 | 100 | 63.1 | | |
| Ghana | 11 | 42 | 41.4 | 20.9 | | 18 | 220 | 1,498.3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Starting a business | | | | Dea | ling with lice | nses |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Economy | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | Cost (% of income per capita) | Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Greece | 15 | 38 | 23.3 | 104.1 | 15 | 169 | 61.7 |
| Grenada | 6 | 20 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 9 | 149 | 31.0 |
| Guatemala | 11 | 26 | 47.3 | 24.9 | 22 | 235 | 1,142.2 |
| Guinea | 13 | 41 | 138.3 | 466.5 | 32 | 255 | 237.7 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 17 | 233 | 255.5 | 1,006.6 | 15 | 167 | 2,607.0 |
| Guyana | 8 | 44 | 87.2 | 0.0 | 12 | 222 | 313.9 |
| Haiti | 12 | 202 | 133.9 | 32.2 | 11 | 1,179 | 817.8 |
| Honduras | 13 | 21 | 59.9 | 27.4 | 17 | 125 | 634.1 |
| Hong Kong, China | 5 | 11 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 23 | 155 | 21.3 |
| Hungary | 6 | 16 | 17.7 | 65.1 | 31 | 211 | 10.4 |
| Iceland | 5 | 5 | 2.7 | 14.1 | 18 | 76 | 13.9 |
| India | 13 | 33 | 74.6 | 0.0 | 20 | 224 | 519.4 |
| Indonesia | 12 | 105 | 80.0 | 38.4 | 19 | 196 | 286.8 |
| Iran | 8 | 47 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 19 | 670 | 653.4 |
| Iraq | 11 | 77 | 93.5 | 65.4 | 14 | 215 | 915.0 |
| Ireland | 4 | 13 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 11 | 185 | 19.8 |
| Israel | 5 | 34 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 20 | 235 | 115.5 |
| Italy | 9 | 13 | 18.7 | 9.8 | 14 | 257 | 138.2 |
| Jamaica | 6 | 8 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 10 | 236 | 438.4 |
| Japan | 8 | 23 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 15 | 177 | 17.8 |
| Jordan | 10 | 14 | 66.2 | 795.4 | 18 | 122 | 486.1 |
| Kazakhstan | 8 | 21 | 7.6 | 22.9 | 38 | 231 | 2,129.9 |
| Kenya | 12 | 44 | 46.1 | 0.0 | 10 | 100 | 58.8 |
| Kiribati | 6 | 21 | 56.6 | 30.6 | 14 | 160 | 629.3 |
| Korea | 10 | 17 | 16.9 | 296.0 | 13 | 34 | 170.2 |
| Kuwait | 13 | 35 | 1.6 | 99.9 | 25 | 104 | 209.4 |
| | 8 | 21 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 20 | 291 | 555.4 |
| Kyrgyz Republic Lao PDR | 8 | 103 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 20 | 172 | 202.4 |
| Latvia | 5 | 103 | 3.0 | 22.0 | 24 26 | 172 | 202.4 |
| Lebanon | 6 | 46 | 94.1 | 60.4 | 20 | 211 | 229.5 |
| Lesotho | 8 | 73 | 37.4 | 14.3 | 15 | 601 | 805.3 |
| Liberia | 12 | 73 99 | 493.3 | 0.0 | 25 | 398 | 61,049.3 |
| Lithuania | 7 | 99 26 | 495.5 3.0 | 46.2 | 17 | 156 | 133.1 |
| Luxembourg | 6 | 20 | 2.3 | 20.5 | 13 | 217 | 19.4 |
| 5 | 9 | 15 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 13 | 192 | 19.4 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 5 | 7 | 22.7 | 333.4 | 19 | 268 | 880.0 |
| Madagascar | 10 | 37 | 188.7 | | 21 | | |
| Malawi | 9 | | | 0.0 | | 213 | 189.2 |
| Malaysia | | 24 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 25 | 285 | 10.0 |
| Maldives | 5 | 9 | 13.4 | 5.8 | 9 | 118 | 39.9 |
| Mali Marshall Islands | 11 5 | 26 | 132.1 | 434.6 | 14 | 208 | 1,320.7 |
| Marshall Islands | | 17 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 10 | 55 | 36.8 |
| Mauritania | 11 | 65 | 56.2 | 503.1 | 25 | 201 | 565.5 |
| Mauritius Mexico | 6 8 | 7 27 | 5.3 13.3 | 0.0 11.6 | 18 11 | 107 131 | 43.3 103.5 |
| | 8 7 | | | | | | |
| Micronesia | 9 | 16 | 137.0 | 0.0 | 14 | 73 | 19.7 |
| Moldova | | 23 | 11.5 | 14.6 | 30 | 292 | 154.2 |
| Mongolia | 8 | 20 | 4.3 | 96.3 | 21 | 126 | 15.7 |
| Montenegro | 15 | 24 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 19 | 185 | 599.6 |
| Morocco | 6 | 12 | 11.5 | 59.8 | 19 | 163 | 334.7 |
| Mozambique | 10 | 29 | 21.6 | 115.8 | 17 | 361 | 705.0 |
| Namibia | 10 | 99 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 12 | 139 | 156.7 |
| Nepal | 7 | 31 | 73.9 | 0.0 | 15 | 424 | 304.7 |
| Netherlands | 6 | 10 | 6.0 | 52.9 | 18 | 230 | 76.0 |
| New Zealand | 2 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 7 | 65 | 25.0 |
| Nicaragua | 6 | 39 | 119.1 | 0.0 | 17 | 219 | 898.6 |
| Niger | 11 | 23 | 174.8 | 735.6 | 16 | 293 | 2,823.6 |
| Nigeria | 9 | 34 | 56.6 | 0.0 | 18 | 350 | 1,016.0 |
| Norway | 6 | 10 | 2.3 | 23.4 | 14 | 252 | 46.2 |
| Oman | 9 | 34 | 4.3 | 541.8 | 16 | 242 | 847.6 |

| | Starting a business | | | | | Dealing with licenses | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | Minimum | | | | | | | |
| | Procedures | Time | Cost | capital | | Procedures | Time | Cost | | | |
| Economy | (number) | (days) | (% of income per capita) | (% of income per capita) | | (number) | (days) | (% of income per capita) | | | |
| Pakistan | 11 | 24 | 14.0 | 0.0 | | 12 | 223 | 869.5 | | | |
| Palau | 8 | 24 | 4.7 | 12.5 | | 25 | 118 | 6.1 | | | |
| Panama | 7 | 19 | 22.0 | 0.0 | | 25 | 149 | 143.9 | | | |
| Papua New Guinea | 8 | 56 | 26.4 | 0.0 | | 24 | 217 | 106.3 | | | |
| Paraguay | 7 | 35 | 77.6 | 0.0 | | 13 | 291 | 439.0 | | | |
| Peru | 10 | 72 | 29.9 | 0.0 | | 21 | 210 | 165.0 | | | |
| Philippines | 15 | 58 | 26.8 | 6.9 | | 21 | 177 | 75.9 | | | |
| Poland | 10 | 31 | 21.2 | 196.8 | | 30 | 308 | 159.8 | | | |
| Portugal | 7 | 7 | 3.4 | 34.7 | | 20 | 327 | 54.0 | | | |
| Puerto Rico | 7 | 7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | 22 | 209 | 550.8 | | | |
| Romania | 6 | 14 | 4.7 | 1.5 | | 17 | 243 | 124.3 | | | |
| Russia | 8 | 29 | 3.7 | 3.2 | | 54 | 704 | 3,788.4 | | | |
| Rwanda | 9 | 16 | 171.5 | 0.0 | | 16 | 227 | 822.1 | | | |
| Samoa São Tomé and Principe | 9 10 | 35 144 | 41.3 94.5 | 0.0 0.0 | | 18 13 | 88 255 | 95.4 825.9 | | | |
| | 7 | 144 | 32.3 | 0.0 | | 18 | 125 | 94.5 | | | |
| Saudi Arabia Senegal | 10 | 15 58 | 32.3 107.0 | 255.0 | | 18 | 217 | 94.5 176.9 | | | |
| Serbia | 10 | 23 | 8.9 | 255.0 8.0 | | 20 | 217 | 2,713.1 | | | |
| Seychelles | 9 | 38 | 8.7 | 0.0 | | 19 | 144 | 46.5 | | | |
| Sierra Leone | 9 | 26 | 1,075.2 | 0.0 | | 47 | 235 | 191.7 | | | |
| Singapore | 5 | 5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | 11 | 102 | 22.9 | | | |
| Slovakia | 9 | 25 | 4.2 | 34.1 | | 13 | 287 | 14.9 | | | |
| Slovenia | 9 | 60 | 8.5 | 49.8 | | 15 | 208 | 113.9 | | | |
| Solomon Islands | 7 | 57 | 58.9 | 0.0 | | 12 | 62 | 518.0 | | | |
| South Africa | 8 | 31 | 7.1 | 0.0 | | 17 | 174 | 30.4 | | | |
| Spain | 10 | 47 | 15.1 | 13.7 | | 11 | 233 | 64.9 | | | |
| Sri Lanka | 5 | 39 | 8.5 | 0.0 | | 22 | 214 | 1,929.0 | | | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 9 | 46 | 22.9 | 0.0 | | 14 | 72 | 14.0 | | | |
| St. Lucia | 6 | 40 | 23.7 | 0.0 | | 9 | 139 | 31.9 | | | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | | 12 | 29.2 | 0.0 | | 11 | 74 | 9.2 | | | |
| Sudan | 10 | 39 | 57.9 | 0.0 | | 19 | 271 | 296.0 | | | |
| Suriname | 13 | 694 | 141.8 | 1.1 | | 14 | 431 | 158.0 | | | |
| Swaziland | 13 | 61 | 38.7 | 0.6 | | 13 | 93 | 94.0 | | | |
| Sweden | 3 | 15 | 0.6 | 31.1 | | 8 | 116 | 106.4 | | | |
| Switzerland | 6 | 20 | 2.1 | 13.9 | | 14 | 154 | 52.7 | | | |
| Syria Taiwan China | 13 8 | 43 48 | 55.7 | 3,673.3 | | 21 | 128 198 | 258.5 | | | |
| Taiwan, China Taiikistan | | | 4.1 | 178.4 | | 30 32 | | 206.9 | | | |
| Tajikistan Tanzania | 13 12 | 49 29 | 39.6 47.1 | 311.0 0.0 | | 21 | 191 308 | 1,991.9 2,365.5 | | | |
| Thailand | 8 | 33 | 5.6 | 0.0 | | 11 | 156 | 2,303.5 | | | |
| Timor-Leste | 9 | 82 | 11.9 | 595.2 | | 22 | 208 | 113.1 | | | |
| Togo | 13 | 53 | 245.7 | 546.4 | | 15 | 200 | 1,366.3 | | | |
| Tonga | 4 | 32 | 10.8 | 0.0 | | 14 | 88 | 183.6 | | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 9 | 43 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | 20 | 261 | 5.9 | | | |
| Tunisia | 10 | 11 | 8.3 | 25.3 | | 20 | 93 | 922.1 | | | |
| Turkey | 6 | 6 | 20.7 | 16.2 | | 25 | 188 | 369.9 | | | |
| Uganda | 18 | 28 | 92.0 | 0.0 | | 16 | 143 | 811.8 | | | |
| Ukraine | 10 | 27 | 7.8 | 203.1 | | 29 | 429 | 668.5 | | | |
| United Arab Emirates | 11 | 62 | 36.9 | 312.4 | | 21 | 125 | 1.5 | | | |
| United Kingdom | 6 | 13 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | 19 | 144 | 64.6 | | | |
| United States | 6 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | 19 | 40 | 13.4 | | | |
| Uruguay | 11 | 44 | 46.0 | 180.6 | | 30 | 234 | 135.5 | | | |
| Uzbekistan | 7 | 13 | 14.2 | 25.3 | | 26 | 260 | 140.7 | | | |
| Vanuatu | 8 | 39 | 54.9 | 0.0 | | 7 | 51 | 357.7 | | | |
| Venezuela | 16 | 141 | 28.2 | 0.0 | | 11 | 395 | 326.0 | | | |
| Vietnam | 11 | 50 | 20.0 | 0.0 | | 13 | 194 | 373.6 | | | |
| West Bank and Gaza | 12 | 92 | 280.4 | 9.3 | | 21 | 199 | 726.1 | | | |
| Yemen | 12 | 63 | 178.8 | 2,003.2 | | 13 | 107 | 239.2 | | | |
| Zambia | 6 | 33 | 30.5 | 2.2 | | 17 | 254 | 1,518.0 | | | |
| Zimbabwe | 10 | 96 | 21.3 | 54.6 | | 19 | 952 | 11,799.0 | | | |

| | Employing workers | | | | | Registering property | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| _ | Difficulty of hiring index | Rigidity of hours index | Difficulty of firing index | Rigidity of employment index | labor cost | Firing cost | | Procedures | Time | Cost (% of property |
| Economy | (0–100) | (0–100) | (0–100) | (0–100) | (% of salary) | of salary) | | (number) | (days) | value) |
| Afghanistan | 0 | 40 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 0 | | 9 | 250 | 7.0 |
| Albania | 44 | 40 | 20 | 35 | 22 | 56 | | 7 | 47 | 3.5 |
| Algeria | 44 | 60 | 40 | 48 | 27 | 17 | | 14 | 51 | 7.5 |
| Angola | 78 | 60 | 70 | 69 | 8 | 58 | | 7 | 334 | 11.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 11 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 9 | 52 | | 5 | 26 | 13.0 |
| Argentina | 44 | 60 | 20 | 41 | 26 | 139 | | 5 | 65 | 7.6 |
| Armenia | 33 | 40 | 20 | 31 | 19 | 13 | | 3 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Australia | 0 | 0 60 | 10 40 | 3 37 | 20 31 | 4 2 | | 5 3 | 5 32 | 4.9 4.5 |
| Austria Azerbaijan | 11 33 | 40 | 40 40 | 37 | 22 | 22 | | 5 7 | 52 61 | 4.5 0.2 |
| Bangladesh | 44 | 20 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 104 | | 8 | 425 | 10.3 |
| Belarus | 0 | 40 | 40 | 27 | 39 | 22 | | 7 | 231 | 0.1 |
| Belgium | 11 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 55 | 16 | | 7 | 132 | 12.7 |
| Belize | 22 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 24 | | 8 | 60 | 4.7 |
| Benin | 39 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 29 | 36 | | 3 | 118 | 11.4 |
| Bhutan | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 10 | | 5 | 64 | 0.0 |
| Bolivia | 78 | 60 | 100 | 79 | 14 | not possible | | 7 | 92 | 4.9 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 67 | 40 | 30 | 46 | 15 | 31 | | 7 | 331 | 5.0 |
| Botswana | 0 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 90 | | 4 | 30 | 5.0 |
| Brazil | 78 | 60 | 0 | 46 | 37 | 37 | | 14 | 45 | 2.8 |
| Brunei | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 4 | | no practice | no practice | no practice |
| Bulgaria | 17 | 60 | 10 | 29 | 23 | 9 | | 9 | 19 | 2.3 |
| Burkina Faso | 83 | 60 | 40 | 61 | 20 | 34 | | 8 | 182 | 12.2 |
| Burundi | 33 | 60 | 30 | 41 | 7 | 26 | | 5 | 94 | 11.5 |
| Cambodia | 44 | 60 | 30 | 45 | 0 | 39 | | 7 | 56 | 4.4 |
| Cameroon | 28 | 40 | 70 | 46 | 16 | 33 | | 5 | 93 | 17.8 |
| Canada | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 28 | | 6 | 17 | 1.8 |
| Cape Verde | 33 | 40 | 60 | 44 | 17 | 91 | | 6 | 83 | 7.8 |
| Central African Republic | 72 | 60 | 50 | 61 | 18 | 22 | | 3 | 69 | 11.7 |
| Chad | 39 | 60 | 40 | 46 | 21 | 36 | | 6 | 44 | 21.2 |
| Chile | 33 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 3 | 52 | | 6 | 31 | 1.3 |
| China | 11 | 20 | 40 | 24 | 44 | 91 | | 4 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Colombia | 22 | 40 | 20 | 27 | 29 | 59 | | 9 | 23 | 2.5 |
| Comoros Congo, Dem. Rep. | 39 72 | 60 80 | 40 70 | 46 74 | 0 7 | 100 31 | | 5 8 | 24 57 | 20.8 9.4 |
| Congo, Rep. | 72 | 60 | 70 | 69 | 29 | 31 | _ | 7 | 137 | 27.3 |
| Congo, Rep. Costa Rica | 56 | 40 | 0 | 32 | 29 | 35 | | 6 | 21 | 3.3 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 33 | 40 60 | 20 | 38 | 18 | 49 | | 7 | 62 | 16.9 |
| Croatia | 61 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 17 | 39 | | 5 | 174 | 5.0 |
| Czech Republic | 33 | 40 | 20 | 31 | 35 | 22 | | 4 | 123 | 3.0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 0 | _ | 6 | 42 | 0.6 |
| Djibouti | 67 | 40 | 30 | 46 | 16 | 56 | | 7 | 40 | 13.2 |
| Dominica | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 7 | 58 | | 4 | 40 | 13.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 56 | 40 | 0 | 32 | 14 | 88 | | 7 | 60 | 5.1 |
| Ecuador | 44 | 60 | 50 | 51 | 12 | 135 | | 10 | 17 | 3.0 |
| Egypt | 0 | 20 | 60 | 27 | 25 | 132 | | 7 | 193 | 1.0 |
| El Salvador | 33 | 40 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 86 | | 5 | 31 | 3.6 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 67 | 60 | 70 | 66 | 23 | 133 | | 6 | 23 | 6.3 |
| Eritrea | 0 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 69 | | 12 | 101 | 5.3 |
| Estonia | 33 | 80 | 60 | 58 | 33 | 35 | | 3 | 51 | 0.5 |
| Ethiopia | 33 | 40 | 30 | 34 | 0 | 40 | | 13 | 43 | 7.5 |
| Fiji | 22 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 2 | | 3 | 48 | 12.0 |
| Finland | 44 | 60 | 40 | 48 | 26 | 26 | | 3 | 14 | 4.0 |
| France | 67 | 60 | 40 | 56 | 47 | 32 | | 9 | 123 | 6.1 |
| Gabon | 17 | 80 | 80 | 59 | 20 | 43 | | 8 | 60 | 10.5 |
| Gambia | 0 | 40 | 30 | 23 | 11 | 9 | | 5 | 371 | 7.6 |
| Georgia | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 4 | | 5 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Germany | 33 | 60 | 40 | 44 | 19 | 69 | | 4 | 40 | 5.2 |
| Ghana | 22 | 40 | 50 | 37 | 13 | 178 | | 5 | 34 | 1.3 |

| | Employing workers | | | | | | Registering property | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Difficulty of hiring | Rigidity of hours | Difficulty of firing | Rigidity of employment | | Firing cost | | | | Cost |
| Economy | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | labor cost (% of salary) | (weeks of salary) | | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | (% of property value) |
| Greece | 44 | 80 | 40 | 55 | 28 | 24 | | 12 | 23 | 4.0 |
| Grenada | 44 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 29 | | 8 | 77 | 7.4 |
| Guatemala | 44 | 40 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 101 | | 5 | 30 | 1.0 |
| Guinea | 33 | 60 | 30 | 41 | 27 | 26 | | 6 | 104 | 15.3 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 67 | 60 | 70 | 66 | 22 | 87 | | 9 | 211 | 5.4 |
| Guyana | 33 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 8 | 56 | | 6 | 34 | 4.5 |
| Haiti Honduras | 22 89 | 40 40 | 0 0 | 21 43 | 11 10 | 17 74 | | 5 7 | 405 24 | 6.5 5.8 |
| Hong Kong, China | 0 | 40 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 62 | | 5 | 54 | 5.0 |
| Hungary | 0 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 34 | 35 | | 4 | 63 | 11.0 |
| Iceland | 33 | 40 | 10 | 28 | 12 | 13 | | 3 | 4 | 2.4 |
| India | 0 | 20 | 70 | 30 | 17 | 56 | | 6 | 62 | 7.7 |
| Indonesia | 72 | 0 | 60 | 44 | 10 | 108 | | 7 | 42 | 10.5 |
| Iran | 11 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 23 | 91 | | 9 | 36 | 10.6 |
| Iraq | 33 | 60 | 20 | 38 | 12 | 0 | | 5 | 8 | 6.3 |
| Ireland | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 11 | 24 | | 5 | 38 | 10.2 |
| lsrael Italy | 11 33 | 60 40 | 0 40 | 24 38 | 6 37 | 91 2 | | 7 8 | 144 27 | 7.5 0.6 |
| Jamaica | 55 11 | 40 0 | 40 | 50 4 | 12 | 61 | | ° 5 | 54 | 13.5 |
| Japan | 0 | 20 | 30 | 17 | 12 | 4 | | 6 | 14 | 5.0 |
| Jordan | 11 | 20 | 60 | 30 | 11 | 4 | | 8 | 22 | 10.0 |
| Kazakhstan | 0 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 14 | 9 | | 8 | 52 | 0.9 |
| Kenya | 33 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 4 | 47 | | 8 | 64 | 4.2 |
| Kiribati | 0 | 0 | 50 | 17 | 8 | 4 | | 5 | 513 | 0.1 |
| Korea | 11 | 60 | 40 | 37 | 13 | 91 | | 7 | 11 | 6.3 |
| Kuwait | 0 | 40 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 78 | | 8 | 55 | 0.5 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 33 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 21 | 17 | | 4 | 4 | 4.1 |
| Lao PDR Latvia | 11 50 | 40 40 | 60 40 | 37 43 | 5 24 | 19 17 | | 9 8 | 135 54 | 4.2 2.0 |
| Lebanon | 50 44 | 40 | 40 30 | 43 25 | 24 | 17 | | 8 | 25 | 5.9 |
| Lesotho | 22 | 40 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 44 | _ | 6 | 101 | 8.2 |
| Liberia | 33 | 20 | 40 | 31 | 5 | 84 | | 13 | 50 | 14.9 |
| Lithuania | 33 | 80 | 30 | 48 | 31 | 30 | | 3 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Luxembourg | 67 | 80 | 40 | 62 | 13 | 39 | | 8 | 29 | 10.2 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 61 | 60 | 30 | 50 | 33 | 26 | | 6 | 98 | 3.5 |
| Madagascar | 89 | 60 | 40 | 63 | 18 | 30 | | 8 | 134 | 11.6 |
| Malawi | 56 0 | 0 0 | 20 30 | 25 10 | 1 15 | 84 75 | | 6 5 | 88 144 | 3.3 2.4 |
| Malaysia Maldives | 0 | 0 | 30 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 9 | | o no practice | no practice | 2.4 no practice |
| Mali | 33 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 28 | 31 | | 5 | 29 | 21.2 |
| Marshall Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | _ | no practice | no practice | no practice |
| Mauritania | 56 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 16 | 31 | | . 4 | 49 | 5.2 |
| Mauritius | 0 | 20 | 50 | 23 | 6 | 35 | | 6 | 210 | 10.8 |
| Mexico | 33 | 40 | 70 | 48 | 21 | 52 | | 5 | 74 | 4.7 |
| Micronesia | 22 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | | no practice | no practice | no practice |
| Moldova | 33 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 28 | 37 | | 6 | 48 | 0.9 |
| Mongolia Montenegro | 22 33 | 80 40 | 0 40 | 34 38 | 20 18 | 9 39 | | 5 8 | 11 86 | 2.2 2.4 |
| Morocco | 100 | 40 40 | 40 50 | 63 | 18 | 85 | | 8 | 47 | 4.9 |
| Mozambique | 83 | 60 | 20 | 54 | 4 | 143 | | 8 | 42 | 8.1 |
| Namibia | 0 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 24 | | 9 | 23 | 9.9 |
| Nepal | 67 | 20 | 70 | 52 | 10 | 90 | | 3 | 5 | 6.4 |
| Netherlands | 17 | 40 | 70 | 42 | 18 | 17 | | 2 | 5 | 6.2 |
| New Zealand | 11 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | | 2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Nicaragua | 22 | 60 | 0 | 27 | 17 | 24 | | 8 | 124 | 3.5 |
| Niger | 100 | 60 | 50 | 70 | 17 | 31 | | 5 | 32 | 9.0 |
| Nigeria | 0 61 | 0 40 | 20 40 | 7 47 | 9 14 | 50 13 | | 14 | 82 | 22.2 |
| Norway Oman | 33 | 40 40 | 40 0 | 47 24 | 14 11 | 13 | | 1 2 | 3 16 | 2.5 3.0 |
| omun | | TU | 0 | 27 | 11 | | | 2 | 10 | 5.0 |

| | Employing workers | | | | | | | Registering property | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Difficulty | Rigidity | Difficulty | Rigidity of | | | | | 5 1 | | |
| | of hiring | of hours | of firing | employment | | Firing cost | | | | Cost | |
| Economy | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | index (0–100) | (% of salary) | (weeks of salary) | | (number) | Time (days) | (% of property value) | |
| • | . , | | . , | . , | | | | . , | | , | |
| Pakistan | 78 | 20 | 30 | 43 | 11 | 90 | | 6 | 50 | 5.3 | |
| Palau | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | | 5 | 14 | 0.4 | |
| Panama Panama | 78 | 60 | 70 | 69 | 19 | 44 | | 7 | 44 | 2.4 | |
| Papua New Guinea | 11 56 | 20 60 | 0 60 | 10 59 | 10 17 | 39 113 | | 4 | 72 46 | 5.1 3.5 | |
| Paraguay Peru | | 60 | 60 | 59 | 17 | 52 | | 6 5 | 33 | 3.5 | |
| Philippines | 56 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 7 | 91 | | 8 | 33 | 4.2 | |
| Poland | 11 | 60 | 40 | 37 | 21 | 13 | | 6 | 197 | 0.5 | |
| Portugal | 33 | 60 | 50 | 48 | 24 | 95 | | 5 | 42 | 7.4 | |
| Puerto Rico | 44 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 13 | 0 | | 8 | 194 | 1.4 | |
| Romania | 78 | 80 | 40 | 66 | 31 | 8 | | 8 | 150 | 2.8 | |
| Russia | 33 | 60 | 40 | 44 | 31 | 17 | | 6 | 52 | 0.3 | |
| Rwanda | 56 | 40 | 30 | 42 | 5 | 26 | | 5 | 371 | 9.4 | |
| Samoa | 11 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 9 | | 5 | 147 | 1.8 | |
| São Tomé and Principe | 50 | 80 | 60 | 63 | 6 | 91 | | 7 | 62 | 12.6 | |
| Saudi Arabia | 0 | 40 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 80 | | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | |
| Senegal | 72 | 60 | 50 | 61 | 21 | 38 | | 6 | 114 | 19.5 | |
| Serbia | 67 | 40 | 30 | 46 | 18 | 25 | | 6 | 111 | 5.4 | |
| Seychelles | 33 | 20 | 50 | 34 | 25 | 39 | | 4 | 33 | 7.0 | |
| Sierra Leone | 44 0 | 60 0 | 50 0 | 51 0 | 10 | 189 4 | | 8 | 235 9 | 14.9 2.8 | |
| Singapore Slovakia | 0 17 | 0 60 | 0 30 | 0 36 | 13 35 | 4 13 | | 3 | 9 17 | 2.8 0.1 | |
| Slovenia | 78 | 60 | 50 50 | 63 | 55 19 | 40 | | 6 | 391 | 2.0 | |
| Solomon Islands | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 40 | | 10 | 297 | 4.9 | |
| South Africa | 56 | 20 40 | 20 30 | 42 | 4 | 24 | | 6 | 297 | 8.8 | |
| Spain | 78 | 60 | 30 | 56 | 33 | 56 | | 4 | 18 | 7.1 | |
| Sri Lanka | 0 | 20 | 60 | 27 | 15 | 169 | | 8 | 83 | 5.1 | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 10 | 8 | | 6 | 81 | 13.3 | |
| St. Lucia | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 56 | | 5 | 20 | 7.4 | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 4 | 54 | | 6 | 37 | 11.9 | |
| Sudan | 39 | 20 | 50 | 36 | 17 | 118 | | 6 | 9 | 3.2 | |
| Suriname | 0 | 20 | 50 | 23 | 0 | 26 | | 4 | 193 | 13.7 | |
| Swaziland | 11 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 2 | 53 | | 11 | 46 | 7.1 | |
| Sweden | 17 | 60 | 40 | 39 | 32 | 26 | | 1 | 2 | 3.0 | |
| Switzerland | 0 | 40 | 10 | 17 | 15 | 13 | | 4 | 16 | 0.4 | |
| Syria | 22 | 40 | 50 | 37 | 17 | 80 | | 4 | 34 | 28.1 | |
| Taiwan, China Taiikistan | 67 33 | 40 80 | 40 40 | 49 51 | 13 25 | 91 22 | | 3 6 | 5 37 | 6.2 1.9 | |
| Tajikistan Tanzania | 33 89 | 80 40 | 40 60 | 63 | 25 16 | 32 | | | 37 119 | 5.3 | |
| Tanzania Thailand | 33 | 40 20 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 52 54 | | 10 2 | 2 | 5.5 6.3 | |
| Timor-Leste | 33 | 20 | 50 | 34 | 0 | 17 | | no practice | no practice | no practice | |
| Togo | 61 | 60 | 40 | 54 | 25 | 36 | | 5 | 295 | 13.9 | |
| Tonga | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | | 4 | 108 | 10.2 | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 67 | | 8 | 162 | 7.0 | |
| Tunisia | 28 | 40 | 80 | 49 | 22 | 17 | | 5 | 49 | 6.1 | |
| Turkey | 56 | 40 | 30 | 42 | 22 | 95 | | 6 | 6 | 3.1 | |
| Uganda | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 13 | | 13 | 227 | 4.6 | |
| Ukraine | 44 | 60 | 30 | 45 | 38 | 13 | | 10 | 93 | 3.3 | |
| United Arab Emirates | 0 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 84 | | 3 | 6 | 2.0 | |
| United Kingdom | 11 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 22 | | 2 | 21 | 4.1 | |
| United States | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | | 4 | 12 | 0.5 | |
| Uruguay | 33 | 60 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 31 | | 8 | 66 | 7.1 | |
| Uzbekistan | 33 | 40 | 30 | 34 | 25 | 22 | | 12 | 78 | 1.4 | |
| Vanuatu | 22 | 40 | 10 | 24 | 4 | 56 | | 2 | 188 | 11.0 | |
| Venezuela | 78 | 60 | 100 | 79 | 16 | not possible | | 8 | 47 | 2.2 | |
| Vietnam Wort Pank and Gaza | 0 | 40 | 40 | 27 | 17 | 87 91 | | 4 | 67 72 | 1.2 | |
| West Bank and Gaza | 33 0 | 40 | 20 40 | 31 33 | 0 | 91 17 | | 10 6 | 72 | 2.2 3.9 | |
| Yemen Zambia | 33 | 60 40 | 40 30 | 33 34 | 9 9 | 17 | | 6 6 | 21 70 | 3.9 9.6 | |
| Zimbabwe | 33 0 | 40 40 | 30 60 | 34 33 | 9 4 | 446 | | о 4 | 70 30 | 9.6 25.0 | |
| LIIIDADWE | 0 | 40 | 00 | 00 | 4 | -140 | | 4 | 30 | 23.0 | |

| | | Getting | credit | | Protecting investors | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Strength of legal rights index | | | Private bureau coverage | | Extent of disclosure index | Extent of director liability index | Ease of shareholder suits index | Strength of investor protection | |
| Economy | (0-10) | (0–6) | (% of adults) | (% of adults) | | (0-10) | (0-10) | (0-10) | index (0-10) | |
| Afghanistan | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.7 | |
| Albania | 9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2.7 | |
| Algeria | 3 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5.3 | |
| Angola | 3 | 4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.7 | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | |
| Argentina | 3 | 6 | 25.5 | 100.0 | | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4.7 | |
| Armenia | 5 | 5 | 2.8 | 13.5 | | 5 | 2 | 8 | 5.0 | |
| Australia | 9 | 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 8 | 2 | 7 | 5.7 | |
| Austria | 5 7 | 6 | 1.3 1.4 | 40.6 | | 3 | 5 1 | 4 | 4.0 4.3 | |
| Azerbaijan Bangladesh | 7 | 4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | 4 6 | 7 | 8 | 6.7 | |
| Belarus | 2 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | 5 | 1 | 8 | 0.7 4.7 | |
| Belgium | 5 | 4 | 57.2 | 0.0 | | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7.0 | |
| Belize | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4.3 | |
| Benin | 4 | 1 | 7.8 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 | |
| Bhutan | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4.0 | |
| Bolivia | 1 | 5 | 12.1 | 22.6 | | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 7 | 5 | 0.0 | 63.7 | | 3 | 6 | 6 | 5.0 | |
| Botswana | 7 | 4 | 0.0 | 58.3 | | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4.3 | |
| Brazil | 2 | 5 | 17.1 | 46.4 | | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5.3 | |
| Brunei | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4.3 | |
| Bulgaria | 6 | 6 | 25.4 | 3.0 | | 10 | 1 | 7 | 6.0 | |
| Burkina Faso | 4 | 1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3.7 | |
| Burundi | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3.3 | |
| Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 9 | 2 | 5.3 | |
| Cameroon | 3 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 6 | 4.3 | |
| Canada | 7 | 6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8.3 | |
| Cape Verde | 5 | 3 | 20.3 | 0.0 | | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| Central African Republic | 3 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4.0 | |
| Chad | 3 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | _ | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4.0 | |
| Chile | 4 | 5 | 26.2 | 33.5 | | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6.0 | |
| China | 3 | 4 | 49.2 | 0.0 | | 10 | 1 | 4 | 5.0 | |
| Colombia | 2 3 | 5 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 39.9 0.0 | | 8 | 2 1 | 9 5 | 6.3 4.0 | |
| Comoros Congo, Dem. Rep. | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 3 | 3 | 5 4 | 4.0 3.3 | |
| Congo, Rep. | 3 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | - | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 | |
| Costa Rica | 4 | 5 | 6.1 | 52.7 | | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3.0 | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 3 | 1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 | |
| Croatia | 6 | 3 | 0.0 | 72.4 | | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| Czech Republic | 6 | 5 | 4.2 | 53.0 | | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5.0 | |
| Denmark | 8 | 4 | 0.0 | 11.5 | | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6.3 | |
| Djibouti | 3 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2.3 | |
| Dominica | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | |
| Dominican Republic | 4 | 6 | 13.3 | 35.4 | | 5 | 0 | 7 | 4.0 | |
| Ecuador | 1 | 5 | 37.9 | 44.1 | | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| Egypt | 1 | 4 | 1.6 | | | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| El Salvador | 3 | 6 | 17.2 | 74.6 | | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4.3 | |
| Equatorial Guinea | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3.7 | |
| Eritrea | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4.7 | |
| Estonia | 4 | 5 | 0.0 | 19.7 | _ | 8 | 4 | 6 | 6.0 | |
| Ethiopia | 4 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4.3 | |
| Fiji | 5 | 4 | 0.0 | 41.2 | | 3 | 8 | 7 | 6.0 | |
| Finland | 6 | 5 | 0.0 | 14.9 | | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5.7 | |
| France | 6 | 4 | 24.8 | 0.0 | | 10 | 1 | 5 | 5.3 | |
| Gabon | 3 | 2 | 2.4 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 2.7 | |
| Gambia | | 0 4 | | | | 2 | | 5 4 | | |
| Georgia Germany | 5 8 | 4 6 | 0.0 0.7 | 0.2 98.1 | | 8 5 | 6 5 | 4 5 | 6.0 5.0 | |
| Ghana | 8 5 | 0 | 0.7 | 98.1 0.0 | | 5 7 | 5 5 | 5 | 5.0 6.0 | |
| Unalla | J | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | / | , | 0 | 0.0 | |

| | Getting credit | | | | Protecting investors | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | legal rights index | Depth of credit information index | Public registry coverage | Private bureau coverage | | Extent of disclosure index | Extent of director liability index | Ease of shareholder suits index | Strength of investor protection | |
| Economy | (0–10) | (0–6) | (% of adults) | (% of adults) | | (0–10) | (0–10) | (0–10) | index (0–10) | |
| Greece | 3 | 4 | 0.0 | 38.7 | | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3.0 | |
| Grenada | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | |
| Guatemala | 3 | 5 | 20.7 | 13.1 | | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| Guinea | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2.7 | |
| Guinea-Bissau | 3 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4.0 | |
| Guyana | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5.3 | |
| Haiti | 3 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3.0 | |
| Honduras | 6 | 6 | 12.7 | 58.0 | | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3.3 | |
| Hong Kong, China | 10 | 5 | 0.0 | 64.7 | | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9.0 | |
| Hungary | 6 | 5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4.3 | |
| Iceland | 7 | 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5.3 | |
| India | 6 | 4 | 0.0 | 10.8 | | 7 | 4 | 7 | 6.0 | |
| Indonesia | 5 5 | 3 3 | 20.5 22.2 | 0.2 | | 9 5 | 5 4 | 3 | 5.7 3.0 | |
| Iran | с 4 | 3 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 4 | 4 5 | 0 4 | | |
| Iraq Ireland | 8 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 100.0 | | 10 | 6 | 9 | 4.3 8.3 | |
| Israel | о 8 | 5 | 0.0 | 91.6 | | 7 | 9 | 9 | o.s 8.3 | |
| Italy | o 3 | 5 | 11.0 | 91.0 71.5 | | 7 | 9 | 9 6 | 6.5 5.7 | |
| Jamaica | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5.3 | |
| Japan | 6 | 6 | 0.0 | 68.3 | | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7.0 | |
| Jordan | 5 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.3 | |
| Kazakhstan | 5 | 4 | 0.0 | 13.7 | | 7 | 1 | 9 | 5.7 | |
| Kenya | 8 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | | 3 | 2 | 10 | 5.0 | |
| Kiribati | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6.0 | |
| Korea | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 74.2 | | 7 | 2 | 7 | 5.3 | |
| Kuwait | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | 14.5 | | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6.3 | |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 5 | 3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | | 8 | 1 | 9 | 6.0 | |
| Lao PDR | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1.7 | |
| Latvia | 8 | 4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | | 5 | 4 | 8 | 5.7 | |
| Lebanon | 4 | 5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | | 9 | 1 | 5 | 5.0 | |
| Lesotho | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3.7 | |
| Liberia | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3.7 | |
| Lithuania | 4 | 6 | 6.6 | 7.3 | | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5.0 | |
| Luxembourg | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4.3 | |
| Macedonia, FYR | 6 | 3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5.0 | |
| Madagascar | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.7 | |
| Malawi | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5.3 | |
| Malaysia | 8 | 6 | 44.5 | | | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8.7 | |
| Maldives | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5.3 | |
| Mali | 3 | 1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 | |
| Marshall Islands | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 2 | 0 | 8 | 3.3 | |
| Mauritania | 4 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3.7 | |
| Mauritius Mexico | 5 | 1 | 38.6 | 0.0 | | 6 | 8 5 | 9 5 | 7.7 | |
| Micronesia | 3 7 | 6 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 61.2 | | 8 | с 0 | с 8 | 6.0 | |
| Moldova | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2.7 4.7 | |
| Mongolia | 5 | 3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | | 5 | 8 | 6 | 6.3 | |
| Montenegro | 7 | 0 | 9.5 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 8 | 6 | 6.3 | |
| Morocco | 3 | 1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3.0 | |
| Mozambique | 3 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | 5 | 4 | 9 | 6.0 | |
| Namibia | 5 | 5 | 0.9 | 59.9 | | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5.3 | |
| Nepal | 4 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | 6 | 1 | 9 | 5.3 | |
| Netherlands | 7 | 5 | 0.0 | 78.1 | | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4.7 | |
| New Zealand | 9 | 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9.7 | |
| Nicaragua | 3 | 5 | 14.8 | 100.0 | | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5.0 | |
| Niger | 3 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3.3 | |
| Nigeria | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5.7 | |
| Norway | 6 | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.7 | |
| Oman | 4 | 2 | 12.4 | 0.0 | | 8 | 5 | 3 | 5.3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Getting credit | | | | | Protecting investors | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Strength of legal rights index | Depth of credit information index | | Private bureau coverage | | Extent of disclosure index | Extent of director liability index | Ease of shareholder suits index | Strength of investor protection | | |
| Economy | (0–10) | (0–6) | (% of adults) | (% of adults) | | (0-10) | (0-10) | (0–10) | index (0–10) | | |
| Pakistan | 4 | 4 | 4.6 | 1.4 | | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6.3 | | |
| Palau | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2.7 | | |
| Panama | 6 | 6 | 0.0 | 41.6 | | 1 | 4 | 9 | 4.7 | | |
| Papua New Guinea | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 5 | 8 | 6.0 | | |
| Paraguay | 3 | 6 | 11.0 | 48.7 | _ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5.7 | | |
| Peru | 4 | 6 | 20.7 | 33.0 | | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6.7 | | |
| Philippines | 3 4 | 3 4 | 0.0 | 5.5 51.5 | | 1 7 | 2 2 | 8 9 | 3.7 | | |
| Poland Portugal | 4 | 4 | 0.0 67.1 | 51.5 11.3 | | 6 | 2 | 9 | 6.0 6.0 | | |
| Puerto Rico | 6 | 5 | 0.0 | 62.0 | | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7.0 | | |
| Romania | 7 | 5 | 4.1 | 10.9 | - | 9 | 5 | 4 | 6.0 | | |
| Russia | 3 | 4 | 0.0 | 4.4 | | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5.0 | | |
| Rwanda | 1 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2.7 | | |
| Samoa | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6.3 | | |
| São Tomé and Principe | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4.0 | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 3 | 6 | 0.0 | 23.5 | | 7 | 7 | 3 | 5.7 | | |
| Senegal | 3 | 1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3.0 | | |
| Serbia | 7 | 5 | 0.1 | 51.3 | | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5.3 | | |
| Seychelles | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 5 | 5.7 | | |
| Sierra Leone | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4.7 | | |
| Singapore | 9 | 4 | 0.0 | 42.7 | | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9.3 | | |
| Slovakia | 9 | 4 | 1.2 | 56.0 | | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4.7 | | |
| Slovenia Salaman Jalamata | 6 | 2 | 2.5 | 0.0 | | 3 | 8 | 8 | 6.3 | | |
| Solomon Islands South Africa | 4 5 | 0 6 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 52.1 | | 3 8 | 7 8 | 7 8 | 5.7 8.0 | | |
| Spain | 6 | 6 | 44.9 | 8.3 | - | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5.0 | | |
| Sri Lanka | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5.3 | | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | | |
| St. Lucia | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6.3 | | |
| Sudan | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 6 | 5 | 3.7 | | |
| Suriname | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2.3 | | |
| Swaziland | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 37.6 | | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2.0 | | |
| Sweden | 6 | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5.7 | | |
| Switzerland | 6 | 5 | 0.0 | 24.0 | | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3.0 | | |
| Syria | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4.3 | | |
| Taiwan, China | 4 | 5 | 0.0 | 67.1 | | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5.3 | | |
| Tajikistan | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.7 | | |
| Tanzania Thailan d | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 3 | 4 | 8 | 5.0 | | |
| Thailand Timor-Leste | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 27.9 0.0 | - | 10 3 | 2 | 6 5 | 6.0 | | |
| Togo | 2 | 1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | | |
| Tonga | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4.7 | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5 | 4 | 0.0 | 34.4 | | 4 | 9 | 7 | 6.7 | | |
| Tunisia | 2 | 4 | 13.7 | 0.0 | | 0 | 4 | 6 | 3.3 | | |
| Turkey | 3 | 5 | 10.3 | 2.7 | | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5.3 | | |
| Uganda | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4.0 | | |
| Ukraine | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 1 | 3 | 7 | 3.7 | | |
| United Arab Emirates | 3 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4.3 | | |
| United Kingdom | 10 | 6 | 0.0 | 84.6 | | 10 | 7 | 7 | 8.0 | | |
| United States | 7 | 6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8.3 | | |
| Uruguay | 5 | 6 | 14.1 | 93.8 | | 3 | 4 | 8 | 5.0 | | |
| Uzbekistan | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4.3 | | |
| Vanuatu | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5.3 | | |
| Venezuela | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2.7 | | |
| Vietnam | 6 | 3 | 9.2 | 0.0 | | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2.7 | | |
| West Bank and Gaza | 5 3 | 3 | 1.8 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | | 6 6 | 5 4 | 7 2 | 6.0 4.0 | | |
| Yemen Zambia | 3 6 | 0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6 3 | 4 6 | 2 7 | 4.0 5.3 | | |
| Zimbabwe | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4.3 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0 | | 4 | 4.5 | | |

| | Ра | aying taxe | 2S | Trading across borders | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Economy | Payments (number per year) | Time (hours per year) | Total tax rate (% of profit) | Documents to export (number) | Time to export (days) | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | Documents to import (number) | Time to import (days) | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| | | | | . , | | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 6 44 | 275 240 | 35.5 46.8 | 12 7 | 67 21 | 2,500 745 | 11 9 | 71 22 | 2,100 750 |
| Albania Algeria | 33 | 240 451 | 40.8 72.6 | 8 | 17 | 1,198 | 9 | 22 | 1,378 |
| Angola | 31 | 272 | 53.2 | 12 | 64 | 1,850 | 9 | 58 | 2,325 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 45 | 184 | 46.8 | 5 | 19 | 1,107 | 6 | 19 | 1,174 |
| Argentina | 19 | 615 | 112.9 | 9 | 16 | 1,325 | 7 | 20 | 1,825 |
| Armenia | 50 | 1,120 | 36.6 | 7 | 30 | 1,165 | 8 | 24 | 1,335 |
| Australia | 12 | 107 | 50.6 | 6 | 9 | 930 | 6 | 12 | 1,120 |
| Austria | 22 | 170 | 54.6 | 4 | 8 | 843 | 5 | 8 | 843 |
| Azerbaijan | 38 | 952 | 40.9 | 9 | 56 | 2,715 | 14 | 56 | 2,945 |
| Bangladesh Belarus | 17 124 | 400 1,188 | 39.5 144.4 | 7 8 | 28 24 | 844 1,672 | 9 8 | 32 29 | 1,148 1,672 |
| Belgium | 124 | 1,100 | 64.3 | 0 4 | 8 | 1,600 | ° 5 | 29 9 | 1,672 |
| Belize | 41 | 147 | 30.8 | 7 | 23 | 1,800 | 6 | 26 | 2,130 |
| Benin | 55 | 270 | 73.3 | 7 | 34 | 1,167 | 7 | 41 | 1,202 |
| Bhutan | 19 | 274 | 39.8 | 8 | 38 | 1,150 | 11 | 38 | 2,080 |
| Bolivia | 41 | 1,080 | 78.1 | 8 | 24 | 1,110 | 7 | 36 | 1,230 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 51 | 368 | 44.1 | 6 | 19 | 1,030 | 7 | 18 | 985 |
| Botswana | 19 | 140 | 17.2 | 6 | 33 | 2,328 | 9 | 43 | 2,595 |
| Brazil | 11 | 2,600 | 69.2 | 8 | 18 | 1,090 | 7 | 22 | 1,240 |
| Brunei | 15 | 144 | 37.4 | 6 | 28 | 515 | 6 | 19 | 590 |
| Bulgaria | 17 | 616 | 36.7 | 5 | 23 | 1,329 | 7 | 21 | 1,377 |
| Burkina Faso Burundi | 45 32 | 270 140 | 48.9 278.7 | 11 9 | 45 47 | 2,096 2,147 | 11 10 | 54 71 | 3,522 |
| Cambodia | 52 27 | 140 | 278.7 | 9 11 | 37 | 722 | 10 | 46 | 3,705 852 |
| Cameroon | 41 | 1,400 | 51.9 | 9 | 27 | 907 | 8 | 33 | 1,529 |
| Canada | 9 | 119 | 45.9 | 3 | 7 | 1,385 | 4 | 11 | 1,425 |
| Cape Verde | 57 | 100 | 54.0 | 5 | 21 | 1,024 | 5 | 21 | 1,024 |
| Central African Republic | 54 | 504 | 203.8 | 8 | 57 | 4,581 | 18 | 66 | 4,534 |
| Chad | 54 | 122 | 63.7 | 6 | 78 | 4,867 | 9 | 102 | 5,520 |
| Chile | 10 | 316 | 25.9 | 6 | 21 | 645 | 7 | 21 | 685 |
| China | 35 | 872 | 73.9 | 7 | 21 | 390 | 6 | 24 | 430 |
| Colombia | 69 | 268 | 82.4 | 6 | 24 | 1,440 | 8 | 20 | 1,440 |
| Comoros | 20 32 | 100 | 48.8 | 10 | 30 | 971 | 10 | 21 | 974 |
| Congo, Dem. Rep. Congo, Rep. | 32 89 | 308 606 | 229.8 65.4 | 8 | 46 50 | 2,307 2,201 | 9 | 66 62 | 2,183 |
| Costa Rica | 43 | 402 | 55.7 | 7 | 18 | 660 | 8 | 25 | 660 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 66 | 270 | 45.4 | 10 | 23 | 1,653 | 8 | 43 | 2,457 |
| Croatia | 28 | 196 | 32.5 | 7 | 22 | 1,200 | 8 | 16 | 1,200 |
| Czech Republic | 12 | 930 | 48.6 | 5 | 16 | 775 | 7 | 18 | 860 |
| Denmark | 9 | 135 | 33.3 | 4 | 5 | 540 | 3 | 5 | 540 |
| Djibouti | 35 | 114 | 38.7 | 8 | 22 | 960 | 6 | 18 | 960 |
| Dominica | 38 | 147 | 37.1 | 7 | 16 | 1,197 | 8 | 18 | 1,107 |
| Dominican Republic | 74 | 286 | 40.2 | 6 | 12 | 815 | 7 | 13 | 1,015 |
| Ecuador | 8 | 600 | 35.3 | 10 | 22 | 1,090 | 8 | 44 | 1,090 |
| Egypt El Salvador | 36 66 | 711 224 | 47.9 33.8 | 6 8 | 15 21 | 714 540 | 11 | 18 19 | 729 540 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 45 | 224 | 62.2 | 0 7 | 21 | 1,403 | 7 | 18 46 | 1,403 |
| Eritrea | 18 | 212 | 84.5 | 9 | 59 | 1,331 | 13 | 40 69 | 1,403 |
| Estonia | 10 | 81 | 49.2 | 3 | 5 | 675 | 4 | 5 | 675 |
| Ethiopia | 20 | 198 | 31.1 | 8 | 46 | 1,617 | 8 | 42 | 2,793 |
| Fiji | 33 | 140 | 38.5 | 13 | 25 | 573 | 13 | 25 | 570 |
| Finland | 20 | 269 | 47.8 | 4 | 8 | 420 | 5 | 8 | 420 |
| France | 23 | 132 | 66.3 | 4 | 11 | 1,028 | 5 | 12 | 1,148 |
| Gabon | 28 | 272 | 44.2 | 6 | 19 | 1,510 | 7 | 35 | 1,600 |
| Gambia | 50 | 376 | 286.7 | 7 | 23 | 809 | 8 | 23 | 869 |
| Georgia | 29 | 387 | 38.6 | 8 | 12 | 1,105 | 7 | 14 | 1,105 |
| Germany | 16 22 | 196 | 50.8 | 4 | 7 | 740 | 5 7 | 7 | 765 |
| Ghana | 32 | 304 | 32.9 | 6 | 19 | 895 | / | 29 | 895 |

| | Ра | aying taxe | 25 | Trading across borders | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Economy | Payments (number per year) | Time (hours per year) | Total tax rate (% of profit) | Documents to export (number) | Time to export (days) | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | Documents to import (number) | Time to import (days) | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Greece | 21 | 264 | 48.6 | 5 | 20 | 998 | 6 | 25 | 1,245 |
| Grenada | 30 | 140 | 45.3 | 6 | 19 | 820 | 5 | 23 | 1,178 |
| Guatemala | 39 | 344 | 37.5 | 11 | 19 | 1,052 | 11 | 18 | 1,177 |
| Guinea | 56 | 416 | 49.9 | 7 | 33 | 570 | 9 | 32 | 995 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 46 | 208 | 45.9 | 6 | 27 | 1,445 | 6 | 26 | 1,749 |
| Guyana | 34 | 288 | 39.0 | 7 | 30 | 850 | 8 | 35 | 856 |
| Haiti | 53 | 160 | 40.0 | 8 | 52 | 1,650 | 10 | 53 | 1,860 |
| Honduras | 47 | 424 | 51.4 | 7 | 20 | 1,065 | 11 | 23 | 975 525 |
| Hong Kong, China Hungary | 4 24 | 80 340 | 24.4 55.1 | 4 5 | 6 18 | 525 975 | 4 7 | 5 17 | 525 975 |
| Iceland | 31 | 140 | 27.2 | 5 | 15 | 469 | 5 | 14 | 443 |
| India | 60 | 271 | 70.6 | 8 | 18 | 820 | 9 | 21 | 910 |
| Indonesia | 51 | 266 | 37.3 | 5 | 21 | 667 | 6 | 27 | 623 |
| Iran | 22 | 292 | 47.4 | 8 | 26 | 860 | 10 | 42 | 1,330 |
| Iraq | 13 | 312 | 24.7 | 10 | 102 | 3,400 | 10 | 101 | 3,400 |
| Ireland | 9 | 76 | 28.9 | 4 | 7 | 1,090 | 4 | 12 | 1,139 |
| Israel | 33 | 230 | 36.0 | 5 | 12 | 560 | 4 | 12 | 560 |
| Italy | 15 | 360 | 76.2 | 5 | 20 | 1,291 | 5 | 18 | 1,291 |
| Jamaica | 72 | 414 | 51.3 | 6 | 21 | 1,750 | 6 | 22 | 1,350 |
| Japan | 13 26 | 350 101 | 52.0 31.1 | 4 | 10 19 | 989 680 | 5 | 11 22 | 1,047 |
| Jordan Kazakhstan | 26 9 | 271 | 31.1 36.7 | 12 | 89 | 2,730 | 14 | 76 | 1,065 2,780 |
| Kenya | 41 | 432 | 50.9 | 9 | 29 | 1,955 | 8 | 37 | 1,995 |
| Kiribati | 7 | 120 | 31.8 | 6 | 21 | 1,550 | 7 | 21 | 1,550 |
| Korea | 48 | 290 | 34.9 | 4 | 11 | 745 | 6 | 10 | 745 |
| Kuwait | 14 | 118 | 14.4 | 8 | 20 | 935 | 11 | 20 | 935 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 75 | 202 | 61.4 | 13 | 64 | 2,500 | 13 | 75 | 2,450 |
| Lao PDR | 34 | 672 | 35.5 | 9 | 50 | 1,750 | 10 | 50 | 1,930 |
| Latvia | 7 | 219 | 32.6 | 6 | 13 | 800 | 6 | 12 | 800 |
| Lebanon | 19 | 180 | 35.4 | 5 | 27 | 1,027 | 7 | 38 | 810 |
| Lesotho | 22 | 342 | 20.8 | 6 | 44 | 1,188 | 8 | 49 | 1,210 |
| Liberia Lithuania | 37 24 | 158 166 | 81.6 48.3 | 10 6 | 20 10 | 1,032 820 | 9 6 | 10 13 | 1,032 980 |
| Luxembourg | 24 | 58 | 35.3 | 5 | 6 | 1,250 | 4 | 6 | 1,250 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 52 | 96 | 49.8 | 7 | 19 | 1,130 | 7 | 17 | 1,130 |
| Madagascar | 26 | 238 | 46.5 | 4 | 28 | 1,182 | 10 | 49 | 1,282 |
| Malawi | 30 | 370 | 32.2 | 12 | 45 | 1,623 | 10 | 54 | 2,500 |
| Malaysia | 35 | 166 | 36.0 | 7 | 18 | 432 | 7 | 14 | 385 |
| Maldives | 1 | 0 | 9.1 | 8 | 21 | 1,200 | 9 | 20 | 1,200 |
| Mali | 58 | 270 | 51.4 | 9 | 44 | 1,752 | 11 | 65 | 2,680 |
| Marshall Islands | 21 | 128 | 64.9 | 5 | 21 | 765 | 5 | 33 | 765 |
| Mauritania | 38 7 | 696 | 107.5 | 11 E | 35 | 1,360 | 11 | 42 | 1,363 |
| Mauritius Mexico | 27 | 161 552 | 21.7 51.2 | 5 5 | 17 17 | 728 1,302 | 6 5 | 16 23 | 673 2,411 |
| Micronesia | 27 | 128 | 58.7 | 3 | 30 | 1,145 | 6 | 30 | 1,145 |
| Moldova | 49 | 218 | 44.0 | 6 | 32 | 1,425 | 7 | 35 | 1,545 |
| Mongolia | 42 | 204 | 38.4 | 10 | 58 | 1,807 | 10 | 59 | 3,197 |
| Montenegro | 88 | 372 | 31.6 | 9 | 18 | 1,580 | 7 | 19 | 1,780 |
| Morocco | 28 | 358 | 53.1 | 8 | 14 | 600 | 11 | 19 | 800 |
| Mozambique | 37 | 230 | 34.3 | 8 | 27 | 1,155 | 10 | 38 | 1,185 |
| Namibia | 37 | | 26.5 | 11 | 29 | 1,539 | 9 | 24 | 1,550 |
| Nepal | 33 | 408 | 32.5 | 9 | 43 | 1,600 | 10 | 35 | 1,725 |
| Netherlands | 9 | 180 | 43.4 | 4 | 6 | 880 | 5 | 6 | 1,005 |
| New Zealand | 8 | 70 | 35.1 | 7 5 | 10 | 725 | 5 | 9 | 800 |
| Nicaragua Niger | 64 42 | 240 270 | 63.2 42.4 | 5 | 36 59 | 1,021 2,945 | 5 | 38 68 | 1,054 2,946 |
| Nigeria | 42 35 | 1,120 | 42.4 29.9 | 0 10 | 26 | 2,945 1,026 | 9 | 08 46 | 2,940 1,047 |
| Norway | 4 | 87 | 42.0 | 4 | 7 | 518 | 4 | 7 | 468 |
| Oman | 14 | 62 | 21.6 | 10 | 22 | 665 | 10 | 26 | 824 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | Pa | aying taxe | 25 | Trading across borders | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| | Decimento | Time | Total tax | Documents | Time to | Cost | Documents | Time | Cost |
| | Payments (number per | Time (hours | rate | to export | export | to export (US\$ per | to import | to import | to import (US\$ per |
| Economy | year) | per year) | (% of profit) | (number) | (days) | container) | (number) | (days) | container) |
| Pakistan | 47 | 560 | 40.7 | 9 | 24 | 515 | 8 | 19 | 1,336 |
| Palau | 19 | 128 | 73.0 | 6 | 29 | 1,160 | 10 | 35 | 1,110 |
| Panama Danama | 59 | 482 | 50.8 | 3 | 9 | 650 | 4 | 9 | 850 |
| Papua New Guinea | 33 35 | 206 328 | 41.7 35.3 | 7 9 | 26 35 | 584 720 | 9 10 | 29 33 | 642 900 |
| Paraguay Peru | 9 | 424 | 41.5 | 9 7 | 24 | 590 | 8 | 31 | 670 |
| Philippines | 47 | 195 | 52.8 | 8 | 17 | 800 | 8 | 18 | 800 |
| Poland | 41 | 418 | 38.4 | 5 | 17 | 834 | 5 | 27 | 834 |
| Portugal | 8 | 328 | 44.8 | 6 | 16 | 580 | 7 | 16 | 994 |
| Puerto Rico | 16 | 140 | 44.3 | 7 | 15 | 1,225 | 10 | 16 | 1,225 |
| Romania | 96 | 202 | 46.9 | 5 | 12 | 1,075 | 6 | 13 | 1,075 |
| Russia | 22 | 448 | 51.4 | 8 | 36 | 2,050 | 13 | 36 | 2,050 |
| Rwanda | 34 | 168 | 33.8 | 9 | 47 | 2,975 | 9 | 69 | 4,970 |
| Samoa | 37 | 224 | 19.8 | 7 | 27 | 1,010 | 7 | 31 | 1,375 |
| São Tomé and Principe | 41 | 424 | 51.0 | 8 | 27 | 690 | 9 | 29 | 577 |
| Saudi Arabia | 14 | 79 | 14.5 | 5 | 19 | 1,008 | 5 | 20 | 758 |
| Senegal | 59 | 696 270 | 46.0 | 11 | 20 | 828 | 11 | 26 | 1,720 |
| Serbia | 66 | 279 | 35.8 | 6 | 12 | 1,240 | 6 | 14 | 1,440 |
| Seychelles Sierra Leone | 16 22 | 76 399 | 48.4 233.5 | 6 8 | 17 31 | 1,839 1,282 | 5 7 | 19 34 | 1,839 1,242 |
| Singapore | 5 | 49 | 233.3 | 4 | 5 | 416 | 4 | 3 | 367 |
| Slovakia | 31 | 344 | 50.5 | 6 | 25 | 1,015 | 8 | 25 | 1,050 |
| Slovenia | 22 | 260 | 39.2 | 6 | 20 | 971 | 8 | 21 | 1,019 |
| Solomon Islands | 33 | 80 | 32.6 | 7 | 24 | 1,056 | 4 | 21 | 1,238 |
| South Africa | 11 | 350 | 37.1 | 8 | 30 | 1,087 | 9 | 35 | 1,195 |
| Spain | 8 | 298 | 62.0 | 6 | 9 | 1,000 | 8 | 10 | 1,000 |
| Sri Lanka | 62 | 256 | 63.7 | 8 | 21 | 810 | 6 | 21 | 844 |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 24 | 172 | 52.6 | 6 | 15 | 750 | 6 | 17 | 756 |
| St. Lucia | 32 | 71 | 36.9 | 5 | 18 | 1,375 | 8 | 21 | 1,420 |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 36 | 76 | 45.0 | 6 | 15 | 1,770 | 6 | 16 | 1,769 |
| Sudan | 42 | 180 | 31.6 | 7 | 39 | 1,700 | 7 | 54 | 2,300 |
| Suriname | 17 | 199 | 27.9 | 8 | 25 21 | 905 | 7 | 25 | 815 |
| Swaziland Sweden | 33 2 | 104 122 | 36.6 54.5 | 9 4 | 21 | 1,798 561 | 11 3 | 34 6 | 1,820 619 |
| Switzerland | 2 | 63 | 29.1 | 4 | 8 | 1,238 | 5 | 9 | 1,333 |
| Syria | 21 | 336 | 46.7 | 8 | 19 | 1,300 | 9 | 23 | 1,900 |
| Taiwan, China | 23 | 340 | 40.6 | 7 | 13 | 747 | 7 | 12 | 747 |
| Tajikistan | 54 | 224 | 82.2 | 10 | 82 | 3,000 | 11 | 83 | 4,500 |
| Tanzania | 48 | 172 | 44.3 | 5 | 24 | 1,212 | 7 | 30 | 1,425 |
| Thailand | 35 | 264 | 37.7 | 7 | 17 | 615 | 9 | 14 | 786 |
| Timor-Leste | 15 | 640 | 28.3 | 6 | 25 | 990 | 7 | 26 | 995 |
| Тодо | 53 | 270 | 48.2 | 6 | 24 | 872 | 8 | 29 | 894 |
| Tonga | 23 | 164 | 25.0 | 7 | 19 | 545 | 6 | 25 | 620 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 40 | 114 | 33.1 | 5 | 14 | 693 | 7 | 26 | 1,100 |
| Tunisia | 46 | 268 | 61.0 | 5 | 17 | 540 | 7 | 22 | 810 |
| Turkey | 15 | 223 237 | 45.1 | 7 | 14 | 865 | 8 | 15 | 1,013 |
| Uganda Ukraine | 33 99 | 237 2,085 | 32.3 57.3 | 6 6 | 39 31 | 2,940 1,045 | 7 10 | 37 39 | 2,990 1,065 |
| United Arab Emirates | 99 14 | 2,085 | 14.4 | 7 | 13 | 462 | 8 | 13 | 462 |
| United Kingdom | 8 | 105 | 35.7 | 4 | 13 | 940 | 4 | 13 | 1,267 |
| United States | 10 | 325 | 46.2 | 4 | 6 | 960 | 5 | 5 | 1,160 |
| Uruguay | 53 | 304 | 40.7 | 10 | 24 | 925 | 10 | 23 | 1,180 |
| Uzbekistan | 118 | 196 | 96.3 | 7 | 80 | 2,550 | 11 | 104 | 4,050 |
| Vanuatu | 31 | 120 | 8.4 | 7 | 26 | 1,815 | 9 | 30 | 2,225 |
| Venezuela | 70 | 864 | 53.3 | 8 | 45 | 2,400 | 9 | 65 | 2,400 |
| Vietnam | 32 | 1,050 | 41.1 | 6 | 24 | 669 | 8 | 23 | 881 |
| West Bank and Gaza | 27 | 154 | 17.1 | 6 | 25 | 830 | 6 | 40 | 995 |
| Yemen | 32 | 248 | 41.4 | 6 | 33 | 1,129 | 9 | 31 | 1,475 |
| Zambia | 37 | 132 | 16.1 | 8 | 53 | 2,098 | 11 | 64 | 2,840 |
| Zimbabwe | 52 | 256 | 53.0 | 9 | 52 | 1,879 | 13 | 67 | 2,420 |

| | Enforcing contracts | | | Closing a business | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Economy | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | Cost (% of claim) | Tim (year | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | | |
| Afghanistan | 47 | 1,642 | 25.0 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Albania | 39 | 390 | 31.8 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Algeria | 47 | 630 | 17.4 | 2. | 5 7 | 41.7 | | |
| Angola | 46 | 1,011 | 44.4 | 6. | 2 22 | 10.8 | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 45 | 351 | 22.7 | 3.0 | | 35.7 | | |
| Argentina | 36 | 590 | 16.5 | 2.8 | 8 12 | 34.4 | | |
| Armenia | 50 | 285 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 9 4 | 42.0 | | |
| Australia | 28 | 262 | 20.7 | 1.0 | 0 8 | 79.2 | | |
| Austria | 26 | 397 | 12.7 | 1.1 | | 72.4 | | |
| Azerbaijan | 39 | 267 | 18.5 | 2. | | 31.5 | | |
| Bangladesh | 41 | 1,442 | 63.3 | 4.(| 0 8 | 23.2 | | |
| Belarus | 28 | 225 | 23.4 | 5.8 | 8 22 | 33.2 | | |
| Belgium | 27 | 505 | 16.6 | 0.9 | 9 4 | 85.5 | | |
| Belize | 51 | 892 | 27.5 | 1.0 | | 63.5 | | |
| Benin | 42 | 720 | 58.7 | 4.(| 0 15 | 22.6 | | |
| Bhutan | 47 | 275 | 0.1 | no prac | | 0.0 | | |
| Bolivia | 37 | 591 | 33.2 | 1.8 | 8 15 | 38.7 | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 38 | 595 | 38.4 | 3.3 | 3 9 | 35.0 | | |
| Botswana | 29 | 987 | 28.1 | 1. | 7 15 | 59.8 | | |
| Brazil | 45 | 616 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 0 12 | 14.6 | | |
| Brunei | 58 | 540 | 36.6 | 2. | 5 4 | 45.3 | | |
| Bulgaria | 40 | 564 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 3 9 | 32.4 | | |
| Burkina Faso | 37 | 446 | 107.4 | 4.0 | 0 9 | 25.6 | | |
| Burundi | 44 | 558 | 38.6 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Cambodia | 44 | 401 | 102.7 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Cameroon | 43 | 800 | 46.6 | 3. | 2 15 | 25.5 | | |
| Canada | 36 | 570 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 8 4 | 88.8 | | |
| Cape Verde | 37 | 465 | 24.1 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Central African Republic | 43 | 660 | 82.0 | 4.8 | 8 76 | 0.0 | | |
| Chad | 41 | 743 | 77.4 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Chile | 36 | 480 | 28.6 | 4. | 5 15 | 23.8 | | |
| China | 35 | 406 | 8.8 | 1. | | 35.9 | | |
| Colombia | 34 | 1,346 | 52.6 | 3.0 | 0 1 | 58.3 | | |
| Comoros | 43 | 506 | 89.4 | no prac | ctice no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Congo, Dem. Rep. | 43 | 685 | 151.8 | 5.2 | | 2.9 | | |
| Congo, Rep. | 44 | 560 | 53.2 | 3.0 | | 20.4 | | |
| Costa Rica | 40 | 877 | 24.3 | 3. | | 23.1 | | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 33 | 770 | 41.7 | 2. | | 33.0 | | |
| Croatia | 38 | 561 | 13.8 | 3. | | 30.2 | | |
| Czech Republic | 27 | 820 | 33.0 | 6. | | 21.3 | | |
| Denmark | 34 | 380 | 23.3 | 1. | | 87.0 | | |
| Djibouti | 40 | 1,225 | 34.0 | 5.0 | | 15.9 | | |
| Dominica | 47 | 681 | 36.0 | no prac | | 0.0 | | |
| Dominican Republic | 34 | 460 | 40.9 | 3. | | 8.4 | | |
| Ecuador | 39 | 498 | 20.8 | 5. | | 16.9 | | |
| Egypt | 42 | 1,010 | 25.3 | 4.1 | | 16.6 | | |
| El Salvador | 30 | 786 | 19.2 | 4.(| | 28.4 | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | 40 | 553 | 18.5 | no prac | | 0.0 | | |
| Eritrea | 39 | 405 | 22.6 | no prac | | 0.0 | | |
| Estonia | 36 39 | 425 | 17.3 15.2 | 3.0 | | 39.1 | | |
| Ethiopia | | 690 207 | | 3.0 | | 33.1 | | |
| Fiji Finland | 34 | 397 | 38.9 | 1.8 | | 20.2 | | |
| Finland | 33 | 235 | 10.4 | 0.9 | | 88.2 | | |
| France | 30 | 331 | 17.4 | 1.9 | | 47.4 | | |
| Gabon | 38 32 | 1,070 434 | 34.3 37.9 | 5.0 | | 15.2 | | |
| Gambia | | | | 3.0 | | 19.3 | | |
| Georgia | 36 | 285 | 29.9 | 3. | | 22.8 | | |
| Germany | 33 36 | 394 487 | 11.8 | 1. | | 53.4 | | |
| Ghana | 30 | 487 | 23.0 | 1.9 | 9 22 | 24.0 | | |

| | Enforcing contracts | | | | Closing a business | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Economy | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | Cost (% of claim) | | Time (years) | Cost (% of estate) | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | | |
| Greece | 39 | 819 | 14.4 | | 2.0 | 9 | 44.8 | | |
| Grenada | 47 | 723 | 32.6 | | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Guatemala | 28 | 1,459 | 26.5 | | 3.0 | 15 | 28.1 | | |
| Guinea | 50 | 276 | 45.0 | | 3.8 | 8 | 20.4 | | |
| Guinea-Bissau | 41 | 1,140 | 25.0 | | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Guyana | 36 | 581 | 25.2 | | 3.0 | 29 | 17.4 | | |
| Haiti | 35 | 508 | 42.6 | | 5.7 | 30 | 3.1 | | |
| Honduras | 45 | 480 | 30.4 | | 3.8 | 15 | 20.3 | | |
| Hong Kong, China | 24 | 211 | 14.5 | | 1.1 | 9 | 79.0 | | |
| Hungary | 33 | 335 | 13.0 | | 2.0 | 15 | 38.4 | | |
| Iceland | 26 | 393 | 6.1 | | 1.0 | 4 | 80.3 | | |
| India | 46 | 1,420 | 39.6 | | 10.0 | 9 | 11.6 | | |
| Indonesia | 39 | 570 | 122.7 | | 5.5 | 18 | 12.6 | | |
| Iran | 39 | 520 | 17.0 | | 4.5 | 9 | 19.0 | | |
| Iraq | 51 | 520 | 32.5 | | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Ireland | 20 | 515 | 26.9 | | 0.4 | 9 | 87.1 | | |
| Israel | 35 | 890 | 25.3 | | 4.0 | 23 | 43.6 | | |
| Italy | 41 | 1,210 | 29.9 | | 1.8 | 22 | 61.8 | | |
| Jamaica | 34 | 565 | 45.6 | | 1.1 | 18 | 64.3 | | |
| Japan | 30 | 316 | 22.7 | | 0.6 | 4 | 92.6 | | |
| Jordan | 39 | 689 | 31.2 | | 4.3 | 9 | 27.8 | | |
| Kazakhstan | 38 | 230 | 22.0 | | 3.3 | 18 | 23.4 | | |
| Kenya | 44 | 465 | 26.7 | | 4.5 | 22 | 31.0 | | |
| Kiribati | 32 | 660 | 25.8 | | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Korea | 35 | 230 | 10.3 | _ | 1.5 | 4 | 81.2 | | |
| Kuwait | 50 | 566 | 13.3 | | 4.2 | 1 | 33.6 | | |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 39 | 177 | 22.0 | | 4.0 | 15 | 15.6 | | |
| Lao PDR | 42 | 443 | 31.6 | | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Latvia Lebanon | 27 37 | 279 721 | 12.9 30.8 | | 3.0 4.0 | 13 22 | 34.6 19.1 | | |
| Lesotho | 41 | 695 | 16.6 | _ | 2.6 | 8 | 34.9 | | |
| Liberia | 41 | 1,280 | 35.0 | | 3.0 | 43 | 7.8 | | |
| Lithuania | 30 | 210 | 23.6 | | 1.7 | 7 | 49.2 | | |
| Luxembourg | 26 | 321 | 8.8 | | 2.0 | 15 | 49.2 | | |
| Macedonia, FYR | 39 | 385 | 33.1 | | 3.7 | 28 | 15.8 | | |
| Madagascar | 38 | 871 | 42.4 | - | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Malawi | 42 | 432 | 142.4 | | 2.6 | 30 | 13.2 | | |
| Malaysia | 30 | 600 | 27.5 | | 2.3 | 15 | 38.3 | | |
| Maldives | 41 | 665 | 16.5 | | 6.7 | 4 | 18.2 | | |
| Mali | 39 | 860 | 52.0 | | 3.6 | 18 | 21.3 | | |
| Marshall Islands | 36 | 476 | 27.4 | | 2.0 | 38 | 17.9 | | |
| Mauritania | 46 | 400 | 23.2 | | 8.0 | 9 | 7.8 | | |
| Mauritius | 37 | 750 | 17.4 | | 1.7 | 15 | 34.3 | | |
| Mexico | 38 | 415 | 32.0 | | 1.8 | 18 | 63.9 | | |
| Micronesia | 34 | 965 | 66.0 | | 5.3 | 38 | 3.1 | | |
| Moldova | 31 | 365 | 16.6 | | 2.8 | 9 | 28.8 | | |
| Mongolia | 32 | 314 | 26.1 | | 4.0 | 8 | 20.1 | | |
| Montenegro | 49 | 545 | 25.7 | | 2.0 | 8 | 42.8 | | |
| Morocco | 40 | 615 | 25.2 | | 1.8 | 18 | 35.3 | | |
| Mozambique | 31 | 1,010 | 142.5 | | 5.0 | 9 | 13.9 | | |
| Namibia | 33 | 270 | 29.9 | | 1.5 | 15 | 41.3 | | |
| Nepal | 39 | 735 | 26.8 | | 5.0 | 9 | 24.5 | | |
| Netherlands | 25 | 514 | 24.4 | | 1.1 | 4 | 86.7 | | |
| New Zealand | 30 | 216 | 22.0 | | 1.3 | 4 | 77.1 | | |
| Nicaragua | 35 | 540 | 26.8 | | 2.2 | 15 | 34.6 | | |
| Niger | 39 | 545 | 59.6 | | 5.0 | 18 | 14.2 | | |
| Nigeria | 39 | 457 | 32.0 | | 2.0 | 22 | 27.5 | | |
| Norway | 33 | 310 | 9.9 | | 0.9 | 1 | 90.7 | | |
| Oman | 51 | 598 | 13.5 | | 4.0 | 4 | 35.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | Enforcing contracts | | | Closing a business | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Economy | Procedures (number) | Time (days) | Cost (% of claim) | Time (years) | Cost (% of estate) | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | | |
| Pakistan | 47 | 880 | 23.8 | 2.8 | 4 | 39.1 | | |
| Palau | 38 | 885 | 35.3 | 1.0 | 23 | 38.2 | | |
| Panama | 31 | 686 | 50.0 | 2.5 | 18 | 32.3 | | |
| Papua New Guinea | 43 | 591 | 110.3 | 3.0 | 23 | 23.9 | | |
| Paraguay | 38 | 591 | 30.0 | 3.9 | 9 | 14.6 | | |
| Peru | 41 | 468 | 35.7 | 3.1 | 7 | 24.7 | | |
| Philippines | 37 | 842 | 26.0 | 5.7 | 38 | 4.2 | | |
| Poland | 38 | 830 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 22 | 27.8 | | |
| Portugal | 35 | 577 | 17.7 | 2.0 | 9 | 74.0 | | |
| Puerto Rico | 41 | 620 | 16.4 | 3.8 | 8 | 54.1 | | |
| Romania | 32 | 537 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 9 | 28.9 | | |
| Russia | 37 | 281 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 9 | 29.0 | | |
| Rwanda | 24 | 310 | 78.7 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Samoa | 44 | 455 | 19.7 | 2.5 | 38 | 15.2 | | |
| São Tomé and Principe | 43 | 405 | 34.8 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 44 | 635 | 27.5 | 2.8 | 22 | 29.3 | | |
| Senegal | 44 | 780 | 26.5 | 3.0 | 7 | 32.4 | | |
| Serbia | 36 | 635 | 28.4 | 2.7 | 23 | 23.1 | | |
| Seychelles | 38 | 720 | 14.3 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Sierra Leone | 40 | 515 | 149.5 | 2.6 | 42 | 8.5 | | |
| Singapore | 22 | 120 | 17.8 | 0.8 | 1 | 91.3 | | |
| Slovakia | 30 | 565 | 25.7 | 4.0 | 18 | 45.2 | | |
| Slovenia | 32 | 1,350 | 18.6 | 2.0 | 8 | 46.6 | | |
| Solomon Islands | 37 | 455 | 78.9 | 1.0 | 38 | 23.3 | | |
| South Africa | 30 | 600 | 33.2 | 2.0 | 18 | 33.2 | | |
| Spain | 39 | 515 | 17.2 | 1.0 | 15 | 76.9 | | |
| Sri Lanka | 40 | 1,318 | 22.8 | 1.7 | 5 | 44.6 | | |
| St. Kitts and Nevis | 47 | 578 | 20.5 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| St. Lucia | 47 | 635 | 37.3 | 2.0 | 9 | 41.8 | | |
| St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 45 | 394 | 30.3 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Sudan | 53 | 810 | 19.8 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Suriname | 44 | 1,715 | 37.1 | 5.0 | 30 | 7.4 | | |
| Swaziland Sweden | 40 | 972 | 23.1 | 2.0 | 15 | 36.0 | | |
| Sweden Switzerland | 30 32 | 508 | 31.3 | 2.0 | 9 | 74.7 | | |
| | 55 | 417 872 | 21.2 29.3 | 3.0 4.1 | 4 | 47.1 30.8 | | |
| Syria Taiwan China | 55 47 | | | | | 30.8 80.2 | | |
| Taiwan, China Taiikistan | | 510 | 17.4 | 1.9 | 4 | | | |
| Tajikistan Tanzania | 34 38 | 295 462 | 20.5 14.3 | 3.0 3.0 | 9 22 | 23.6 20.5 | | |
| Thailand | 35 | 402 | 14.3 | 2.7 | 36 | 41.8 | | |
| Timor-Leste | 51 | 1,800 | 163.2 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Togo | 41 | 588 | 47.5 | 3.0 | 15 | 26.3 | | |
| Tonga | 37 | 350 | 30.5 | 2.7 | 22 | 25.3 | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 42 | 1,340 | 33.5 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Tunisia | 39 | 565 | 21.8 | 1.3 | 7 | 51.5 | | |
| Turkey | 36 | 420 | 18.8 | 3.3 | 15 | 20.3 | | |
| Uganda | 38 | 535 | 44.9 | 2.2 | 30 | 41.0 | | |
| Ukraine | 30 | 354 | 41.5 | 2.2 | 42 | 9.1 | | |
| United Arab Emirates | 50 | 607 | 26.2 | 5.1 | 30 | 10.1 | | |
| United Kingdom | 30 | 404 | 23.4 | 1.0 | 6 | 84.6 | | |
| United States | 32 | 300 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 7 | 75.9 | | |
| Uruguay | 40 | 720 | 16.2 | 2.1 | 7 | 44.8 | | |
| Uzbekistan | 42 | 195 | 22.2 | 4.0 | 10 | 18.7 | | |
| Vanuatu | 30 | 430 | 74.7 | 2.6 | 38 | 39.3 | | |
| Venezuela | 29 | 510 | 43.7 | 4.0 | 38 | 6.6 | | |
| Vietnam | 34 | 295 | 31.0 | 5.0 | 15 | 18.0 | | |
| West Bank and Gaza | 44 | 700 | 21.2 | no practice | no practice | 0.0 | | |
| Yemen | 37 | 520 | 16.5 | 3.0 | 8 | 28.6 | | |
| Zambia | 35 | 471 | 38.7 | 2.7 | 9 | 28.4 | | |
| Zimbabwe | 38 | 410 | 32.0 | 3.3 | 22 | 0.1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

COUNTRY TABLES 103

| AFGHANISTAN | | South Asia | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 159 | Low income | |
| | 24 | | 169 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) | 24 4 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 9 | Time (days) | 250 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 84.6 | Cost (% of property value) | 7.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | 4 7 7 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 141 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 177 0 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Time (days) | 340 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21,230.8 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 24 | Protecting investors (rank) | 178 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 30 23 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2 0.7 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 0.7 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 | Paying taxes (rank) | 38 |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 6 |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 275 35.5 |
| | | | 55.5 |
| ALBANIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 136 | Lower middle income | |
| Starting a business (rank) | 123 | Registering property (rank) | 82 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 36 20.9 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 47 3.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 34.3 | | 5.5 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 48 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 168 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 9 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 24 331 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0 0.0 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 461.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| | 109 | | 165 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 35 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2.7 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 56 | Paying taxes (rank) | 118 |
| Thing cost (weeks of subry) | 50 | Payments (number per year) | 44 |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 240 |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 46.8 |
| ALGERIA | | Middle East & North Africa | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 125 | Lower middle income | |
| Starting a business (rank) | 131 | Registering property (rank) | 156 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 | Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 24 | Time (days) | 51 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 13.2 45.2 | Cost (% of property value) | 7.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 43.2 | Getting credit (rank) | 115 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 108 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Procedures (number) | 22 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 240 57.8 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.2 0.0 |
| cost (// or income per capita) | 57.0 | · mate bareau coverage (70 of addits) | 0.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 118 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Difficulty of hiring index $(0-100)$ | 44 60 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 4 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 27 | 2 • • • • | |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 | Paying taxes (rank) | 157 |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 33 451 |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 72.6 |
| | | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 260 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 30.9 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 174 |
| Documents to export (number) | 12 |
| Time to export (days) | 67 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,500 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 71 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,100 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 160 |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 1,642 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,960 |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Population (m) | 3.1 |
| 82 | Trading across borders (rank) | 70 |
| 7 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| 47 | Time to export (days) | 21 |
| 3.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 745 |
| | Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| 48 | Time to import (days) | 22 |
| 9 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 750 |
| 0 | | |
| 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 74 |
| 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 39 |
| | Time (days) | 390 |
| 165 | Cost (% of claim) | 31.8 |
| 0 | | |
| 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| 3 | Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| 2.7 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| 110 | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,030 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 33.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 114 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,198 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 23 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,378 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 117 |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 630 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 45 |
| Time (years) | 2.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 7 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 41.7 |

| ANGOLA | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 167 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 173 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 119 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 343.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 50.5 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 136 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 337 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,109.7 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 172 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 69 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 58 |

| ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 41 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 27 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 21 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 26 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 157 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 26.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 41 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 10 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 9 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 52 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| ARGENTINA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 109 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 114 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 31 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 9.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 4.8 |
| | 1.65 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 165 |
| Procedures (number) | 28 |
| Time (days) | 338 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 234.1 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 147 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 41 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 26 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 139 |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
|--|------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 166 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 334 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 11.1 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 84 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 2.3 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 51 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 120 |
| Payments (number per year) | 31 |
| Time (hours per year) | 272 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 53.2 |
| | |

| Latin America & Caribbean | |
|--|-------|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 75 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 26 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 13.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 19 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 108 |
| Payments (number per year) | 45 |
| Time (hours per year) | 184 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 46.8 |
| Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 96 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 65 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 7.6 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 48 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 25.5 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 98 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.7 |

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) 112.9

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,980 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 16.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 164 |
| Documents to export (number) | 12 |
| Time to export (days) | 64 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,850 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 58 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,325 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 176 |
| Procedures (number) | 46 |
| Time (days) | 1,011 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 44.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 138 |
| Time (years) | 6.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 10.8 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 11,210 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 55 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,107 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,174 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 74 |
| Procedures (number) | 45 |
| Time (days) | 351 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 22.7 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 58 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 7 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 35.7 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,150 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 39.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 107 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 16 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,325 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 20 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,825 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 47 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 590 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 16.5 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 65 |
| Time (years) | 2.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 12 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 34.4 |
| | |
| | |

| ARMENIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,930 |
|---|----------------|--|-----------------|---|-----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 39 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 3.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 47 | Registering property (rank) | 2 | Trading across borders (rank) | 118 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 18 | Time (days) | 4 | Time to export (days) | 30 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.8 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,165 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 3.1 | Getting credit (rank) | 36 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 8 24 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 73 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,335 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 5 | cost to import (ost per container) | 1,555 |
| Time (days) | 116 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 2.8 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 64 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 411.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13.5 | Procedures (number) | 50 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 285 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 48 33 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 83 5 | Cost (% of claim) | 19.0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 | Closing a business (rank) | 42 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 8 | Time (years) | 1.9 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 31 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 19 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 42.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 13 | Paying taxes (rank) | 143 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 50 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 1,120 36.6 | | |
| | | | 50.0 | | |
| AUSTRALIA | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 35,990 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 9 | High income | | Population (m) | 20.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 1 | Registering property (rank) | 27 | Trading across borders (rank) | 34 |
| Procedures (number) | 2 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 54 6 |
| Time (davs) | 2 | Time (days) | 5 | Time to export (days) | 9 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.8 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.9 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 930 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 3 | Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 52 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 9 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,120 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | 11 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 221 13.2 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 100.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 11 28 |
| cost (/// of income per capita) | 13.2 | Thrate buleau coverage (% of addits) | 100.0 | Time (days) | 262 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 8 | Protecting investors (rank) | 51 | Cost (% of claim) | 20.7 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 | Closing a business (rank) | 14 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 10 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 7 | Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 3 20 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.7 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 8 79.2 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 4 | Paying taxes (rank) | 41 | necovery rate (cents on the donar) | 19.2 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Payments (number per year) | 12 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 107 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 50.6 | | |
| | | | | | |
| AUSTRIA | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 39,590 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 25 | High income | | Population (m) | 8.2 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 83 | Registering property (rank) | 30 | Trading across borders (rank) | 12 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 28 | Time (days) | 32 | Time to export (days) | 8 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 5.4 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 843 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 55.5 | Getting credit (rank) | 26 | Time to import (days) | 5 8 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 40 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 843 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 6 | ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Time (days) | 194 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 1.3 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 6 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 73.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 40.6 | Procedures (number) | 26 |
| | (2) | Production investor (1) | 122 | Time (days) | 397 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 62 11 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 122 3 | Cost (% of claim) | 12.7 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0, 100) | 11 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 21 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | | | - | 1.1 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 | Time (years) | 1.1 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4 4.0 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 37 31 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.0 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 37 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 4.0 80 | Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 37 31 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 4.0 80 22 | Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 37 31 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 4.0 80 | Cost (% of estate) | 18 |

| AZERBAIJAN | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 96 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 64 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 30 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 6.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 150 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 159 |
| Procedures (number) | 31 |
| Time (days) | 207 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 768.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 80 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 22 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 |
| | |
| | |

| BANGLADESH | 107 |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 107 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 92 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 74 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 46.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 116 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 252 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 751.0 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 129 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 35 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 104 |
| | |
| | |

| BELARUS | |
|--|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 110 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 119 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 48 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 29.7 |
| | 04 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 94 17 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Time (days) | 350 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 60.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 43 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 27 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 39 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 56 7 61 0.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 26 7 4 1.4 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 107 4 1 8 4.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 141 38 952 40.9 |
| South Asia Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 171 8 425 10.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 48 7 2 0.7 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 15 6 7 7 6.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 81 17 400 39.5 |
| Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 94 7 231 0.1 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 2 3 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 98 5 1 8 4.7 |
| Paving taxes (rank) | 178 |

Eastern Europe & Central Asia

| Time (days) | 231 | |
|--|-------|--|
| Cost (% of property value) | 0.1 | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 2 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 98 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 178 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 124 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 1,188 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 144.4 | |
| | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,850 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 8.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 173 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 56 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,715 |
| Documents to import (number) | 14 |
| Time to import (days) | 56 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,945 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 30 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 267 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 18.5 |
| | 10.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 75 |
| Time (years) | 2.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 31.5 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 480 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 144.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 112 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 28 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 844 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 32 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,148 |
| | 175 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 41 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Time (days) | 1,442 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 63.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 102 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.2 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,380 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 9.7 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 137 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,672 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 29 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,672 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 16 |
| Procedures (number) | 28 |
| Time (days) | 225 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 23.4 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 69 |
| Time (years) | 5.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 33.2 |
| | |
| | |

| BELGIUM | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 38,60 |
|---|-----------|---|-------------|---|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 19 | High income | | Population (m) | 10. |
| Starting a business (rank) | 19 | Registering property (rank) | 161 | Trading across borders (rank) | 4 |
| Procedures (number) | 3 | Procedures (number) | 7 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 4 | Time (days) | 132 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 5.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 12.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,60 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 20.1 | - | | Documents to import (number) | |
| | 27 | Getting credit (rank) | 48 | Time to import (days) | 1.00 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 37 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,60 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 14 169 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 4 57.2 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 2 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 63.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 57.2 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 2 |
| cost (// of income per capita) | 05.7 | The buleau coverage (70 of addits) | 0.0 | Time (days) | 50 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 36 | Protecting investors (rank) | 12 | Cost (% of claim) | 16. |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 | Closing a business (rank) | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 10 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 | Time (years) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7.0 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Ionwage labor cost (% of salary) | 55 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 85 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 16 | Paying taxes (rank) | 65 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 11 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 156 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 64.3 | | |
| BELIZE | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,65 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 59 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 0 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 116 | Registering property (rank) | 113 | Trading across borders (rank) | 11 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | |
| lime (days) | 44 | Time (days) | 60 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 53.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,8 |
| Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | , |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | : |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 3 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,13 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | • • • | |
| līme (days) | 66 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 17 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 18.5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 5 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 89 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 28 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cost (% of claim) | 27 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 3 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 1 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 14 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 | Time (years) | 1 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 4 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 63 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 24 | Paying taxes (rank) | 47 | Recovery rate (certis off the dollar) | 03 |
| ining cost (weeks of salary) | 24 | Payments (number per year) | 41 | | |
| | | Time (hours per vear) | 147 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 30.8 | | |
| | | | | | |
| BENIN | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 54 |
| ase of doing business (rank) | 151 | Low income | | Population (m) | 8 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 137 | Registering property (rank) | 105 | Trading across borders (rank) | 1. |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | |
| ïme (days) | 31 | Time (days) | 118 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 195.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 11.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,1 |
| /linimum capital (% of income per capita) | 354.2 | | | Documents to import (number) | |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 115 | Time to import (days) | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 123 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,2 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 1 | | |
| Time (days) | 332 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 7.8 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 10 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 316.6 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 115 | Protecting investors (rank) | 147 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 7. 58 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 39 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | | 50 |
| | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) | 1 |
| | | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 | Time (years) | |
| ligidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | | | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 40 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.3 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | | | 3.3 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 | | 3.3 161 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 29 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | | | |
| Rigidity of humg index (0–100) Rigidity of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 40 29 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 161 | | 22 |

| BHUTAN |
|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| BOLIVIA | |
|--|--------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 140 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 157 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 50 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 134.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 3.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 106 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 |
| Time (days) | 249 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 198.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 177 |
| | |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 100 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 79 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 14 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | NOT POSSIBLE |
| | |

| BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 105 | | |
| Starting a business (rank) | 150 | | |
| Procedures (number) | 12 | | |
| Time (days) | 54 | | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 30.1 | | |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 43.0 | | |
| | 4.50 | | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 150 | | |
| Procedures (number) | 16 | | |
| Time (days) | 467 | | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 790.3 | | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 114 | | |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 67 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | | |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 | | |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 15 | | |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 31 | | |
| | | | |

| South Asia | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 33 5 64 0.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 122 5 3 4 4.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 68 19 274 39.8 |
| Latin America & Caribbean | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 120 7 92 4.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 97 1 5 12.1 22.6 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 122 1 5 6 4.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 172 41 1,080 78.1 |
| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 144 7 331 5.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 13 |

| Getting credit (rank) | 13 |
|--|------|
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 63.7 |
| | 83 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 3 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 142 |
| Payments (number per year) | 51 |
| Time (hours per year) | 368 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 44.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,410 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 149 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 38 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,150 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 38 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,080 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 38 |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 275 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 0.1 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,100 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 9.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 115 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,110 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 36 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,230 |
| | 110 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 112 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 591 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 33.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 52 |
| Time (years) | 1.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 38.7 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,980 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 3.9 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 53 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,030 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 985 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 126 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 595 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 38.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 61 |
| Time (years) | 3.3 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 35.0 |
| | |
| | |

| BOTSWANA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,900 |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 51 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 1.8 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 99 | Registering property (rank) | 36 | Trading across borders (rank) | 145 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 108 | Time (days) | 30 | Time to export (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 9.9 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,328 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 26 | Time to import (days) | 43 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 122 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 7 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,595 |
| Procedures (number) Time (davs) | 24 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 05 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 167 322.3 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 58.3 | Procedures (number) | 95 29 |
| cost (% of income per capita) | 522.5 | Thrate buleau coverage (70 of adults) | 50.5 | Time (days) | 987 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 70 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cost (% of claim) | 28.1 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 | Closing a business (rank) | 26 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) | 3 | Time (years) | 1.7 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 59.8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 90 | Paying taxes (rank) | 14 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 19 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 140 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 17.2 | | |
| BRAZIL | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 4,730 |
| | | | | | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 122 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 188.7 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 122 | Registering property (rank) | 110 | Trading across borders (rank) | 93 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 | Procedures (number) | 14 | Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 152 | Time (days) | 45 | Time to export (days) | 18 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 10.4 | Cost (% of property value) | 2.8 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,090 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | • • • • • • • | | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | 107 | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | 22 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 107 18 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,240 |
| Time (days) | 411 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 17.1 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 106 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 59.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 46.4 | Procedures (number) | 45 |
| cost (// of meonic per cupita) | 55.1 | Thrace balleda coverage (76 of adults) | 10.1 | Time (days) | 616 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 119 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | Cost (% of claim) | 16.5 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 | Closing a business (rank) | 131 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 | Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 12 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 37 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 14.6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 37 | Paying taxes (rank) | 137 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 11 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 2,600 69.2 | | |
| | | | 07.2 | | |
| BRUNEI | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 36,216 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 78 | High income | | Population (m) | 0.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 117 | Registering property (rank) | 178 | Trading across borders (rank) | 36 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 | Procedures (number) | NO PRACTICE | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 116 | Time (days) | NO PRACTICE | Time to export (days) | 28 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 9.0 | Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 515 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| | 010 | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 66 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 590 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 | Depth of credit information index (0-6) | 0 | • • • | |
| | | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 158 |
| Time (days) | 167 | | | | 58 |
| | 167 5.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 5.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | | Time (days) | 540 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 5.2 4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 121 | . , | |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Bigidity of hours index (0–100) | 5.2 4 0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 121 3 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 540 36.6 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 5.2 4 0 20 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 121 3 2 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 540 36.6 35 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 121 3 2 8 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 121 3 2 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 4 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 121 3 2 8 4.3 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 7 5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 121 3 2 8 4.3 28 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 4 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 7 5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 121 3 2 8 4.3 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 4 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5.2 4 0 20 0 7 5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 121 3 2 8 4.3 28 15 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 540 36.6 35 2.5 4 |

| 46 |
|-------|
| 100 |
| 9 |
| 32 |
| 8.4 |
| 56.3 |
| 103 |
| 22 |
| 131 |
| 499.9 |
| |
| 57 |
| 17 |
| 60 |
| 10 |
| 29 |
| 23 |
| 9 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 201111111100 | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 161 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 105 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 18 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 82.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 415.7 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 169 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 |
| Time (days) | 226 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 701.2 |
| _ . | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 152 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 83 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 61 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 20 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 34 |
| | |

| BURUNDI | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 174 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 124 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 43 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 251.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 171 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 384 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 9,939.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 99 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 41 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 7 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 62 9 19 2.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13 6 25.4 3.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 33 10 1 7 6.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 88 17 616 36.7 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 170 8 182 12.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 4 1 2.1 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 138 6 1 4 3.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 133 45 270 48.9 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 122 5 94 11.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 170 1 0.2 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 147 4 1 5 3.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 109 32 140 278.7 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,990 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Population (m) | 7.7 |
| Trading across borde | rs (rank) 89 |
| Documents to export (n | umber) 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 23 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per o | container) 1,329 |
| Documents to import (n | umber) 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per | container) 1,377 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (r | rank) 90 |
| Procedures (number) | 40 |
| Time (days) | 564 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 22.2 |
| . | |
| Closing a business (ra | , |
| Time (years) | 3.3 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on | the dollar) 32.4 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 460 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 13.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 170 |
| Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time to export (days) | 45 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,096 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 54 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 3,522 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 109 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 446 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 107.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 91 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 25.6 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 100 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 7.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 167 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 47 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,147 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 71 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 3,705 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 148 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 558 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 38.6 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| CAMBODIA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GN |
|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 145 | Low income | | Рор |
| Starting a business (rank) | 162 | Registering property (rank) | 98 | Tra |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 7 | Do |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 86 190.3 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 56 4.4 | Tim Cos |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 50.8 | | 4.4 | Do |
| ······································ | | Getting credit (rank) | 177 | Tim |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 144 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 0 | Cos |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 23 709 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0 0.0 | En |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 709 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Pro |
| | | | | Tim |
| Employing workers (rank) | 133 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | Cos |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 44 60 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 9 | Clo |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 | Tim |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 45 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 | Cos |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | | | Rec |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 39 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 21 27 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 137 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 22.6 | |
| CAMEROON | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GN |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 154 | Lower middle income | | Рор |
| Starting a business (rank) | 160 | Registering property (rank) | 134 | Tra |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Do |
| Time (days) | 37 | Time (days) | 93 | Tim |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 129.2 177.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 17.8 | Cos Do |
| initial capital (78 of income per capita) | 17711 | Getting credit (rank) | 115 | Tim |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 154 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 | Cos |
| Procedures (number) | 15 426 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 | En |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,202.9 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 1.0 0.0 | Pro |
| | 1,20215 | | 010 | Tim |
| Employing workers (rank) | 120 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cos |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 28 40 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 1 | Clo |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 | Tim |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cos |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 16 33 | Paying taxes (rank) | 166 | Ree |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 | Payments (number per year) | 41 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 1,400 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.9 | |
| CANADA | | OECD: High Income | | GN |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 7 | High income | | Рор |
| Starting a business (rank) | 2 | Registering property (rank) | 28 | Tra |
| Procedures (number) | 2 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Do |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 3 0.9 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 17 1.8 | Tin Co: |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.9 | | 1.0 | Do |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 7 | Tin |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 26 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 | Cos |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 14 75 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 6 0.0 | En |
| | | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 | Pro |
| | 125.4 | S | | Tin |
| | 125.4 | | | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 19 | Protecting investors (rank) | 5 | Cos |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 19 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0-10) | 8 | Cos |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 19 | 5 | | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 19 11 0 4 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 9 | Cos Clc |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 19 11 0 4 13 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8 9 8 8.3 | Cos Clo Tim |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 19 11 0 4 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 8 9 8.3 25 | Cos Cla Tim Cos |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 19 11 0 4 13 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8 9 8 8.3 | Cos Clo Tim Cos |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 480 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 14.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 139 |
| Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time to export (days) | 37 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 722 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 46 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 852 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 134 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 401 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 102.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,080 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 16.7 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 132 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 27 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 907 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 33 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,529 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 172 |
| Procedures (number) | 43 |
| Time (days) | 800 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 46.6 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 92 |
| Time (years) | 3.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 25.5 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 36,170 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 32.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 39 |
| Documents to export (number) | 3 |
| Time to export (days) | 7 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,385 |
| Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| Time to import (days) | 11 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,425 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 43 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 570 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 16.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 4 |
| Time (years) | 0.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 88.8 |
| | |
| | |

| CAPE VERDE | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 132 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 156 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 52 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 40.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 53.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 79 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 120 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 718.3 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 143 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 44 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| | |

| CENTRAL AFRICAN REPU | JBLIC |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 177 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 139 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 14 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 205.4 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 531.2 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 133 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 |
| Time (days) | 239 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 288.3 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 149 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 72 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 61 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 18 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 |
| | |

| CHAD | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 173 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 177 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 75 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 188.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 398.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 68 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 181 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,063.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 135 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 39 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 36 |
| | |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
|---|--|--|
| Lower middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 127 6 83 7.8 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 68 5 3 20.3 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 122 1 5 6 4.0 117 57 100 54.0 | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 95 3 69 11.7 115 3 2 1.4 0.0 122 6 1 5 4.0 175 54 504 203.8 | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 123 6 44 21.2 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 3 1 0.2 0.0 | |
| Protocting invoctors (rank) | 122 | |

| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
|--|------|--|
| Protecting investors (rank) | 122 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 4.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 124 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 54 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 122 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 63.7 | |
| | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,130 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 51 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,024 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,024 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 56 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 465 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 24.1 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 360 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 4.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 172 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 57 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 4,581 |
| Documents to import (number) | 18 |
| Time to import (days) | 66 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 4,534 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 169 |
| Procedures (number) | 43 |
| Time (days) | 660 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 82.0 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | 4.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 76 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 480 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 10.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 157 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 78 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 4,867 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 102 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 5,520 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 167 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Time (days) | 743 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 77.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |
| | |

| CHILE | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 6,980 |
|--|-------------|---|------------|---|------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 33 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 16.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 39 | Registering property (rank) | 34 | Trading across borders (rank) | 43 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 27 | Time (days) | 31 | Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.6 | Cost (% of property value) | 1.3 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 645 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | 50 | Getting credit (rank) | 48 | Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 58 18 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 685 |
| Time (days) | 155 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 26.2 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 64 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 128.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 33.5 | Procedures (number) | 36 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Time (days) | 480 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 68 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Cost (% of claim) | 28.6 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 | Closing a business (rank) | 98 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 | Time (years) | 4.5 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 24 3 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 15 23.8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 52 | Paying taxes (rank) | 34 | Recovery face (certs of the donar) | 23.0 |
| ring cost (weeks of suidry) | 52 | Payments (number per year) | 10 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 316 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 25.9 | | |
| CHINA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,010 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 83 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 1,311.8 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 135 | Registering property (rank) | 29 | Trading across borders (rank) | 42 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 35 | Time (days) | 29 | Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.4 | Cost (% of property value) | 3.6 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 390 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 190.2 | | | Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | 24 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 175 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 3 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 430 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 37 336 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 4 49.2 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 20 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 840.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 35 |
| cost (// of income per capita) | 040.2 | i invate bulcad coverage (78 of addits) | 0.0 | Time (days) | 406 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 86 | Protecting investors (rank) | 83 | Cost (% of claim) | 8.8 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0-10) | 10 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) | 57 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 | Time (years) | 1.7 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 44 91 | Devine towar (reals) | 160 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 35.9 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 168 35 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 872 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 73.9 | | |
| COLOMBIA | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,740 |
| | | | | | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 66 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 45.6 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 88 | Registering property (rank) | 69 | Trading across borders (rank) | 105 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Procedures (number) | 9 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 42 | Time (days) | 23 | Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 19.3 0.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 2.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 1,440 8 |
| | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | 20 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 61 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 2 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,440 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | ., |
| Time (days) | 146 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 147 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 602.8 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 39.9 | Procedures (number) | 34 |
| | <i>c</i> - | | 4.5 | Time (days) | 1,346 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 83 | Protecting investors (rank) | 19 | Cost (% of claim) | 52.6 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 22 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | Closing a husiness (real) | 77 |
| DIVIDUAL V OF HOURS INDEX $(U = 100)$ | 40 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 9 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 27 3.0 |
| | | | | | 3.0 1 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 0.3 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 27 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | |
| | | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 6.3 167 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 58.3 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 27 29 | . | | | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 27 29 | Paying taxes (rank) | 167 | | |

COMOROS

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 147 |
|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 145 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 23 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 188.4 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 280.3 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 56 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 164 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 77.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 158 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 39 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 100 |

Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10)

Paying taxes (rank)

Time (hours per year)

Total tax rate (% of profit)

Payments (number per year)

Extent of director liability index (0-10)

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)

Strength of investor protection index (0-10)

| CONGO, DEM. REP. Ease of doing business (rank) | 178 |
|--|---------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 146 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 155 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 487.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 138 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 322 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2,112.6 |
| F | 171 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 171 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 72 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 74 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 7 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 31 |
| | |

| CONGO, REP. | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 175 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 154 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 37 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 150.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 206.3 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 67 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 169 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 565.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 167 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 69 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 29 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 33 |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 84 5 24 20.8 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 122 6 1 5 4.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 46 20 100 48.8 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 141 8 57 9.4 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 147 3 3 4 3.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 149 32 308 229.8 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 168 7 137 27.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 3 2 2.4 0.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 660 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 119 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 30 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 971 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 974 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 152 |
| Procedures (number) | 43 |
| Time (days) | 506 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 89.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| 130 59.3 |
|-------------|
| 59.3 |
| |
| 154 |
| 8 |
| 46 |
| 2,307 |
| 9 |
| 66 |
| 2,183 |
| |
| 173 |
| 43 |
| 685 |
| 151.8 |
| |
| 150 |
| 150 |
| 5.2 |
| |
| |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 927 |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Population (m) | 4.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 171 |
| Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time to export (days) | 50 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,201 |
| Documents to import (number) | 12 |
| Time to import (days) | 62 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,201 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 156 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 156 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 44 560 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 44 560 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 44 560 53.2 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 44 560 53.2 110 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 44 560 53.2 110 3.0 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 44 560 53.2 110 3.0 24 |

0.0

3.3

65.4

| COSTA RICA | | Latin America & Caribbean |
|--|-----------|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 115 | Upper middle income |
| Starting a business (rank) | 113 | Registering property (rank) |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 12 77 | Procedures (number) Time (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21.3 | Cost (% of property value) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | |
| | | Getting credit (rank) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 120 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) |
| Procedures (number) Time (davs) | 23 178 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 244.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) |
| | 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Employing workers (rank) | 79 | Protecting investors (rank) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 0 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 32 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 26 | (|
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 35 | Paying taxes (rank) |
| | | Payments (number per year) |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) |
| | | |
| COTE D'IVOIRE | | Sub-Saharan Africa |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 155 | Low income |
| Starting a business (rank) | 155 | Registering property (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) |
| Time (days) | 40 | Time (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 135.8 | Cost (% of property value) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 219.8 | Getting credit (rank) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 157 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ |
| Procedures (number) | 21 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) |
| Time (days) | 628 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 247.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 112 | Protecting investors (rank) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 38 18 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 49 | Paying taxes (rank) |
| 5 | | Payments (number per year) |
| | | Time (hours per year) |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) |
| CROATIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 97 | Upper middle income |
| Starting a business (rank) | 93 | Registering property (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) |
| Time (days) | 40 | Time (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11.7 | Cost (% of property value) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 18.4 | Getting credit (rank) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 162 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) |
| Procedures (number) | 22 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) |
| Time (days) | 255 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 722.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 139 | Protecting investors (rank) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 61 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 50 50 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 50 17 | strength of investor protection index (0–10) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 39 | Paying taxes (rank) |
| - | | Payments (number per year) |
| | | Time (hours per year) |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 4,980 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Population (m) | 4.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 54 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 18 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 660 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 25 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 660 |
| | 130 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 40 |
| Procedures (number) | 40 877 |
| Time (days) | 0// |
| Cost (% of claim) | 24.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 104 |
| Time (years) | 3.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.1 |
| | |
| | |

3.3

6.1

52.7

3.0

55.7

2.8

0.0

3.3

45.4

5.0

0.0

72.4

4.0

32.5

16.9

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 870 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 18.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 147 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 23 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,653 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 43 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,457 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 122 |
| Procedures (number) | 33 |
| Time (days) | 770 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 41.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 71 |
| Time (years) | 2.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 33.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 9,330 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 4.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 96 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 22 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,200 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 16 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,200 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 45 |
| Procedures (number) | 45 |
| , , | 561 |
| Time (days) | 501 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 13.8 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 78 |
| Time (years) | 3.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 30.2 |
| • | |
| | |
| | |

| CZECH REPUBLIC |
|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| DENMARK | |
|--|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 18 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 6 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 40.7 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 6 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 69 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 61.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 10 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| 5, | 20 10 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 10 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| DJIBOUTI | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 146 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 165 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 37 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 206.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 530.8 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 92 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 195 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,010.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 130 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 67 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 16 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 56 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 54 4 123 3.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 26 6 5 4.2 53.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 2 5 8 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 113 12 930 48.6 |
| OECD: High Income High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 39 6 42 0.6 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13 8 4 0.0 11.5 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 19 7 5 7 6.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 13 9 135 33.3 |
| Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 131 7 40 13.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 3 1 0.2 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 173 5 2 0 2.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51 35 114 38.7 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 12,680 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 10.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 30 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 16 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 775 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 860 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 97 |
| Procedures (number) | 27 |
| Time (days) | 820 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 33.0 |
| | 100 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 108 |
| Time (years) | 6.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 21.3 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 51,700 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Population (m) | 5.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 2 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 5 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 540 |
| Documents to import (number) | 3 |
| Time to import (days) | 5 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 540 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 30 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 34 |
| | 380 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 23.3 |
| | 23.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 7 |
| Time (years) | 1.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 87.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,060 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 66 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 22 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 960 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 960 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 159 |
| Procedures (number) | 40 |
| Time (days) | 1,225 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 34.0 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 126 |
| Time (years) | 5.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 15.9 |
| | |
| | |

| DOMINICA | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,960 |
|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|-------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 77 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 23 | Registering property (rank) | 83 | Trading across borders (rank) | 80 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 19 | Time (days) | 40 | Time to export (days) | 16 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 27.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 13.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,197 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 8 18 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 47 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,107 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | F. (| , . |
| Time (days) | 219 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 164 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 74.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 54 | Protecting investors (rank) | 19 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 681 36.0 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 | | 50.0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 | Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 | Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 17 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 7 58 | Paying taxes (rank) | 64 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| Thing cost (weeks of salary) | 50 | Payments (number per year) | 38 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 147 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 37.1 | | |
| | | | | | 2.050 |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,850 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 99 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 9.6 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 84 | Registering property (rank) | 106 | Trading across borders (rank) | 35 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 7 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 22 31.1 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 60 5.1 | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 12 815 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | 511 | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 36 | Time to import (days) | 13 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 74 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,015 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 01 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 214 116.1 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13.3 35.4 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 82 34 |
| cost (// of income per capita) | 110.1 | i nvate bulcad coverage (76 of addits) | 55.4 | Time (days) | 460 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 106 | Protecting investors (rank) | 122 | Cost (% of claim) | 40.9 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0 | Closing a business (rank) | 142 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 0 32 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7 4.0 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 3.5 38 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 14 | Stichgen of investor protection index (o 10) | 4.0 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 8.4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 88 | Paying taxes (rank) | 139 | • | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 74 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 286 40.2 | | |
| | | | 40.2 | | |
| ECUADOR | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,840 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 128 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 13.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 148 | Registering property (rank) | 70 | Trading across borders (rank) | 131 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 | Procedures (number) | 10 | Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 65 | Time (days) | 17 | Time to export (days) | 22 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 29.2 | Cost (% of property value) | 3.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,090 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 7.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 8 44 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 53 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 1 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,090 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 5 | | ., |
| Time (days) | 148 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 37.9 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 59 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 55.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 44.1 | Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 168 | Protecting investors (rank) | 122 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 498 20.8 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 122 | | 20.0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 124 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 | Time (years) | 5.3 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 51 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 12 135 | Paying taxes (rank) | 57 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 16.9 |
| | 155 | Payments (number per year) | 8 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 600 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 35.3 | | |

| EGYPT | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 126 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 55 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 9 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 28.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 12.9 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 163 |
| Procedures (number) | 28 |
| Time (days) | 249 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 474.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 108 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 27 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 25 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 132 |

| EL SALVADOREase of doing business (rank)69Starting a business (rank)130Procedures (number)9Time (days)26Cost (% of income per capita)73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)0Rigidity of formployment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15Firing cost (weeks of salary)86 | | |
|---|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank)130Procedures (number)9Time (days)26Cost (% of income per capita)73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | EL SALVADOR | |
| Procedures (number)9Time (days)26Cost (% of income per capita)73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)00Rigidity of formg longer (0–100)04Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Ease of doing business (rank) | 69 |
| Time (days)26Cost (% of income per capita)73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)00Rigidity of furing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Starting a business (rank) | 130 |
| Interfector73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)73.1Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita)112.5Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Time (days) | 26 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank)121Procedures (number)34Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Cost (% of income per capita) | 73.1 |
| Procedures (number) 34 Time (days) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 197.9 Employing workers (rank) 76 Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) 33 Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 40 Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 112.5 |
| Procedures (number) 34 Time (days) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 197.9 Employing workers (rank) 76 Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) 33 Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 40 Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | | 101 |
| Time (days)155Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | 2 | |
| Cost (% of income per capita)197.9Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Procedures (number) | 34 |
| Employing workers (rank)76Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)33Rigidity of hours index (0–100)40Difficulty of firing index (0–100)0Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | Time (days) | 155 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) 33 Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 40 Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | Cost (% of income per capita) | 197.9 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) 33 Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 40 Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | Employing workers (rank) | 76 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 40 Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | | 33 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 24 Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 15 | , , , | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100)24Nonwage labor cost (% of salary)15 | 5 7 | 0 |
| 5 | , <u> </u> | 24 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) 86 | Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 15 |
| | Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 86 |

| EQUATORIAL GUINEA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 165 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 172 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 136 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 105.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 23.2 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 90 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 201 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 239.9 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 175 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 67 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 66 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 23 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 133 |
| | |
| | |

| Middle East & North Africa | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 101 7 193 1.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 1 4 1.6 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 7 3 5 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 150 36 711 47.9 |
| Latin America & Caribbean | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 37 5 31 3.6 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 48 3 6 17.2 74.6 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 107 5 2 6 4.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 101 66 224 33.8 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 56 6 23 6.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adult) | 135 2 2 |

Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)

Extent of director liability index (0-10)

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)

Strength of investor protection index (0-10)

Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10)

Paying taxes (rank)

Time (hours per year)

Total tax rate (% of profit)

Payments (number per year)

1.9

0.0

141

6

1

4

3.7

136

45

212

62.2

| - | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Trading across borders (rank) | 26 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 15 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 714 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 729 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 145 |
| Procedures (number) | 42 |
| Time (days) | 1,010 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 125 |
| Time (years) | 4.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 16.6 |
| | |

GNI per capita (US\$)

Population (m)

1,350

75.4

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,540 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 7.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 68 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 540 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 540 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 54 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 786 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 19.2 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 85 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 28.4 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 8,250 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 133 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 29 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,403 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 46 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,403 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 72 |
| Procedures (number) | 40 |
| Time (days) | 553 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 18.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |
| | |

| ERITREA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 200 |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 171 | Low income | | Population (m) | 4.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 174 | Registering property (rank) | 158 | Trading across borders (rank) | 159 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 12 | Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 84 | Time (days) | 101 | Time to export (days) | 59 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 125.8 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.3 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,331 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 488.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 158 | Documents to import (number) | 13 69 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 178 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 09 1,581 |
| Procedures (number) | NO PRACTICE | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | cost to import (053 per container) | 1,501 |
| Time (days) | NO PRACTICE | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 52 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | NO PRACTICE | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 39 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 405 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 58 | Protecting investors (rank) | 98 | Cost (% of claim) | 22.6 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 40 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 5 | Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 4.7 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 69 | Paying taxes (rank) | 103 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 18 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 216 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 84.5 | | |
| ESTONIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 11,410 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 17 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 1.3 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 20 | Registering property (rank) | 21 | Trading across borders (rank) | 7 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | 3 |
| Time (days) | 7 | Time (days) | 51 | Time to export (days) | 5 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 675 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 28.1 | | | Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 48 | Time to import (days) | 5 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 14 13 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 675 |
| Time (days) | 117 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 29 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 28.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 19.7 | Procedures (number) | 36 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 425 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 156 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Cost (% of claim) | 17.3 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 50 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 60 58 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6 6.0 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 3.0 9 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 33 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 0.0 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 39.1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 35 | Paying taxes (rank) | 31 | | 5711 |
| 5 | | Payments (number per year) | 10 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 81 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 49.2 | | |
| ETHIOPIA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 180 |
| | | | | | 100 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 102 | | | Population (m) | 727 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 102 | Low income | 147 | Population (m) | 72.7 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 106 | Registering property (rank) | 147 | Trading across borders (rank) | 150 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) | 106 7 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 13 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) | 150 8 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 106 7 16 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 13 43 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) | 150 8 46 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) | 106 7 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 13 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) | 150 8 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 106 7 16 41.3 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 13 43 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 150 8 46 1,617 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 690 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 690 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 30 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 5 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank)Time (years) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 3.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of fering index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 30 34 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank)Time (years)Cost (% of estate) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 3.0 15 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 30 34 0 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 5 4.3 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank)Time (years) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 3.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of fering index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 30 34 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 5 4.3 29 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank)Time (years)Cost (% of estate) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 3.0 15 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 106 7 16 41.3 960.0 58 12 128 1,094.4 89 33 40 30 34 0 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 13 43 7.5 97 4 2 0.1 0.0 107 4 4 5 4.3 | Trading across borders (rank)Documents to export (number)Time to export (days)Cost to export (US\$ per container)Documents to import (number)Time to import (days)Cost to import (US\$ per container)Enforcing contracts (rank)Procedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of claim)Closing a business (rank)Time (years)Cost (% of estate) | 150 8 46 1,617 8 42 2,793 77 39 690 15.2 70 3.0 15 |

FIJ

| FIJI | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 36 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 69 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 46 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 25.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 28 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 |
| Time (days) | 114 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 40.8 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 16 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 14 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 9 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 2 |
| | |

7 , 1.1 0.0

| FINLAND | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 13 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 16 |
| Procedures (number) | 3 |
| Time (days) | 14 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 7.7 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 39 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 38 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 122.3 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 127 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 48 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 26 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 |
| | |
| | |

| FRANCE Ease of doing business (rank) |
|--|
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| East Asia & Pacific | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 77 3 48 12.0 48 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 48 5 4 0.0 41.2 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 33 3 8 7 6.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 52 33 140 38.5 |
| OECD: High Income | |
| High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 17 3 14 4.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 26 6 5 0.0 14.9 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 51 6 4 7 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 83 20 269 47.8 |
| OECD: High Income | |

| OECD: High Income | | |
|--|------|--|
| High income | | |
| Registering property (rank) | 159 | |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | |
| Time (days) | 123 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 6.1 | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 36 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 24.8 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 10 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 82 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 23 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 132 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 66.3 | |
| | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,300 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.9 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 111 |
| Documents to export (number) | 13 |
| Time to export (days) | 25 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 573 |
| Documents to import (number) | 13 |
| Time to import (days) | 25 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 570 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 62 |
| Procedures (number) | 34 |
| Time (days) | 397 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 38.9 |
| . | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 114 |
| Time (years) | 1.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 20.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 40,650 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 5.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 5 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 8 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 420 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 8 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 420 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 7 |
| Procedures (number) | 33 |
| Time (days) | 235 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 10.4 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 5 |
| Time (years) | 0.9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 88.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 36,550 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 61.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 25 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 11 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,028 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,148 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 14 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 331 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 32 |
| | 1.9 |
| Time (years) | |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 47.4 |
| | |

| GABON | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,000 |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 144 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 1.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 147 9 58 164.0 38.2 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 148 8 60 10.5 115 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 106 6 19 1,510 7 35 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 44 14 210 48.3 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 3 2 2.4 0.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 1,600 145 38 1,070 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 163 17 80 80 59 20 43 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 147 6 1 3 3.3 93 28 272 44.2 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 130 34.3 130 5.0 15 15.2 |
| GAMBIA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 310 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 131 | Low income | | Population (m) | 1.6 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 94 9 32 279.0 0.0 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 133 5 371 7.6 135 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 73 7 23 809 8 23 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 70 17 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 869 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 146 363.7 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 61 32 434 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 29 0 40 30 23 11 9 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 165 2 1 5 2.7 173 50 376 286.7 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 37.9 116 3.0 15 19.3 |
| GEORGIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,560 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 18 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 4.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 10 5 11 9.5 0.0 11 12 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 11 5 5 0.1 48 5 4 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 64 8 12 1,105 7 14 1,105 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 113 28.9 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 0.2 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 42 36 285 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 4 0 20 0 7 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 33 8 6 4 6.0 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 29.9 105 3.3 4 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 20 4 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 102 29 387 38.6 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 22.8 |

GERMANY

| Ease of doing business (rank) |
|---|
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| GHANA | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 87 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 138 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 42 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 41.4 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 20.9 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 140 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 220 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,498.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 138 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 37 |
| 5 7 1 7 7 7 | 13 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 178 |

| GREECE |
|-------------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) |

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 100 |
|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 152 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 38 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 23.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 104.1 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 42 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 169 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 61.7 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 142 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 55 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 28 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 24 |
| | |

| OECD: High Income | |
|---|---|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 47 4 40 5.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 3 8 6 0.7 98.1 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 5 5 5 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 67 16 196 50.8 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 26 5 34 1.3 115 5 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 33 7 5 6 6 0.0 75 32 304 |
| OECD: High Income | 32.9 |
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 93 12 23 4.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 84 3 4 0.0 38.7 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 158 |

| Tublic registry coverage (70 of addits) | 0.0 |
|--|------|
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 38.7 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 158 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 1 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 3 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.0 |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 86 |
| Payments (number per year) | 21 |
| Time (hours per year) | 264 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 48.6 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 36,620 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 82.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 10 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 7 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 740 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 7 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 765 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 15 |
| Procedures (number) | 33 |
| Time (days) | 394 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 11.8 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 29 |
| Time (years) | 1.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 53.4 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 520 |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Population (m) | 22.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 61 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 895 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 29 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 895 |
| | 51 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 51 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 487 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 23.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 96 |
| Time (years) | 1.9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 24.0 |
| • | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 21,690 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 11.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 65 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 20 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 998 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 25 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,245 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 87 |
| Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Time (days) | 819 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 14.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 38 |
| Time (years) | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 2.0 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 44.8 |
| | |
| | |

| GRENADA | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 4,420 |
|---|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 70 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 32 | Registering property (rank) | 145 | Trading across borders (rank) | 52 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 20 | Time (days) | 77 | Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 31.7 | Cost (% of property value) | 7.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 820 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | Gotting credit (rank) | 97 | Documents to import (number) | 5 23 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 15 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 97 6 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 23 1,178 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 0 | cost to import (055 per container) | 1,170 |
| Time (days) | 149 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 163 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 31.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 47 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 723 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 46 44 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 19 4 | Cost (% of claim) | 32.6 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index ($0 - 10$) | 7 | Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 21 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 29 | Paying taxes (rank) | 59 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 30 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 140 45.3 | | |
| | | | 1010 | | |
| GUATEMALA | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,640 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 114 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 12.9 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 128 | Registering property (rank) | 23 | Trading across borders (rank) | 116 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 26 | Time (days) | 30 | Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 47.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 1.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,052 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 24.9 | | <i>c</i> 0 | Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 167 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 68 3 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 18 1,177 |
| Procedures (number) | 22 | Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 5 | cost to import (033 per container) | 1,177 |
| Time (days) | 235 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 20.7 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 98 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,142.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13.1 | Procedures (number) | 28 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 1,459 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 105 | Protecting investors (rank) | 122 | Cost (% of claim) | 26.5 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 44 40 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 3 3 | Closing a business (rank) | 86 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index ($0-10$) | 6 | Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 28 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 4.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 13 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 28.1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 101 | Paying taxes (rank) | 116 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 39 344 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 37.5 | | |
| | | | | | |
| GUINEA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 410 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 166 | Low income | | Population (m) | 9.2 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 171 | Registering property (rank) | 151 | Trading across borders (rank) | 102 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 41 | Time (days) | 104 | Time to export (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 138.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 15.3 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 570 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 466.5 | | 125 | Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 158 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 135 4 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 32 995 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 | Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 0 | cost to import (055 per container) | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Time (days) | 255 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 127 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 237.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 50 |
| | 00 | Durate stime investor () | 165 | Time (days) | 276 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 99 33 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 165 6 | Cost (% of claim) | 45.0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) | 111 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index ($0-10$) | 1 | Time (years) | 3.8 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 41 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 2.7 | Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 27 | | 4.55 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 20.4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 | Paying taxes (rank) | 163 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 56 416 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 49.9 | | |
| | | | | | |

| GUINEA-BISSAU | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 176 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 178 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 |
| Time (days) | 233 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 255.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 1,006.6 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 101 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 167 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2,607.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 174 |
| Difficulty of hiring index $(0-100)$ | 67 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 66 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 22 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 87 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| GUYANA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 104 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 86 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 44 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 87.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 69 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 222 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 313.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 71 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| 5, | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 56 |
| | |
| | |

| HAITI | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 148 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 170 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 202 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 133.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 32.2 |
| | 100 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 126 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 1,179 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 817.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 35 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 21 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa Low income | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 164 9 211 5.4 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 3 1 0.9 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 122 6 1 5 4.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 112 46 208 45.9 | |
| Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 53 6 34 4.5 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0 0.0 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 64 5 6 5.3 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 100 34 288 39.0 | |
| Latin America & Caribbean Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 128 5 405 6.5 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 3 2 0.7 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 158 2 3 4 3.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 96 53 160 40.0 | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 190 |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Population (m) | 1.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 109 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 27 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,445 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 26 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,749 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 127 |
| Forcing contracts (rank) | 137 |
| 3 | 41 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 1,140 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 1,140 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 1,140 25.0 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 1,140 25.0 178 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 1,140 25.0 178 NO PRACTICE |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,130 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Population (m) | 0.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 101 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 30 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 850 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 35 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 856 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 73 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 581 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 123 |
| | 3.0 |
| Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 3.0 29 |
| , , | 29 17.4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 17.4 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 480 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 8.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 153 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 52 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,650 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 53 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,860 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 95 |
| Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Time (days) | 508 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 42.6 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 148 |
| Time (years) | 5. |
| Cost (% of estate) | 3 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 3.1 |

| HONDURAS | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,200 |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 121 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 7.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 135 | Registering property (rank) | 78 | Trading across borders (rank) | 103 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 7 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 21 | Time (days) | 24 | Time to export (days) | 20 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 59.9 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.8 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,065 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 27.4 | Getting credit (rank) | 13 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 11 23 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 72 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 975 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 6 | | 275 |
| lime (days) | 125 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 12.7 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 124 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 634.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 58.0 | Procedures (number) | 45 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 480 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 116 | Protecting investors (rank) | 147 | Cost (% of claim) | 30.4 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 89 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 1 5 | | 117 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 0 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 4 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 113 3.8 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 43 | Strength of investor protection index $(0 - 10)$ | 3.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 10 | Stiength of investor protection index (o 10) | 5.5 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 20.3 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 74 | Paying taxes (rank) | 160 | ,, | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 47 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 424 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.4 | | |
| HONG KONG, CHINA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 28,460 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 4 | High income | | Population (m) | 7.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 13 | Registering property (rank) | 58 | Trading across borders (rank) | 3 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 11 | Time (days) | 54 | Time to export (days) | 4 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 3.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 525 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 2 | Time to import (days) | 5 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 60 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 10 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 525 |
| Procedures (number) | 23 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | |
| Time (days) | 155 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 1 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 64.7 | Procedures (number) Time (days) | 24 211 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 23 | Protecting investors (rank) | 3 | Cost (% of claim) | 14.5 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 10 | | 11.5 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 | Closing a business (rank) | 15 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 9 | Time (years) | 1.1 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 0 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 9.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 79.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 62 | Paying taxes (rank) | 3 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 4 80 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 80 24.4 | | |
| | | | | | |
| HUNGARY | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 10,950 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 45 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 10.1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 67 | Registering property (rank) | 96 | Trading across borders (rank) | 45 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 16 | Time (days) | 63 | Time to export (days) | 18 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 17.7 | Cost (% of property value) | 11.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 975 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 65.1 | • • • • • • | | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 26 | Time to import (days) | 17 |
| | 07 | | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 975 |
| | 87 | 5 5 5 () | | | |
| Procedures (number) | 31 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | 12 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 31 211 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 5 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 31 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 33 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 31 211 10.4 81 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 | Procedures (number) | 33 335 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 33 335 13.0 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 33 335 13.0 53 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 10 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 7 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 33 335 13.0 53 2.0 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 10 30 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 33 335 13.0 53 2.0 15 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 10 30 34 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 7 4.3 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 33 335 13.0 53 2.0 15 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 10 30 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 7 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 33 335 13.0 53 2.0 15 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 31 211 10.4 81 0 80 10 30 34 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 5 0.0 6.9 107 2 4 7 4.3 127 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 12 33 335 13.0 53 2.0 15 38.4 |

| ICELAND |
|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| INDIA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 120 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 111 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 74.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 134 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 224 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 519.4 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 85 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 30 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 56 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| INDONESIA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 123 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 168 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 105 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 80.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 38.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 99 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 196 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 286.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 153 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 72 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0-100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 44 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 10 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 108 |
| | |

| OECD: High Income | |
|---|-----------|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 8 |
| Procedures (number) | 3 |
| Time (days) | 4 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.4 |
| | 12 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 13 |
| Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 7 |
| Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0 | 0–10) 5.3 |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 27 |
| Payments (number per year) | 31 |
| Time (hours per year) | 140 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 27.2 |
| • • | |

| South Asia | |
|--|------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 112 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 62 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 7.7 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 36 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 10.8 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 33 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 165 |
| Payments (number per year) | 60 |
| Time (hours per year) | 271 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 70.6 |
| East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 121 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 42 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 10.5 |

| nine (days) | 42 |
|--|------|
| Cost (% of property value) | 10.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 68 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 20.5 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.2 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 51 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0-10) | 9 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 110 |
| Payments (number per year) | 51 |
| Time (hours per year) | 266 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 37.3 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 50,580 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 0.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 11 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 15 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 469 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 14 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 443 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 4 |
| Procedures (number) | 26 |
| Time (days) | 393 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 6.1 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 12 |
| Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 80.3 |
| necovery face (cents of the donal) | 00.5 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 820 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Population (m) | 1,109.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 79 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 18 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 820 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 910 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 177 |
| Procedures (number) | 46 |
| Time (days) | 1,420 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 39.6 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 137 |
| Time (years) | 10.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 11.6 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,420 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 223.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 41 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 667 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 27 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 623 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 141 |
| Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Time (days) | 570 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 122.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 136 |
| Time (years) | 5.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 12.6 |
| | |
| | |

| IRAN | | Middle East & North Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,000 |
|--|----------------|--|-------------|---|------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 135 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 69.2 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 77 | Registering property (rank) | 143 | Trading across borders (rank) | 135 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 9 | Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 47 | Time (days) | 36 | Time to export (days) | 26 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 5.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 10.6 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 860 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 1.3 | | | Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 68 | Time to import (days) | 42 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 164 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,330 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 3 | | |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 670 653.4 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 22.2 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 57 39 |
| cost (% of income per capita) | 055.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Time (days) | 520 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 141 | Protecting investors (rank) | 158 | Cost (% of claim) | 17.0 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 118 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 0 | Time (years) | 4.5 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 23 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 19.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 | Paying taxes (rank) | 97 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 22 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 292 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 47.4 | | |
| IRAQ | | Middle East & North Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,224 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 141 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 28.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 164 | Registering property (rank) | 40 | Trading across borders (rank) | 175 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 77 | Time (days) | 8 | Time to export (days) | 102 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 93.5 | Cost (% of property value) | 6.3 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 3,400 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 65.4 | | | Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 135 | Time to import (days) | 101 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 104 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 3,400 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 0 | | 150 |
| Time (days) | 215 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 150 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 915.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Time (days) | 51 520 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 60 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cost (% of claim) | 32.5 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 | | 52.5 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 | Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 12 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 | Paying taxes (rank) | 37 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 13 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 312 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 24.7 | | |
| IRELAND | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 45,580 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 8 | High income | | Population (m) | 4.2 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 5 | Registering property (rank) | 79 | Trading across borders (rank) | 20 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| lime (days) | 13 | Time (days) | 38 | Time to export (days) | 7 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 10.2 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,090 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 7 | Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 20 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 8 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,139 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | |
| Time (days) | 185 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 39 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 19.8 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 | Procedures (number) | 20 |
| | 77 | Ducto sting in the line in the | ~ | Time (days) | 515 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 37 | Protecting investors (rank) | 5 10 | Cost (% of claim) | 26.9 |
| Ditticulty of hiring index (0, 100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 | Closing a business (rank) | 6 |
| , , | 20 | | U | Ciusing a susiness (Idlik) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 20 | | ٥ | Time (years) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) | 9 8.3 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 17 | | 9 8.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8.3 | | 9 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 20 17 11 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | | Cost (% of estate) | 0.4 9 87.1 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 20 17 11 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8.3 6 | Cost (% of estate) | 9 |

ISRAEL

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 29 |
|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 17 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 34 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.4 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 100 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 109 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 235 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 115.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 87 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| | |

| ITALY | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 53 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 65 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 13 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 18.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 9.8 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 78 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 257 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 138.2 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 56 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 37 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 2 |
| | |
| | |

| JAMAICA | |
|--|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 63 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 11 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 8 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 74 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 74 10 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Time (days) | 236 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 438.4 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 33 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 4 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 12 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 61 |
| | |

| Middle East & North Africa | |
|--|------------------------------|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 152 7 144 7.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 7 8 5 0.0 91.6 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5 7 9 8.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 69 33 230 36.0 |
| OECD: High Income | |
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 49 8 27 0.6 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 68 3 5 11.0 71.5 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 51 7 4 6 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 122 15 360 76.2 |
| Latin America & Caribbean | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 108 5 54 13.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 115 5 0 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |

| - | |
|--|------|
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 170 |
| Payments (number per year) | 72 |
| Time (hours per year) | 414 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.3 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 18,280 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 7.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 8 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 12 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 560 |
| Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 560 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 102 |
| Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Time (days) | 890 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 40 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 23 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 43.6 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 32,020 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Population (m) | 58.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 62 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 20 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,291 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,291 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 155 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Time (days) | 1,210 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 29.9 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 25 |
| 2 | 1.8 |
| Time (years) | 22 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 61.8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 01.8 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,480 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 2.7 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 92 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,750 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 22 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,350 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 103 |
| Procedures (number) | 34 |
| Time (days) | 565 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 45.6 |
| | 22 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 22 |
| Time (years) | 1.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 64.3 |
| | |
| | |

| JAPAN | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 38,410 |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| ase of doing business (rank) | 12 | High income | | Population (m) | 127.6 |
| itarting a business (rank) | 44 | Registering property (rank) | 48 | Trading across borders (rank) | 18 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 23 | Time (days) | 14 | Time to export (days) | 10 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 7.5 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 98 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | 10 | Documents to import (number) | - |
| | 22 | Getting credit (rank) | 13 | Time to import (days) | 1 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 32 15 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 6 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,04 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 2 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 177 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 68.3 | Procedures (number) | 2 3(|
| cost (% of income per capita) | 17.0 | Filvate buleau coverage (% of addits) | 00.5 | Time (days) | 31 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 17 | Protecting investors (rank) | 12 | Cost (% of claim) | 22. |
| Difficulty of hiring index $(0-100)$ | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 | | 22. |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 | Closing a business (rank) | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | Time (years) | 0. |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 17 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7.0 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 13 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 92. |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 4 | Paying taxes (rank) | 105 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 13 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 350 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 52.0 | | |
| JORDAN | | Middle East & North Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,66 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 80 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 5.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 133 | Registering property (rank) | 109 | Trading across borders (rank) | 59 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 10 | Time (days) | 22 | Time to export (days) | 1 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 66.2 | Cost (% of property value) | 10.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 68 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 795.4 | | 10.0 | Documents to import (number) | 00 |
| initial cupital (% of income per cupita) | 775.1 | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | 2 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 71 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,06 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 2 | | ., |
| Time (days) | 122 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.8 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 128 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 486.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 39 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 689 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 45 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cost (% of claim) | 31. |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 8 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 | Time (years) | 4. |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 30 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 27 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 | | 10 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 27. |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 4 | Paying taxes (rank) | 19 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 26 101 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 31.1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| KAZAKHSTAN | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,790 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 71 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 15. |
| Starting a business (rank) | 57 | Registering property (rank) | 72 | Trading across borders (rank) | 17 |
| | 8 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | 1 |
| Procedures (number) | 0 | | • | | 0 |
| - | 21 | Time (days) | 52 | Time to export (days) | ð |
| Procedures (number) | | | | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 8 2,73 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 21 | Time (days) | 52 | | 2,73 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 21 7.6 22.9 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 52 0.9 48 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 2,73 1 [.] 7 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 2,73 1 7 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 |
| Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Winimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 2,73 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 22 |
| Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Winimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 22 10 |
| Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Winimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 20 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 9 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 22 10 3 |
| Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Winimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of femployment index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 20 20 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 23 22 10 3 1 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of firing index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 20 20 14 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 9 5.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 23 22 10 3 1 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Rigidity of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of firing index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 20 20 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 9 5.7 44 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 2,73 1 7 2,78 2 3 23 23 23 22 10 3 1 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 21 7.6 22.9 173 38 231 2,129.9 22 0 40 20 20 14 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 52 0.9 48 5 4 0.0 13.7 51 7 1 9 5.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | |

KENYA

| Ease of doing business (rank) |
|---|
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| KIRIBATI | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 73 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 86 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 21 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 56.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 30.6 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 65 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 160 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 629.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 25 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 17 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 4 |
| | |

| KOREA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 30 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 110 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 17 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 16.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 296.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 22 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 34 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 170.2 |
| cost (/// of income per capita) | 170.2 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 131 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 37 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 13 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 114 8 64 4.2 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 13 8 4 0.0 1.5 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 3 2 10 5.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 154 41 432 50.9 | |
| East Asia & Pacific | | |
| Lower middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 60 5 513 0.1 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0.0 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 33 6 5 7 6.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 10 7 120 31.8 | |
| OECD: High Income | | |
| High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 68 7 11 6.3 | |

Getting credit (rank)

| Getting credit (rank) | 36 |
|--|------|
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 74.2 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 106 |
| Payments (number per year) | 48 |
| Time (hours per year) | 290 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 34.9 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 580 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 35.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 148 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 29 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,955 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 37 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,995 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 107 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 465 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 76 |
| Time (years) | 4.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 31.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,230 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 97 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,550 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,550 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 71 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 |
| Time (days) | 660 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.8 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 17,690 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 48.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 13 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 11 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 745 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 10 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 745 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 10 |
| Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Time (days) | 230 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 10.3 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 11 |
| Time (years) | 1.5 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 81.2 |
| | |
| | |

25,963 2.6

4.2

33.6

5.2

2,500

4.0

15.6

5.8

1,750

1,930

31.6

NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE

0.0

2,450

| KUWAIT | | Middle East & North Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
|---|------------|--|-----------|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 40 | High income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 121 | Registering property (rank) | 72 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 35 | Time (days) | 55 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1.6 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 99.9 | | (0) | Documents to import (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 85 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 68 4 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Procedures (number) | 25 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 | cost to import (055 per container) |
| Time (days) | 104 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 209.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 14.5 | Procedures (number) |
| | | | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 39 0 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 19 7 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 | Time (years) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 13 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 | Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 78 | Paying taxes (rank) | 8 14 | |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 118 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 14.4 | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| KYRGYZ REPUBLIC | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 94 | Low income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 49 | Registering property (rank) | 16 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 21 | Time (days) | 4 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.8 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.5 | | 60 | Documents to import (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 152 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 68 5 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Procedures (number) | 20 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 | cost to import (055 per container) |
| Time (days) | 291 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 555.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 1.6 | Procedures (number) |
| | 74 | | 22 | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 74 33 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 33 8 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 9 | Time (years) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 | • • • • • • • | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 152 75 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 202 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 61.4 | |
| | | • • | | |
| LAO PDR | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 164 | Low income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 78 | Registering property (rank) | 149 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 9 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 103 | Time (days) | 135 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 16.5 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.2 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | 170 | Documents to import (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 111 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 170 2 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Procedures (number) | 24 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | cost to import (ost per container) |
| Time (days) | 172 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 202.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) |
| From London and Long (1) | 6 2 | | 170 | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 82 11 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 176 0 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 3 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 | Time (years) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 37 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 1.7 | Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 19 | Paying taxes (rank) | 114 | |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 34 672 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 35.5 | |
| | | | 5515 | |

| LATVIA |
|--------|
|--------|

| | 22 |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 22 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 30 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 16 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 3.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 22.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 82 |
| Procedures (number) | 26 |
| Time (days) | 188 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 27.5 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 96 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 43 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 24 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 |
| | |

| LEBANON | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 85 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 132 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 46 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 94.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 60.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 113 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 211 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 229.5 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 53 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 25 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 22 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 |
| | |

| LESOTHO | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 124 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 126 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 73 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 37.4 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 14.3 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 146 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 601 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 805.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 69 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 10 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 44 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|--|------------|
| Upper middle income Registering property (rank) | 85 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 54 2.0 |
| | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 13 8 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 2.6 0.0 |
| | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 51 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8 5.7 |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 20 7 |
| Time (hours per year) | 219 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 32.6 |
| Middle East & North Africa | |
| Upper middle income | 00 |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 92 8 |
| Time (days) | 25 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 5.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 48 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 4.7 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 83 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 9 1 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 33 |
| Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 19 180 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 35.4 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 132 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 6 101 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 8.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 141 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 1 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) | 8 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.7 |
| | |

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) 342 20.8

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 8,100 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 2.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 19 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 13 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 3 |
| Procedures (number) | 27 |
| Time (days) | 279 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 12.9 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 64 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 13 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 34.6 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,490 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 4.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 83 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 27 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,027 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 38 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 810 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 121 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 721 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 30.8 |
| | 50.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 117 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 19.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,030 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 1.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 129 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 44 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,188 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 49 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,210 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 99 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Time (days) | 695 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 16.6 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 62 |
| Time (years) | 2.6 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 34.9 |
| | |
| | |

| LIBERIA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 140 |
|--|----------------|--|------------|---|------------|
| ase of doing business (rank) | 170 | Low income | | Population (m) | 3.4 |
| tarting a business (rank) | 141 | Registering property (rank) | 167 | Trading across borders (rank) | 98 |
| rocedures (number) | 12 | Procedures (number) | 13 | Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| ïme (days) | 99 | Time (days) | 50 | Time to export (days) | 20 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 493.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 14.9 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,032 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 135 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 9 10 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 176 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,032 |
| Procedures (number) | 25 | Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 0 | cost to import (035 per container) | 1,052 |
| Time (days) | 398 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 165 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 61,049.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 4 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 1,280 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 103 | Protecting investors (rank) | 138 | Cost (% of claim) | 35. |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 1 6 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 14 3. |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 31 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 3.7 | Cost (% of estate) | 43 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 5 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.7 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 7.8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 84 | Paying taxes (rank) | 119 | necovery rate (certes on the donary | |
| J | | Payments (number per year) | 37 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 158 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 81.6 | | |
| LITHUANIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 7,870 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 26 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 3.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 57 | Registering property (rank) | 4 | Trading across borders (rank) | 23 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 26 | Time (days) | 3 | Time to export (days) | 10 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 3.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 820 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 46.2 | | | Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 36 | Time to import (days) | 13 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 57 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 980 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 | | 10 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 156 133.1 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 6.6 7.3 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 18 30 |
| cost (% of income per capita) | 155.1 | Filvate buleau coverage (% of adults) | 7.5 | Time (days) | 210 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 124 | Protecting investors (rank) | 83 | Cost (% of claim) | 23.6 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 | Extent of director liability index (0-10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 31 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 | Time (years) | 1.7 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 48 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 7 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 31 | | 71 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 49.2 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 30 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 71 24 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 166 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 48.3 | | |
| | | | | | |
| LUXEMBOURG | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 76,040 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 42 | High income | | Population (m) | 0.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 41 | Registering property (rank) | 116 | Trading across borders (rank) | 32 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | 1 |
| Time (days) | 26 | Time (days) | 29 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 10.2 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,25 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 20.5 | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 36 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,250 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | | 1,23 |
| Time (days) | 217 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 19.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 2 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 32 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 164 | Protecting investors (rank) | 107 | Cost (% of claim) | 8. |
| | 67 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | | |
| , , | 80 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 4 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | | | 3 | Time (years) | 2. |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | | (ost (% of estate) | |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwarge Jahor cost (% of salary) | 40 62 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) Becovery rate (cents on the dollar) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 62 13 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 62 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 4.3 17 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 62 13 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 | | 15 41.6 |

| MACEDONIA, FYR | |
|--|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 75 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 21 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 15 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 6.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (real) | 76 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 76 19 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Time (days) | 192 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 109.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 128 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 61 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 50 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 33 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 |
| | |

| MADAGASCAR | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 149 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 61 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 7 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 22.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 333.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 139 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 |
| Time (days) | 268 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 880.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 150 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 89 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 63 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 18 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 30 |
| | |

| MALAWI | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 127 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 108 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 37 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 188.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 117 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 |
| Time (days) | 213 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 189.2 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 90 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 25 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 84 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Lower middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 91 6 98 3.5 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 48 6 3 4.0 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 5 6 4 5.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 99 52 96 49.8 | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 165 8 134 11.6 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 176 1 0 0.1 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 51 5 6 6 | |

| riotecting investors (rank) | JI |
|--|-------|
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) |) 5.7 |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 86 |
| Payments (number per year) | 26 |
| Time (hours per year) | 238 |
| | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 46.5 |

| Su | h-Sa | haran | Africa |
|----|------|-------|--------|
| Ju | n-Ja | naran | Annea |

| Low income | |
|--|------|
| Registering property (rank) | 87 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 88 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 3.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 84 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 78 |
| Payments (number per year) | 30 |
| Time (hours per year) | 370 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 32.2 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,060 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 2.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 72 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,130 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 17 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,130 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 84 |
| Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Time (days) | 385 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 33.1 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 127 |
| Time (years) | 3.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 28 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 15.8 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 280 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 19.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 126 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 28 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,182 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 49 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,282 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 151 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 871 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 42.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 170 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 13.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 161 |
| Documents to export (number) | 12 |
| Time to export (days) | 45 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,623 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 54 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,500 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 135 |
| Procedures (number) | 42 |
| Time (days) | 432 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 142.4 |
| | 135 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 100 |
| Time (years) | 2.6 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 30 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 13.2 |
| | |
| | |

2,680 0.3 110

8

21

20

94 41

665 16.5

120 6.7 4

18.2

440 13.9

162 9

44 1,752

11 65

2,680

157 39

860 52.0 107

3.6 18

21.3

1,200 9

1,200

| MALAYSIA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
|--|-------------|--|-------------|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 24 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 74 | Registering property (rank) | 67 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 24 | Time (days) | 144 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 18.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 2.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) |
| | 105 | Getting credit (rank) | 3 | Time to import (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 105 25 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 8 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Time (days) | 285 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 44.5 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 10.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | | Procedures (number) |
| | | | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 43 | Protecting investors (rank) | 4 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 10 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 9 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 | Time (years) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 10 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8.7 | Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 15 | | 54 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 75 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 56 35 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 166 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 36.0 | |
| | | | 5010 | |
| MALDIVES | | South Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 60 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) |
| - | | | 170 | • |
| Starting a business (rank) | 34 | Registering property (rank) | 178 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 5 | Procedures (number) | NO PRACTICE | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 9 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 13.4 5.8 | Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) |
| Minimum capital (70 of meome per capita) | 5.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 135 | Time to import (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 8 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | |
| Time (days) | 118 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 39.9 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) |
| | | | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 7 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | 8 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 0 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8 5.3 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | Stiength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.5 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 9 | Paying taxes (rank) | 1 | necovery face (cents of the donal) |
| · · ·····g cost (···cella of salary) | - | Payments (number per year) | 1 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | - | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 9.1 | |
| | | | | |
| MALI | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 158 | Low income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 149 | Registering property (rank) | 90 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 26 | Time (days) | 29 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 132.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 21.2 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 434.6 | | | Documents to import (number) |
| | 101 | Getting credit (rank) | 135 | Time to import (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 101 14 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 1 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Time (days) | 208 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 2.5 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,320.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 88 | Protecting investors (rank) | 147 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 | Time (years) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.3 | Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 28 | Paving taxos (rank) | 151 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 31 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 151 58 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 270 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.4 | |
| | | | | |

5,490 25.8 (rank) 21 nber) 7 18 ntainer) 432 7 14 nber) ntainer) 385 63 nk) 30 600 27.5 54 2.3 k) 15 38.3 ne dollar)

MARSHALL ISLANDS Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank)

89

15

5

17

17.7

0.0

4 10

55

27

8

6

7

5.3

0.0

33

18

107

43.3

61

0

20

50

23

6

35

36.8

| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) |
|--------------------------------------|
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) |
| |

| MAURITANIA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 157 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 167 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 65 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 56.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 503.1 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 142 |
| Procedures (number) | 25 |
| Time (days) | 201 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 565.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 117 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 45 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 16 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 31 |
| | |

MAURITIUS Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)

| East Asia & Pacific | |
|---|--------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 178 NO PRACTICE |
| Time (days) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE |
| Getting credit (rank) | 170 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 147 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 0 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 8 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 74 |
| Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 21 128 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 64.9 |
| · · · | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 52 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 49 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 5.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 1 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.2 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 141 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 3 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 171 |
| Payments (number per year) | 38 |
| Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 696 107.5 |
| | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 153 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 210 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 10.8 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 97 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 1 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 38.6 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 11 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 8 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 9 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 7.7 |
| | |

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) 161 Total tax rate (% of profit) 21.7

11

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,000 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 46 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 21 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 765 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 33 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 765 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 60 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 476 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 27.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 122 |
| Time (years) | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 17.9 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 740 |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Population (m) | 3.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 152 |
| Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time to export (days) | 35 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,360 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 42 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,363 |
| | |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 89 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 89 46 |
| 3 | |
| Procedures (number) | 46 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 46 400 23.2 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 46 400 23.2 143 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 46 400 23.2 143 8.0 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 46 400 23.2 143 8.0 9 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 46 400 23.2 143 8.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,450 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 1.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 17 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 728 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 16 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 673 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 78 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 750 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.4 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 66 |
| Time (years) | 1.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 34.3 |
| | |
| | |

| MEXICO | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 7,870 |
|---|----------------|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 44 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 104.2 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 75 | Registering property (rank) | 71 | Trading across borders (rank) | 76 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 27 | Time (days) | 74 | Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 13.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.7 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,302 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 11.6 | | | Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| | 24 | Getting credit (rank) | 48 | Time to import (days) | 23 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 21 11 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 3 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,411 |
| Time (days) | 131 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 83 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 103.5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 61.2 | Procedures (number) | 38 |
| | 10010 | · ····ace balead corelage (/b of addits) | 0.112 | Time (davs) | 415 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 134 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Cost (% of claim) | 32.0 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 23 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 | Time (years) | 1.8 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 48 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 | | 125 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 63.9 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 52 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 135 27 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 552 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.2 | | |
| | | | | | |
| MICRONESIA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,380 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 112 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 46 | Registering property (rank) | 178 | Trading across borders (rank) | 85 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | NO PRACTICE | Documents to export (number) | 3 |
| Time (days) | 16 | Time (days) | NO PRACTICE | Time to export (days) | 30 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 137.0 | Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,145 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 84 | Time to import (days) | 30 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 9 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,145 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | | |
| Time (days) | 73 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 139 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 19.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) Time (days) | 34 965 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 12 | Protecting investors (rank) | 165 | Cost (% of claim) | 965 66.0 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 | | 00.0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | ů 0 | Closing a business (rank) | 149 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | Time (years) | 5.3 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 7 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 2.7 | Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 6 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 3.1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 | Paying taxes (rank) | 70 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 21 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 128 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 58.7 | | |
| MOLDOVA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,100 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 92 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 3.8 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 81 | Registering property (rank) | 46 | Trading across borders (rank) | 122 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 23 | Time (days) | 48 | Time to export (days) | 32 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11.5 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.9 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,425 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 14.6 | | 0.9 | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Time to import (days) | 35 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 153 | Strength of legal rights index (0-10) | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,545 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | | |
| Time (days) | 292 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 17 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 154.2 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 31 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 93 | Protecting investors (rank) | 98 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 365 16.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 93 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 98 7 | | 10.0 |
| | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | Closing a business (rank) | 82 |
| | | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Time (years) | 2.8 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | | | | | |
| | 40 38 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.7 | Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 | | 4.7 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 9 28.8 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 38 | | 4.7 111 | | 9 28.8 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 38 28 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 111 49 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 40 38 28 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 111 | | |

| MONGOLIA Ease of doing business (rank) |
|---|
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

4.3 96.3

15.7

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)

Time (hours per year)

Total tax rate (% of profit)

| MONTENEGRO | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 81 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 98 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 24 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 6.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 113 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 185 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 599.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 98 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 38 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 18 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 39 |
| | |

| MOROCCO | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 129 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 51 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 12 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 59.8 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 88 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 163 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 334.7 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 165 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 100 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 63 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 19 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 85 |
| | |

| East Asia & Pacific | |
|---|-------------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 18 5 |
| Time (days) | с 11 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 68 |
| Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 5 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 3 9.5 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 19 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 90 |
| Payments (number per year) | 42 |
| Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 204 38.4 |
| | 50.1 |
| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
| Lower middle income | 103 |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 86 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.4 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 84 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 7 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 19 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 8 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 129 |
| Payments (number per year) | 88 |
| Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 372 31.6 |
| | |
| Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 102 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 47 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 4.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 1 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 2.3 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 158 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 2 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) | 1 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.0 |

| Time (years) | 2.0 |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 42.8 |
| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,900 |
| Population (m) | 30.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 67 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 14 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 600 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 114 |
| Procedures (number) | 40 |
| Time (days) | 615 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 60 |

| | 25.2 |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Closing a business (rank) | 60 |
| Time (years) | 1.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 35.3 |

53.1

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 880 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 2.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 168 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 58 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,807 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 59 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 3,197 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 27 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 |
| Time (days) | 314 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.1 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 115 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 20.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,860 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 113 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 18 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,580 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,780 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 131 |
| Procedures (number) | 49 |
| Time (days) | 545 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 25.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 41 |
| Time (years) | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 42.8 |

| MOZAMBIQUE | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 34 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 134 | Low income | | Population (m) | 20 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 125 | Registering property (rank) | 126 | Trading across borders (rank) | 14 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 8 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Гіme (days) | 29 | Time (days) | 42 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21.6 | Cost (% of property value) | 8.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 115.8 | | | Documents to import (number) | |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Time to import (days) | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 147 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,1 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 | | |
| Time (days) | 361 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.9 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 1 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 705.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 160 | Ducto stime investore (reals) | 22 | Time (days) | 1,0 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 162 83 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 33 5 | Cost (% of claim) | 142 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 1 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index ($0-10$) | 9 | Time (years) | |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 54 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Vonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 4 | Sacingar of investor protection index (or 10) | 0.0 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 1 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 143 | Paying taxes (rank) | 72 | | |
| J | | Payments (number per year) | 37 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 230 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 34.3 | | |
| | | | | | |
| NAMIBIA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,2 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 43 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 101 | Registering property (rank) | 128 | Trading across borders (rank) | 1 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 9 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 99 | Time (days) | 23 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 22.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 9.9 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 36 | Time to import (days) | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 31 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,5 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | |
| Time (days) | 139 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 156.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 59.9 | Procedures (number) | |
| | 22 | | 64 | Time (days) | 2 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 33 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | Cost (% of claim) | 29 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 40 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 5 | Closing a husiness (rapk) | |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 5.3 | Cost (% of estate) | |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 20 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.5 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 24 | Paying taxes (rank) | 48 | necovery rate (cents on the donar) | - |
| Thing cost (weeks of salary) | 27 | Payments (number per year) | 37 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 26.5 | | |
| | | | | | |
| NEPAL | | South Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 111 | Low income | | Population (m) | 27 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 60 | Registering property (rank) | 25 | Trading across borders (rank) | 1 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 3 | Documents to export (number) | |
| Time (days) | 31 | Time (days) | 5 | Time to export (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 73.9 | Cost (% of property value) | 6.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Time to import (days) | |
| | 125 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,7 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 | | |
| Procedures (number) | 15 | | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 1 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 424 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | | - | |
| Procedures (number) | | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.2 | Procedures (number) | |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 424 304.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.2 | Procedures (number) Time (days) | 7 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 424 304.7 155 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 0.2 64 | Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 424 304.7 155 67 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 7 2 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 1 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 7 2 |
| Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 70 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 1 9 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 7 21 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 70 52 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 1 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 72 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 70 52 10 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 1 9 5.3 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 72 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 70 52 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 0.2 64 6 1 9 5.3 92 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 72 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 424 304.7 155 67 20 70 52 10 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 0.2 64 6 1 9 5.3 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 7 20 24 |

2 12 0.1 0.0

| NETHERLANDS Ease of doing business (rank) |
|---|
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |
| NEW ZEALAND Ease of doing business (rank) |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) |

| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
|---|
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| NICARAGUA Ease of doing business (rank) | 93 |
|--|-------|
| 5 . , | ,, |
| Starting a business (rank) | 70 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 39 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 119.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 127 |
| Procedures (number) | 17 |
| Time (days) | 219 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 898.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 59 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 27 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 24 |
| | |

| OECD: High Income | |
|---|---------------|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 22 2 |
| Time (days) | 5 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 6.2 |
| | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 13 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 7 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 78.1 |
| | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 98 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 4 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10 | |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 36 9 |
| Time (hours per year) | 180 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 43.4 |
| | |
| OECD: High Income | |
| High income | 1 |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 1 2 |
| Time (days) | 2 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 0.1 |
| | 2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 1 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 10 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 9 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 10 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10 |)) 9.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 9 |
| Payments (number per year) | 8 |
| Time (hours per year) | 70 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 35.1 |
| Latin America & Caribbean | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 130 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 124 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 3.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 68 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 14.8 100.0 |
| Filvate buleau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 83 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 6 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | |
| | , 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 156 |
| Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 64 240 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 63.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 42,670 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 16.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 14 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 6 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 880 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 6 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,005 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 36 |
| Procedures (number) | 25 |
| Time (days) | 514 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 24.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 8 |
| Time (years) | 1.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 86.7 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 27,250 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 4.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 16 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 10 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 725 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 9 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 13 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 216 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 22.0 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 16 |
| Time (years) | 1.3 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 77.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,000 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 5.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 87 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 36 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,021 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 38 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,054 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 69 |
| Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Time (days) | 540 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.8 |
| | (2) |
| Closing a business (rank) | 63 |
| Time (years) | 2.2 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 34.6 |
| | |
| | |

| NIGER | 1.00 | Sub-Sa |
|---|------------|-------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 169 | Low in |
| Starting a business (rank) | 153 | Regist |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 11 23 | Proced Time (d |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 174.8 | Cost (9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 735.6 | |
| | | Gettir |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 155 16 | Streng Depth |
| Time (days) | 293 | Public |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2,823.6 | Private |
| | | |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 161 100 | Prote Extent |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 | Ease of |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 70 | Streng |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 31 | Davia |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 21 | Payin Payme |
| | | Time (I |
| | | Total ta |
| | | <u> </u> |
| NIGERIA | | Sub-Sa |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 108 | Low in |
| Starting a business (rank) | 80 | Regist |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 9 34 | Proced Time (d |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 56.6 | Cost (9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | |
| | | Gettir |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 161 18 | Streng |
| Time (days) | 350 | Depth Public |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,016.0 | Private |
| | | |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 30 0 | Prote Extent |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 7 | Streng |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 9 50 | Davia |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 50 | Payin Payme |
| | | Time (I |
| | | Total ta |
| NODWAY | | 0560 |
| NORWAY | | OECD: |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 11 | High ir |
| Starting a business (rank) | 28 | Regist |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 6 10 | Proced Time (d |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2.3 | Cost (9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 23.4 | |
| Dealing with ligences (real) | | Gettin |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 55 14 | Streng Depth |
| Time (days) | 252 | Public |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 46.2 | Private |
| Employing workers (rank) | 94 | Prote |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 94 61 | Extent |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 | Ease of |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 47 | Streng |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 14 13 | Payin |
| | | Payme |
| | | Time (I |
| | | Total ta |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
|--|------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 63 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 32 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 9.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 1 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 1.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 147 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 3.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 115 |
| Payments (number per year) | 42 |
| Time (hours per year) | 270 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 42.4 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 173 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 82 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 22.2 |
| | |

| Time (days) | 82 |
|--|-------|
| Cost (% of property value) | 22.2 |
| | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 84 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 51 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 107 |
| Payments (number per year) | 35 |
| Time (hours per year) | 1,120 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 29.9 |
| | |

| OECD: High Income | |
|--|-------|
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 6 |
| Procedures (number) | 1 |
| Time (days) | 3 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 36 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 15 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 16 |
| Payments (number per year) | 4 |
| Time (hours per year) | 87 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 42.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 260 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Population (m) | 14.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 163 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 59 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,945 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 68 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,946 |
| | 132 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | |
| Procedures (number) | 39 545 |
| Time (days) | 59.6 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 59.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 133 |
| Time (years) | 5.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 14.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 640 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Population (m) | 144.7 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 138 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 26 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,026 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 46 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,047 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 93 |
| Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Time (days) | 457 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 32.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 89 |
| - | 2.0 |
| Time (years) | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 2.0 22 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 66,530 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Population (m) | 4.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 4 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 7 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 518 |
| Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| Time to import (days) | 7 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 468 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 9 33 310 9.9 3 |
| Time (years) | 0.9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 1 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 90.7 |
| | |

OMAN

| • | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 49 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 107 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 34 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 541.8 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 130 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 |
| Time (days) | 242 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 847.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 26 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 4 |

| PAKISTAN | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 76 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 59 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 24 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 14.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 93 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 223 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 869.5 |
| Employing workors (rapk) | 132 |
| Employing workers (rank) | |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 43 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 90 |
| | |

| PALAU | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 82 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 56 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 28 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 12.5 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 47 |
| Procedures (number) | 25 |
| Time (days) | 118 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 6.1 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 9 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 4 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 |
| | |
| | |

| Middle East & North Africa | |
|--|------|
| Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 15 |
| Procedures (number) | 2 |
| Time (days) | 16 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 3.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 97 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 12.4 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 5 |
| Payments (number per year) | 14 |
| Time (hours per year) | 62 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 21.6 |
| South Asia | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 88 |

8 Procedures (number) 6 Time (days) 50 Cost (% of property value) 5.3 Getting credit (rank) 68 Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 4 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 4 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 4.6 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 1.4 Protecting investors (rank) 19 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) 6 6 Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) 7 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Paying taxes (rank) 146 Payments (number per year) 47 Time (hours per year) 560 Total tax rate (% of profit) 40.7

East Asia & Pacific

| Upper middle income | | |
|--|------|--|
| Registering property (rank) | 14 | |
| Procedures (number) | 5 | |
| Time (days) | 14 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 0.4 | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 170 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 2 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 165 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 73 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 19 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 128 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 73.0 | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 9,587 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 2.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 104 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 22 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 665 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 26 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 824 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 110 |
| Procedures (number) | 51 |
| Time (days) | 598 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 13.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 59 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 35.5 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 770 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 159.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 94 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 515 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,336 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 154 |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 880 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 23.8 |
| | 25.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 51 |
| Time (years) | 2.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 39.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 7,990 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 121 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 29 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,160 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 35 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,110 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 142 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 885 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 35.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 55 |
| Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 23 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 38.2 |
| | |
| | |

| PANAMA | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 4,890 |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| ase of doing business (rank) | 65 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 3.3 |
| starting a business (rank) | 31 | Registering property (rank) | 61 | Trading across borders (rank) | 9 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 7 | Documents to export (number) | 3 |
| īme (days) | 19 | Time (days) | 44 | Time to export (days) | 9 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 22.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 2.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 650 |
| linimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | Cotting credit (rank) | 13 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 4 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 89 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 850 |
| rocedures (number) | 25 | Depth of credit information index $(0-10)$ | 6 | cost to import (055 per container) | 0.00 |
| ime (days) | 149 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 116 |
| lost (% of income per capita) | 143.9 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 41.6 | Procedures (number) | 31 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 686 |
| mploying workers (rank) | 170 | Protecting investors (rank) | 98 | Cost (% of claim) | 50.0 |
| ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 1 | | _ |
| igidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 74 |
| ifficulty of firing index (0–100) | 70 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 9 | Time (years) | 2.5 |
| igidity of employment index (0–100) onwage labor cost (% of salary) | 69 19 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.7 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 18 32.3 |
| iring cost (weeks of salary) | 44 | Paying taxes (rank) | 169 | Recovery face (certs of the dollar) | 52.5 |
| ing cost (weeks of salary) | | Payments (number per year) | 59 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 482 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 50.8 | | |
| | | | | | |
| PAPUA NEW GUINEA | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 770 |
| ase of doing business (rank) | 84 | Low income | | Population (m) | 6.0 |
| tarting a business (rank) | 76 | Registering property (rank) | 64 | Trading across borders (rank) | 82 |
| rocedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| ïme (days) | 56 | Time (days) | 72 | Time to export (days) | 26 |
| ost (% of income per capita) | 26.4 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 584 |
| linimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | ç |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 115 | Time to import (days) | 29 |
| ealing with licenses (rank) | 118 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 642 |
| rocedures (number) | 24 | Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$ | 0 | | |
| ime (days) | 217 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 162 |
| ost (% of income per capita) | 106.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 43 |
| mploying workers (rank) | 31 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 591 110.3 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | | 110.5 |
| igidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 97 |
| ifficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | Time (years) | 3.0 |
| igidity of employment index (0–100) | 10 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 23 |
| onwage labor cost (% of salary) | 10 | 5 | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.9 |
| iring cost (weeks of salary) | 39 | Paying taxes (rank) | 79 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 33 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 206 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 41.7 | | |
| | | | | | |
| PARAGUAY | | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1.400 |
| | 103 | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) | |
| ase of doing business (rank) | 103 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 6.0 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) | 66 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) | 55 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) | 6.0 123 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) | 66 7 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 6 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) | 6.0 123 9 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) | 66 7 35 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 6 46 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) | 6.0 123 9 35 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) | 66 7 35 77.6 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 6 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 6.0 123 9 35 720 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) | 66 7 35 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 6 46 3.5 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 6.0 123 9 35 720 10 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 6 46 3.5 48 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 6.0 123 9 35 720 10 33 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) bealing with licenses (rank) | 66 7 35 77.6 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 6 46 3.5 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 6.0 123 9 35 720 10 33 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) bealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Benforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Decuments to import (rumber) Time to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) vealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 6.0 122 352 722 10 32 900 105 33.0 591 30.0 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) bealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) igidity of hours index (0–100) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 6.0 123 35 722 100 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) bealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) igidity of firing index (0–100) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 60 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 6 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 132 3.9 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) bealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) igidity of hours index (0–100) igidity of employment index (0–100) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 60 59 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 132 3.9 59 30.0 59 59 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) Pealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) igidity of hours index (0–100) igidity of firing index (0–100) igidity of firing index (0–100) onwage labor cost (% of salary) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 60 59 17 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 6 5.7 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 132 3.9 59 30.0 59 59 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 |
| ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) mploying workers (rank) lifficulty of hiring index (0–100) igidity of hours index (0–100) igidity of femployment index (0–100) lonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 60 59 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 6 5.7 93 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 6.0 123 35 720 10 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 132 3.9 59 30.0 59 59 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 |
| PARAGUAY Fase of doing business (rank) Forcedures (number) Fine (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Fine (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Fine (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Nigidity of hours index (0–100) Nigidity of fining index (0–100) Nigidity of fining index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Fining cost (weeks of salary) | 66 7 35 77.6 0.0 98 13 291 439.0 173 56 60 60 59 17 | Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6 46 3.5 48 3 6 11.0 48.7 51 6 5 6 5.7 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 1,400 6.0 123 9 35 720 100 33 900 105 38 591 30.0 132 3.9 9 14.6 |

PERU

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 58 |
|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 102 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 72 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 29.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 109 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 |
| Time (days) | 210 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 165.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 159 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 55 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 10 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 52 |
| | |

| PHILIPPINES | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 133 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 144 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 58 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 26.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 6.9 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 77 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 |
| Time (days) | 177 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 75.9 |
| | 122 |
| Employing workers (rank) | |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 35 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 7 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| | |
| | |

| POLAND | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 74 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 129 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 31 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 196.8 |
| | 150 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 156 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 308 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 159.8 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 78 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 37 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 13 |
| | |

| Latin America & Caribbean | |
|--|------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 35 |
| Procedures (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 3.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 36 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 20.7 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 33.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 15 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 77 |
| Payments (number per year) | 9 |
| Time (hours per year) | 424 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 41.5 |
| | |

| East Asia & Pacific | |
|--|------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 86 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 4.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 97 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 3 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 5.5 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 141 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 1 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 126 |
| Payments (number per year) | 47 |
| Time (hours per year) | 195 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 52.8 |
| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
| Upper middle income | |
| | 0.1 |

| Upper middle income | |
|--|------|
| Registering property (rank) | 81 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 197 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 0.5 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 68 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 51.5 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 33 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 9 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 125 |
| Payments (number per year) | 41 |
| Time (hours per year) | 418 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 38.4 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,920 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 28.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 71 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 590 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 31 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 670 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 119 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Time (days) | 468 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 35.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 94 |
| Time (years) | 3.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 7 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 24.7 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,420 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 84.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 57 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 18 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 800 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 113 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 842 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.0 |
| | 20.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 147 |
| Time (years) | 5.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 4.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 8,190 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 38.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 40 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 834 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 27 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 834 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 68 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 830 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 10.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 88 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 27.8 |

| PORTUGAL | | OECD: High Income | | G |
|--|-------------|--|--------------|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 37 | High income | | Po |
| Starting a business (rank) | 38 | Registering property (rank) | 65 | Т |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 5 | D |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 7 3.4 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 42 7.4 | Ti Co |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 34.7 | cost (% of property value) | 7.4 | D |
| | 5 | Getting credit (rank) | 68 | Ti |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 112 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 | Co |
| Procedures (number) | 20 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 | - |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 327 54.0 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 67.1 11.3 | Ei Pi |
| cost (// of income per cupita) | 51.0 | invate bareau coverage (70 or adults) | 11.5 | Ti |
| Employing workers (rank) | 157 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Co |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | _ |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 50 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 7 | C Ti |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 48 | Strength of investor protection index $(0 - 10)$ | 6.0 | G |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 24 | | | R |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 95 | Paying taxes (rank) | 66 | |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 8 328 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 44.8 | |
| | | | | |
| PUERTO RICO | | Latin America & Caribbean | | G |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 28 | High income | | Po |
| Starting a business (rank) | 7 | Registering property (rank) | 117 | Т |
| Procedures (number) | 7 | Procedures (number) | 8 | D |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 7 0.8 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 194 1.4 | Ti Co |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | D |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 26 | Ti |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 135 22 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 5 | Co |
| Time (days) | 209 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | E |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 550.8 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 62.0 | Pi |
| | 22 | | 10 | Ti |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 32 44 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 12 7 | Co |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | 6 | C |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | Ti |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 21 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7.0 | C |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 13 0 | Paying taxes (rank) | 39 | R |
| Thing cost (weeks of sulary) | Ū | Payments (number per year) | 16 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 140 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 44.3 | |
| ROMANIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | G |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 48 | Upper middle income | | P |
| Starting a business (rank) | 26 | Registering property (rank) | 123 | T |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 8 | D |
| Time (days) | 14 | Time (days) | 150 | Ti |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.7 | Cost (% of property value) | 2.8 | C |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 1.5 | Getting credit (rank) | 13 | D Ti |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 90 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 | C |
| Procedures (number) | 17 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | |
| Time (days) | 243 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 4.1 | E |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 124.3 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 10.9 | Pi Ti |
| Employing workers (rank) | 145 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | G |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 9 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 4 | C |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 66 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4 6.0 | Ti Ci |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 31 | | 0.0 | R |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 8 | Paying taxes (rank) | 134 | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 96 202 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 202 46.9 | |
| | | | | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 18,100 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Population (m) | 10.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 31 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 16 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 580 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 16 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 994 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 49 |
| Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Time (days) | 577 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 20 |
| Time (years) | 20 |
| v | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 74.0 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 74.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 14,371 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Population (m) | 3.9 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 95 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 15 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,225 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 16 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,225 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 88 |
| Procedures (number) | 00 41 |
| Time (days) | 620 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 16.4 |
| | 10.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 28 |
| Time (years) | 3.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 8 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 54.1 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 4,850 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 21.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 38 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 12 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,075 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 13 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,075 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 37 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 |
| Time (days) | 537 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 19.9 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 81 |
| Time (years) | 3.3 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9.5 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 28.9 |
| necovery rate (cents on the donar) | 20.9 |
| | |
| | |

| RUSSIA | IA |
|--------|----|
|--------|----|

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 106 |
|--|---------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 50 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 29 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 3.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 3.2 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 177 |
| Procedures (number) | 54 |
| Time (days) | 704 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 3,788.4 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 101 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 44 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 31 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 |
| | |

| RWANDA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 150 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 63 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 16 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 171.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 124 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 |
| Time (days) | 227 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 822.1 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 95 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 42 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 42 |
| 5 | 26 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 20 |
| | |

| SAMOAEase of doing business (rank)61Starting a business (rank)104 |
|---|
| 5 , |
| Starting a business (rank) 104 |
| Starting a Subiness (rank) |
| Procedures (number) 9 |
| Time (days) 35 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) 41.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 |
| |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) 41 |
| Procedures (number) 18 |
| Time (days) 88 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) 95.4 |
| Employing workers (rank) 15 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) 10 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) 6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) 9 |
| |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 45 6 52 0.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 84 3 4 0.0 4.4 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 83 6 2 7 5.0 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 130 22 448 51.4 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 137 5 371 9.4 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 1 2 0.2 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 165 2 5 1 2.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 50 34 168 33.8 |
| East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 58 5 147 1.8 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 4 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 19 5 6 8 |

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)

Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)

8

6.3

53 37

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,780 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 142.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 155 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 36 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,050 |
| Documents to import (number) | 13 |
| Time to import (days) | 36 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,050 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 19 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 281 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 13.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 80 |
| Time (years) | 3.8 |
| | 9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 250 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 9.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 166 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 47 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,975 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 69 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 4,970 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 44 |
| Procedures (number) | 24 |
| Time (days) | 310 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 78.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,270 |
|--|------------------|
| Population (m) | 0.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 108 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 27 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,010 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 31 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,375 |
| - - - - - - - - - - | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 80 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 455 |
| | |
| Cost (% of claim) | 19.7 |
| | |
| Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 129 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 129 |
| Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 129 2.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 129 2.5 38 |

| SAO TOME AND PRINCIP Ease of doing business (rank) | PE 163 |
|---|--------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 126 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 144 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 94.5 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 113 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 255 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 825.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 176 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 63 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| SAUDI ARABIA | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 23 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 36 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 15 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 32.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 47 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 |
| Time (days) | 125 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 94.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 40 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 13 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 80 |
| SENEGAL Ease of doing business (rank) | 162 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 159 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 58 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 107.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 255.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 64 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 217 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 176.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 160 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 72 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 61 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 21 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 38 |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
|---|-------------|--|
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 146 7 | |
| Time (davs) | 62 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 12.6 | |
| •••• | | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 0 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| | | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 122 5 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.0 | |
| | 150 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 153 41 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 424 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 51.0 | |
| | | |
| Middle East & North Africa High income | | |
| 5 | 3 | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 4 | |
| Time (days) | 4 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 0.0 | |
| | 48 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 40 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 23.5 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 50 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 | |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 7 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 14 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 79 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 14.5 | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) | 155 | |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | |
| Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 114 19.5 | |
| | 17.3 | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 | |
| Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 3 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 1 4.0 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 4.0 0.0 | |
| ······, | | |

Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 780 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 91 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 27 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 690 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 29 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 577 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 115 |
| Procedures (number) | 43 |
| Time (days) | 405 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 34.8 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 12,464 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 23.7 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 33 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,008 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 20 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 758 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 136 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 635 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 27.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 79 |
| Time (years) | 2.8 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 29.3 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 750 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | |
| Population (m) | 11.9 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 136 |
| Documents to export (number) | 11 |
| Time to export (days) | 20 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 828 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 26 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,720 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 148 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 780 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 73 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 7 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 32.4 |

158 6 1

2 3.0

| SE | RB | IA |
|----|----|----|
|----|----|----|

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 86 |
|--|---------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 90 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 23 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 8.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 149 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 204 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2,713.1 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 110 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 67 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 46 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 18 |
| 5 | |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 25 |

| SEYCHELLES | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 90 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 48 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 38 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 51 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 144 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 46.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 97 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 34 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 25 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 39 |
| | |

| SIERRA LEONE | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 160 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 89 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 26 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,075.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 151 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 235 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 191.7 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 169 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 51 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 10 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 189 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|--|------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 115 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 111 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 5.4 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 13 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 7 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.1 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 51.3 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 121 |
| Payments (number per year) | 66 |
| Time (hours per year) | 279 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 35.8 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 50 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 33 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 7.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 158 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 51 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 35 |
| Payments (number per year) | 16 |
| Time (hours per year) | 76 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 48.4 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 172 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 235 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 14.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |

Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)

Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)

Time (hours per year)

Total tax rate (% of profit)

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)

98

3 6

5

4.7

145 22

399

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,910 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 10.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 58 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 12 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,240 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 14 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,440 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 101 |
| Procedures (number) | 36 |
| Time (days) | 635 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 28.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 103 |
| Time (years) | 2.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 23 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.1 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 8,650 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 84 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,839 |
| Documents to import (number) | 5 |
| Time to import (days) | 19 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,839 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 66 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 720 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 14.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| 240 |
|-------|
| 5.6 |
| 130 |
| 8 |
| 31 |
| 1,282 |
| 7 |
| 34 |
| 1,242 |
| |
| 140 |
| 40 |
| 515 |
| 149.5 |
| 141 |
| 2.6 |
| 42 |
| 8.5 |
| 0.5 |
| |
| |

| SINGAPORE | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 29,320 |
|--|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 1 | High income | | Population (m) | 4.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 9 5 5 0.8 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 13 3 9 2.8 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1 4 5 416 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 2.8 7 9 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 410 4 3 367 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 11 102 22.9 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 4 0.0 42.7 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 4 22 120 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 1 0 0 0 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 10 9 9 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 17.8 2 0.8 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 13 4 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 9.3 2 5 49 23.2 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 1 91.3 |
| SLOVAKIA | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 9,870 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 32 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 5.4 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 72 9 25 4.2 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 5 3 17 0.1 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 90 6 25 1,015 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 34.1 | Getting credit (rank) | 7 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 8 25 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 50 13 287 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 9 4 1.2 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 1,050 50 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 14.9 75 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 56.0 98 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 30 565 25.7 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 17 60 30 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 3 4 7 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 36 4.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 36 35 13 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 4.7 122 31 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 18 45.2 |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 344 50.5 | | |
| SLOVENIA Ease of doing business (rank) | 55 | Eastern Europe & Central Asia High income | | GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) | 18,890 2.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 120 | Registering property (rank) | 99 | Trading across borders (rank) | 69 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 60 8.5 49.8 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 391 2.0 | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 20 971 8 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 62 15 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 68 6 2 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 21 1,019 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 208 113.9 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 2.5 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 79 32 1,350 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 166 78 60 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 19 3 8 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 18.6 34 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 50 63 19 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 8 6.3 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 2.0 8 46.6 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 40 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 63 22 260 39.2 | , | |
| | | iotal tax face (70 of profit) | 27.2 | | |

SOLOMON ISLANDS

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 79 |
|--|-------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 85 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 57 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 58.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 24 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 34 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 |
| Time (days) | 62 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 518.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 47 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 17 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 44 |
| | |

| SOUTH AFRICA |
|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) |

| SPAIN | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 38 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 118 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 47 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 15.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 13.7 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 46 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 233 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 64.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 154 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 56 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 33 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 56 |
| | |

| East Asia & Pacific | | |
|--|-------------|--|
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) | 162 | |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 10 297 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 4.9 | |
| | | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 0 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 51 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 3 | |
| Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | 7 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7 5.7 | |
| Stength of investor protection index (o ro) | 5.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 26 | |
| Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 33 80 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 32.6 | |
| | | |
| Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) | 76 | |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | |
| Time (days) | 24 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 8.8 | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 26 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 52.1 | |
| - | 52.1 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 9 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 8 8 | |
| Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 8 | |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 8.0 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 61 | |
| Payments (number per year) | 11 | |
| Time (hours per year) | 350 | |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 37.1 | |
| OECD: High Income | | |
| High income | | |
| Registering property (rank) | 42 | |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 4 | |
| Cost (% of property value) | 18 7.1 | |
| | | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 13 | |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 6 | |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 44.9 | |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 8.3 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 83 | |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 | |

| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 5 |
|--|------|
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 |
| | |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 93 |
| Payments (number per year) | 8 |
| Time (hours per year) | 298 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 62.0 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 680 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 74 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,056 |
| Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| Time to import (days) | 21 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,238 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 104 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 455 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 78.9 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 101 |
| Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.3 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,390 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 47.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 134 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 30 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,087 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 35 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,195 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 85 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 600 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 33.2 |
| | |
| Closing a business (rank) | 68 |
| Time (years) | 2.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 18 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 33.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 27,570 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 43.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 47 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 9 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,000 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 10 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,000 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 55 |
| Procedures (number) | 39 |
| Time (days) | 515 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 17 |
| Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 76.9 |
| | |
| | |

| SRI LANKA | | South Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,300 |
|---|---------------------|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 101 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 19.8 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 29 5 39 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (davs) | 134 8 83 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) | 60 8 21 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 8.5 0.0 | Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) | 5.1 97 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 810 6 21 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 160 22 214 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 3 3 0.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 844 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 1,929.0 111 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 2.9 64 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 40 1,318 22.8 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 0 20 60 27 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4 5 7 5.3 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 39 1.7 5 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 15 169 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) | 158 62 256 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 44.6 |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 63.7 | | |
| ST. KITTS AND NEVIS Ease of doing business (rank) | 64 | Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income | | GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) | 8,840 0.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) | 79 9 | Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 140 6 | Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) | 22 6 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 46 22.9 0.0 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 81 13.3 | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) | 15 750 6 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 7 14 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 115 5 0 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 17 756 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 72 14.0 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 117 47 578 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 18 11 20 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 19 4 8 | Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) | 20.5 178 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 20 17 10 8 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 7 6.3 85 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 0.0 |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 24 172 52.6 | | |
| ST. LUCIA | 24 | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,110 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) | 34 45 | Upper middle income Registering property (rank) | 51 | Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) | 0.2 88 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 6 40 23.7 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 5 20 7.4 | Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 5 18 1,375 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 97 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) | 8 21 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) | 13 9 139 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 6 0 0.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) | 1,420 161 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 31.9 27 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 0.0 19 | Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 47 635 37.3 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 20 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 8 7 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 43 2.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 7 5 56 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 6.3 32 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 9 41.8 |
| | | Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 32 71 36.9 | | |

ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

| Ease of doing business (rank) | 54 |
|--|------|
| Starting a business (rank) | 32 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 12 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 29.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 1 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 74 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 9.2 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 52 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 17 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 54 |

| SUDAN | |
|--|-----|
| JUDAN | |
| Ease of doing business (rank) 1 | 43 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 95 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 39 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) 5 | 7.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) 1 | 31 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) 2 | 71 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) 29 | 6.0 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) 1 | 40 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 39 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 36 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) 1 | 18 |
| | |

| SURINAME | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 142 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 163 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 694 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 141.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 1.1 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 97 |
| Procedures (number) | 14 |
| Time (days) | 431 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 158.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 50 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 23 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 |
| | |

| Latin America & Caribbean | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 104 6 37 11.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 84 7 0 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 19 4 8 7 6.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 58 36 76 45.0 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 32 6 9 3.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 4 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 141 0 6 5 3.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 60 42 180 31.6 |
| Latin America & Caribbean | |
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 136 4 193 13.7 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |

| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
|--|------|
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 174 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 2 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 23 |
| Payments (number per year) | 17 |
| Time (hours per year) | 199 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 27.9 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,930 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 75 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 15 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,770 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 16 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,769 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 108 |
| Procedures (number) | 45 |
| Time (days) | 394 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 30.3 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |

| 810 |
|-------------|
| 37.0 |
| 143 |
| 7 |
| 39 |
| 1,700 |
| 7 |
| 54 |
| 2,300 |
| 143 |
| 53 |
| 810 |
| 19.8 |
| 178 |
| NO PRACTICE |
| NO PRACTICE |
| |
| |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 3,200 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 86 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 2 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 905 |
| Documents to import (number) | |
| Time to import (days) | 2 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 81 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 174 |
| Procedures (number) | 44 |
| Time (days) | 1,71 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 37. |
| Closing a business (rank) | 14 |
| Time (years) | 5.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 3 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 7.4 |

2,430 1.1 1,798 1,820

> 2.0 36.0

2.0 74.7

57,230 7.4

1,238 1,333

| SWAZILAND | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 95 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 142 | Registering property (rank) | 142 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 13 | Procedures (number) | 11 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 61 | Time (days) | 46 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 38.7 | Cost (% of property value) | 7.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.6 | | 24 | Documents to import (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (real) | 10 | Getting credit (rank) | 36 5 | Time to import (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 19 13 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Time (days) | 93 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 94.0 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 37.6 | Procedures (number) |
| | | | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 51 | Protecting investors (rank) | 175 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | 1 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 17 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5 2.0 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 2 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2.0 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 53 | Paying taxes (rank) | 40 | necovery rate (cents on the donal) |
| Thing cost (weeks of salary) | 55 | Payments (number per year) | 33 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 104 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 36.6 | |
| | | | | |
| SWEDEN | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 14 | High income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 22 | Registering property (rank) | 7 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 3 | Procedures (number) | 1 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 15 | Time (days) | 2 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.6 | Cost (% of property value) | 3.0 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 31.1 | | 24 | Documents to import (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 17 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 36 6 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 | cost to import (033 per container) |
| Time (days) | 116 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 106.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 | Procedures (number) |
| | | 5 | | Time (days) |
| Employing workers (rank) | 107 | Protecting investors (rank) | 51 | Cost (% of claim) |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 17 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 | Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$ | 4 | Closing a business (rank) |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 40 39 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 7 5.7 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 39 | Stiength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.7 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 26 | Paying taxes (rank) | 42 | hecovery face (certis on the donar) |
| 5 | | Payments (number per year) | 2 | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 122 | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 54.5 | |
| | | | | |
| SWITZERLAND | | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 16 | High income | | Population (m) |
| Starting a business (rank) | 35 | Registering property (rank) | 12 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 4 | Documents to export (number) |
| Time (days) | 20 | Time (days) | 16 | Time to export (days) |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 2.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 0.4 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) |
| Minimum capital (0% of income per capita) | | | | Documents to import (number) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 13.9 | Getting credit (rank) | 26 | Time to import (days) |
| | | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 26 6 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 29 14 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 26 6 5 | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 29 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) | 29 14 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 6 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 29 14 154 52.7 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 40 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 40 10 17 15 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 5 4 3.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 40 10 17 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 5 4 3.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 40 10 17 15 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 5 4 3.0 15 24 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 29 14 154 52.7 20 0 40 10 17 15 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 6 5 0.0 24.0 158 0 5 4 3.0 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |

SYRIA

| JINA | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 137 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 169 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 43 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 55.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 3,673.3 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 86 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 |
| . , | 128 |
| Time (days) | |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 258.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 126 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 50 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 37 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 80 |
| | |

| TAIWAN, CHINA | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 50 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 103 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 48 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 4.1 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 178.4 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 128 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 198 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 206.9 |
| | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 148 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 67 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 49 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 13 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 91 |
| | |
| | |

| TAJIKISTAN | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 153 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 161 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 49 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 39.6 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 311.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 166 |
| Procedures (number) | 32 |
| Time (days) | 191 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,991.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 125 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 80 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 51 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 25 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 |
| | |

| Middle East & North Africa | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 89 4 34 28.1 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 107 6 5 2 4.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 98 21 336 46.7 |
| East Asia & Pacific | |
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 24 3 5 6.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 48 4 |

| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
|--|------|
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 67.1 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 7 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 5.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 91 |
| Payments (number per year) | 23 |
| Time (hours per year) | 340 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 40.6 |
| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |

| Low income | |
|--|------|
| Registering property (rank) | 43 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 37 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 1.9 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 176 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 0 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 1.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 155 |
| Payments (number per year) | 54 |
| Time (hours per year) | 224 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 82.2 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,570 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 19.5 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 127 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,300 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 23 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,900 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 171 |
| Procedures (number) | 55 |
| Time (days) | 872 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 29.3 |
| | 29.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 77 |
| Time (years) | 4.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 30.8 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 17,230 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 22.8 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 29 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 13 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 747 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 12 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 747 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 92 |
| Procedures (number) | 47 |
| Time (days) | 510 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 17.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 13 |
| Time (years) | 1.9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 4 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 80.2 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 390 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 6.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 176 |
| Documents to export (number) | 10 |
| Time to export (days) | 82 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 3,000 |
| Documents to import (number) | 11 |
| Time to import (days) | 83 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 4,500 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 23 |
| Procedures (number) | 34 |
| Time (days) | 295 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 20.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 99 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 9 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 23.6 |
| | |
| | |

| TANZANIA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 350 |
|--|----------------|---|-------------|--|--------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 130 | Low income | | Population (m) | 39.5 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 95 | Registering property (rank) | 160 | Trading across borders (rank) | 100 |
| Procedures (number) | 12 | Procedures (number) | 100 | Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time (davs) | 29 | Time (days) | 119 | Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 47.1 | Cost (% of property value) | 5.3 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,212 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 115 | Time to import (days) | 30 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 170 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,425 |
| Procedures (number) | 21 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 25 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 308 2,365.5 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 35 38 |
| cost (70 of income per capita) | 2,505.5 | The buleau coverage (70 of addits) | 0.0 | Time (days) | 462 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 151 | Protecting investors (rank) | 83 | Cost (% of claim) | 14.3 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 89 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 3 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 109 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 8 | Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 63 16 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 22 20.5 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 32 | Paying taxes (rank) | 104 | Recovery face (cents off the dollar) | 20.5 |
| Thing cost (weeks of salary) | JZ | Payments (number per year) | 48 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 172 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 44.3 | | |
| THAILAND | | East Asia & Pacific | | | 2 000 |
| | 15 | | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,990 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 15 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 64.7 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 36 | Registering property (rank) | 20 | Trading across borders (rank) | 50 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 | Procedures (number) | 2 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 33 5.6 | Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 2 6.3 | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 17 615 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | cost (% of property value) | 0.5 | Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| initial cupital (70 of income per cupita) | 0.0 | Getting credit (rank) | 36 | Time to import (days) | 14 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 12 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 786 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 5 | | |
| Time (days) | 156 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 26 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 10.7 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 27.9 | Procedures (number) | 35 |
| Employing workers (rapk) | 49 | Protecting investors (rank) | 33 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) | 479 14.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 33 10 | | 14.5 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 | Closing a business (rank) | 44 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Time (years) | 2.7 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 18 | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 6.0 | Cost (% of estate) | 36 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 6 | | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 41.8 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 54 | Paying taxes (rank) | 89 | | |
| | | Payments (number per year) | 35 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 264 37.7 | | |
| | | | | | |
| TIMOR-LESTE | | East Asia & Pacific | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 840 |
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 168 | Low income | | Population (m) | 1.0 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 140 | Registering property (rank) | 178 | Trading across borders (rank) | 78 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 | Procedures (number) | NO PRACTICE | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 82 | Time (days) | NO PRACTICE | Time to export (days) | 25 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11.9 | Cost (% of property value) | NO PRACTICE | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 990 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 595.2 | | 170 | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 100 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 170 2 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 26 995 |
| Procedures (number) | 22 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | cost to import (033 per container) | 555 |
| Time (days) | 208 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 178 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 113.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 51 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 1,800 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 73 | Protecting investors (rank) | 122 | Cost (% of claim) | 163.2 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 33 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 3 | Closing a husiness (mult) | 170 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 20 50 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 5 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | 178 NO PRACTICE |
| | 20 | Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 5 4.0 | Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 34 | SUBIDUIT OF INVESTOR DIGREGION INCLES IN- IN | | | |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 34 0 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | | Paying taxes (rank) | 62 | | 0.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 62 15 | | 0.0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 | Paying taxes (rank) | 62 | | 0.0 |

TOGO

| 1000 | |
|--|---------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 156 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 176 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 53 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 245.7 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 546.4 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 143 |
| Procedures (number) | 15 |
| Time (days) | 277 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 1,366.3 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 146 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 61 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 54 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 25 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 36 |

| 47 |
|-------|
| 24 |
| 4 |
| 32 |
| 10.8 |
| 0.0 |
| 20 |
| 30 |
| 14 |
| 88 |
| 183.6 |
| 4 |
| 0 |
| 20 |
| 0 |
| 7 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |

| TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO | |
|--|-----|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 67 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 40 |
| Procedures (number) | 9 |
| Time (days) | 43 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | 70 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 79 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 |
| Time (days) | 261 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 5.9 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 38 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 20 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 7 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 3 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 67 |
| | |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Low income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 150 5 295 13.9 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 135 3 1 2.7 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 138 6 1 4 3.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 138 53 270 48.2 | |
| East Asia & Pacific | | |
| Lower middle income | | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 106 4 108 10.2 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 158 3 0.0 0.0 | |
| Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 98 3 3 8 4.7 | |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) | 24 23 164 25.0 | |
| Latin America & Caribbean | | |
| Upper middle income | 4.55 | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 157 8 162 7.0 | |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 48 5 | |

| Getting credit (rank) | 48 |
|--|------|
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 5 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 34.4 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 15 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 9 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 6.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 45 |
| Payments (number per year) | 40 |
| Time (hours per year) | 114 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 33.1 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 350 |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Population (m) | 6.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 81 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 872 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 29 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 894 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 153 |
| Procedures (number) | 41 |
| Time (days) | 588 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 47.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 90 |
| Time (years) | 3.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 26.3 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,170 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 44 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 19 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 545 |
| Documents to import (number) | 6 |
| Time to import (days) | 25 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 620 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 58 |
| Procedures (number) | 37 |
| Time (days) | 350 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 30.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 93 |
| Time (years) | 2.7 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 25.3 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 13,340 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population (m) | 1.3 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 49 |
| Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time to export (days) | 14 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 693 |
| Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Time to import (days) | 26 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,100 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 168 |
| Procedures (number) | 42 |
| Time (days) | 1,340 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 33.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 178 |
| Time (years) | NO PRACTICE |
| Cost (% of estate) | NO PRACTICE |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.0 |
| | |

| TUNISIA | | Middle East & North Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 2,970 |
|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 88 | Lower middle income | | Population (m) | 10.1 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 68 | Registering property (rank) | 66 | Trading across borders (rank) | 28 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 | Procedures (number) | 5 | Documents to export (number) | 5 |
| Time (days) | 11 | Time (days) | 49 | Time to export (days) | 17 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 8.3 | Cost (% of property value) | 6.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 540 |
| /inimum capital (% of income per capita) | 25.3 | | 07 | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 96 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 97 2 | Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 22 810 |
| Procedures (number) | 20 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 4 | cost to import (033 per container) | 010 |
| Time (days) | 93 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 13.7 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 80 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 922.1 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) | 39 |
| | | | | Time (days) | 565 |
| mploying workers (rank) | 113 | Protecting investors (rank) | 147 | Cost (% of claim) | 21.8 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 28 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 0 | | |
| ligidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 30 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 80 | Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$ | 6 | Time (years) | 1.3 |
| ligidity of employment index (0–100) Ionwage labor cost (% of salary) | 49 22 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 3.3 | Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 7 51.5 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 17 | Paying taxes (rank) | 148 | necovery rate (cents on the donar) | 51.5 |
| ining cost (weeks of suidiy) | ., | Payments (number per year) | 46 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 268 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 61.0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| TURKEY | | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 5,400 |
| ase of doing business (rank) | 57 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) | 72.9 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 43 | Registering property (rank) | 31 | Trading across borders (rank) | 56 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 | Procedures (number) | 6 | Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 6 | Time (days) | 6 | Time to export (days) | 14 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 20.7 | Cost (% of property value) | 3.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 865 |
| /linimum capital (% of income per capita) | 16.2 | | | Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 68 | Time to import (days) | 15 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 128 | Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 3 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,013 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 25 188 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 5 10.3 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 34 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 369.9 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 2.7 | Procedures (number) | 34 |
| | 505.5 | invate bareau coverage (70 of adults) | 2.7 | Time (days) | 420 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 136 | Protecting investors (rank) | 64 | Cost (% of claim) | 18.8 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 56 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 | | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 | Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 4 | Closing a business (rank) | 112 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 | Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 | Time (years) | 3.3 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 42 | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.3 | Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 22 | | 54 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 20.3 |
| iring cost (weeks of salary) | 95 | Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 54 15 | | |
| | | Time (hours per year) | 223 | | |
| | | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 45.1 | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| UGANDA | | Sub-Saharan Africa | | GNI per capita (US\$) | 300 |
| ase of doing business (rank) | 118 | Low income | | Population (m) | 29.9 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 114 | Registering property (rank) | 163 | Trading across borders (rank) | 141 |
| Procedures (number) | 18 | Procedures (number) | 13 | Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| lime (days) | 28 | Time (days) | 227 | Time to export (days) | 39 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 92.0 | Cost (% of property value) | 4.6 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,940 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 | | | Documents to import (number) | 7 |
| | | Getting credit (rank) | 158 | Time to import (days) | 37 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 81 | Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 | Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,990 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 | Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 | | |
| ime (days) | 143 | Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Enforcing contracts (rank) | 119 |
| | 811.8 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 | Procedures (number) Time (days) | 38 535 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | | | 122 | Cost (% of claim) | 535 44.9 |
| | 11 | Protecting investors (rank) | | | |
| Employing workers (rank) | 11 0 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | | | |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 11 0 0 | Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 5 | Closing a business (rank) | 48 |
| mploying workers (rank) ifficulty of hiring index (0–100) ligidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0-10) | 2 | Closing a business (rank) Time (years) | |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 0 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 2 5 | . | 2.2 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Nigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 0 10 3 10 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2 5 5 4.0 | Time (years) | 2.2 30 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 0 10 3 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 2 5 5 4.0 55 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 2.2 30 |
| Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 0 0 10 3 10 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 2 5 5 4.0 55 33 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 48 2.2 30 41.0 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) Employing workers (rank) Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 0 0 10 3 10 | Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 2 5 5 4.0 55 | Time (years) Cost (% of estate) | 2.2 30 |

| UKRAINE | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 139 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 109 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 27 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 7.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 203.1 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 174 |
| Procedures (number) | 29 |
| Time (days) | 429 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 668.5 |
| - - - - - - - - - - | 100 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 102 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 44 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 30 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 45 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 38 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 13 |
| | |

| UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | |
|--|------------------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 68 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 158 11 |
| Procedures (number) Time (days) | 62 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 36.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 312.4 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) | 38 21 125 1.5 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 65 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 20 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 13 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 84 |
| | |

| UNITED KINGDOM | |
|--|------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 6 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 6 |
| Procedures (number) | 6 |
| Time (days) | 13 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 0.8 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 54 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 144 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 64.6 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 21 |
| | 11 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 0 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 10 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 7 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 11 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 22 |
| | |

| Eastern Europe & Central Asia | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 138 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 93 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 3.3 |
| Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 68 8 0.0 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 141 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 1 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 3 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 7 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) |) 3.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 177 |
| Payments (number per year) | 99 |
| Time (hours per year) | 2,085 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 57.3 |
| Middle East & North Africa | |
| High income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 8 |
| Procedures (number) | 3 |
| Time (days) | 6 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 115 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 3 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 2 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 1.4 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 107 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 4 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 7 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) |) 4.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 4 |
| Payments (number per year) | 14 |
| Time (hours per year) | 12 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 14.4 |
| OECD: High Income | |
| High income | 10 |
| Registering property (rank) | 19 |
| Procedures (number) | 2 |
| Time (days) | 21 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 4.1 |

Cost (% of property value) **Getting credit** (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 84.6 **Protecting investors** (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 8.0 Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) 35.7

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,950 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 46.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 120 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 31 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,045 |
| Documents to import (number) | 10 |
| Time to import (days) | 39 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,065 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 46 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 354 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 41.5 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 140 |
| Time (years) | 2.9 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 42 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 9.1 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 26,147 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 4.6 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 24 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 13 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 462 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 13 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 462 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 144 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 50 |
| Procedures (number) | |
| Time (days) | 607 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 26.2 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 139 |
| Time (years) | 5.1 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 30 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 10.1 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 40,180 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Population (m) | 60.4 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 27 |
| Documents to export (number) | 4 |
| Time to export (days) | 13 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 940 |
| Documents to import (number) | 4 |
| Time to import (days) | 13 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 1,267 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 24 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 404 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 23.4 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 10 |
| Time (years) | 1.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 6 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 84.6 |
| | |

44,970 299.0

1,160 9.4

> 1.5 75.9

> 2.1 44.8

4.0 18.7

| | OECD: High Income | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 5 | | Population (m) |
| 4 | Registering property (rank) | 10 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| 6 | Procedures (number) | | Documents to export (number) |
| 6 | | | Time to export (days) |
| | Cost (% of property value) | 0.5 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| 0.0 | • • • • • • • | _ | Documents to import (number) |
| | 2 | | Time to import (days) |
| | | | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| | | | |
| | | | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| 13.4 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 100.0 | Procedures (number) |
| 1 | Protocting invoctors (raple) | 5 | Time (days) Cost (% of claim) |
| | | | |
| | | | Closing a business (rank) |
| | | | Time (years) |
| | | | Cost (% of estate) |
| | Strength of investor protection index (0-10) | 0.5 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| | Paving taxos (rank) | 76 | Recovery face (cents of the dollar) |
| U | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 70.2 | |
| | Latin America & Caribbean | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| 98 | Upper middle income | | Population (m) |
| 151 | | 139 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| | | | - |
| | | | Documents to export (number) |
| | | | Time to export (days) |
| | Cost (% of property value) | 7.1 | Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| 180.6 | | 26 | Documents to import (number) |
| 177 | | | Time to import (days) |
| | | | Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| | | | Enforcing contracts (work) |
| | | | Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| 135.5 | Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 93.8 | Procedures (number) |
| 70 | | 02 | Time (days) |
| | - | | Cost (% of claim) |
| | . , | | |
| | | | Closing a business (rank) |
| | | | Time (years) |
| | Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 5.0 | Cost (% of estate) |
| | | 101 | Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) |
| 31 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Total tax rate (% of profit) | 40.7 | |
| | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | | GNI per capita (US\$) |
| 138 | | | Population (m) |
| | | 110 | Trading across borders (rank) |
| | 2 21 1 1 | | - |
| | | | Documents to export (number) |
| 13 | Time (days) | 78 | Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) |
| 14.2 | | | LOST TO EXPORT (LISS per container) |
| 14.2 | Cost (% of property value) | 1.4 | |
| 14.2 25.3 | | | Documents to import (number) |
| 25.3 | Getting credit (rank) | 170 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) |
| 25.3 145 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 170 2 | Documents to import (number) |
| 25.3 145 26 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 170 2 0 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 170 2 0 0.0 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) |
| 25.3 145 26 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 170 2 0 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 170 2 0.0 0.0 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 40 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) |
| 25.3 145 260 140.7 67 33 40 30 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 3 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 40 30 34 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 40 30 34 25 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 3 4.3 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 40 30 34 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes (rank) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 3 4.3 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| 25.3 145 26 260 140.7 67 33 40 30 34 25 | Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 170 2 0 0.0 0.0 107 4 6 3 4.3 | Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Closing a business (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) |
| | 6 6 0.7 0.0 24 19 40 13.4 1 0 0 0 0 8 0 | 3High income4Registering property (rank)6Procedures (number)6Time (days)0.7Cost (% of property value)0.0Getting credit (rank)24Strength of legal rights index (0–10)19Depth of credit information index (0–6)40Public registry coverage (% of adults)13.4Private bureau coverage (% of adults)1Protecting investors (rank)0Extent of disclosure index (0–10)0Extent of director liability index (0–10)0Extent of investor protection index (0–10)0Extent of of investor protection index (0–10)0Extent of of investor protection index (0–10)0Extent of of property (rank)0Paying taxes (rank)Payments (number per year)11Procedures (number)12Registering property (rank)13Registering property (rank)14Time (days)46.0Cost (% of property value)180.6Getting credit (rank)137Strength of legal rights index (0–10)30Depth of credit information index (0–6)34Public registry coverage (% of adults)72Protecting investors (rank)33Extent of disclosure index (0–10)6Eastern furope & central Asia138Low income54Registering property (rank) | 3 High income 4 Registering property (rank) 10 6 Procedures (number) 4 6 Time (days) 12 0.7 Cost (% of property value) 0.5 0.0 Getting credit (rank) 7 24 Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 7 19 Depth of credit information index (0–6) 6 40 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 100.0 13.4 Protecting investors (rank) 5 0 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 7 0 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 9 0 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 9 0 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 8 0 Paying taxes (rank) 76 Payments (number per year) 10 10 11me (hours per year) 325 10 tat ar are (% of profit) 46.2 Latin America & Caribbean 98 Upper middle income 139 11 Procedures (number) |

| VANUATU | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 62 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 73 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 39 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 54.9 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 24 |
| Procedures (number) | 7 |
| Time (days) | 51 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 357.7 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 77 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 22 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 10 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 24 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 56 |
| 5 | |

| VENEZUELA | |
|--|--------------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 172 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 134 |
| Procedures (number) | 16 |
| Time (days) | 141 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 28.2 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 95 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 395 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 326.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 177 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 78 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 60 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 100 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 79 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 16 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | NOT POSSIBLE |
| | |

| VIETNAM | |
|--|-------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 91 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 97 |
| Procedures (number) | 11 |
| Time (days) | 50 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 20.0 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 0.0 |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 63 |
| Procedures (number) | 13 |
| Time (days) | 194 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 373.6 |
| | 57510 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 84 |
| Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 40 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 27 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 17 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 87 |
| | |

| East Asia & Pacific | |
|---|------------|
| Lower middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) | 111 2 |
| Time (days) | 188 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 11.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 64 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 5 6 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 5 |
| Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 5.3 |
| _ | 18 |
| Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) | 31 |
| Time (hours per year) | 120 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 8.4 |
| • | |
| Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 74 |
| Procedures (number) | 8 |
| Time (days) | 47 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 2.2 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 135 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 4 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 165 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0-10) | 3 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 3 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 2.7 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 174 |
| Payments (number per year) | 70 |
| Time (hours per year) | 864 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 53.3 |
| East Asia & Pacific | |
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 38 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) Cost (% of property value) | 67 1.2 |
| | |
| Getting credit (rank) | 48 |
| Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$ | 6 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 3 9.2 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 9.2 0.0 |
| | |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 165 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 6 0 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 2 |
| Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$ | 2.7 |
| 5 | |

| 128 |
|-------|
| 32 |
| 1,050 |
| 41.1 |
| |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 1,710 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 0.2 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 142 |
| Documents to export (number) | 7 |
| Time to export (days) | 26 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,815 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 30 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,225 |
| | |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 66 |
| Procedures (number) | 30 |
| Time (days) | 430 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 74.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 49 |
| Time (years) | 2.6 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 39.3 |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 6,070 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 27.0 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 156 |
| Documents to export (number) | 8 |
| Time to export (days) | 45 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 2,400 |
| Documents to import (number) | 9 |
| Time to import (days) | 65 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,400 |
| | 70 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 70 |
| Procedures (number) | 29 |
| Time (days) | 510 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 43.7 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 146 |
| Time (years) | 4.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 38 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 6.6 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 690 |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| Population (m) | 84.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 63 |
| Documents to export (number) | 6 |
| Time to export (days) | 24 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 669 |
| Documents to import (number) | 8 |
| Time to import (days) | 23 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 881 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 40 |
| Procedures (number) | 34 |
| Time (days) | 295 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 31.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 121 |
| Time (years) | 5.0 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 15 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 18.0 |

| Ading across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) tt to import (days) it to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) it (% of claim) orsing a business (rank) he (years) it (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) fording across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (days) it to export (luss) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) it to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) | 6 25 830 6 40 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 NO РЯАСПСЕ NO РЯАСПСЕ 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
|--|--|
| cuments to export (number) he to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) st (% of claim) osing a business (rank) he (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| he to export (days) to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) tt (% of claim) osing a business (rank) he (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 25 830 6 40 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 № РРАСТСЕ № РРАСТСЕ 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 9 31 1,475 41 |
| At to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) at to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) at (% of claim) hsing a business (rank) he (years) at (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) hding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 830 6 40 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) st (% of claim) osing a business (rank) he (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) rding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | е 40 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 NO РРАСТІС NO РРАСТІС 0.0 21.6 21.6 21.6 33 1,125 9 31 1,475 41 |
| te to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) te (days) st (% of claim) osing a business (rank) te (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) to to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (US\$ per container) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 40 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 NOPRACTIC NOPRACTIC 0.0 21.6 21.6 21.6 33 1,125 9 31 1,475 41 |
| to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) he (days) it (% of claim) osing a business (rank) he (years) it (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) l per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 995 125 44 700 21.2 178 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| forcing contracts (rank) (cedures (number) le (days) st (% of claim) osing a business (rank) ne (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) l per capita (US\$) could build (US\$) building across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 125 44 700 21.2 178 ю рялстис 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| cedures (number) he (days) it (% of claim) osing a business (rank) he (years) it (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) bding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) it to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (sper container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 44 700 21.2 178 NO PRACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| ne (days) str (% of claim) he (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) hding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 700 21.2 178 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| st (% of claim) sing a business (rank) te (years) st (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) l per capita (US\$) bulation (m) sting across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) te to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) te to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.2 178 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Asing a business (rank) he (years) tt (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ading across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 178 NO PPACTICE NO PPACTICE 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) to to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (st per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | NO PRACTICI NO PRACTICI 0.C 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) to to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) cuments to import (st per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | NO PRACTIC NO PRACTIC 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) to e to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) to to import (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) to to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | NO PRACTIC 0.0 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 0 31 1,475 41 |
| I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) bulation (m) bulation (m) bulating across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 0.0 760 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| I per capita (US\$) bulation (m) Inding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) he to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) he to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 760 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| Adding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 21.6 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| ding across borders (rank) cuments to export (number) e to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) e to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 128 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| cuments to export (number) ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 6 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| ne to export (days) st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 33 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| st to export (US\$ per container) cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 1,129 9 31 1,475 41 |
| cuments to import (number) ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 9 31 1,475 41 |
| ne to import (days) st to import (US\$ per container) forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 31 1,475 41 |
| forcing contracts (rank) cedures (number) | 41 |
| cedures (number) | |
| cedures (number) | 41 37 |
| | 37 |
| ne (davs) | |
| | 520 |
| st (% of claim) | 16.5 |
| | 02 |
| o sing a business (rank) ne (years) | 83 3.0 |
| it (% of estate) | 5.0 |
| covery rate (cents on the dollar) | 28.6 |
| lovery face (cents on the donal) | 20.0 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| l per capita (US\$) | 630 |
| | 11.9 |
| | 160 |
| - | |
| | 8 53 |
| | 2,098 |
| | 2,098 |
| | 64 |
| | 2,840 |
| | _, |
| forcing contracts (rank) | 86 |
| - | 35 |
| | 471 |
| st (% of claim) | 38.7 |
| | |
| - | 84 |
| | 2.7 |
| | 9 |
| covery rate (cents on the dollar) | 28.4 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | pulation (m) ading across borders (rank) ocuments to export (number) me to export (days) set to export (US\$ per container) ocuments to import (number) me to import (days) set to import (US\$ per container) offorcing contracts (rank) ocedures (number) me (days) set (% of claim) osing a business (rank) me (years) set (% of estate) covery rate (cents on the dollar) |

| ZIMBABWE | |
|--|----------|
| Ease of doing business (rank) | 152 |
| Starting a business (rank) | 143 |
| Procedures (number) | 10 |
| Time (days) | 96 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 21.3 |
| Minimum capital (% of income per capita) | 54.6 |
| | |
| Dealing with licenses (rank) | 172 |
| Procedures (number) | 19 |
| Time (days) | 952 |
| Cost (% of income per capita) | 11,799.0 |
| Employing workers (rank) | 123 |
| Difficulty of hiring index $(0-100)$ | 0 |
| Rigidity of hours index (0–100) | 40 |
| Difficulty of firing index (0–100) | 60 |
| Rigidity of employment index (0–100) | 33 |
| Nonwage labor cost (% of salary) | 4 |
| Firing cost (weeks of salary) | 446 |

| Sub-Saharan Africa | |
|--|------|
| Low income | |
| Registering property (rank) | 79 |
| Procedures (number) | 4 |
| Time (days) | 30 |
| Cost (% of property value) | 25.0 |
| Getting credit (rank) | 97 |
| Strength of legal rights index (0–10) | 6 |
| Depth of credit information index (0–6) | 0 |
| Public registry coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Private bureau coverage (% of adults) | 0.0 |
| Protecting investors (rank) | 107 |
| Extent of disclosure index (0–10) | 8 |
| Extent of director liability index (0–10) | 1 |
| Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) | 4 |
| Strength of investor protection index (0–10) | 4.3 |
| Paying taxes (rank) | 144 |
| Payments (number per year) | 52 |
| Time (hours per year) | 256 |
| Total tax rate (% of profit) | 53.0 |
| | |

| GNI per capita (US\$) | 325 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Population (m) | 13.1 |
| Trading across borders (rank) | 169 |
| Documents to export (number) | 9 |
| Time to export (days) | 52 |
| Cost to export (US\$ per container) | 1,879 |
| Documents to import (number) | 13 |
| Time to import (days) | 67 |
| Cost to import (US\$ per container) | 2,420 |
| Enforcing contracts (rank) | 74 |
| Procedures (number) | 38 |
| Time (days) | 410 |
| Cost (% of claim) | 32.0 |
| Closing a business (rank) | 151 |
| Time (years) | 3.3 |
| Cost (% of estate) | 22 |
| Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) | 0.1 |
| | |

Acknowledgments

Contact details for local partners are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org

Doing Business 2008 was prepared by a team led by Simeon Djankov and Caralee McLiesh under the general direction of Michael Klein. Svetlana Bagaudinova, Frederic Bustelo, Allen Dennis, Penelope Fidas, Elena Gasol Ramos, Carolin Geginat, Sabine Hertveldt, Melissa Johns, Julien Levis, Janet Morris, Rita Ramalho, Sylvia Solf and Justin Yap led sets of indicators. The team also included Mema Beye, Doina Cebotari, César Chaparro Yedro, Hania Dawood, Marie Delion, Jacqueline den Otter, Cemile Hacibeyoglu, Jamal Ibrahim Haidar, Benjamin Horne, Dahlia Khalifa, Adam Larson, Jean Michel Lobet, Oliver Lorenz, Dana Omran, Camille Ramos, Ivana Rossi, Rachel Shahid-Saless, Umar Shavurov, Tea Trumbic, Caroline van Coppenolle, Adriana Vicentini, Maria-Eleni Vlachakou and Bryan Welsh. Karim Belayachi, Maya Choueiri, Alejandro Espinosa-Wang, Kjartan Fjeldsted, Palarp Jumpasut, Jana Matesova, Caroline Otonglo, Djasurbek Teshaev and Lior Ziv assisted in the months prior to publication.

Oliver Hart, Rafael La Porta and Andrei Shleifer provided academic advice on the project. The paying taxes project was conducted in partnership with PricewaterhouseCoopers, led by Robert Morris. The protecting investors, enforcing contracts and not paying bribes projects are conducted in partnership with the Lex Mundi association, led by Carl Anduri and Sam Nolen. The IFC gender team, led by Amanda Ellis, advised on analysis of women and business regulations.

Alison Strong edited the manuscript. Gerry Quinn designed the report and the graphs. Kim Bieler assisted in the report layout. The online service of the *Doing Business* database is managed by Graeme Littler, Felipe Escudero and Ramin Aliyev under the direction of Suzanne Smith.

We are grateful for valuable comments and review provided by the World Bank Group's country and network teams as well as World Bank Group Executive Directors.

The report was made possible by the generous contribution of more than 5,000 lawyers, accountants, judges, businesspeople and public officials in 178 economies. Quotations in this report are from *Doing Business* local partners unless otherwise indicated. The names of those wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. Contact details are posted on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

Allen & Overy LLP APL LTD. Baker & McKenzie Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Hawkamah – The Institute for Corporate Governance Ius Laboris, Alliance of Labor, Employment, Benefits and Pensions Law Firms Lawyers Without Borders Lex Mundi, Association of Independent Law Firms Lovells LLP PricewaterhouseCoopers PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services SDV International Logistics The Adora Group Ltd (Freightnet) TOBOC Inc.

REGIONAL CONTRIBUTORS

Abu-Ghazaleh Legal EcoBank Federación Interamericana de la Industria de la Construcción Globalink Transportation & Logistics Worldwide LLP IKRP Rokas & Partners University of South Pacific TransUnion International

ALBANIA

Fiorilda Bregu BOGA & ASSOCIATES Renata Leka Boga & Associates Sokol Elmazaj Boga & Associates Andi Memi Нохна, Мемі & Нохна Shpati Hoxha Нохна, Мемі & Нохна Georgios K. Lemonis IKRP Rokas & Partners Sonila Dheri IKRP Rokas & Partners Genci Krasniqi Kalo & Associates Jola Gjuzi Kalo & Associates Perparim Kalo KALO & ASSOCIATES

Vilma Gjyshi KALO & ASSOCIATES Jean-Pierre Vigroux PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Laura Oorlaze PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Loreta Peci **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Albert Murati Shega Group Ilir Bejleri Son - GROUP LTD. Olsi Ibro TBI LAW FIRM Artur Asllani Tonucci & Partners

ALGERIA

AFRICA MULTIPLE Mourad Dubert ARCHITECT Mourad Terbaoui

Architect Djilali Hadjadj Association Algerienne De lutte contre la Corruption

Nabil Belloula

Tayeb Belloula *Attorney-at-Law* Badredine Saadi

AX - Consult, Agence D'Architecture et D'Urbanisme

Branka Achari-Djokic BANK OF ALGERIA

Fares Ouzegdouh Béjaia Mediterranean Terminal

Fériel Oulounis Cabinet d'Avocats Samir Hamouda

Nabiha Zerigui Cabinet d'Avocats Samir Hamouda

Samir Hamouda Cabinet d'Àvocats Samir Hamouda

Ali Ait Amar Cabinet Sator

Maya Sator Cabinet Sator

Malik Elkettas Elkettas International

Tarik Zahzah Ghellal & Мекегва

Arezki Djadour Gide Loyrette Nouel, Member of Lex Mundi

Samy Laghouati Gide Loyrette Nouel, Member of Lex Mundi

Rdha Halit Hadj Ali Audit Practice

Gerard Morin Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jérôme Le Hec Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers Marc Veuillot Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Michel Lecerf Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Nora Djadoun Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Khaled Goussanem Law Firm Goussanem & Aloui Salima Aloui Law Firm Goussanem & Aloui

Ryad Chabouni NSC

Hassan Yassine Thompson & Knight LLP

ANGOLA

Guilherme Santos Silva ABREU ADVOGADOS

Miguel de Avillez Pereira ABREU ADVOGADOS

Rita Maltez ABREU ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Do Rêgo Pegado Alexandre Pegado -Escritório de Advogados

Paulo Muginga Alexandre Pegado -Escritório de Advogados

Ana Fernandes

Laurinda Prazeres FARIA DE BASTOS, SEBASTIÃO E LOPES - ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Teresinha Lopes Faria de Bastos, Sebastião e Lopes - Advogados Associados

Fernando Barros

Sika Awoonor Good Works International Angola, Lda.

Lourdes Caposso Fernandes

Judith De Fatima Dos Santos Lima

NATIONAL BANK OF ANGOLA Pedro Calixto

Elisa Rangel Nunes Rangel, Nunes & Teresa

Alain Brachet SDV AMI INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

Aymeric Frisch SDV AMI INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

Guirec Malfait SDV AMI INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

TROPICOS E SERVICOS, LDA.

ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA

Eleanor R. Clark *CLARKE & CLARKE*

Carden Conliffe Clarke Соммодоге & Associates Vernon Edwards

FREIGHT FORWARDING & DECONSOLIDATING

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Daniel Clough VICTORIAN BAR

AUSTRIA

Irene Mandl AUSTRIAN INSTITUTE FOR SME RESEARCH

Andreas Hable BINDER GRÖSSWANG Rechtsanwälte

Doris Buxbaum Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte

Tibor Fabian BINDER GRÖSSWANG Rechtsanwälte

BIO-4 UMWELTTECHNIK GMBH

Alexander Klauser BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Gregor Maderbacher BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Angela Zaffalon CERHA HEMPEL & Spiegelfeld Hlawati, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Benedikt Spiegelfeld Cerha Hempel & Spiegelfeld Hlawati. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Hans Kristoferitsch CERHA HEMPEL & Spiegelfeld Hlawati, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Julian Feichtinger Cerha Hempel & Spiegelfeld Hlawati. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Harald E. Heschl CONSUMER CREDIT INFORMATION

Martin Eckel ENWC EISELSBERG NATLACEN WALDERDORFF CANCOLA RECHTSANWÄLTE GmbH

Alexander Isola GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Ferdinand Graf Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwälte GMBH

Ruth Rosenkranz Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwälte GMBH

Rudolf Kaindl Koehler, Kaindl, Duerr & Partner, Civil Law NOTARIES

KRAUS & CO WARENHANDELSGESELLSCHAFT мвН

Andrea Schwartz Kunz Schima Wallentin Rechtsanwälte KEG, member of Ius Laboris

Birgit Vogt-Majarek KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN RECHTSANWÄLTE KEG, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Georg Schima KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN Rechtsanwälte KEG, member of Ius Laboris

Ann Henry Henry & Burnette Hesketh Williams MINISTRY OF LABOR

Phillip Jr. Isaacs OBM INTERNATIONAL. Antigua Ltd.

Charles Walwyn PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Neil Coates PricewaterhouseCoopers Stacy Richards-Anjo RICHARDS & CO. Clare Roberts ROBERTS & CO.

ARGENTINA

Julian A. Collados Accountant Agustín Marra ALFARO ABOGADOS Ana Candelaria Alonso Negre Alfaro Abogados Carlos Alfaro Alfaro Abogados Gisela Candurra Alfaro Abogados Sebastián Rodrigo Alfaro Abogados Soledad Matteozzi ALFARO ABOGADOS Teresa Noceto Alfaro Abogados Federico Villarino Álvarez Prado & Asociados Octavio Miguel Zenarruza ÁLVAREZ PRADO & ASOCIADOS C. Gustavo Ferrante BRONS & SALAS ABOGADOS Guillermo Pavan BRONS & SALAS ABOGADOS Lisandro A. Allende BRONS & SALAS ABOGADOS Pablo Grillo Ciocchini BRONS & SALAS ABOGADOS Carlos María Rotman Bruchou, Fernandez Madero, Lombardi & MITRANI Oscar Alberto del Río CENTRAL BANK OF Argentina Enrique Monsegur CLIPPERS S.A. Jorge Miranda CLIPPERS S.A. Comercial del Oeste Eduardo Corso Pablo L. Cavallaro Estudio Cavallaro Abogados

Mariano Carricart FORNIELES LAW FIRM Eduardo J. Viñales

FUNES DE RIOJA & ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Ignacio Funes de Rioja Funes de Rioja & Asociados, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Gonzalo Carlos Ballester J.P.O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

Joaquín Emilio Zappa J.P.O'FARRELL ABOGADOS Santiago Varela J.P.O'FARRELL ABOGADOS Nicolás Dassen JORGE & DASSEN ABOGADOS -. Consultores Alfredo Miguel O'Farrell Marval, O'Farrell & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX Mundi Patricia Ruhman Seggiaro MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX Mundi Santiago Laclau Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, member of Lex Mundi Sonia F. Salvatierra MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX Mundi MATERIALES BENAVIDEZ S.R.L. MISSIONLINE LOGISTICS S.A. Agata Mendoza Mollard, Mendoza & Asociados Miguel P. Murray MURRAY, D'ANDRÉ, ISASMENDI & Sirito de Zavalía Pablo Murray MURRAY, D'ANDRÉ, ISASMENDI & Sirito de Zavalía Enrique Pugliano ORGANIZACIÓN VERAZ S.A., IN AFFILIATION WITH EQUIFAX INC. Abraham Viera Planosnet.com Consultoria MUNICIPAL Andrés M. Edelstein **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Carlos D. Zima PricewaterhouseCoopersIgnacio E. Rodriguez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Juan Manuel Magadan PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Aleiandro Vidal PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Azul García Duffy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Hernán Gonzalo Cuenca Martínez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Julio Alberto Pueyrredon PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Monserrat García PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Pablo González del Solar PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Liliana Cecilia Segade QUATTRINI, LAPRIDA & ASOCIADOS

Adolfo Rouillon The World Bank Group

ARMENIA Artak Arzoyan ACRA CREDIT REPORTING CJSC David Sargsyan Ameria cjsc Karine Khachatryan BearingPoint CENTRAL BANK OF ARMENIA Shushan Doydoyan FREEDOM OF INFORMATION. CENTER OF ARMENIA Rajiv Nagri GLOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & LOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLP Aram Poghosvan GRANT THORNTON AMYOT Hakob Tadevosvan GRANT THORNTON AMYOT Hakob Bagdasaryan Gagik Galstyan HORIZON 95 Mher Grigoryan Inecoabnk ĆJSC Vardan Bezhanyan INECOBANK CISC Karen Petrosvan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC Vahe Kakoyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC Eduard Mesropyan JINJ LTD. Artur Tunyan JUDICIAL REFORM PROJECT Hayk Ghazazyan **KPMG** Tigran Sukiasyan OSCE Bela Gutidze PricewaterhouseCoopers Jorge Intriago PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Matthew Tallarovic PricewaterhouseCoopers Rusa Sreseli PricewaterhouseCoopers Sergi Kobakhidze PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Havk Sahakyan STATE COMMITTEE OF THE Real Property Cadastre **AUSTRALIA** Georgia Price Allens Arthur Robinson Michael Quinlan Allens Arthur Robinson Steven Fleming Allens Arthur Robinson Leon Zwier Arnold Bloch Leibler AUSSIEROO Matthew Allison

BLAKE DAWSON WALDRON

Vanesa Balda

FEILBOGEN

VITALE, MANOFF &

CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Lucy Adamson CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Nick Thomas CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Owen Hayford CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Paul James BAYCORP ADVANTAGE Ian Humphreys

CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Penny Grau Clayton Utz, member of Lex Mundi Ron Schaffer CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Mitchell Mathas DEACONS Dean Schiller Greg Channell Department of Lands Morgan Kelly Ferrier Hodgson Peter Walker Ferrier Hodgson Import-Export Services PTY. LTD. Moving Imports and Exports Ann Previtera PricewaterhouseCoopers Luke Savers PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Lynda Brumm PricewaterhouseCoopers Tim Cox PricewaterhouseCoopers Aaron Goonrey PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Andrew Wheeler LEGAL SERVICES Anna Dileo LEGAL SERVICES Mark Swan

John Martin

John Lobban

Mark Grdovich

Marcus Connor

Mark Pistilli

Doug Jones

Blake Dawson Waldron

BLAKE DAWSON WALDRON

CHANG. PISTILLI & SIMMONS

Chang, Pistilli & Simmons

Legal Services

RBHM COMMERCIAL LAWYERS

THOMSON PLAYFORD

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Swire Shipping Ltd.

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

David Buda

SDV PTV I TD

David Zwi THOMSON PLAYFORD

Alfred Nepf MINISTRY OF FINANCE Wolfgang Messeritsch NATIONAL BANK OF AUSTRIA

Gerhard Antenreiter Notariat Dr. Gerhard Antenreiter

Klaus Woschnak Notary Office Dr. Woschnak

Ernst Biebl PricewaterhouseCoopers

Friedrich Roedler PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Michael Podesser PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rudolf Krickl PricewaterhouseCoopers

Peter Madl Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GmbH / Attorneys-at-Law

Ulrike Langwallner Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GmbH / Attorneys-at-Law

Birgit Schneider Urbanek Lind Schmied Reisch Rechtsanwälte OG

Ulla Reisch Urbanek Lind Schmied Reisch Rechtsanwälte OG

Stephan Schmalzl WALLNÖFERSCHMALZL-RECHTSANWÄLTE

Lothar A. Wachter WOLF THEISS

AZERBAIJAN

John Quinn ACE Forwarding Caspian Zohrad Ismayilov Association for Assistance to Free Economy Azad Aliyev

Azad Anyev Association of Social Economic Researches

Abbas Guliyev Baker & McKenzie - CIS, LTD.

Aykhan Asadov Baker & McKenzie - CIS, LtD.

Gunduz Karimov Baker & McKenzie - CIS, Ltd.

Farhad Mirzayev BM International LLC

Sahib Mammadov Citizens' Labour Rights Protection League

Sabit A. Bagirov Entrepreneurship Development Foundation

Anar Aliyev IFC Corporate Governance PROIECT

Eldar Adilzade IFC Corporate Governance Projectf

Kamil Valiyev

Kamil Mammadov Mammadov & Partners Law Firm Maksud Mirzoyev McDonald's Azerbaijan LLC

Benjamin Paine McGrigors Baku Ltd.

Sabina Gulmaliyeva McGrigors Baku Ltd. Mehriban Efendiyeva Michael Wilson & Partners

LTD. Aiyar Mammadyarov National Bank of Azerbaijan

Emin Huseynov National Bank of Azerbaijan

Rufat Aslanli NATIONAL BANK OF

Azerbaijan Roman Allovarov

OMNI Law Firm

Arif Guliyev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jeyhun Huseynzada PricewaterhouseCoopers

Movlan Pashayev

PricewaterhouseCoopers Vugar Mammadov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ingilab Ahmadov PUBLIC FINANCE MONITORING CENTER Emma Silyayeva SALANS Vagif Ahmadov SALANS Yusif Aghayev TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL Faig Huseynov UNIBANK Samir Balayev UNIBANK

BANGLADESH

Badrul Ahsan A. OASEM & CO. / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Sohel Kasem А. QASEM & CO. / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Zainul Abedin А. QASEM & Co. / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mirza Quamrul Hasan Adviser's Legal Alliance Banasree Barua AIR LINK LTD Clifford Gonsalves AIR LINK LTD M. Iqbal Ali Air Link Ltd. Monoranjan Guha AIR LINK LTD. Md. Ayub Chowdhury Ачив & Манмоод Chartered Accountants Md. Abdullah BANGLADESH BANK, HEAD OFFICE DHAKA

Ariful Islam BHUIYAN ISLAM & ZAIDI BIN ALI TRADING EST. Q.M. Mahtab-Uz Zaman BRAC UNIVERSITY Mahfuz-ul-Haque Seleym

Contemplus Real Estate MD. Nurul Amin Development

Constructions Ltd. Saady Amin Development

Constructions Ltd. Ferdaus Ara Begum DHAKA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY (DCCI) Badrud Doulah Doulah & Doulah Advocates Nasirud Doulah

Doulah & Doulah Advocates Shamsud Doulah Doulah & Doulah

Advocates Karishma Jahan Dr. Kamal Hossain &

Associates Sharif Bhuiyan

DR. KAMAL HOSSAIN & Associates

Quazi Reza-Ul Hoque ERGO LEGAL COUNSELS

Md. Halim Bepari

HALIM LAW ASSOCIATE

Aneek Haque Haque & Associates

HUATEX IMPORT EXPORT CO.

Ltd.

Khairul Alam Chowdhury *HuQ AND Co.*

Margub Kabir Huq AND Co.

Rabeya Jamali

HUQ AND CO.

Rafique-ul Huq Huq AND Co.

INDEX CORPORATION LTD.

Munir Uddin Ahamed INTEGRATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES LTD., AGENT OF PANALPINA

Rezaur Rahman Rizon Integrated Transportation Services Ltd., Agent of Panalpina

Asm Abdur Razzaque Lee, Khan & Partners

Mohammad Zeeshan Hyder Lee, Khan & Partners

Moksadul Islam

Legal Steps Md. Mehedi Hasan

MGH GROUP Abdul Mannan

Ministry of Commerce

PROACTIVE Quazi Reza-Ul Hoque

Omar Sadat SADAT SARWAT AND ASSOCIATES C.R. Mazumder Saha Mazumder & Co. Chartered Accountants Maxim Korsheniuk

Ron J. Barden

Belarus

Evgeniya Motina

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

and Law of the National

THE INSTITUTE OF STATE

ACADEMY OF SCIENCE OF

Alexander Vasilevsky

Allen & Overy LLP

VALEX CONSULT

Sergey Strelchik

VALEX CONSULT

BELGIUM

Almalux S. A.

Fannia Polet

Kurt Grillet

Tom Vantroyen

Carl Meyntjens

David Du Pont

Alexis Lemmerling

BERQUIN NOTAIRES

Dominique Mougenot

COUR DE CASSATION

EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS

FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE

Liedekerke Wolters

Member of Lex Mundi

LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS

Member of Lex Mundi

Hubert André-Dumont

McGuire Woods Kazakhstan LLP, member of

NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM

NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM

Poligoods International

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Christian Willems

Suzy Vande Wiele

WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK,

WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK.

Conny Grenson

Stephan Legein

Dirt Lindemans

Finance

Frank Judo

LOYENS

LOYENS

Lex Mundi

MedicCleanAir

Didier Muraille

Thomas Hürner

Robert Meunier

Amaury Della Faille

Bart Vanham

Frank Dierckx

Notary

S.A.

Commercial Court Mons

William Timmermans

ALTIUS

Altius

Altius

ALTIUS

ASHURST

Ashurst

Sikder Buying Ltd.

Mirza Quamrul Hasan Square Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

Tareq Hasan Siddiqui Square Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

Syed Associates

Mohammed Asaduzzaman Syed Ishtiaq Ahmed & Associates

Syed Afzal Hasan Uddin Syed IshtiaQ Ahmed & Associates

Md. Shafiul Alam The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.

Sheher Imam Chowdhury THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION LTD.

Amir-Ul Islam The Law Associates, Member of Lex Mundi

Shamsul Hasan The Law Associates, member of Lex Mundi

Habibur Rahman The Law Counsel

Imran Siddiq *The Law Counsel*

Ratnadwip Foods Limited

V-Teac Garments Pvt. Ltd.

BELARUS

AsstrA

Alexander Botian Borovtsov & Salei Law Offices Marina Dymovich Borovtsov & Salei Law Offices

Vladimir G. Biruk *CAPITAL LTD*.

Aliaksandr Danilevich Danilevich

Antonina Ivanova DICSA International Group of Lawyers

Olga Polozova DICSA International Group of Lawyers

Oleg Gvozd Gvozd, Lakizo & Partners LLC

Sergei Oditsov Gvozd, Lakizo & Partners LLC

Ivan Alievich *МікнеL & Partners* Konstantin Mikhel

Мікнеl & Partners Alexandr Ignatov

NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS Igor Dankov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jorge Intriago PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Frédéric Souchon PricewaterhouseCoopers Katrien Schillemans PricewaterhouseCoopers

Koen Cooreman PricewaterhouseCoopers

Luc Legon PricewaterhouseCoopers Roel Verhulst

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sabrina Otten PricewaterhouseCoopers

Sibylle Vandenberghe PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Yves Voeten

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Bart Vanstaen **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** LEGAL SERVICES

Dirk Van Strijthem PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Karin Winters PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Axel Maeterlinck

Simont Braun

Sandrine Hirsch Simont Braun

Pierrette Fraisse SPF Finances - AGDP

Steven de Schrijver VAN BAEL & BELLIS

Thierry Bosly

WHITE & CASE

BELIZE

Emil Arguelles Arguelles & Co. Saidi M. Vaccaro

Arguelles & Co. Tania Moody

BARROW & WILLIAMS Patricia Rodriguez Belize Companies and

CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY Fred Lumor Fred Lumor & Co.

Reynaldo F. Magana FRONTIER INTERNATIONAL

BUSINESS SERVICES LTD. Gian C. Gandhi

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL Services Commission

C. Phillip Waight WAIGHT & ASSOCIATES

Carlton Young Young's Engineering Consultancy Ltd.

BENIN

Philippe Nadaud BANQUE DE L'HABITAT DU Bénin

Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO

Alice Codjia-Sohouenou CABINET AGBANTOU SAIDOU

Saïdou Agbantou CABINET AGBANTOU SAIDOU Victoire Agbanrin-Elisha CABINET D'AVOCAT AGBANRIN-ELISHA Rafikou Alabi CABINET ME ALABI Agnès A. Campbell CAMPBELL & ASSOCIÉS Eurydice Adjovi Continental Bank - Bénin Cvrille Laleve ECOBANK - BENIN Veronique Akankossi Deguenon ETUDE ME VERONIQUE Akankossi Deguenon Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Dominique Taty FIDAFRÎCA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Evelyne M'Bassidgé FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Fousséni Traoré FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jean Claude Gnamien FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Luc-M. C. Gnacadja Імотерн Didier Sterlingot

SDV - SAGA Zakari Djibril Sambaou

BHUTAN

Karma Thinlay ANTI-CORRUPTION Commission, Royal GOVERNMENT OF BHUTAN

Neten Zangmo ANTI-CORRUPTION COMMISSION, ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF BHUTAN

Phub Tshering BHUTAN CHAMBER OF Commerce & Industry

Namgay Dorji BHUTAN DEVELOPMENT FINANCE CORPORATION LTD.

Ugyen Namgyal BHUTAN DEVELOPMENT FINANCE CORPORATION LTD.

Leki Dorji Norbu BHUTAN ENGINEERING Company Pvt. Ltd.

Sonam Penjore BHUTAN NATIONAL BANK Prakash Rasaily

CITY LEGAL UNIT N.B. Gurung

DHI

Lhundub Dorji

EAST - WEST CONSTRUCTION S.N. Muruli Gyelsa - Tewa Real Estate DEVELOPER (GRED)

Tshering Tobgey Gyelsa -Tewa Real Estate Developer (GRED)

Kincho Doriee

LEKO PACKERS

Ugen Takchhu MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE Sonam Tshering MINISTRY OF FINANCE Sonam Wangchuk MINISTRY OF FINANCE Tashi Wangmo Ministry of Labor & Human Resources Karma Tshering Ministry of Trade & INDUSTRY Kiazang Tahgyal MINISTRY OF TRADE & INDUSTRY Rinzin Dorji MINISTRY OF TRADE & INDUSTRY Ugyen Dorji Ministry of Trade & INDUSTRY Susan Collier ORRICK, HERRINGTON & Sutcliffe (Lawyers without Borders) Tshering Wangchuk ROYAL COURT OF JUSTICE Damcho Dorji ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF Bhutan Eden Dema ROYAL MONETARY AUTHORITY OF BHUTAN Dophu Dorji ROYAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE of Bhutan Ltd. Tashi Yezer Royal Securities Exchange OF BHUTAN LTD. Ugyen Dorji Тнімрни Сіту Со. Pema Tashi XPS Karma Lotey YANGPHEL ADVENTURE TRAVEL BOLIVIA Carolina Aguirre Urioste BUFETE AGUIRRE SOC. CIV. Fernando Aguirre BUFETE AGUIRRE SOC. CIV. Carola Ayaroa Mantilla

Ariel Morales Vasquez C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Carlos Ferreira C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Diego Rojas C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Fernando Rojas C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Manfredo Kempff C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Sandra Salinas C.R. & F. Rojas, member of Lex Mundi Edith Loza College of Architects

Jose Gamboa College of Architects Adrián Barrenechea Bazoberry Criales, Urcullo & Antezana Oscar Antonio Plaza Ponte ENTIDAD DE SERVICIOS DE INFORMACIÓN ENSERBIC S.A.

Gonzalo Mendieta Romero ESTUDIO DE ABOGADOS Mendieta Romero &

Asociados Francisco Bollini Roca

GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ S.C.

Jorge Luis Inchauste GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ S.C.

Primitivo Gutiérrez

GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ S.C. Aleiandro Peláez Kav

Indacochea & Asociados

Mariana Pereira Nava Indacochea & Asociados

Carlos Alberto Iacia

PricewaterhouseCoopers Evany Oliveira

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Roberto Viscafé Ureña PricewaterhouseCoopers

A. Mauricio Torrico Galindo Quintanilla & Soria, Soc. CIV.

Julio Quintanilla Quiroga QUINTANILLA & SORIA, SOC. Ĉiv.

Ramiro Guevara

Ana Carola Guzman Gonzales SALAZAR, SALAZAR & Asociados

Sergio Salazar-Machicado SALAZAR, SALAZAR & Asociados

Enrique F. Hurtado Superintendencia De BANCOS Y ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS

Soraya Quiroga Berazain SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS Y ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Adnan Kulenović Branko Marić BRANKO MARIĆ LAW OFFICE Nusmir Huskic BRANKO MARIĆ LAW OFFICE Daniela Terzic DLA PIPER WEISS - TESSBACH Edisa Peštek DLA PIPER WEISS - TESSBACH Nedzida Salihovic-Whalen DLA PIPER WEISS - TESSBACH Emmanuel Koenig Mira Todorovic-Symeonidis IKRP Rokas & Partners Petros Doukas IKRP Rokas & Partners

Vildana Mandalovic IKRP Rokas & Partners Hasib Salkić Interšped Nikola M. Janković Lansky, Ganzger & Partner D.O.O.

Emir Kovačević LAW OFFICE OF EMIR KOVAČEVIĆ

Muhidin Karšić LAW OFFICE OF EMIR Kovačević

Mehmed Spaho LAW OFFICE SPAHO

Bojana Tkalcic-Djulic LAWYERS' OFFICE BOJANA TKALCIC-DJULIC & OLODAR Prebanic

Senada Havic LRC Credit Bureau

Mark Davidson

Sabina Buco

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Sandra Djuragic PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Boris Divjak

TI-BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

BOTSWANA

Akheel Jinabhai

Chazha Kgalemang ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sipho Ziga Armstrongs Attorneys, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Wandipa Tshambani Armstrongs Attorneys, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Edward W. Fashole-Luke II Luke & Associates

Mmatshipi Motsepe MANICA AFRICA PTY. LTD.

Diba M. Diba Minchin & Kelly

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei OSEI-OFEI SWABI & CO.

Anura Suren Perera PricewaterhouseCoopers

Uttum Corea PricewaterhouseCoopers

Vincent Galeromeloe TRANSUNION ITC

UNIVERSITY OF BOTSWANA

ARAÚLO E POLICASTRO

ARAÚJO E POLICASTRO

BIROEX EXPORT IMPORT LTDA

Andréa Pitthan Françolin

De Vivo Whitaker e Castro

Walter Abrahão Nimir Junior

DE VIVO WHITAKER E CASTRO

Alfred Ngowi

BRAZIL

Camilla Sisti

Advogados

Advogados

Rodrigo Matos

Advogados

Advogados

CARGO LOGISTICS

Esther Jerussalmy

Daniel Valle Demarest e Almeida, member of Lex Mundi

Thiago Giantomassi Demarest e Almeida, member of Lex Mundi

Eliane Ribeiro Gago Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e Terra Advogados

José Ricardo dos Santos Luz Júnior DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS

Fernanda Cirne Montorfano Escritorio de Advocacia Gouvêa Vieira

Pedro Vitor Araujo da Costa Escritorio de Advocacia Gouvêa Vieira

Rafael Frota Indio do Brasil Ferraz Escritorio de Advocacia Gouvêa Vieira

Andrea Acerbi Felsberg, Pedretti, Mannrich e Aidar Advogados e Consultores Legais

Thomas Benes Felsberg Felsberg, Pedretti, Mannrich e Aidar Advogados e Consultores Legais

Beatriz Ryoko Yamashita FISCHER & FORSTER ADVOGADOS

Susan Christina Forster Fischer & Forster Advogados

Gilberto Beleza IAB

Claúdia Iaconelli Alves da Silva IFC

Alessandra Souza Loeser e Portela Advogados, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Durval Portela Loeser e Portela Advogados, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Enrique Tello Hadad LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Fernando Loeser Loeser e Portela Advogados, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Richard Blanchet Loeser e Portela Advogados, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Rita Nader Loeser e Portela Advogados, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Samira Gouvea Loeser e Portela Advogados, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Thelma Eliza Gatuzzo LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Manoel I. T. Monteiro

Cássio Mesquita Barros Mesquita Barros Advogados, member of Ius Laboris

Nadia Demoliner Lacerda Mesquita Barros Advogados, member of Ius Laboris Eduado Augusto Mattar Pinheiro Guimarães -Advogados

Adriana Grizante de Almeida PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Carlos Alberto Iacia PricewaterhouseCoopers

Elidie P. Bifano PricewaterhouseCoopers

Evany Oliveira PricewaterhouseCoopers

Michelle Giraldi Lacerda PricewaterhouseCoopers

R. MOLA COMERCIO E SERVICOS LTDA. Ricardo Loureiro

Serasa S.A.

Renato Berger Tozzini Freire Advogados Rodrigo Souza Mendes de Araujo Tozzini Freire Advogados Ana Beatriz Fett Dixon Veirano Advogados

Diogo Fries VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Guimarães Wanderley

Wanderley VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Gabriela Weirich Mottin

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Gilberto Deon Corrêa Iunior

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Guilherme Rizzo Amaral

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Itiberê de Oliveira Castellano Rodrigues VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

José Wahle Veirano Advogados

Lúcia Carvalhal Sica VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Marcos Tiraboschi VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Maria Fernanda Pecora

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Pedro Maciel

Veirano Advogados

Roberta Feiten Silva VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Sergio Bronstein VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Vanessa Felício Veirano Advogados

VEIRANO ADVOGADO

Flavia Bailone Marcilio VEIRANO ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Andrea Oricchio Kirsh Viseu Cunha Oricchio Advogados

Verônica Madureira Pereira Viseu Cunha Oricchio Advogados

World Line Freight Forwarder Ltda

BRUNEI

ARKITEK IBRAHIM ATTORNEY GENERAL'S CHAMBERS Nuralia Abdul Rahim Brunei International Financial Centre, Ministry of Finance Danny Chua Brunei Transporting Company Colin Ong DR. Colin Ong Legal Services Teck Guan Lim

ERNST & YOUNG Kelvin Lim

К. Liм & Co.

Alimin Hj Kalong Lands Department, Ministry of Development Michael Guan

Lee Corporatehouse Associates

Nancy Lai Lee Corporatehouse Associates

Norman Jalil

MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, BANDAR SERI BEGAWAN Caroline Chong

THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION LTD.

Rosdi Amin Yaakub The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.

Syed Alwi Alkaff The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.

Yu Kwang Lim The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.

Yew Choh Lee Y.C. Lee & Lee Advocates & Solicitors

BULGARIA

A.M.G - Anna Faklieva Darina Palova Access to Information Programme

Gergana Jouleva Access to Information Programme

Ralitza Katzarska

Access to Information Programme Dessislava Loukarova Arsov, Natchev, Ganeva Vladimir Natchev Arsov, Natchev, Ganeva Jordan Manahilov Bulgarian National Bank Ilya Komarevski

LEGAL SERVICES

Lora Kapelovska

Legal Services

Maria Urmanova

LEGAL SERVICES

LEGAL SERVICES

Vesselin Dinkov

LEGAL SERVICES

Dimitar Ivanov

Legal Servicess

Svetlin Adrianov

Vladimir Penkov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

LIC - Penkov, Markov &

LIC - Penkov, Markov &

LIC - Релкоу, Маккоу &

LM LEGAL SERVICES LTD.

McGregor & Partners

Ministry of Labor & Social

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Stephan Z. Kyutchukov

Anastassia Dyulgerova

STOEVA, KUYUMDJIEVA &

Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva &

Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva &

STOEVA, KUYUMDIIEVA &

Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva &

Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva &

Todorov & Doykova Law

UNIMASTERS LOGISTICS PLC.

LANDWELL,

LANDWELL.

Landwell,

Peter Takov

LANDWELL.

LANDWELL,

LANDWELL.

Ivan Markov

PARTNERS

PARTNERS

Partners

Laura Thomas

Julian Spassov

Totyu Mladenov

Nikolay Kiskinov

Anelia Tatarova

Ginka Iskrova

Irina Tsvetkova

Marieta Getcheva

Mina Kapsazova

VITLIEMOV

VITLIEMOV

Irina Stoeva

VITLIEMOV

VITLIEMOV

VITLIEMOV

Vitliemov

Firm

Svilen Todorov

Miroslav Varnaliev

Stella Bozova

Nevena Radlova

Pavel Vitliemov

Borislava Pokrass

POLICY

Kalina Tchakarova Djingov, Gouginski, Куитсникоv & Velicнкоv

Lilia Kisseva Djingov, Gouginski,

Куитсникоv & Velichkov Ralitsa Gougleva

Djingov, Gouginski, Куитсникоv & Velichkov

Alexander Georgiev Dobrev, Kinkin & Lyutskanov Iassen Hristev Dobrev, Kinkin &

Lyutskanov Katerina Gramatikova Dobrev, Kinkin &

LYUTSKANOV Plamen Georgiev Economou International

SHIPPING AGENCY Darina Oresharova

Experian-Scorex Bulgaria FreshUP Cosmetics

Georgi Stoilov

Bogdan Drenski Georgiev, Todorov & Co. Dimitar Danailov

Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Gerdana Popova Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Yordanka Panchovska Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Daniela Dzabarova IKRP Rokas & Partners

Emil Enev IKRP Rokas & Partners

Angel Kalaidjiev Kalaidjiev, Georgiev &

Minchev Hristina Kirilova

KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS Margarita Stoyanova

KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS Nikolay Bandakov

KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS Stefan Tzakov

Kambourov & Partners Albena Grozeva

Landwell, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Angel Panayotov Landwell, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Borislav Dimitrov Landwell, PricewaterhouseCoopers

Legal Services Galina Bunkova

Landwell, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Georgy Georgiev Landwell, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Nikolai Bozhilov *UNIMASTERS LOGISTICS PLC.* Yabor Kambourov

BURKINA FASO

Wango Pieree Sawadogo AAED - Agence D'ARCHITECTURE, D'ENGINEERING ET DE DESIGN

Serge Damiba Archi Consult

Vincent Armand Kobiane ARDI – Architectes Conseils

Alphonse K. Nombre Assemblee Nationale

Barterlé Mathieu Some Gilles Corneille Yaméogo

BARREAU DU BURKINA FASO

Marie Ouedraogo Barreau du Burkina Faso

Hamadé Ouedraogo Bâtir S.A.R.L.

Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO

Birika Jean Claude Bonzi

Bouba Yaguibou Bernardin Dabire

Cabinet Bernardin Dabire Barthélémy Kere Cabinet d'Avocats

Barthélemy Kere

Benewende S. Sankara CABINET MAITRE SANKARA

Seydou Roger Yamba CABINET MAITRE SANKARA

Anna T. Ouattara-Sory Cabinet Me Paulin Salambéré

Evelyne Mandessi Bell CABINET OUEDRAOGO & BONKOUNGOU

Bernardin M. T. Sagnon CABINET SAGNON-ZAGRE

Dieudonne Bonkoungou Eddie Koimboiko

Farima Diarra

Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Evelyne M'Bassidgé FIDAFRICA /

PricewaterhouseCoopers Fousséni Traoré

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jean Claude Gnamien FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Fortune Bicaba

Colette Lefebvre INSPECTION DU TRAVAIL

Fati Balma Congo Ministere des Finances et du Budget

N. Henri Ouedraogo Ministere des Finances et du Budget MINISTÈRE DE L'HABITAT Messan Lawson

Moussa Sogodogo Denis Dawende Office Notarial Me Jean

Celestin Zoure Jean Celestin Zoure Office Notarial Me Jean Celestin Zoure Theophane Noel Zoure Office Notarial Me Jean

CELESTIN ZOURE Oumarou Ouedraogo

Ousmane Prosper Zoungrana Blaise Sondo *Reseau National de Lutte*

ANTI-CORRUPTION (RENLAC) Yemdaogo Maxime Nikiema Reseau National de Lutte Anti-Corruption (RENLAC) Rene Bonou SAFTRANS (Societe D'AFFRETEMENT ET DE TRANSIT) Hamidou Savadogo

SCM - JUSTICE & LIBERTE CABINET D'AVOCATS Jean de Dieu Some SDV

Sibila Francois Yameogo Bureau d'Etudes l'Espace Yaguibou & Yanogo

BURUNDI

Audace Bireha APF ConseILs Déogratias Nzemba ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Emmanuel Niyonkuru BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU BURUNDI Tharcisse Ntakiyica

BARREAU DU BURUNDI Anatole Miburo CABINET ANATOLE MIBURO

Gabriel Sinarinzi Cabinet MeGabriel Sinarinzi

Gaspard Rwasoni CABINET RWASONI

Willy Rubeya CABINET WILLY RUBEYA

Benjamin Rufagari Deloiтте & Toucне

Jean De Dieu Basabakwinshi IMATCO

Bonaventure Nicimpaye INTERCONTACT SERVICES, S.A. Rodrigue Majambere

INTERCONTACT SERVICES, S.A. Clémence Rwamo

Ministère de la Justice

Laurent Nzeyimana PRESIDENT DU CONSEIL D'ARBITRAGE CEBAC Jean Anastase Hicuburundi

PRESIDENT DU TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE

Achu Julius Avocat

> Caroline Idrissou-Belingar BEAC - HEADQUARTERS

Paul Marie Djamen BICEC Pierre Bertin Simbafo

Prosper Niyoyankana

CAMBODIA

Hans Hwang

FOUNDATION

Megan Reeve

FOUNDATION

Nimmith Men

FOUNDATION

FOUNDATION

Solicitors

SOLICITORS

Solicitors

Tayseng Ly

Ham Phea

Pises Mao

Samyith Seng

Sovann Bun

Ngov Chong

Michael Tan

Song Khun

RAF INTERNATIONAL

RAF INTERNATIONAL

SARIN & ASSOCIATES

CAMEROON

Jean Aimet Kounga

Julius Ngu Tabe Achu

ACHU AND FON-NDIKUM LAW

Abeng Law Firm

Augustin Mbami

SHA TRANSPORT EXPRESS

FORWARDING INC.

FORWARDING INC.

Denora Sarin

Sorya Sin

Co. Ltd.

Iean Loi

Chee Cheong Low

KPMG CAMBODIA LTD.

KPMG CAMBODIA LTD.

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

HR INC

HR INC.

Chhung Kong

Samvutheary Mao

Vannarith Siv

Socheata Seng

Naryth H. Hem

Sok Lor

Salvatore Sindayihebura

ARBITRATION COUNCIL

ARBITRATION COUNCIL

Arbitration Council

Arbitration Council

B.N.G. - Advocates &

B.N.G. - Advocates &

B.N.G. - Advocates &

Louis-Martin Desautels

DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Dirksen Flipse Doran & Le

DIRKSEN FLIPSE DORAN & LE

EVER PEACE CONSULTANT

Brennan Coleman

BICEC Henri Pierre Job

Cabinet d'Avocats Henri Job

Emmanuel Ekobo *Савілет Еково*

Lucas Florent Essomba CABINET ESSOMBA & Associés

Isabelle Fomukong CABINET FOMUKONG

Ariane Marceau-Cotte Cabinet Maître Marie Andrée NGWE

Marie-Andrée Ngwe Cabinet Maître Marie Andrée NGWE

Patrice Guy Njoya Cabinet Maître Marie Andrée NGWE

Serge Jokung Cabinet Maître Marie Andrée NGWE

Simon Pierre Nemba Cabinet Maître Marie Andrée NGWE

Charles Tchuente CABINET NYEMB

Jacques Nyem *Савінет Nyemb*

Laurent Dongmo CABINET PAUL JING

Daniel Fourier EcoBank - Cameroon

D. Etah Akoh Etah-Nan & C Société d'Avocats, Barristers &

Solicitors Berangere Monin FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Isidore Baudouin Ndzana

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Nadine Tinen Tchangoum FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Pierre Roger Ngangwou FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Henry Feh

, Ivo Dogo Tamfu Henry, Samuelson & Co.

Jacqueline Moussinga

David Boyo Jing & Partners

Paul Jing JING & PARTNERS

Kumfa Jude Kwenyui Juris Consul Law Firm ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 169

Marceau Cotte

Aimé Ndock Len M & N Law Firm, cabinet d'avocats

Hermine Fréderique Mbendang Essanguy M & N Law Firm, Cabinet D'AVOCATS

Jean Michel Mbock Biumla M & N Law Firm, Cabinet D'Avocats

Angoh Angoh Jacob NICO HALLE & CO. LAW FIRM

Rebecca Enonchong Jean Paul Ngalle Miano SCP NGALLE-MIANO, BEKIMA-NDJAM & EKANE

Roland Abeng THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Unique Investment Ltd.

CANADA

ABH Importers Bennett Fleet Inc.

Anne Glover Blake, Cassels & Graydon, member of Lex Mundi

Pamela S. Hughes BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Paul Schabas Blake, Cassels & Graydon, member of Lex Mundi

Pierre Gemson Blake, Cassels & Graydon, member of Lex Mundi

Tony Wong Blake, Cassels & Graydon, member of Lex Mundi

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

COMAGE CONTAINER LINES

Comage Container Lines

FreightPlus Group of

Alfred Page

Francis Allen

CARAVAN TRADE

CB RICHARD ELLIS

David G. Ellis

Bhavin Ganatra

Joshua Kochath

Harris M. Rosen

FRASER EXPORT

GOODMANS LLP

Jay A. Carfagnini

GOODMANS LLP

GROUPE ROUSSEAU

CONSTRUCTION INC.

John Craig Heenan Blaikie LLP,

Jonathan Rabinovitch

HEENAN BLAIKIE LLP,

Vittorio Maio

Gian Fortuna

INDUSTRY CANADA

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Kenaidan Contracting Ltd.

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

COMPANIES

David Bish

Fogler Rubinoff

Wayne W. Fedun MacLeod Dixon Andrew Kent McMillan Binch Mendelsohn

MLG ENTERPRISES LTD.

Allan Coleman Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Damian Rigolo

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP

Marc Wasserman Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Rod Davidge

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Susan Clifford

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP Matthew Marcus PricewaterhouseCoopers

Michael S. Bondy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SDV

Shoppers WebMart Spirits of the Creek Ltd.

Steven Golick

Colin L. Campbell Superior Court of Justice of Ontario

Velmo International Inc.

José Manuel Pinto Monteiro Advogados & Iurisconsultos

Eldetrudes Pires Neves Araújo, Neves, Santos & Miranda, Advogados Associados

Joao M. A. Mendes AUDITEC

Ricardo G. Pereira BDO CAPEAUDIT

Ana Denise Lima Barber CWV & ADVOGADOS

Carlos Veiga CWV & Advogados

Elsa Tavares CWV & Advogados

Vera Andrade CWV & Advogados

Vasco Carvalho Oliveira Ramos ENGIC

Ilíldio Cruz Gabinete de Advocacia Consultoria e Procuradoria Juridica

Jorge Teixeira

Maria de Fatima Lopes Varela Ioão Dono

Maria João de Novais Advogados - Miranda Alliance

Armando J.F. Rodrigues PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Herminio Afonso PricewaterhouseCoopers Tito Lívio Santos Oliveira Ramos

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

Caroline Idrissou-Belingar BEAC - Headquarters

Jean Baptiste Nouganga BUREAU COMPTABLE FISCAL -CABINET NOUGANGA Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson CABINET DOUZIMA & MINISTÈRE DE LA FONCTION PUBLIQUE Gabriel Houndoni CLUB OHADA Symphorien Bandiba CLUB OHADA

Serge Médard Missamou CLUB OHADA

Jean Noel Bangue Cour d'Appel de Bangui

Jean-Noël Bangue Cour d'Appel de Bangui Maurice Dibert- Dollet Ministère de la Justice

CHAD

Caroline Idrissou-Belingar BEAC - HEADQUARTERS Thomas Dingamgoto CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO Naramadji Mekonné CLERC D'HUISSIER DE JUSTICE N'Doningar Djimasna

Faculté de Droit, Université de N'Djamena

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Karine Lasne

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Nadine Tinen Tchangoum

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Nicolas Ronzié FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Gérard Leclaire Ingénierie & Architecture

Sobdibé Zoua Law FIRM SCPP Narcisse Madjiyore Dongar

Béchir Madet Office Notarial

Abdelkerim Ahmat SDV

CHILE

Adriana Salias Alvaro Rosenblut *ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS* Camilo Cortés

Alessandri & Compañía

Fernando Jamarne Alessandri & Compañía León Larrain

Baker & McKenzie (Cruzat, Ortúzar y Mackenna Ltda.) Miguel Capo Valdez Besalco S.A. Cristian Garcia-Huidobro

BOLETIN COMERCIAL Carlos Torres

Claudio Rivera Carey y Cía Ltda.

María Agnes Salah Carey y Cía Ltda.

Mariana Castro *CAREY Y CÍA LTDA.* Pedro Pablo Gutierrez

CAREY Y CÍA LTDA. Alfonso Reymond Larrain

Chadwick & Aldunate Abogados Aldo Molinari

CLARO & CIÁ., ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Alex van Weezel

Claro & Ciá., Abogados, member of Lex Mundi

Felipe Ossa Claro & Ciá., Abogados, member of Lex Mundi

Nicolás Luco Claro & Ciá., Abogados, member of Lex Mundi

Edmundo Rojas García Conservador de Bienes Raíces de Santiago

Luis Maldonado Croquevielle Conservador de Bienes Raíces de Santiago

Luis Felipe Vergara Maldonado *Conservador de Bienes Raíces de Santiago* Silvio Figari Napoli

DATABUSINESS

Cristián S. Eyzaguirre Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Ricardo Riesco Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Jimena Bronfman Guerrero, Olivos, Novoa y Errázuriz

Juan Pablo Gonzalez M. Guerrero, Olivos, Novoa y Errázuriz

Sebastián Yunge Guerrero, Olivos, Novoa y

Errázuriz Didier Lara

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Miguel Massone

PricewaterhouseCoopers Myriam Retamal

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Osvaldo Villagra PricewaterhouseCoopers

Juan Mesías PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Leticia Acosta Aguirre

Redlines Group Sofia Dias

SDV S.A.

Héctor Carrasco Reyes Superintendencia de Bancos e Instituciones Financieras Enrique Benitez Urrutia URRUTIA & CÍA Jorge Benitez Urrutia URRUTIA & CÍA Xavier Guijón Dongguan Asny Craft

HARDWARE MANUFACTORY

EASY COUNT ELECTRONIC

ENERSINE HIGH TECHNOLOGY

ENXUN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY

FIT PRECISION MOLD CO.,

Foresight Technology

FORTUNA TECHNOLOGY CO.,

(Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.

Foshan Yinggelong

FUAN CITY LONGHE

SANITARY WARE CO., LTD.

Electrical Machinery

FUJIAN HAIDING GLOBAL

FUIIAN WESTERN GULF

Seafood Co., Ltd.

PRODUCTS CO., LTI

Fuyilai Hardware

FURNITURE FACTORY

GAOYAO RONGXING

Co., LTD.

Ltd.

LTD.

Ltd.

Co., Ltd.

Co., Ltd.

CO., LTD.

FACTORY

LTD.

LTD.

LTD

Leo Ge

Jessie Tang

HARDWARE MANUFACTORY

GLOBAL STAR LOGISTICS CO.

GLOBAL STAR LOGISTICS CO.

GOBERY TRADING CO., LTD.

GUANGBO HANDCRAFTS CO.,

Guangzhou Yufa Carpet

Hainan Howard Town

HAINING XINGUANGYUAN

HAIYAN PUGUANG LIGHTING

HANZHONG INDUSTRIAL LTD.

HARVEST INTERNATIONAL

Hebei Anping Hengmao

Metal Products Wire Mesh

HEBEI RISING CHEMICAL CO.,

Hebei Seawell Import &

Hebei Xingshuo Saw Co.,

HENAN HARVEST CHEM CO.,

HUNAN O.E.D CASTING &

HUNAN O.E.D. CO., LTD.

LIGHTING CO., LTD.

GROUP CO., LTD.

EXPORT CO., LTD.

Hongkong Huike

Technology Ltd.

FORGING CO., LTD.

Export & Import Trading

GLOBIZ CORPORATION

FOODS INDUSTRY CO., LTD.

Futon (Shenzhen) Adhesive

DONGGUAN LITONG

Donggiian Webber

FURNITURE CO., LTD.

FACTORY

Co., Ltd.

Co., Ltd.

LTD.

LTD.

Co., Ltd.

CHINA

ADD XD IMP & EXP Co., Ltd.

Amico Pipeline Co., Ltd. Amoy Paintings International

Anwan International Trade Co., Ltd.

Crystal Zang Asia Connect Consultants Asia Imp & Exp Co., Ltd.

Alexander Gong Baker & McKenzie

Rico Chan Baker & McKenzie

Beijing Dongxing Kaiyuan Textile Co., Ltd.

Fengli Zhang Beijing Huanzhong & Partners

Xuehua Wang Beijing Huanzhong & Partners

Beijing Shunxing Trademark Co., Ltd.

Векон Technologies Ltd. Candas Lee

BURKE, FUNG & LI SOLICITORS Tommy Li

BURKE, FUNG & LI SOLICITORS

Canadian Exhibitions Group Co.,Ltd. Chengdu Share Building

CHINA NET COMMUNICATION

China Tycentre Vehicles

CHONGQING YOUBANG GIFTS

CHUNXIAO TOY FACTORY

Cixi Huaheng Rubber &

CIXI WOLEE BEARING CO.,

Dalian Sparkin Apparel

DANYA OPTOELECTRONIC

DeHeng Law Offices

DNIYA ORNAMENTS CO., LTD.

PLASTIC FACTORY

CLIFFORD CHANCE

Eu Iin Chua

Tech., Ltd.

Beiheng Ren

He Iun

Kejun Guo

Li Wang

Rue Qiu

Yichi Zhang

MATERIAL CO., LTD

Shanghai Branch

I.TD

LTD.

INC.

CO. LTD.

Hunbear Industry Trade Co., LTD. Lihong Wang IFC INNOVATION MEDICAL INSTRUMENT CO., LTD. JIANGSU FEICHI CO., LTD. Jiangyin Mingzhu Export & IMPORT CO., LTD. lie Chen JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Linfei Liu JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Shyian Zhang Jun He Law Office, member OF LEX MUNDI Yan Guo JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Yu Oin JUNYI LAW OFFICE KAIER INVESTMENT LTD. KANGZHOU ARTS & CRAFTS Raymond Ng Kenneth C C Man & Co. Kestar Electronic Co., Ltd. KINDER INDUSTRY & TRADE Co., Ltd. Yi Zhang KING & WOOD PRC LAWYERS Barry Cheung KPMG HUAZHEN Bolivia Cheung KPMG HUAZHEN Chris Long KPMG HUAZHEN Kui Lu LIFECARE INSTRUMENTS CO., LTD. LOVEUS Luquan Supply and MARKETING CO., LTD. Merlin Consumable Co. LTD. NANJING BAIGUAN GLASS HANDIWORK CO., LTD. NANIING TEXTILE CO. NANTONG XINBANG CHEMICAL CO., LTD. Neumek Shanghai CORPORATION New Benefit Hardware CO., LTD. NEW OLYMPIC TRADING CO. Han Shen New York University NINGBO C&T TEK CO., LTD. Ningbo Flight Rigging & Tool Co., Ltd. NINGBO LIFTSTAR MATERIAL TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT FACTORY

Ningbo New Century Import & Export Co., Ltd. Six Operation Dept.

Ningbo NGYC (Ninggang Permanent Magnetic) Material Co., Ltd.

Ningbo Zhongbin Fastener MFG.Co., LTD. Cevela Zhou O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Lawrence Sussman O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Randolph Perry ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP Zhang Hongsheng PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA Philip Gilligan PIKO LEATHER GOODS CO., Kian Heong Hew PINSENT MASONS Lin Luo PINSENT MASONS Cassie Wong PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Celia Wang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rex Chan PricewaterhouseCoopers Pujiang Jiamei Crafts & TEXTILES CO., LTD. PUYANG ISAAC GROUP Shoulong Mao RENMIN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA RISING AUTO PARTS RUNHONG ENTERPRISE CO., Ltd. SANSEN TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. SDA Berry Lin SDV Ltd. Shanghai Electrical Power Co. Ltd. Shanghai Greenland INTERNATIONAL TRADE CO., LTD. Shanghai Hai Cheng Economy & Trade Development Co., Ltd. Shanghai Municipal GOVERNMENT Jammy Qian Shanghai S&J Forwarding CO. LTD. SHENYANG SANYUAN OIL-FILLER MANUFACTURING CO., LTD Shenzhen Bosston MANUFACTURER CO., LTD. Shenzhen Fanvil ELECTRONICS CO. LTD Shenzhen Lahoode Business Co., LTD. Shenzhen Shengcheng INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. Shenzhen Solartycoon TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. Shenzhen Subor Electric Technology Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Wenkui STATIONERY CORPORATION SHENZHEN ZHUANGZHENG Electronic Technology Co., LTD.

Sichuan Metals & Minerals IMPORT & EXPORT CORP. Sincoheren STONE INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. TIGERDOG TRADING TW FURNITURE UMEDISC VENUS HOLDINGS HK Co., LTD. Henry Tsang VINNER HEALTH PRODUCTS Co., Ltd. Vogue Industries Co., Ltd. WAFANGDIAN YUSHI ENERGY Co., Ltd. WAYNEX INTERNATIONAL TRADE CO., LTD. Weihai Jucheng Textile Co., Ltd. Wuhan Fiberpon TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD XIAMEN ALL CARBON CORPORATION Xiamen Ningsheng Industry & Trade Co., Ltd. Xiamen Zhanlu Industry & TRADE CO., LTD. Xinghua Hongtai Stainless STEEL WIRE ROPE CO., LTD. Xinzheng Chengxin Carbon CO. LTD Yanzhou Xi'er Kangtai PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. YI FU LTD. YIWU DANNASI TRADE CO., LTD. YIWU FENGCHENG Commodity Co., Ltd. YIWU GAOGE ARTS & CRAFTS CO., LTD. YIWU HOLLYTOWN IMPORT & EXPORT CO., LTD. YIWU HOLLYTOWN PRINTER Consumables Co., Ltd. YUANFENG TEXTUES CO. LTD Zhejiang Maigela ACCESSORY CO. LTD Zhejiang Ruipu Titanium INDUSTRY CO., LTD. Zheilang Wuyi Zongheng Tools Co., LTD. Zhengzhou Ancai Refractory Co., Ltd. Zhengzhou Great Wall Heavy Industry Machinery CO., LTD. Zhengzhou Sanhui Trading CO., LTD. ZhongYi International TRADE INFORMATION LTD.CO. Zhuji Junma Import & EXPORT CO., LTD. ZIBO SANFENG CHEMICAL INDUSTRY CO., LTD. COLOMBIA Iorge Lara Urbaneia BAKER & MCKENZIE (RAISBECK, LARA, RODRIGUEZ & RUEDA)

Carlos Fradique-Méndez Brigard & Ûrrutia, member OF LEX MUNDI Carolina Arciniegas Parga Brigard & Urrutia, member OF LEX MUNDI Cristina Lloreda BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Juan Carlos Perez Brigard & Urrutia, member OF LEX MUNDI Pablo Barraquer-Uprimny BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Ana Maria Quintero Cámara Colombiana de la Construcción Beatriz Uribe Botero Cámara Colombiana de la Construcción Viviana Hernández Grajales Cámara Colombiana de la Construcción Darío Cárdenas Cárdenas & Cárdenas Gabriela Mancero CAVELIER ABOGADOS Julia Uribe CAVELIER ABOGADOS Claudia Vargas Mauricio Angulo Computec - DataCrédito Alessandra Laureiro Gómez-Pinzón Abogados Felipe Sandoval Villamil GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ABOGADOS Juan Manuel Ruiz Gómez-Pinzón Abogados Juan Sebastian Torres , Gómez-Pinzón Abogados Patricia Arrázola Bustillo GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ABOGADOS Paula Samper Salazar Gómez-Pinzón Abogados Guillermo Bayona Clara Inés Gómez José Lloreda Camacho er Co Felipe Valencia José Lloreda Camacho & Co. Gustavo Tamavo Iosé Lloreda Camacho & Co. Jinna Pastrana José Lloreda Camacho & Co. Juan Carlos Ruiz José Lloreda Camacho . & Со. Juliana Bazzani Botero José Lloreda Camacho & Co. Lorena Diaz José Lloreda Camacho er Co Manuel Muñoz José Lloreda Camacho & Co. Santiago Gutiérrez

Santiago Gutiérrez José Lloreda Camacho & Co.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 171

Latintradex, Inc. María Helena Díaz Méndez

Mario Ramirez Lozano

Nacira Lamprea Luis E. Nieto

NIETO & CHALELA Felipe Arbouin Gómez

PINILLA GONZÁLEZ & PRIETO Abogados

Eliana Bernal Castro PricewaterhouseCoopers

Adriana Hincapié PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Andrés Millán Pineda PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Daniel Cardoso PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Diego Vega PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Juan Becerra PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Santiago Lopez PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Wilson Herrera

PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Ana Giraldo Ргіето & Carrizosa S.A.

Felipe Cuberos Prieto & Carrizosa S.A.

Margarita María Núñez Prieto & Carrizosa S.A. Quasar Sucesores & Ltda.

Raul Alberto Suarez

Representaciones GC & LS C.I. Colombia Ltda.

Carlos Umaña RIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Carlos Urrutia RIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

José Francisco Mafla Ruiz RIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Julio Ortiz Rigard & Urrutia, member of Lex Mundi

Grace Sandoval Sociedad Portuaria Regional de Cartagena

Gustavo Florez Sociedad Portuaria Regional de Cartagena Termocupi as S A

COMOROS

Said Ibrahim Mourad ANCIEN MAGISTRAT

Ahamada Mahamoudou Avocat à la Cour Hassani Assoumani C.V.P. - BIOCOM

Zainaba Mohamed Fonds d'Appui Au Développement Communautaire

Mohamed Abdallah Halifa Groupe Hassanati Soilihi -Groupe Hasoil

Youssouf Yahaya Impots de la Grande Comore Ali Mohamed Choybou

PALAIS DE LA JUSTICE Aboubakar Abdou

President de l'Île Autonome de la Grande Comore

Haroussi Idrissa Tribunal de premiere Instance de Moroni

Mohamed Salipi Tribunal de premiere INSTANCE de MORONI

CONGO, DEM. REP

Louman Mpoy CABINET MPOY - LOUMAN & ASSOCIÉS Regis De Oliveira AGETRAF S.A.R.L. Yves Debiesme AGETRAF S.A.R.L. Amisi Herady

ANAPI Thierry Mutombo Kalonji ANAPI

Roger Masamba Makela Avocat, Doyen de Faculté Caroline Idrissou-Belingar

BEAC - HEADQUARTERS Victor Créspel Musafiri

CABINET D'AVOCAT JCC & A Andre Malangu Muabila

CABINET FAMILLE Christie Madudu Sulubika

CABINET G.B. MOKA NGOLO & Associés

Francis Lugunda Lubamba *Cabinet Lugunda Lubamba* Siku Beva

CABINET LUGUNDA LUBAMBA Marius Tshieuy

CABINET MBAKI ET ASSOCIÉS Tanayi Mbuy-Mbiye

CABINET MBUY-MBIYE & Associés

Louman Mpoy Cabinet Mpoy - Louman & Associés

Jacques Munday CABINET NTOTO ET NSWAL

Toto Wa Kinkela *Cавілет Тото*

EcoBank - Congo, Dem. Rep.

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jolivet Thomas FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS G. Le Dourain Gaston Kalambay Lumpungu David Guarnieri *PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES* Léon wa Kinkela *TOTO & ASSOCIÉS CABINET D'AVOCATS* Mukoko Aloni UNIVERSITÉ DE KINSHASA

CONGO, REP.

Daniel Bolletot BUREAU VERITAS Jean-Claude Marc BUREAU VERITAS Claude Coelho CABINET D'AVOCATS CLAUDE Coelho Mathias Essereke CABINET D'AVOCATS CLAUDE COFLHO Muzembe Mpungu Marius CABINET D'AVOCATS CLAUDE Coelho Francis Sassa CABINET D'AVOCATS JEAN Petro Jean Petro CABINET D'AVOCATS JEAN Petro Thierry Mamimoue CABINET D'AVOCATS JEAN Petro Jean-Philippe Esseau Cabinet Esseau Ludovic Désiré Essou CABINET ESSOU Francoise Mbongo Cabinet Mbongo David Bourion FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Emmanuel Le Bras

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Moise Kokolo FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Prosper Bizitou FIDAFRICA /

PricewaterhouseCoopers

COSTA RICA

Andrea Saenz Aguilar Castillo Love John Aguilar Aguilar Castillo Love Silvia Chacon Alfredo Fournier & Asociados

Roger Petersen Alliance Law Group, SRL Vicente Lines Arias & Muñoz

Gastón Certad BATALLA & ASOCIADOS Luis Manuel Castro BLP ABOGADOS

Neftali Garro BLP Abogados Eduardo Calderón-Odio BUFETE FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & Lex Mundi Federico Solís BUFETE FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & Lex Mundi Giselle Solís BUFETE FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & Lex Mundi Iaime Molina Cámara Costarricense de la Construcción Stanley Villegas DECISA Alejandro Bettoni Traube Doninelli & Doninelli - Asesores Jurídicos Asociados Jaime Ordoñez Estudios para el Futuro FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Daniel de LaGarza J. de Cano Estudio Legal Felix Pecou IAPDEVA Carlos Arrea LEX COUNSEL Cecilia Naranjo LEX COUNSEL Luis Sibaja LEX COUNSEL Miguel Ruiz Herrera LEX COUNSEL Rodrigo Zapata LEX COUNSEL Ivannia Méndez Rodríguez **Oller** Abogados Pedro Oller Oller Abogados

Alejandro Antillon Pacheco Coto

Carlos Barrantes PricewaterhouseCoopers

Katherine Monge PricewaterhouseCoopers Marianela Vargas

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ramon Ortega

PricewaterhouseCoopers

V. Andrés Gómez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Adriana Calero PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Ingrid Jiménez Godoy PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Luis Diego Barahona PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Carmen Gamboa QUIROS & ASOCIADOS, ABOGADOS Y NOTARIOS

Jose Luis Salinas SCGMT Arquitectura y Diseño Rosemary Escalante SCOTIABANK Eduardo Montoya Solano SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS

CÔTE D'IVOIRE

Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO

Hawa Kone BCEAO Yaya Sissoko BCEAO

BNETD

Kouame Klemet *CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU* Seyanne Groga

Cabinet Jean-François Chauveau

Zinda Sawadogo Cabinet Jean-François Chauveau

Cabinet Kouassi et Associés

César Asman Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associés

Georges N'Goan CABINET N'GOAN, ASMAN & ASSOCIÉS

Jacques Raphaël Kouassi CABINET N'GOAN, ASMAN & Associés

Nadia Vanie Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associés

Athanase Raux CABINET RAUX ET ASSOCIÉS

Antoine Niamien CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES DE

Cote d'Ivoire Guillaume Koffi

Conseil National de l'Ordre des Architectes

Jacque Otro Conseil National de l'Ordre des Architectes

COTAM Jean-Pierre Elisha

Elisha & Associés Nathalie Assou

Elisha & Associés Dorothée K. Dreesen Etude Maitre Dreesen

Serge Roux Etude Maitre Roux

Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Evelyne M'Bassidgé FIDAFRICA /

PricewaterhouseCoopers

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jean Claude Gnamien FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Herman Kouao Joachim Bile-Aka Landry Baguy SABKA Abbé Yao SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao & Associés Arsène Dable SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao & Associés Seydou Zerbo SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao & Associés Dogbémin Gérard Kone SCPA NAMBEYA - DOGBEMIN & Associés Guillaume Dufaux SDV

Jerome Beseme SDV Bertrand Fleury SDV - SAGA CI SIMAT

CROATIA

Ludovic Coquillet AGS D.O.O. Luka Tadić-Čolić BABIĆ & PARTNERS Tin Dolički BOGDANOVIĆ, DOLIČKI & PARTNERI Belinda Čačić ČAČIĆ & PARTNERS Hrvoje Čipčić-Bragadin ČIPČIĆ-BRAGADIN Gregor Famira

CMS ZAGREB Ivana Mucić CMS ZAGREB

Jasmina Crnalić CMS ZAGREB

Marija Mušec CMS ZAGREB Zoran Tasić

CMS Zagreb

Djuro Sessa County Court in Zagreb Zoran Bohaček

CROATIAN BANKING Association

Dean Balint Emir Bahtijarević

Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević, member of SEE Legal

Ivana Zovko DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ, MEMBER OF SEE LEGAL Saša Divjak DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ, MEMBER OF

SEE LEGAL Eugen Zadravec

EUGEN ZADRAVEC LAW FIRM Irina Jelčić

HANŽEKOVIĆ, RADAKOVIĆ & Partners, member of Lex Mundi Marijan Hanžeković HANŽEKOVIĆ, RADAKOVIĆ & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF LEX Mundi Andrea August HITRO HR Lidija Hanžek HROK Branimir Iveković Iveković & Vidan Hrvoje Vidan Iveković & Vidan Stefanija Čukman JURIĆ LAW OFFICES Nikola Klaić KLAIĆ & KLAIĆ Marija Haramija Korper & Haramiia Goranka Šumonja Laktić Laktić & Partners Mate Lovrić Laktić & Partners Mladen Dragičević LAW OFFICE DRAGIČEVIĆ Snježana Premus Baltić Law Office Dragičević Zvonko Nogolica LAW OFFICES NOGOLICA Andrei Bolfek Leko & Partners Krešimir Ljubić Leko & Partners Manuela Špoljarić Leko & Partners Miroslav Leko LEKO & PARTNERS Martina Mladina Kavurić Mamić Reberski & Partners Vladimir Mamić Mamić Reberski & Partners Gordan Marović Marović & Partners Andrej Matijevich MATIJEVICH LAW OFFICE Tamiko R. Franklin MATIJEVICH LAW OFFICES Miroljub Macesic Dragomir Modrušan

Modrušan & Filipčić Željka Filipčić

Modrušan & Filipčić Martina Kalamiza

Odvjetničko društvo Laktić & Partneri Nataša Owens

Owens AND HOUŠKA Petar Petrić

Petrić Law Office Ivan Gjurgjan

Porobija & Porobija Law Firm

Margita Kiš-Kapetanović Porobija & Porobija Law FIRM Sanja Porobija

Porobija & Porobija Law Firm

Don Markušić PricewaterhouseCoopers Gordan Rotkvić PricewaterhouseCoopers Iain McGuire PricewaterhouseCoopers Ivo Bijelić PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Lana Brlek **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Ronald Pusić **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Sanja Jurković PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Filip Sulić PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Jelena Madir Privredna Banka Zagreb Gordon Kunštek ŠAVORIĆ & PARTNERS Marijana Ielić ŠAVORIĆ & PARTNERS Ana Sihtar SIHTAR ATTORNEYS AT LAW Natko Bilić Studio 3lhd Zorislav Petrović TI-Croatia Tin Matić TIN MATIĆ LAW OFFICE TRANSADRIA Mario Stefanić TRANSADRIA Irena Tušak-Miletić TUŠAK-MILETIĆ & PARTNERS Zoran Vujasin VUJASIN LAW OFFICE Tomislava Furčić Vukić, Jelusić, Šulima, Stanković, Jurcan & Jabuka Mladen Vukmir VUKMIR LAW OFFICE Tomislav Nagy VUKMIR LAW OFFICE Bojan Fras Žurić i Partneri CZECH REPUBLIC

Allen & Overy, Praha Advokátní kancelář Jan Spáčil Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Jiří Markvart Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Kateřina Vysloužilová Ambruz & Dark Advokáti, v.o.s. PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Klara Valentova Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Ludvik Iuricka Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Marketa Penazova Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Martin Bohuslav Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Michal Hrncir Ambruz & Dark advokáti, v.o.s. PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Vladimír Ambruz Ambruz & Dark ADVOKÁTI, V.O.S. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Tomáš Zlámal Atelièr Zlámal Cosmin Anghel BADEA ASOCIATII IN Association with Clifford CHANCE Petr Kucera CCB - Czech Banking Credit Bureau Stanislav Myslil CERMAK HOREJS MYSLIL A SPOL. Tomas Richter CLIFFORD CHANCE LLP/ INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC STUDIES, FACULTY OF Social Sciences, Charles UNIVERSITY Iarmila Musilova Jörg Nürnberger DLA PIPER EXIM CONSULTING Vít Horáček GLATZOVÁ & CO. LAW OFFICES PRAGUE Roman Grones GLEISS LUTZ Athanassios Pantazopoulos IKRP Rokas & Partners & Dr. A. Pantazopoulos Jan Slaby KARL HEINZ DIETRICH S.R.O. Ludìk Vrána Linklaters Petr Mestanek LINKLATERS Růžena Trojánková LINKLATERS Lenka Petráková Ozivení Robert Sgariboldi PANALPINA S.R.O. Gabriela Hájková Peterka & Partners liří Černý Peterka & Partners Martin Sip Peterka & Partners Pavla Prikrvlova Peterka & Partners David Musil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Lenka Mrazova PricewaterhouseCoopers

Stephen B. Booth PricewaterhouseCoopers

Irena Dolezelova Procházka Randl Kubr, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & LEX MUNDI

Jarmila Hanzalova Procházka Randl Kubr, Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Natasa Randlová Procházka Randl Kubr, member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Sarka Jandova Procházka Randl Kubr, member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Tereza Řihošková Procházka Randl Kubr, member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Jana Pavlasova Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, v.o.s. advokátní kancelář

Zdenek Rosicky Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, v.o.s. Advokátní kancelář Markéta Zachová

Vejmelka & Wünsch v.o.s.

DENMARK

Jeppe Jørgensen Bech-Bruun Law Firm

Ole Borch BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM

Pia Justesen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM

Heidi Hoelgaard Experian Northern Europe

Jan Hoej Soerensen Experian Northern Europe

Alice Folker Gorrissen Federspiel Kierkegaard

Jonas Bøgelund Gorrissen Federspiel Kierkegaard

Niels Bang Sørensen Gorrissen Federspiel Kierkegaard

Jesper Trommer Volf Mogens Ebeling

Jonas Bruun Soren Plomgaard

Jonas Bruun Jens Steen Jensen

KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jørgen B. Jepsen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Kim Trenskow Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Peter Honoré Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Susanne Madsen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi Susanne Schjølin Larsen KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Knud Villemoes Hansen NATIONAL SURVEY AND CADASTRE - DENMARK/KORT & MATRIKELSTYRELSEN

Elsebeth Aaes-Jørgensen Norrbom Vinding, member of Ius Laboris

Thomas Bech Olsen PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT

Peter Bang

Claus Kaare Pedersen Philip & Partnere

Eivind Einersen Philip & Partnere

Jens Hjortskov Philip & Partnere

Ann Kell

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Arne J. Gehring PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jan Huusmann Christensen

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Karin L. Nielsen PricewaterhouseCoopers

Mona Lorentsen PricewaterhouseCoopers Torben Wolsted

PricewaterhouseCoopers

DJIBOUTI

Wabat Daoud Avocat à la Cour

Rahma Abdi Abdillahi Banque Centrale de Djibouti

Ibrahim Mohamed Omar CABINET D'EXPERTISE COMPTABLE ET D'AUDIT

Félix Emok N'Dolo CHD GROUP

Koran Ahmed Aouled Conseil Superieur de la Magistrature

Abdallah Mohamed Kamil ETUDE NOTARIALE

Lantosoa Hurfin Ralaiarinosy GROUPEMENT COSMEZZ DJIBOUTI S. A.

Mohamed Omar Mohamed Mourad Farah

Jean Phillipe Delarue Société Maritime L. Savon & Ries

Jerome Passicos Société Maritime L. Savon & Ries

Luc Deruyer Société Maritime L. Savon & Ries

Oubah Mohamed Omar Société Maritime L. Savon & Ries

DOMINICA

Kathy Buffong Attorney General's Chambers

Mrs. Carrette

Kerry George C I S Enterprises Ltd.

Linda Singletary C I S ENTERPRISES LTD.

Francine Royr De Freitas de Freitas & Baron

Leah Shillingford Dominica Amalgamated Workers Union

Caryl Paul Dominica Coconut Products Ltd.

Alex Phillip House of Assembly

Issa Trading Ltd.

J. Gildon Richards J. GILDON RICHARDS CHAMBERS

Alick C. Lawrence Lawrence Alick C. Chambers

Laurina Vidal Lawrence Alick C. Chambers

Severin McKenzie McKenzie Architectural & Construction Services Inc.

Richard Peterkin PricewaterhouseCoopers

Singoalla Blomqvist-Williams Ruby Joseph

Kirtiste Augustus Waterfront and Alied Workers Union

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Raúl De Moya Arquitectura & Planificación Pablo Gonzalez Tapia

BIAGGI & MESSINA

Maria Portes CASTILLO Y CASTILLO

Xavier Marra Martínez Dhimes & Marra

Fabio Guzmán-Ariza Guzmán Ariza

Claudia Roca Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Claudia Taveras Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Mary Fernández Rodríguez Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Sarah de León Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Wilfredo Senior HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNANDEZ

Carlos Hernández Instituto de Estudios del Trabajo

Philippe Lescuras PANALPINA

Luis R. Pellerano Pellerano & Herrera, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Alejandro Fernandez de Castro PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Andrea Paniagua PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Caroline Bono PricewaterhouseCoopers Juan Tejada PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Julio Pinedo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ramon Ortega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Superintendencia de BANCOS Wendy Sánchez TRANSUNION

Ana Isabel Caceres Troncoso y Caceres Juan Manuel Caceres

Troncoso y Caceres

ECUADOR

Jorge Eduardo Fernández Perdomo Acredita Buró de INFORMACIÓN CREDITICIA S.A Alproiansa Patricia Ponce BUSTAMANTE Y BUSTAMANTE Patricio Carrion Constructora Naranjo & Ordoñez Andrés Tobar Corporación LATINOAMERICANA PARA EL Desarrollo Daniel Pino Arroba Xavier Amador Pino ESTUDIO IURIDICO AMADOR

Lucía Cordero-Ledergerber FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS Fernando Del Pozo Contreras

GALLEGOS, VALAREZO & NEIRA Juan Carlos Gallegos Happle GALLEGOS, VALAREZO &

NIERA Macias Hurtado & Macias

Fernando Redin Notaria Vigesimo Cuarta

Diego Cabezas-Klaere Ortega Moreira & Ortega Truullo

Fernando Coral Panalpina

Jorge Paz Paz Horowitz

Leopoldo González R. *Paz Horowitz* Xavier Sisa

Paz Horowitz Juan Manuel Marchán Pérez, Bustamante y Ponce, member of Lex Mundi

Sandra Reed Pérez, Bustamante y Ponce, member of Lex Mundi

Christof Baer PricewaterhouseCoopers

Luciano Almeida PricewaterhouseCoopers Pablo Aguirre PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rodrigo Jijón Myriam Rosales SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS Y SEGUROS DEL ECUADOR

EGYPT

Ahmed Abdel Warith AAW CONSULTING ENGINEERS Nahed Saad AAW CONSULTING ENGINEERS Badr Abd El Khalek Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Mohamed Elwany Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Mohamed Hussien Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Yasser Yousry Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Tarek El-Marsafawy Adel Kamel & Associates Greiss Youssef Afifi Air Cargo Abdel Aal Aly Afifi World Transport Mostafa Mostafa AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE CAIRO INTERNATIONAL TRADE Co. Zeinab Saieed Gohar Central Bank of Egypt Ashraf Gamal El-Din Egyptian Institute of Directors EL BAHRAIN TOURS Elkarnak for Export & TRADING Elsewedy United Industries

Co. Hassan Fahmy General Authority for Investment "GAFI"

Ibrahim Mustafa Ibrahim Abdel Khalek *GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR*

Investment "GAFI" Mustafa Ali General Authority for Investment "GAFI"

Salama Fares General Authority for Investment "GAFI"

Ahmed Abou Ali Hassouna & Abou Ali Law Firm

Натсо

Ashraf Elibrachy IBRACHY LAW FIRM

Infocast ME

ISLAND GROUP Amr Mohamed Hosny

KARIM ADEL LAW OFFICE

Ibrahim Daker KARIM ADEL LAW OFFICE

Karim Adel Kamel Ghobrial

KARIM ADEL LAW OFFICE Ramez Mounir Abdel-Nour KARIM ADEL LAW OFFICE

Kenouz El Sharek

Keshawy Export & Marketing

Hend Abdel Ghany Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Ingy Rasekh Mena Associates, Member of Amereller

Amr Elmonayer

Rechtsanwälte

MINISTRY OF FINANCE Ashraf El Arabi

Ministry of Finance Hussein Mahmoud Gaafar El

Gebaly Ministry of Housing, Utilities & Urban Development

Mona Zobaa Ministry of Investment

Mohamed El Homosany MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Eman Zakaria Ministry of Manpower & Migration

Emad Hassan Ministry of State for Administrative Development

Modern Agricultural Est.

Ashraf Nadhoury Nadoury & Nahas Law Offices

George Samy NADOURY & NAHAS LAW OFFICES

Intsar Khairy Nadoury & Nahas Law Offices

Mohamed El-Labboudy NADOURY & NAHAS LAW OFFICES

Samir Gharib Office of the Minister of State for Local Development

Port Said Star

Mohamed Fahim PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / MANSOUR & CO.

Sherif Mansour PricewaterhouseCoopers / Mansour & Co.

Girgis Abd El-Shahid Sarwat A. Shahid Law Firm

SARWAT GROUP Mohamed Serry

Serry Law Office

SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Dina Salah

SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Emad El Shalakany Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Mona Zulficar Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Shereen Zaky Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi TEGARA NET Omneia Helmy THE EGYPTIAN CENTER FOR ECONOMIC STUDIES Yaser Gamaluddin Hamam THE EGYPTIAN LAW FIRM Ahmed Ibrahim TROWERS & HAMLINS Tim Armsby TROWERS & HAMLINS UNITED FOR EXPORT

EL SALVADOR

Mónica Guadalupe Pineda Machuca ACZALAW Francisco José Barrientos

AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE Miguel Angel

ALE CARGO S.A. DE C.V. Roberta Gallardo de Cromeyer

ARIAS & MUÑOZ Irene Arrieta de Díaz Nuila

Arrieta Bustamante

Karla Maley Guzmán Martinez Arrieta Bustamante

Lilliam Arrieta Carsana

Arrieta Bustamante

Arrieta Bustamante

Bendek & Asociados

Consortium Centro

América Abogados

Consortium Centro

AMÉRICA ABOGADOS

Porfirio Diaz Fuentes

Maria Martha Delgado Molina

Espino Nieto & Asociados,

MEMBER OF JUS LABORIS

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Francisco Armando Arias

F.A. Arias & Muñoz

Juan Carlos Herrera

F.A. ARIAS & MUÑOZ

GOLD SERVICE / MSI

Gold Service / MSI

Guandique Segovia

Manuel Telles Suvillaga

QUINTANILLA

Lexincorp

Luis Ninez

Ramon Ortega

Adonay Rosales

S.A. DE C.V.

S.A. DE C.V.

S.A. DE C.V.

Ana Patricia Portillo Reyes

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,

Carlos Roberto Alfaro

Mauricio Melhado

Walter Chávez Velasco

Rivera

Pedro Alejandro Mendoza

ESPINO NIETO & ASOCIADOS.

DLM & ASOCIADOS

Monica Hernandez

Giovanni Rosales

Carlos Oviedo

Ricardo Cevallos

Ernesto Hempe PricewaterhouseCoopers, S.A. de C.V.

Laura Duran De Jimenez PROCREDITO - TRANSUNION CENTRAL AMERICA

Antonio R. Mendez Llort Romero Pineda & Asociados, member of Lex Mundi Luis Medina Rusconi, Valdez, Medina &

- Asociados Mario Enrique Sáenz
- Sáenz & Asociados

Thelma Dino Lizama de Osorio SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO

Mauricio Antonio Urrutia Superintendencia del Sistema Financiero

EQUATORIAL GUINEA

Mariam Laine Airfreight Antonio-Pascual Oko Ebobo Attorney-at-Law Francisco Javier Mbe Ngomo ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Honorio Ndong Obama ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Jose-Antonio Lluch Ondo Matoio ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Solvador-Ondo Ncume Oye ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Caroline Idrissou-Belingar BEAC - HEADQUARTERS Benoit Kanyandekme **BK ARCHITECTS SL** Diosdado Nchama C/O MINISTERIO DE MINAS, Industria y Energia Vicente-Nse Ondo-Mitogo Corte Suprema de Justicia Augustin Chicampo Barila DISTRICT JUDGE Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jacques Charevre FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Sébastien Lechêne FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ponciano Mbomio Nvo GABINETE JURIDICO

Heidi Johansen GLOBALTRANS

Jenaro Obuno Ela Ministerio de Hacienda y Presupuesto

Reginaldo Egido Panades MINISTERIO DE JUSTICIA, CULTO E INSTITUCIONES PENITENCIARAS

Pedro Nsue Ela Eyang Notary

Paulino Mbo Obama Oficina de estudieos -ATEG Franck Mamelin PANALPINA TRANSPORTES - MUNDIALES GUINEA ECUATORIAL S. A. R. L.

ERITREA

Akberom Tedla Ataklti H. Mariam Fessahaie Habte ATTORNEY-AT-LAW AND LEGAL CONSULTANT Berhane Gila-Michael BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM Michael Joseph ERNST & YOUNG EAST AFRICA Isac Tesfazion Kebreab Habte Michael Tekeste Mesghenna MTD ENTERPRISES PLC

Tadesse Beraki

Maris Tudre Centre of Registers & INFORMATION SYSTEMS Sirje Rogova Centre of Registers & INFORMATION SYSTEMS Ants Ratas CF & S Agentide AS Andres Juss ESTONIAN LAND BOARD Andres Vinkel HANSA LAW OFFICES Igor Kostjuk Hough, Hübner, Hütt & PARTNERS Indrek Link Hough, Hübner, Hütt & PARTNERS Veiko Meos Krediidiinfo A.S. Aet Bergmann LAW OFFICE LUIGA MODY HÄÄL BORENIUS Jaan Lindmäe LAW OFFICE TARK & CO. Olger Marjak LAW OFFICE TARK & CO. Ermo Kosk Lepik & Luhaäär LAWIN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Maarja Torga LEPIK & LUHAÄÄR LAWIN. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Marko Mehilane LEPIK & LUHAÄÄR LAWIN,

LEPIK & LUHAÄR LAWIN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Rajar Miller LEXTAL LAW OFFICE Karolina Ullman MAQS LAW FIRM Veikko Toomere MAQS LAW FIRM METAPRINT LTD. Aare Kurist PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Villi Töntson

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Cameron Greaves PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Heili Haabu RAIDLA & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Karin Madisson Sorainen Law Offices Statistical Office of

ESTONIA Kilvar Kessler TARK & Co. Risto Hübner TARK & Co. Vesse Võhma

ETHIOPIA

Amsale Tsehaye Amsale Tsehaye & Associates Law Office Bekure Assefa Bekure Assefa Law Office Berhane Ghebray Berhane Ghebray & Associates Nega Getahun CITY ADMINISTRATION OF Addis Ababa Jennifer Gohlke GE FOUNDATION Solomon Gizaw HST & Co. Woldegabriel Naizghi HST & Co. Shimelise Eshete MIDROC CONSTRUCTION PLC Wondimeneh Asrat NATIONAL BANK OF ETHIOPIA Getachew Kebede PACKFORD INTERNATIONAL Andrew Giddings SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP Tadesse Kiros TADESSE, GETACHEW & ABATE LAW OFFICE Teshome G.M. Bokan TGMB LAW OFFICE FIII Ramesh Prasad Lal

CARDENTERS SHIPPING Paul McDonnell **CROMPTONS SOLICITORS** Delores Elliott DATABUREAU, BAYCORP Advantage Litiana Morris Howards Lawyers William Wylie Clarke Howards Lawyers Fapna Vithal LATEEF & LATEEF John Apted Munro Leys Notaries PUBLIC Nehla Basawaiya MUNRO LEYS NOTARIES PUBLIC Richard Naidu Munro Leys Notaries

Shelvin Singh Ракsнотам & Со.

Public

Chirk Yam PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Eddielin Almonte PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jenny Seeto PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Narotam Solanki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

FINLAND

Claudio Busi Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Jenni Hupli Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Lasse Luukkainen Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Pekka Jaatinen Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Sami Rautiainen Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Johanna Haltia-Tapio *Hannes Snellman,* Attorneys-at-Law Ltd.

Patrik Lindfors Hannes Snellman, Attorneys-at-Law Ltd.

Saini Siitarinen Hannes Snellman, Attorneys-at-Law Ltd.

Sarah Tähkälä Hannes Snellman, Attorneys-at-Law Ltd.

Ivrki Mustonen

HEDMAN OSBORNE CLARKE Timo Tammelin

Mega Trend Nordica Oy Mikko Mali

Sakari Kauppinen National Board of Patents & Registration

Tuomas Lukkarinen National Land Survey of Finland

Mikko Reinikainen PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Minna Oksa PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Sannna Väänänen PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Tero Malmivaara PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Tuija Hartikainen PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Ilkka Kajas PricewaterhouseCoopers Oy - SVH

Jetta Hyvärinen-Kari PricewaterhouseCoopers Oy - SVH

Maarit Pokkinen PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS OY - SVH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 175

Risto Löf PricewaterhouseCoopers Oy - SVH

Vesa-Pekka Nuotio PricewaterhouseCoopers Oy - SVH

Bernt Juthstrom Roschier Attorneys Ltd., Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Carita Wallgren Roschier Attorneys Ltd., Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Gunnar Westerlund Roschier Attorneys Ltd., member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Johannes Frände Roschier Attorneys Ltd., member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Manne Airaksinen Roschier Attorneys Ltd., Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Micaela Thorström Roschier Attorneys Ltd., member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Olav Hermanson Roschier Attorneys Ltd., мемвег of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Sini Soini Roschier Attorneys Ltd., member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Tiina Hakri Roschier Attorneys Ltd., Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Wilhelm Guthwert Roschier Attorneys Ltd., Member of Ius Laboris & Lex Mundi

Timo Laukkanen Statistics Finland

Juuso Jokela Suomen Asiakastieto Oy -Finska

Ari Eklund

Transcontainer

Leila Mustanoja Transparency International Suomi -Finland

FRANCE

Alain Moreau Allen & Overy LLP

Andrew Booth ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT Annie Sauve

BANQUE DE FRANCE

Benoit Fauvelet BANOUE DE FRANCE

Sylvie Ghesquiere

BANQUE DE FRANCE

Frédérique Chifflot Bourgeois BAR OF PARIS

Daniel Arthur Laprès CABINET D'AVOCATS

Gerard Kaeufling

Florence Grillier Cabinet TAJ Chambre Syndicale des Importateurs Francais de Fruits et Legumes (CSIF)

Hugues Pouzin Confédération Française du Commerce Interentreprises (CGI)

Raymond Gianno Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP

Frédéric Roussel Fontaine, Roussel & Associés

Bertrand Barrier GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Philippe Xavier-Bender GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ludovic Couturier Institut de Droit International des Transports (IDIT)

Michel Gout Institut de Droit International des Transports (IDIT)

Jacques Pourciel

Marc Jobert Jobert & Associés

Carol Khoury Jones Day Jean-Louis Martin

Jones Day

Nicolas de Germay Kroll Talbot Hughes

Arnaud Chastel Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Aurelie Ange Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jennifer Juvénal Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Laure Poindessault-Bernard Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Renaud Jouffroy Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers

Benoît Le Bars Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Bruno Thomas Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Jean-Philippe Dom Landwell & Associés -PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Olivier Gorand

Xavier-Philippe Gruwez SAINT GEORGES AVOCATS

Ann Creelman Vatier & Associés

Isabelle Smith Monnerville

VAUGHAN AVOCATS Jean Gerard Eveillard

Cabinet Eveillard

GABON

Thierry Ngomo ARCHIPRO INTERNATIONAL Celestin Mba Ndong ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Jean Raymond Zassi-Mikala AvocAT à LA COUR Itchola Mano Alade AvocATE Ntoutoume Lubin AvocATE

Caroline Idrissou-Belingar BEAC - HEADQUARTERS

François Nguema Ebane CABINET ATELIER 5A

Y.A. Adetona *CABINET FIDEXCE S. A. R. L.* Ruben Mindonga

CABINET ME ANGUILER Josette Olendo

CABINET OLENDO Cherif Agbanrin

César Apollinaire Ondo Mve Cour d'Appel Judiciaire de Libreville

Charles Adenet FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Pélagie Massamba Mouckocko FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Christophe A Relongoue FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Hugues Boguikouma Marcel Owono

Marie Clarisse Avore Bekale

Mirielle Moundakou Mapicka

Olivier N'zahou Francois Coron

Panalpina S.A.

Gianni Ardizzone Panalpina S.A.

Pierre-flavien Mendoume-Ba-N'nanng

Reine Sylvie Tsonga Roland Matsiendi

Zita Eurydice Ndogui

GAMBIA

Amie Bensouda Amie Bensouda & Co. Victoria Andrews

Аміе Bensouda & Co. Emmanuel E. Chime

Chime Chambers

Alpha Amadou Barry Deloitte, member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Cherno Alieu Jallow Deloitte, member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Jatta Lamin Deloitte, member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Joseph Sarre Gambia Architectural and Planning Consultants Hawa Sisay-Sabally HAWA SISAY-SABALLY Ida Denise Drameh IDA D. DRAMEH & ASSOCIATES Amie Joof-Conteh KUNNI BOY CHAMBERS Mary Abdoulie Samba-Christensen LEGAL PRACTITIONER Rodolphe K. Akoto MAERSK LTD. Darcy White **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Gideon Ayi-owoo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Thomas Murega PricewaterhouseCoopers Soul Joof Pa MM Njie TRUST BANK LTD.

GEORGIA

Aieti Kukava Alliance Group Holding David Giorgadze Association for Protection of Landowners Rights (APLR) Avto Namicheishvili Begiashvili & Co. Giorgi Begiashvili Begiashvili & Co. Jaba Mamulashvili Begiashvili & Co. Zaza Bibilashvili BGI Legal Booz Allen Hamilton

Joseph Salukvadze Cadastre and Land Register Project

Sandro Amashukeli *Chemonics*

Maka Khitsishvili D & G Holding Ltd.

Avto Svadnize DLA PIPER GVINADZE & PARTNERS LP Ted Jonas

DLA PIPER GVINADZE & PARTNERS LP

David Kakabadze Georgian Legal Partnership

Gia Kurtskalia

Vazha Chopikashvili IPRSC Immovable Property Registration Service Center

Ivan Khokhlov

Mariam Gabunia Merab Barbakadze

Natalia Babakishvili Mgaloblishvili, Kipiani, Dzidziguri (MKD) Law Firm

Lika Chanturia National Agency of Public Registry Bela Gutidze

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Courtney Fowler PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Matthew Tallarovic PricewaterhouseCoopers Sergi Kobakhidze PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS David Arsenashvili TBILISI TITLE COMPANY LTD. Lela Shatirishvili TBILISI TITLE COMPANY LTD. Levan Gotua The Office of the State MINISTER ON REFORMS COORDINATION D. Lelashvili USAID BUSINESS CLIMATE REFORM PROJECT Olin McGill USAID BUSINESS CLIMATE

REFORM PROJECT Tato Urjumelashvili USAID BUSINESS CLIMATE REFORM PROJECT Temur Bolotashvili USAID BUSINESS CLIMATE

REFORM PROJECT Vakhtang Lejava

GERMANY

Allen & Overy LLP Werner M. Mues

C· B· H Rechtsanwälte Christoph Schauenburg Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Daniel Panajotow Cleary Gottlieb Steen &

HAMILTON LLP Frank Lohrmann Cleary Gottlieb Steen &

HAMILTON LLP Gabriele Apfelbacher

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Hanno Sperlich Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Klaus Riehmer Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Peter Polke Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Robert Gutte Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Tobias Tillmann

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Werner Meier Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Wiebke Holzapfel Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Malte Hansen CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Björn Gaul CMS HASCHE SIGLE Dieter Straub CMS HASCHE SIGLE Gerlind Wisskirchen CMS HASCHE SIGLE Manfred Heinrich *Deutsche Bundesbank* Andre Jahn

Dr. Hök Stieglmeier & Partner

Götz-Sebastian Hök Dr. Hök Stieglmeier & Partner

Jennifer Bierly Gassner Stockmann & Kollegen

Werner Geue

G-Loxx Friedrich Tobias Schoene Hogan & Hartson LLP

Klaus Günther Linklaters Oppenhoff & Rädler

Silvanne Helle LINKLATERS OPPENHOFF & RÄDLER Christian Pelz

Nörr Stiefenhofer Lutz, member of Lex Mundi

Nörr Stiefenhofer Lutz.

Notare Dr. Limmer & Dr.

NOTARE ERBER-FALLER UND

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Annekatren Werthmann-

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Cornelia Marquardt

Klaus Berner

NORTON ROSE

NORTON ROSE

Peter Limmer

Friederich

Dieter Endres

Dirk Baumgardt

Pia Dorfmueller

Andreas Eckhardt

Legal Services

Carsten Witter

LEGAL SERVICES

LEGAL SERVICES

LEGAL SERVICES

Isabella Niklas

LEGAL SERVICES

LEGAL SERVICES

LEGAL SERVICES

Malte Passarge

LEGAL SERVICES

Kai Christian Jaenecke

Kai Bandilla

Dirk Stiller

Christoph Torwegge

Feldhues

VORAN

AG

AG

AG

Sigrun Erber-Faller

Dirk Otto

Oliver Kunert PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Wulf Bach

SCHUFA

Holger Thomas SI BERWIN LLP

Wilhelm Zeddies SURVEYING AUTHORITIES -

ADV c/o LGN Henning Berger

White & Case Wimexas Ltd.

WIMEAAS LID

GHANA

Kojo Bentsi-Enchill Bentsi-Enchill & Letsa, Member of Lex Mundi

Rosa Kudoadzi Bentsi-Enchill & Letsa, member of Lex Mundi

Reginald Bannerman Bruce-Lyle Bannerman & Thompson

Dorothy Kingsley Nyinah Commercial Division, High Court

Eric Wiafe Dony Engineering

Kwasi Poku Dony Engineering

Morgan Asiedu EcoBank - Ghana

Adwoah Asamoah Addo FUGAR & Co.

Seth Agyapong-Mensah FUGAR & Co.

William Edem Fugar *Fugar & Co.*

Fredrick Koranteng Ohene GHANA AUDIT SERVICE

John Robert Jenkins Golden Jubilee Terminal

Kingsford Otoo Golden Jubilee Terminal

Benjamin H & G Architects and Consultants

Alfred Walter Addy H & G Architects and Consultants

C.N.K Boateng H & G Architects and Consultants

David A. Hesse Hesse & Hesse

Wilfred Anim-Odame Land Valuation Board

Kenneth D. Laryea Laryea, Laryea & Co. P. C.

Larry Adjetey Law Trust Company

Lackson Agbeko Legah Logistics @ Legacy Ltd.

Woodsworth Odame Larbi Ministry of Lands, Forestry & Mines

N. O. Odotei

Darcy White *PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS* Gideon Ayi-owoo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Kelvin Abdallah PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Thomas Murega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Robert Agbozo REINDORF CHAMBERS Adwoa Yarney SAAH & CO. Cynthia Jumu SAAH & CO. Jacob Saah

SAAH & Co. Nene Amegatcher SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES Sam Okudzeto SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES Emmanuel Dorsu TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPT

GREECE

Constantinos Klissouras Anagnostopoulos Bazinas FIFIS Georgios B. Bazinas Anagnostopoulos Bazinas FIFIS Panos Koromantzos BAHAS, GRAMATIDIS & PARTNERS Charalampos Skordakis Margarita Flerianou ECONOMOU INTERNATIONAL Shipping Agencies Dimitris E. Paraskevas ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933 Antonios Tsavdaridis IKRP Rokas & Partners Dimitra Rachouti IKRP Rokas & Partners Evi Martinovits IKRP Rokas & Partners Veronica Deleti KARATZAS & PARTNERS Andreas Bagias Kelemenis & Co. Athanassia Papantoniou KELEMENIS & CO Polykarpos Adamidis Kelemenis & Co. Yannis Kelemenis Kelemenis & Co. Dimitrios Kremalis Kremalis Law Firm, member OF IUS LABORIS Chryssiis Poulakou Kyriakides Georgopoulos & DANIOLOS ISSAIAS, MEMBER OF SEE Legal Effie G. Mitsopoulou KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPOULOS & Daniolos Issaias, member OF SEE LEGAL Ioanna Argyraki KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPOULOS & Daniolos Issaias, member OF SEE LEGAL

OF SEE LEGAL Vicky Xourafa KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPOULOS & Daniolos Issaias, member OF SEE LEGAL Antigoni Tsirou M & P BERNITSAS LAW OFFICES Christina Vlachtsis M & P Bernitsas Law OFFICES Katia Papantonopoulou M & P Bernitsas Law Offices Yannis Kourniotis M & P Bernitsas Law OFFICES M. B. D. A. (MEDITERRANEAN BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY) Alexandros Sakipis PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Antigoni Gkarla PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Freddy Yatracou PricewaterhouseCoopers Mary Psylla **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Olga Koromilia PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Stella Danelaki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Vassiliki Tsigarida PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Ennie Dodou SARANTITIS LAW FIRM Theodora D. Karagiorgou T.I.KOUTALIDIS LAW OFFICE Helen Dikonimaki Teiresias S. A. Interbanking INFORMATION SYSTEMS Nicholas Kontizas ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS. MEMBER OF LEX MUND Vassiliki G. Lazarakou ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI **GRENADA** C/O SUPREME COURT Registry Dickon Mitchell GRANT JOSEPTH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Niel Noel Henry Hudson - Phillips & Co. Thaddus Charles INLAND REVENUE Department Andrew DeBourg LABOUR DEPARTMENT Cyrus Griffith LABOUR DEPARTMENT Trevor St. Bernard Lewis & Renwick Claudia Francis PricewaterhouseCoopers

Leonidas Georgopoulos

KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPOULOS

& Daniolos Issaias, member

Yvonne Gellineau PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS David Sinclaire SINCLAIR ENTERPRISES LTD. Phinsley St. Louis ST. LOUIS SERVICE Roselyn Wilkinson WILKINSON, WILKINSON & WILKINSON

GUATEMALA

Ruby María Asturias Castillo ACZALAW

Juan Gilberto Ortega Cifuentes AGENCIA DE ADUANAS LOGISTICS

Luis Enrique Gaytán Menéndez *AGENCIA DE ADUANAS*

LOGISTICS Juan Carlos Castillo Chacón Aguilar Castillo Love

Agustín Buezo Arrow Cargo

Julio Artemio Juárez Moran Asociación de Transportistas Internacionales (ATI)

Maria del Pilar Bonilla Bonilla, Montano, Toriello & Barrios

Guillermo Lopez-Cordero BUFETE LOPEZ CORDERO

Enrique Maldonado Cámara Guatemalteca de La Construcción

Marco Tulio Reyna Cámara Guatemalteca de La Construcción

Paola van der Beek de Andrino

Cámara Guatemalteca de la Construcción Alfonso Carrillo

CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS Isabel Coma Martin de

Samayoa

CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS Rodrigo Callejas Aquino

CARRILLO & ÁSOCIADOS Carlos Neftali Mendez

Ramirez Central Almacenadores

S.A. Juan Francisco Toruño

Rodriguez Consolidados 807 S.A.

Karla de Mata CPS Logistics

Marco Antonio Martinez CPS Logistics

Allan F. Unfried DHL

Mario Adolfo Búcaro Flores Díaz - DURAN & ASOCIADOS Jorge Mario Mendoza Sandoval

FeDEx Jaime Godinez

INTERCAPITALES, S.A.

Ana Alfaro Mayora & Mayora, member of Lex Mundi

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 177

Sandra Castillo MAYORA & MAYORA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Michael Daniels Toriello

Luis Pedro Cazali Leal PALACIOS & ASOCIADOS

Roberto Ozaeta Pricewaterhouse Coopers Legal Services

Alejandro Fernandez de Castro

PricewaterhouseCoopers Edgar Mendoza

PricewaterhouseCoopers Erik Cov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Samuel Elías

PricewaterhouseCoopers Walter Saldana

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ramon Ortega

PricewaterhouseCoopers Iosé Cerezo

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Rodolfo Fuentes Protectora de Crèdito Comercial

Arabella Castro Quiñones Registradora General de La Propiedad

Ricardo Lopez Reina

Alfredo Rodríguez Mahuad Rodriguez, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C. -Consortium legal-

Elias Arriaza Rodriguez, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C. -Consortium legal-

Jorge Martínez Sanche Rodriguez, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C. -Consortium legal-

Mario R. Archila Rodriguez, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C. -Consortium legal-

Juan Jegerlehner Saravia & Muñoz

María de los Angeles Barillas Buchhalter SARAVIA & MUÑOZ

Salvador A. Saravia Castillo Saravia & Muñoz

José Rubén Castaneda Romero Servicios Urgentes S.A.

Ana Lucía Alvarado Kepfler Solosa - UPS Express

Andres Porras Castillo TRANSUNION GUATEMALA, S.A.

Estuardo Enrique Echeverria Nova

Unidad de CDR y Control de la Calidad, Superintendencia de Bancos

GUINEA

Ahmadou Diallo Oumar Dabo *ARCHI*

Bajirt Berete BANQUE CENTRALE - B.C.R.G. Cheick Mohamed Tidjane Sylla BANQUE CENTRALE - B.C.R.G. Ibrahima Sory Sow

BANQUE CENTRALE - B.C.R.G. Alpha Bakar Barry Cabinet Karamoko Alpha Barry

Raffi Raja *CABINET KOÛMY* Alpha Camara

CABINET OUSMANE CAMARA Christian Sow

CABINET SOW Lansana Kaba CARID

EcoBank - Guinea

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Famourou Kourouma

Hyperdynamics Corp Mountaga Sylla

IFES Djibril Kouyate

JURIFIS CONSULT GUINEE

Ibrahima Diakite LandNet

Yansane Soumah MANQUAPAS

Guy Piam NIMBA CONSEIL

Raoul Mouthe NIMBA CONSEIL

Office de Promotion des Investissements Privés

Alpha Sampil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Mohamed Lahlou PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Safiatou Kalissa PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Boubacar Barry SCP d'Avocats "Jurifis Consult Guinee"

Mohamed Camara SOCOPAO

Olivier Buquet SOCOPAO

Aliou Barry STATView International Gerard Coker UNDP

GUINEA-BISSAU

Adelaida Mesa D'Almeida Attorney-at-Law Miguel Mango Audi - Conta Lda Charles Ki-Zerbo

BCEAO Jaló Pires

MINISTERIO DA JUSTICA Octavio Gomez

Octávio Lopes Advogados -Miranda Alliance Octávio Lopes

Octávio Lopes Advogados -Miranda Alliance João Daniel Vaz Jr. *TransVaz, Lda*

GUYANA

Cliffton Mortimer Llewelyn John Attorney-at-Law

Mark Waldron Attorney-At-Law Roger Yearwood Britton, HAMILTON & ADAMS

Josephine Whitehead Cameron & Shepherd

Germene Stewart CENTRAL HOUSING & PLANNING AUTHORITY

Raphael Trotman *Chapman & Trotman* Colin Murray *Coastal Construction*

Services Desmond Correia Correia & Correia Ltd. Carol Paul

Deeds registry, Law Courts Garry Ramlochan

Godwin F. McPherson K.A. Juman-Yessi *GUYANA OLYMPIC*

Association C.A. Nigel Hughes Hughes, Fields & Stoby Harinarine Singh INTEGRITY COMMISSION

Chris Fernandes

John Fernandes Ltd. Juman Yessi

Kashir Khan Ashton Chase

Law office of Ashton Chase William Sampson

Lincoln Chambers & Associates

Leslie Sobers Lucia Loretta Desir

Edward Luckhoo Lucкноо & Lucкноо

Gidel Thomside National Shipping Corporation Ltd.

Gary Holder Orin Hinds & Asscolates Arch. Ltd.

Christopher Ram *Raм & McRae*

Rakesh Latchana Raм & McRae

Troy Williams RAM & MCRAE

Narendra Singh

REGISTRAR Nigel Niles Robin M.S. Stoby, S.C.

Robin Stoby Robin M.S. Stoby, S.C.

R.N. Poonai Rexford Jackson Singh, Doodnauth Law Firm

HAITI

Marc Kinson Antoine A.I. Shipping International Hamelkart Atava ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL Leon Saint -Louis AVOCAT Margarette Sanon BANOUE DE LA REPUBLIQUE D'HAITI Jean Erick Alexis Banque de la République D'HAITI Marc Hebert Ignace BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI Robinson Charles BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI Adele Decastro BROWN LEGAL GROUP Gemma Anglade BROWN LEGAL GROUP Jean Baptiste Brown BROWN LEGAL GROUP Steve Christian Brown BROWN LEGAL GROUP Christian De Lespinasse CABINET DE LESPINASSE Jean Gerard Eveillard Cabinet Eveillard Enerlio Gassant CABINET GASSANT Eneland Iabouin CABINET IABOUIN REGIS Descardes Robert Laforest CABINET LAFOREST Louis Gary Lissade CABINET LISSADE Salim Succar CABINET LISSADE Claudette Belfont CABINET PIERRE DELVA Jean Frederic Sales CABINET SALES Pierre Brierre COMPTABLE Diggan d'Adeskv D'Adesky Import Export S.A. Emile Giordani Garry Lhérisson Gilbert Giordani Raoul Celestin

Les Entreprises Commerciales Joseph Nadal S.A. Martine Chevalier

Joseph Palliant ORDRE DES COMPTABLES PROFESSIONELS AGREES D'HAITI Edmonde Suplice Beauzile PARLIAMENT

Youri Latortue Parliament Paul Emile Simon Rigaud Duplan Amos Durosier *ULLC* Kareen T. Laplanche *UN HABITAT* Jean Vandal VANDAL & VANDAL

HONDURAS

Adolfo Pineda Padilla

Enrique Rodriguez Burchard *AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE* Dino Rietti

ARQUITECNIC Carmen Chevez

Comision Nacional de Bancos y Seguros Janeth Castañeda

Cropa Panalpina Tegucigalpa

Jose Conrado Osorio McCormick *GRUPO McCOS* Juan Carlos Meiía Cotto

Instituto de La Propiedad

J.R. PAZ & ASOCIADOS José Rafael Rivera Ferrari

Allan Elvir *Lexincorp*

Maria del Carmen Jovel PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ramón E. Morales

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ramon Ortega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Marysabel Maldonado PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Legal Services Milton Rivera

PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Victor Garcia PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Ramon Discua

Stephen Daniels

Roberto Manuel Zacarías Urrutia ZACARÍAS & ASOCIADOS

HONG KONG, CHINA

Simon Smith ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Tommy Li BURKE, FUNG & LI SOLICITORS Andrew Dale COUDERT BROTHERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE Billy Wong COUDERT BROTHERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE David Lawrence DEACONS

Excellence International

Philip Smart Faculty of Law -University of Hong Kong

Roderick John Sutton Ferrier Hodgson Limited

David Hooi Financial Secretary's Office

Matthew Mui FINANCIAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE

Garment

Richard Tollan Johnson Stokes & Master, мемвег оf Lex Mundi

Patrick Fontaine

Martinal Quan Metopro Associates Limited

Leonard Ng Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Mimi Sze Orrick, Herrington &

SUTCLIFFE LLP Agatha Chan

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rod Houng-Lee PricewaterhouseCoopers

Raymond Wong PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Raymond Wong Johnson, Stokes & Master, Member of Lex Mundi Rich Tiem Enterprise Ltd

Bertrand Gruez

Thomas Duplan

William Chong

Chi May Kwok

Nicholas Chan

Temple Chambers

Stephen Briscoe

Albert P.C. Chan

The Hong Kong

Alex Chan

Kong

POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

Lawrence Tsong Tsong

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

Bárd, Cseri & Partners

TRANSUNION LTD.

Charles D. Booth

Keith Man Kei Ho

HUNGARY

Zsuzsanna Cseri

LAW FIRM

WILKINSON & GRIST

The Land Registry of Hong

Sara Tong

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey

SDV Ltd.

Simon Kai

SDV LTD.

SDV LTD.

SDV Ltd.

György Fehér Bellák & Partners Law Office, member of Ius Laboris

Judit Nagy Bellák & Partners Law Office, member of Ius Laboris

Zoltán Gvenge Bellák & Partners Law Office, member of Ius Laboris

Beringer Allen & Overy Iroda

Pethő Ádám BISZ Central Credit Information Ltd.

Anna Gáspár Build-Econ Ltd.

Tamás Saád Build-Econ Ltd.

Zoltan Krausz BUILD-ECON LTD

Judit Bókai Dr Bókai Notary Office Ádám Tóth

Dr. Tóth & Dr. Gáspár Közjegyzői Iroda Mark Balastvai

FUTUREAL HOLDING CO.

Péter Bárdos

Law Firm Dr. Péter and Rita Bárdos Rita Bárdos

Law Firm Dr. Péter and Rita Bárdos

Csaba Pigler NAGY ÉS TRÓCSÁNYI LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Péter Berethalmi Nagy és Trócsányi Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Viktória Szilágyi Nagy és Trócsányi Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Christopher Noblet Partos & Noblet Lovells

Andrea Kocziha PricewaterhouseCoopers KFT

Dora Mathe *PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KFT* Gabriella Erdos

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KFT Norbert Izer

PricewaterhouseCoopers Kft

Russell Lambert PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KFT

Tibor Torok PricewaterhouseCoopers Kft

Dóra Horváth Réti, Antall & Madl Landwell, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Gabor Eisenbacher Réti, Antall & MADI LANDWELL PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services László Réti Réti, Antall & MADL LANDWELL, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services László Szűcs Réti, Antall & MADL LANDWELL, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Péter Mezei Réti, Antall & Madl Landwell, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Zoltán Várszegi RÉTL ANTALL & MADL LANDWELL, PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Ágnes Szent-Ivány Sándor Szegedi Szent-Ivány & Komáromi Szarvasi Altalanos Informatikai KFT András Szecskav SZECSKAY - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Hedi Bozsonyik SZECSKAY - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Sándor Németh Szecskay - Attorneys-At-IAW Vera Várkonyi **ICELAND** BBA LEGAL Evvindur Sólnes Skuli Th. Fjeldsted Fjeldsted, Blöndal & FIELDSTED Fridgeir Sigurdsson Sigrun Helga Johannsdottir Fulltingi Legal Services BergÞór Þormóðsson ISTAK Erlingur E. Jónasson ISTAK Ragna Matthíasdóttir ISTAK Johanna A. Jonsdottir Kristján Pálsson Jónar Transport Revnir Haraldsson Jónar Transport Edda Andradóttir IURIS LAW OFFICE Jóhannes R. Jóhannsson IURIS LAW OFFICE Stefán A. Svensson JURIS LAW OFFICE Björg Arnardóttir LÁNSTRAUST LTD/ CREDITINFO GROUP Erlendur Gíslason

LOGOS, member of Lex Mundi

HF. Ásta Kristjánsdóttir PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Elísabet Guðbjörnsdóttir PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Steinthor Haraldsson Ríkisskattstióri Vala Valtýsdóttir TAXIS ATTORNEYS Margrét Hauksdóttir THE LAND REGISTRY OF ICELAND **INDIA** 3 GLOBAL SERVICES A - 1 Electricals A K Exports AAR PEE INDUSTRIES ADWORKS AJAY ENTERPRISES Ally Exim Amarchand & Mangaldas & Suresh A. Shroff & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Amees Trading & Services PVT. LTD. Amman Entrprises Am-Tech Engineering Services ANANT INDUSTRIES ANUI WOOLLENS PVT. LTD. Arcata Trade-Links Pvt. LTD. ASIATIC COLOURCHEM INDUSTRIES LTD. B&G Combines BABY BOOM BARTRONICS INDIA LTD. BASUNDHARA OVERSEAS BHASIN INTERNATIONAL BLUE BLENDS INDIA LTD. BOHRA RUBBER PVT. LTD. BOKSI INTERNATIONAL CANAR EXPORTS Harminder Chawla CHAWLA & CO. Neti Paul Chawla & Co. Rudra Srivastava Chawla & Co. CHONAR ENTERPRISES CLORIWAT WATER PURIFIER PVT. LTD. Raiendranath Bharati CONCORDE ZOOM Harshala Chandorkar Credit Information Bureau LTD. DAKSHIN KREATIONS PVT. Derika International

Gunnar Sturluson

Elin Arnadottir

MUNDI

LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Vijay G. Kalantri DIGHI PORT LTD. Doss Traders Anand Nivas Dragon Express Freight PVT. LTD. Vijavadas Saji DRAGON EXPRESS FREIGHT PVT. LTD. Eastern Medikit Ltd. Elam Stones Emerald International ESHYL SERVICES Excel Enterprises Vinod T Expeditors International PVT. LTD. Ferrocrafts Flex Industries Ltd. Ajay Khatlawala Fox Mandal Anuja Trivedi Fox Mandal Radhika Sankaran Fox Mandal Santanu Rov Fox Mandal Shrevas Patel Fox Mandal Siddharth Seshan Fox Mandal Som Mandal Fox Mandal G.P. Systems GAFOOR EXPORTS GAMZEN PLAST PVT. LTD. Ganapati Udyog Gayatri International TRADING CO. Gayatri Polymers & GEOSYNTHETICS GFIVE IMPEX GNA UDYOG LTD GRORICH HORIVERT PVT. LTD. HARSH IMPEX INDUSINOIMPEX Abhishek Saket INFINI JURIDIQUE Aparna Tripathy INFINI IURIDIOUE Manish Madhukar Infini Juridique INNOVATIVE ECO-CARE PVT. I.TD I. I. EXPORTS Nitin Potdar J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, Advocates & Solicitors Dheep Kumar JBS Shipping & Marine CONSULTANTS IKG SERVICES Iohn Fabrix

Anuradha Sharma Kachwaha & Partners Sumeet Kachwaha Kachwaha & Partners ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 179 Vasanth Rajasekaran KACHWAHA & PARTNERS Kalki International C.V. Ganesh Karthik Diesel Sales & Service Koshy G. George Karthik Diesel Sales & SERVICE Kavjess Export Import TRADING COMPANY Aashii Yaday KESAR DASS B & ASSOCIATES Sumant Batra Kesar Dass B & Associates Nitin Sharma Kesar Dass B. & Associates Khyati Enterprises KISSAN IRON WORKS KNIGHT TRADING CO. Mukesh Kumar KNM & Partners, Law OFFICES Vijav Nair KNM & PARTNERS, LAW OFFICES Vipender Mann KNM & PARTNERS, LAW OFFICES KUNAL EXPORTS LAXMI COMPUGRAPHICS PVT. Ltd. LEELARAM EXPORTS PVT. LTD. Ramesh K.K. LEEMIK LOGISTICS PVT LTD Shrishail Kittad LEGASIS SERVICES PVT. LTD. Suhas Tuljapurkar LEGASIS SERVICES PVT. LTD. LEO CIRCUIT BOARDS PVT. LTD. Mangesh Jadhav LG ELECTRONICS I P LTD. Dara Mehta LITTLE & CO. Rajas Kasbekar LITTLE & CO. M/s Angel Creations Inc. M/s GLOBE EXPORTS M/s P.C. Merchandise M/s RAHUL CRAFTS M/s Regency Exports M/s Sushrut Molds & Dies M/s Vishal Woollen Mills M/s VIVY FASHIONS M/s Weldone Textiles MAERSK PVT. LTD. MAGNUS SPERANZA Malani Gems & Jewels MANGAL EXPORTS MARIAN MARKETING MAZTECH INTERNATIONAL Mekatronics Products PVT. LTD. Mesha Paradise

Satish Murti Murti & Murti Interlational Law Firm

NAREN ELECTRONICS AND SERVICES

Neo Multimedian Nevitad Distillers Pvt. Ltd

New Fibre Glass Industries Newrama

NINE INTERNATIONAL

Oasis Enterprises Murli Nagrani

Pan Liner Clearing & Forwarding Agency

Paragon Industries Param Overseas

Parveen Kumar Parnami Overseas Logistics Pvt. Ltd.

Partnership

Patsons India

PONMANI KNITTING CO.

Portoworld

Preeti Woollens

Premaa Textiles Amit Agarwal

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Nityanand Gupta PricewaterhouseCoopers

R. Muralidharan PricewaterhouseCoopers

Rahul Garg PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ravi Prakash PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Richa Agarwal PricewaterhouseCoopers

Rohini Aggarawal PricewaterhouseCoopers

Sandhya Vishal PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jawahar Kothari

Profound Outsourcing Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

Vir Gandhi Profound Outsourcing Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

PROMAX CORPORATION

QG Exports

R. K. Industries

RAHUL EXPORTS

Raj Gharana Gems

Raja Exports Reliable Textile

Reliable Trans & Impex Services Pvt. Ltd.

RSN Consultancy & Exports

SAALVI AGRO MUSHROOMS SARIT EXPORTS CONSORTIUM

SAURASHTRA SOLID INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD.

Seven Stars Sanspareil

Oommen George SGSL Shipping & Logistics Pvt. Ltd.

Shachi Engineering Pvt. LTD. SHREE MATHA EXPORTS Shri Abinaya Mercantiles PVT LTD Shri Ramdev Exports Shri Sai Exports Dipak Rao SINGHANIA & PARTNERS, Solicitors & Advocates Manju Mohotra Singhania & Partners, Solicitors & Advocates Ravinder Singhania Singhania & Partners, Solicitors & Advocates SMGL CORPORATION Soundharya Enterprises Space Granite and Marbles Anant Jhunjhunwala SPACE INTERNATIONAL Spark Peripheral Spartan Trends Inc. SRINARAYANAS GARMENTS PVT. LTD. SSGRANDSON GROUP CO. SSKS Infotech Sukirti Overseas SURAL ENTERPRISES T.S. CLASSIQUE Textile Machinery Mfg Co. TMG AGRO SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD Anand Prasad Trilegal Kaviraj Singh TRUSTMAN & CO. Tulja International UNIWORLD TRADING CO. VAIBRANT BIOFUELS Balanchandran I VARUNAPRIYA Vetri International Vinay Gupta VINAY K GUPTA & CO.

VSS Associates Zedd Trade

Zeebra Hosieries Zeus Exim

INDONESIA

Andre Panggabean ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Ayik Gunadi ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Ernst G. Tehuteru ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO,

REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Ferry P. Madian

Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi Hamud M. Balfas Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Indra Setiawan Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Kevin Omar Sidharta Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Nafis Adwani Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Bakrie Niaga Tehnik

Bank of Indonesia Hartono Parbudi

Biro Kredit Ahmad Fadli Brigitta I. Rahayoe &

SYAMSUDDIN Brigitta I. Rahayoe BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE &

SYAMSUDDIN Rick Beckmann

Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Syamsuddin

Buana Elektronik

CV. Javanusa Furni Elha Interior

FORTUNE ENTERPRISE

Alexander Nainggolan Надгомі & Partners

Iqbal Hadromi

Hadromi & Partners Andre Rahadian Напағіан Ролддаwa &

PARTNERS Fabian Buddy Pascoal

Hanafiah Ponggawa & Partners

Iswahjudi A. Karim KarimSyah Law Firm

Karen Mills KarımSyah Law Fırm

Gatot Sanyoto *Kusnandar & Co*.

Winita E. Kusnandar Kusnandar & Co.

Galinar Kartakusuma *Makarım & Taıra S*.

Jenny Budiman *Макагім & Таіга S*.

Rika Hardaini *Макагім & Таіга S*.

MINE AND HERS INTERNATIONAL

Almer Apon PT Buana Mas Citra Lestari

PT Karya Mulia

PT Nominasi Chandra Wisata

Ray Headifen PT Prima Wahana Caraka / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Robertus Winarto PT Prima Wahana Caraka / PricewaterhouseCoopers Yuni Triuningsih PT Prima Wahana Caraka / PricewaterhouseCoopers Mozaffar Mohammadian

TRANSPORT

Ahmad Al Jannabi

Husam Addin Hatim

AL FADHAA CO LTD

Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Florian Amereller

Farquad Al-Salman

Mena Associates

Hadeel Salih Abboud

Hussein A. H. Damirji

THE NEW IRAO LAW FIRM

Arthur Cox, member of Lex

Mena Associates

IRELAND

Niav Ohiggins

Mundi

John Doyle

DILLON EUSTACE

Niamh Loughran

DILLON EUSTACE

EUGENE F. COLLINS

EUGENE F. COLLINS

Eugene F. Collins

Irish Credit Bureau

IRISH INTERNATIONAL

Freight Association

PricewaterhouseCoopers

LK Shields Solicitors,

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

LK Shields Solicitors.

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Mason Hayes & Curran

Barry O'Neill

Gavin Doherty

Solicitors

Solicitors

Solicitors

Sinead Power

Colm Walsh

Garrett Breen

LEGAL SERVICES

Alan Browning

Eoin Cunneen

Fiona Thornton

Gillian Dully

Ian Lavelle

Ionathan Cullen

Richard Curran

Judith Riordan

Landwell,

Gavin Simons

Hadeel Al Janabi

Al-Janabi

F. H. Al-Salman & Co.

Ahmed Abboud Al-Janabi

Stephan Jäger

IRAQ

TEEMA BAR INTERNATIONAL

PT Ruby Privatindo

PT Темро Inti Media Твк. Arfidea Dwi Saraswati

SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants Darrell R. Johnson

SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants

Ira A. Eddymurthy SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants

Tagaya Florindo International

Bambang Soelaksono The SMERU Research INSTITUTE Gatot Triprasetio WIDYAWAN & PARTNERS

Yicheng International

IRAN

Behzad Feizi Agahan & Co. Alvand Sayan International Trading Co, Ltd. Babak Namazi Atieh Associates Faraz Naji

Atieh Associates Parisa Mazaheri

Atieh Associates Atieh International Transport Co.

Jamal Seifi Dr. JAMAL SEIFI &

Associates Mir Shahbiz Shafee

Dr. Jamal SEIFI & Associates FGR Co.

Reza Askari Foreign Legal Affairs

Group

Behrooz Akhlaghi International Law Office of Dr.Behrooz Akhlaghi & Associates

Akbar Hendizadeh IRAN COUNSELORS Peiman Eshtehardi

IRAN COUNSELORS

Rouzbeh Hazrati Iran Counselors KTSCO

MBSR Law Office

Nejat Steel Co.

Nader Sheybani

Cyrus Shafizadeh

Mostafa Shahabi

ΤΑνΑΚΟΙΙ & SHAHABI

ΤΑνακοιι & Shahabi

Mahmoud Ebadi Tabrizi

M. Еваді Тавгігі & Associates Yahya Rayegani

SHEYBANI & ASSOCIATES

CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER CORP. Tamotsu Hatasawa HATASAWA & WAKAI LAW

Health Food

Firm

HEARTLAND COMPANY LTD.

Toshio Taikoji KAIIMA CORPORATION Soichiro Fujiwara Mori Hamada &

MATSUMOTO Tohru Motobayashi

Mori Hamada & ΜΑΤΣυΜΟΤΟ Kenji Utsumi

. Nagashima Ohno & TSUNEMATSU

Eri Sugihara NISHIMURA & PARTNERS

Kazuhiro Yanagida

NISHIMURA & PARTNERS

Michihiro Mori NISHIMURA & PARTNERS

Takafumi Nihei NISHIMURA & PARTNERS

Takanobu Takehara NISHIMURA & PARTNERS

Yutaro Kawabata

NISHIMURA & PARTNERS Susumi Kawaguchi

OBAYASHI CORPORATION Kosei Watanabe

O'MELVENY & MYERS **GAIKOKUHO KYODOJIGYO** Horitsuiimusho

Azniv Ksachikyan Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Laurent Develle Orrick, Herrington & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Naomasa Nakagawa ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Shuhei Tsudo Orrick, Herrington & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Nobuaki Matsuoka Osaka International Law OFFICES

Hirovuki Suzuki PricewaterhouseCoopers / Zeirishi-Hoiin ChuoAoyama

Kotaku Kimu PricewaterhouseCoopers / Zeirishi-Hojin ChuoAoyama

Shigeru Hasegawa PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / Zeirishi-Hojin ChuoAoyama

Tokyo Trading Co. Ltd. Kenichi Kojima

Ushijima & Partners

IORDAN

Maher Melhem Abu-Ghazaleh PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING (AGPC)

Mohammed Quttaineh Abu-Ghazaleh Professional Consulting (AGPC)

Giovanni Izzo Abbatescianni Studio Legale e Tributario Alessandro Caridi Gennaro Cassiani Architect Carlo Bruno Ashurst Paola Flora ASHURST Vincenzo Giannantonio ASHURST Maria Pia Ascenzo BANK OF ITALY Bill Harrison-Cripps Fabrizio Colonna Camozzi Bonissoni VARRENTI & ASSOCIATI Marco Ettorre Camozzi Bonissoni Varrenti & Associati Pier Andrea Fré Torelli Massini Carabba & Partners Alessandra Palladini Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Alessandro Pellegrini Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Benedetta Vannini Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Claudio Di Falco Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Giovanni B. Sandicchi Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Patrizia Masselli Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Roberto Bonsignore Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Tommaso Ariani Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP CRIES PA Giuseppe Antonio Recchia FACULTY OF LAW -UNIVERSITY OF FOGGIA Madia D'Onghia FACULTY OF LAW -UNIVERSITY OF FOGGIA Valentina Pasquarella FACULTY OF LAW -UNIVERSITY OF FOGGIA Francesco Nuzzolo Mattia Colonnelli de Gasperis FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER Stefano Macchi di Cellere IONES DAY Klamflexeurope s.r.l. Angelo Zambelli LABLAW STUDIO LEGALE -FAILLA, ROTONDI & ZAMBELLI Vincenzo Fabrizio Giglio LABLAW STUDIO LEGALE Failla, Rotondi & Zambelli

Silvio Tersilla LOVELLS Marco Vozzi Giancarlo Laurini NOTAIO GIANCARLO LAURINI Mario Miccoli NOTAIO MICCOLI Paolo Pasqualis Notary Sergio Calderara NUNZIANTE MAGRONE Giorgio Cherubini PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI Associati Chiara Tufarelli Ristuccia & Tufarelli Gaia Silvi Ristuccia & Tufarelli Luca Tufarelli RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI Marianna Ristuccia Ristuccia & Tufarelli Luigi Brunetti SDV Piervincenzo Spasaro Spasaro De Martinis Law Firm Fabrizio Mariotti Studio Legale Beltramo Susanna Beltramo Studio Legale Beltramo Federico Guasti Studio Legale Guasti Giuseppe Ramondelli STUDIO LEGALE NOTARILE DI FABIO RAMONDELLI CANTAMAGLI Lamberto Schiona STUDIO LEGALE SCHIONA Paolo Pedrazzoli Studio Notaio Pedrazzoli Emanuele Ferrari Studio Notarile Ferrari Fabrizio Acerbis TLS / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Filippo Zucchinelli TLS / PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Gaetano Arnò TLS / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Paola Barazzetta TLS / PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Salvatore Cuzzocrea TLS / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Valeria Morossini TOFFOLETTO E SOCI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Paolo Fergola UNIVERSITY OF TURIN Maria Grazia Medici Verusio e Cosmelli Studio LEGALE

ITALY

Will Carmody MASON HAYES & CURRAN Georgina Kabemba MATHESON ORMSBY PRENTICE Paul Glenfeld MATHESON ORMSBY PRENTICE PricewaterhouseCoopers Gavan Neary PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Margaret Masterson PricewaterhouseCoopers Brendan Sharkey . Reddy Charlton McKnight Elaine McGrath REDDY CHARLTON MCKNIGHT Maeve Valsh Reddy Charlton McKnight

ISRAEL

Maurice Phelan

Micheál Grace

Colm Kelly

Mason Hayes & Curran

Mason Hayes & Curran

A. MOSKOVITS & SONS LTD. Gideon Koren Ben Zvi Koren & Co. Law OFFICES Daniel Singerman BUSINESS DATA ISRAEL + PERSONAL CHECK Jakob Melcer Adv. E. S. Shimron, I. Molho, PERSKY & CO. Dina Brown Elchanan Landau Law OFFICES June Dilevsky ISRAEL SECURITIES AUTHORITY Gerry Seligman Kesselman & Kesselman Koby Cohen Kesselman & Kesselman Lior Crystal Kesselman & Kesselman Vered Kirshner Kesselman & Kesselman Zeev Katz Kesselman & Kesselman Clifford Davis S. Horowitz & Co., member OF LEX MUNDI Michelle Liberman S. Horowitz & Co., member OF LEX MUNDI Ofer Bar-On Shavit Bar-On Gal-On Tzin NOV YAGUR, LAW OFFICES Ron Ben-Menachem Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Aaron Jaffe

YIGAL ARNON & CO. Paul Baris YIGAL ARNON & CO.

Ronen Bar-Even ZEEV WEISS LAW OFFICES

LORAN LTD.

JAMAICA

FOGA DALEY & CO.

GLOBAL TRADING

Karen Wilson

Noel Mcken

Alicia P. Hussev

Corrine N. Henry

Dave García

Hilary Reid

Lisa Russell

Malaica Wong

Lorna Phillips

Arlene Burton

Eric Crawford

Michael Hall

Associates

IAPAN

Mijo Fujita

Humprey Taylor

Adachi, Henderson,

Adachi, Henderson,

Hideki Thurgood Kano

Мічатаке & Гијіта

Anderson Mori &

Michi Yamagami

Anderson Mori &

Yoshimasa Furuta

Anderson Mori &

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN

Foreign Law Joint

Murase, Sakai & Mimura

Murase, Sakai & Mimura Foreign Law Joint

Tomotsune

Tomotsune

Tomotsune

Enterprise

ENTERPRISE

Yoshihito Shibata

Shinichiro Abe

Μιγατακε & Γυμιτα

Toshio Miyatake

Viveen Morrison

Sekeywi Carruthers

Sekeywi Carruthers &

Herbert Winston Grant

GRANT, STEWART, PHILLIPS

KIER CONSTRUCTION LTD.

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Myers, Fletcher & Gordon,

Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, member of Lex Mundi

Myers, Fletcher & Gordon,

member of Lex Mundi

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sandra Minott-Phillips

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nicholson Phillips, Attorneys-at-Law

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

TAYLOR CONSTRUCTION LTD.

Nicole Foga

& Co.

Bassam Abu-Rumman Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & LEGAL CONSULTANTS. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ivad Zawaideh ALI SHARIF ZU'BL ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Saleh Abdelati Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Omar Aliazv ALIAZY CO ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Sabri Al Khassib Amman Chamber of Commerce

Chaled Abu-Gharbieh Arch EPE, Enterprise BUSINESS SOLUTIONS

Francis Bawab BAWAB & CO. / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Stephan Stephan BAWAB & Co. / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Zeina Iaradat BAWAB & Co. / **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

Arafat Alfavoumi Central Bank of Jordan

George Hazboun

Tariq Hammouri Hammouri & Partners

Waddah Rawashdeh Hammouri & Partners

Wafa Aranki IFC

Eman M. Al-Dabbas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS Legal Associates

Firas Malhas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS Legal Associates

Basel Kawar Kawar Transport & Transit Kargo

Akram Obeidat Khalifeh & Partners

Khaldoun Nazer Khalifeh & Partners

Tamara Al-Banna Khalifeh & Partners

Ibrahim Abunameh

Law & Arbitration Centre Michael Dabit Michael T. Dabit &

Associates MUFIDA ART MOSAIC

Омq Al Bihar Est.

Azzam Zalloum

Zalloum & Laswi Law Firm Rasha Laswi

Zalloum & Laswi Law Firm

KAZAKHSTAN

Semion Issyk Aequitas Law Firm Nursultan Kassenov Aristan Property Advisers Amy Clark Assistance, LLC Law Firm

Maria Lensky Assistance, LLC Law Firm Tair Kulteleev Assistance, LLC Law Firm Zhaniva Ussen Assistance, LLC Law Firm Anara Shaikenova BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT Improvement Project (by PRAGMA CORPORATION) Kelly Seibold BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT Improvement Project (by PRAGMA CORPORATION) Almaz Dosserbekov DENTON WILDE SAPTE Thomas Johnson DENTON WILDE SAPTE Timur Khasanov-Batirov Derbes Brewery, Subsidiary of BBH Anvar Akhmedov FIRST CREDIT BUREAU Pasha Karim GLOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & Logistics Worldwide LLP Sabina Barayeva JS VENTURE INVESTMENT FUND ISC Elvis Roberts M & M LOGISTICS Irina Chen M & M Logistics Oleg Gnoev M & M LOGISTICS Ruslan Murzashev McGuire Woods Kazakhstan LLP, member of Lex Mundi Vsevolod Markov McGuire Woods KAZAKHSTAN LLP, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Asel Umysheva MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD. Michael S. Dark Michael Wilson & Partners LTD. Yekaterina V. Kim Michael Wilson & Partners LTD. Abdulkhamid Muminov PricewaterhouseCoopers Aliya Utegaliyeva PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bakhytzhan Kadyrov **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Bob Jurik **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Courtney Fowler **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Elena Kaeva PricewaterhouseCoopers Ilvas Jumambaev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Natalya Revenko

PricewaterhouseCoopers Dina Kali **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Legal Services

Daniel Ngumy

Karina Iliusizova PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Sergey Kalashnikov PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Dina Khakimzhanova SALANS Kanat Skakov SALANS Yuliya Mitrofanskaya SALANS

KENYA

George Akoto Акото & Сомрану Advocates

Washington Muthamia Alexandria Freight Forwarders Ltd.

Sonal Sejpal ANIARWALLA & KHANNA Advocates

Andrew Mwaura B. M. MUSAU & Co. Advocates

Benjamin Musau B. M. MUSAU & Co. Advocates

Micky Matheka B. M. MUSAU & CO. Advocates

Morris Kimuli B. M. MUSAU & CO. Advocates

Muthoni Murage

B. M. MUSAU & Co. **Advocates**

Onesmus Nzuki B. M. MUSAU & CO. Advocates

Victor Ayieko B. M. MUSAU & Co. Advocates

BOBCARE MEDICAL SYSTEMS LTD. Fiona Fox Chunga Associates Judy Mwangi

CHUNGA ASSOCIATES Wachira Ndege CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LTD. Martin Simiyu INTERAFRIKA CONSULT William Maema Iseme, Камаи & Маема

Advocates Peter Gachuhi

KAPLAN & STRATTON

KAZURI TREASURES LTD.

Jacqueline Wanjiku Kenya Revenue Authority

George Kigathi

KIGATHI & ASSOCIATES Jinaro Kibet Ochieng, Onyango, Kibet & Онада

Tom Onyango Ochieng, Onyango, Kibet & OHAGA

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

David Tarimo PricewaterhouseCoopers Dipak Shah PricewaterhouseCoopers Gavin McEwen **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Meenal Shah

PricewaterhouseCoopers Rishit Shah PricewaterhouseCoopers

Adrian Dearing PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Russell Eastaugh PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Francis Gichuhi PRISM DESIGNS AFRICA

Luke Kenei

SIGINON FREIGHT LTD.

Meshack Kipturgo SIGINON FREIGHT LTD.

VOIPKENYA INTERNATIONAL Deepen Shah

WALKER KONTOS ADVOCATES

KIRIBATI

Batira Tekanito

Lawrence Muller BETIO CITY COUNCIL

Batira Tekarmito DEVELOPMENT BANK OF Kirbati

Romano Reo LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Kenneth Barden MINISTRY OF FINANCE

Matereta Raiman Ministry of Finance & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S LAWYER

Aomoro Amten Таоава & Амтен

KOREA

Hye Jeong Lee AHNSE LAW OFFICES Sung Whan Lee

AHNSE LAW OFFICES Eui Jong Chung

BAE, KIM & LEE Jeong Seo

DAEJEON DISTRICT COURT Eun Joo Shin

EVT (EASTERN VIDEO TECH.) Co., LTD.

Jung Myung Lee HWANG MOK PARK P.C., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sang Il Park HWANG MOK PARK P.C., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Ae-Rvun Rho KIM & CHANG

C.W. Hvun KIM & CHANG Hi-Taek Shin Kim & Chang J.T. Park Kim & Chang

Jin-Ho Song KIM & CHANG Mia Kim KIM & CHANG KT & C Co., Ltd. Hvun Tae Kim LEE & KO Kyu Wha Lee Lee & Ko Yong Seok An Lee & Ko MI CO., LTD. Office of the Peoples Lawyer Cheol Kim SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Han-Jun Chon SAMIL PricewaterhouseCoopers Jin-Young Lee Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers Lionel Darrieutort SDV B.H. Nam Seohan Industries Co. Wonhyung Kim YOON YANG KIM SHIN & YU Young Su Shin YOON YANG KIM SHIN & YU Sung Jin Kim YULCHON

Won-Il Sohn YULCHON Young-Cheol Jeong

Yulchon

KUWAIT

Abdullah Al-Ayoub Abdullah Kh. Al-Ayoub & Associates, member of Lex Mundi

Jasmin Paurus Kohina Abdullah Kh. Al-Ayoub & Associates, member of Lex Mundi

Sunil Jose Abu-Ghazaleh Legal

Ghina W. Malek Al Khebra Legal Consultants & Lawyers

Mohammed H. Al-Dallal Al Khebra Legal Consultants & Lawyers

Mary Carmel Byrne Al Markaz Law Firm

Roupen Avsharian AL MARKAZ LAW FIRM

Hossam Abdullah AL SARRAF & AL RUWAYEH Sam Habbas

AL SARRAF & AL RUWAYEH

Ihab Abbas AL-FAHAD & CO. DELOITTE & Тоисне

Tim Bullock Al-Fahad & Co, Deloitte &

TOUCHE Reema Ali Ali & Partners Amal Abdallah

Al-Saleh & Partners

Nazih Abdul Hameed Al-Saleh & Partners Credit Information

Network Khaled F. Al Hendi

JUSTICE PALACE - COURT OF APPEAL Rola A Dashti

KUWAIT ECONOMIC SOCIETY Salah Al-Shammari

Kuwait Oil Co. Wayne Fidyk

Mashor Advocates & Legal Consultants

Ahmad A.T. Al-Melaifi NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

Packaging and Plastic Industries Co. (KSC)

Mazen A. Khoursheed PPIC

Labeed Abdal The Law Firm of Labeed Abdal

Lina A. Adlouni The Law office of Al-Essa & Partners

Omar Hamad Yousuf Al-Essa The Law office of Al-Essa & Partners

Mishari M. Al-Ghazali The Law Offices of Mishari Al-Ghazali

Rawan M. Al-Ghazali The Law Offices of Mishari Al-Ghazali

Yousof M. Al-Ali The Law Offices of Mishari Al-Ghazali

Union International Cargo Group

KYRGYZ REPUBLIC

Kamila Mateeva American University -Central Asia

Larisa Janibekova Anel Company Ltd.

Asel Kenenbaeva Attorney-at-Law

Barno Marazykova Attorney-at-Law

Meerim Nurkamilova Attorney-at-Law

Akjoltoy Elebesova Credit Information Bureau Ishenim

Galina Kucherayvaya Demir Kyrgyz

INTERNATIONAL BANK Anastasiya Shloeva

Globalink Transportation & Logistics Worldwide LLP

Azim Usmanov Grata Law Firm

Bakay Junushov ICAP INVESTMENT

Gulnara Ahmatova International Business Council

Natalia Dolinskaya International Business Council Talay Asylbekov INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS COUNCIL Aijana Bekkulieva Kalikova & Associates Law Firm Gulnara Kalikova Kalikova & Associates Law Firm Marina Lim Kalikova & Associates Law Firm Nurbek Chonbashev KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW Firm Ulan Tilenbaev Kalikova & Associates Law Firm Rakhman Asylbekov Kyrgyz Gripro Stroi Kwang Young Choi Kyrgyz Investment and Credit Bank Shabdan Tagaev Kyrgyz Investment and CREDIT BANK Mirulan Jamshitov Abdulkhamid Muminov PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Almas Nakipov PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bakhvtzhan Kadvrov PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bob Iurik **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Courtney Fowler PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Elena Kaeva PricewaterhouseCoopers Saltanat Ismailova PricewaterhouseCoopers

LAO PDR

Nokeo Mounivong Bank of the Lao PDR, Banks and Financial Institutions Supervision Department

Phonxay Southiphong Design Group Co Ltd.

Maligna Saignavongs LAO BAR ASSOCIATION One-Sy Boutsivongsakd

LAO NATIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY Grant Follet

DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP Ketsana Phommachanh

Ministry of Justice, Law Research and International Cooperation

Janist Aphornratana PricewaterhouseCoopers

Institute

Pradthanaadt Ratanatanungpong

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Richard Irwin

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Supanan Promphiphat PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Thavorn Rujivanarom PricewaterhouseCoopers Xaynari Chanthala PricewaterhouseCoopers Daodeuane Duangdara

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Intong Oudom Seneoudom Trading Co. Ltd.

Khampheng Vongkhanty Société Mixte de Transport Co., Ltd. Vasco Ltd.

LATVIA

Edijs Poga BALTMANE & BITĀNS -ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Maris Vainovskis

BALTMANE & BITĀNS -ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Ludmila Kornijenko

BLUEGER & PLAUDE Andris Ignatenko ESTMA SIA Zane Stalberga - Markvarte

HANSA LAW OFFICES

Daiga Zivtiņa Klavins & Slaidins, member of Lex Mundi

Filip Klavins Klavins & Slaidins, member of Lex Mundi

Mikus Buls Klavins & Slaidins, member of Lex Mundi

Sarmis Spilbergs Klavins & Slaidins, member

of Lex Mundi Andis Čonka Latvijas Banka

Law Offices Blueger & Plaude

Aigars Gozitis Lejins, Torgans & Partners, member of Ius Laboris

Aldis Gobzems Lejins, Torgans & Partners, мемвег of Ius Laboris

Dace Silava-Tomsone Lejins, Torgans & Partners, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Elina Bedanova

LEJINS, TORGANS & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Maija Volkova Lejins, Torgans & Partners, мемвеr of Ius Laboris

Ilze Bukaldere LIEPA, SKOPIŅA/ BORENIUS Indrikis Liepa LIEPA, SKOPINA/ BORENIUS

Inese Rendeniece Loze, Grunte & Cers

Aija Klavinska *RucewaterHouseCoopers Gunita Puzule PricewaterHouseCoopers* Zlata Elksnina-Zascirinska *PricewaterHouseCoopers*

Cameron Greaves
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ilze Abika Skudra & Udris Law Offices

Agris Repss Sorainen Law Offices

Andis Burkevics Sorainen Law Offices

Brigita Terauda

Sorainen Law Offices Ilmars Naglis Sorainen Law Offices

Vilmars Vanags

LEBANON

Samir Ali Ahmad AA Architects

Hamelkart Ataya *Abu-Ghazaleh Legal* Albert Laham

Chadia El Meouchi Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Christel Salem Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Fady Ghanem Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Greta Habib Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Jihad Rizkallah Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Mazen Rasamny Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Theodore De Mar Youssef Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Jean Baroudi Baroudi & Associates

Najib Choucair *Central Bank of Lebanon* Albert Nasr

Chamber of Commerce, Industry & Agriculture of Beirut

Aline Dantziguian Chamber of Commerce, INDUSTRY & AGRICULTURE OF BEIRUT

Chez Pierre

Georges Jureidini Coserv sarl - Panalpina Agents

Rachad Medawar EL KHOURY LAW FIRM

Electricité du Liban

George Jabre George Jabre & Associates

Joseph Safar HAYEK GROUP Eddy Maghariki HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM

Georges Mallat HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 183

Nabil Mallat Hyam G. Mallat Law Firm

Fady Jamaleddine JAMALEDDINE LAW FIRM

Georges Kadige Kadige & Kadige Law Firm

LIBREX GROUP Bernard Choueiri

MINISTRY OF JUSTICE Fadi Moghaizel

Moghaizel Law Firm,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

NABIL ABDEL-MALEK LAW

PATRIMOINE CONSEIL SARI.

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Tyan & Zgheib Law Firm

Association of Lesotho

Employers and Business

MEI & MEI ATTORNEYS INC.

Sechaba Consultants

Sello - Mafatle Chambers

Tiisetso Sello-Mafatle

Duduzile Seamatha

Marorisang Thekiso

Mathias Matshe

Sheeran & Associates

Sheeran & Associates

Sheeran & Associates

SHEERAN & ASSOCIATES

CENTER FOR NATIONAL

DOCUMENTS & RECORDS

Christine Sonpon Freeman

Cooper & Togbah Law Firm

Cooper & Togbah Law Firm

DAVID A B JALLAH LAW FIRM

(NATIONAL ARCHIVES)

Henry Reed Cooper

David A B Jallah

Frank Musah Dean

Dean & Associates

Charles Gongar

DEBT COURT

Molunngoa Lekhotsa

. Webber Newdigate

Denis Molyneaux

LIBERIA

Jane B. Barker

Zeina Abi Chahine

Mario Mohanna

Bassel Habiby

Camille C. Sifri

Ramzi George

Toufic Nehme

LESOTHO

Lindiwe Sephomolo

Theodore Ntlatlapa

DNT ARCHITECTS

HARLEY & MORRIS

Peter Sands

Thuso Green

SDV

Nady Tyan

Wadih Abounasr

OFFICES

Amos P. Andrews EcoBank - Liberia Patrick S. Fallah EcoBank - Liberia

Patricia Clark FEMALE LAWYERS

Association of Liberia Joseph N. Blidi J. Nagbe Blidi Law firm &

Consultancy, Inc.

Jones & Jones

Barbu Liberia Bar Association

Kolubahzizi T. Howard *Liberia*

Telecommunications Corporation

Joseph N. Siaway MAERSK LTD.

Henry B. Freeman, Jr. Milton & Richards, Inc.

Lawrence Y. Paye MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS

James E. Pierre

Pierre, Tweн & Associates Miatta Korpo

PIERRE, TWEH & ASSOCIATES Oswald Tweh

Pierre, Tweh & Associates

T. Negbalee Warner PIERRE, TWEH & ASSOCIATES

Darcy White PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

George Kwatia PricewaterhouseCoopers

Gideon Ayi-owoo PricewaterhouseCoopers

Thomas Murega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Siata Lyonel Freeman

SAFMARINE, MAERSK LTD. Betty Lamin Blamol SHERMAN & SHERMAN

Chan-Chan A. Paegar Sherman & Sherman

G. Moses Paegar

SHERMAN & SHERMAN Golda A. Bonah

Sherman & Sherman

J. Johnny Momoh Sherman & Sherman

Roy Chalkley UMARCO

Powo Hilton Verdier and Associates

Wilkins Wright Wright & Associates Law Firm

LITHUANIA

AsstrA UAB

Kazimieras Ramonas BANK OF LITHUANIA

Audrius Žvybas Bernotas & Dominas Glimstedt

Kim Bartholdy DSV TRANSPORT UAB

Petras Baltusevicius DSV TRANSPORT UAB Sergej Markevic DSV Transport UAB Jonas Kiauleikis Foigt & Partners / Regija Borenius

Andrius Bogdanovicius INFOBANKAS UAB

Giedre Domkute -Lukauskiene Law Firm AAA Baltic Service Company

Asta Rušinskaitė Law Firm Bernotas & Dominas Glimstedt

Ernesta Zutautaite Law FIRM FORESTA

Tomas Mieliauskas Law FIRM FORESTA

Dovilė Burgienė Law Firm Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Ieva Buteniene LAW FIRM LIDEIKA, PETRAUSKAS, VALIŪNAS IR PARTNERIAI LAWIN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Indrė Jonaitytė

Law Firm Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Laimonas Skibarka Law Firm Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Mindaugas Kiškis Law Firm Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir Partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Ramūnas Petravičius Law Firm Lideika, Petravskas, Valiūnas ir Partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Rolandas Galvėnas Law Firm Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN, member of Lex Mundi

Rolandas Valiunas LAW FIRM LIDEIKA, PETRAUSKAS, VALIŪNAS IR PARTNERIAI LAWIN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Darius Zabiela LAW FIRM ZABIELA,

LAW FIRM ZABIELA, ZABIELAITE & PARTNERS Linas Margevicius

Dmitrij Dolgin Norcous & Partners, Member of Ius Laboris

Leva Dosinaite Norcous & Partners, Member of Ius Laboris

Rimantas Simaitis Norcous & Partners, MEMBER OF JUS LABORIS

Žilvinas Kvietkus Norcous & Partners, мемвег оf Ius Laboris

Cameron Greaves PricewaterhouseCoopers Kristina Bartuseviciene PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Robert Rajpold PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Vilius Kolelis PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Renata Beržanskiene SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Tomas Davidonis SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Viktorija Kapustinskaja Sorainen Law Offices

Aidas Petrosius State Enterprise Centre of Registers

LUXEMBOURG

ALLEN & OVERY LUXEMBOURG Claude Kremer ARENDT & MEDERNACH Paul Mousel ARENDT & MEDERNACH Alain GrosJean BONN SCHMITT STEICHEN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Alex Schmitt BONN SCHMITT STEICHEN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Elisabeth Omes BONN SCHMITT STEICHEN,

Member of Lex Mundi Guy Castegnaro Castegnaro Cabinet d'Avocats, member of Ius Laboris

Gérard Eischen CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Patricia Dillas Chamber of Commerce Groupe AOH S.A.

Anne Singer

LOYENS WINANDY

Françoise Pfeiffer LOYENS WINANDY

Geoffrey Scardoni

Loyens Winandy

Jean-Luc Schaus LOYENS WINANDY

Nuria Martin

Loyens Winandy Simon Paul

Loyens Winandy

Paul Wurth S.A. Engineering & Project Management

A. Murrath PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS SARL

Anabela Fernandes Goncalves PricewaterhouseCoopers Sàri

Dominique Robinet PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SÀRL Isabel Lapietra PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sàrl Laurent Paquet

PricewaterhouseCoopers Sàrl

Marleen Vandenput PricewaterhouseCoopers Sàrl Michael Lockman PricewaterhouseCoopers Sàrl Zlatko Nikolovski

Kristijan Polenak

Goce Mojsoski

Vesna Paunkoska

Zoran Cyetanoski

GEODETIC WORKS

STATE AUTHORITY FOR

MADAGASCAR

Aine Rakotondrazaka

Andrianaivo Harisoa

André Randranto

Niiva Razanatsoa

CORRUPTION

CORRUPTION

Deloitte

Hanta Radilofe

Isabelle Gachie

BANQUE CENTRALE

Lalaina Rakotoarisoa

Rene Ramarozatovo

BUREAU INDÉPENDANT ANTI-

BUREAU INDÉPENDANT ANTI-

Jean Marcel Razafimahenina

CABINET FÉLICIEN RADILOFE

Fidèle Armand Rakotonirina

CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA

Natacha Adrianiakamanarivo

CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA

Volamiora Andriamaritafika

Centre d'Information

Delta Audit Deloitte

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

William Randrianarivelo

Sahondra Rasoarisoa

John Hargreaves

Philippe Buffier

FIDAFRICA /

Dominique Taty

Jacques Chareyre

FIDAFRÎCA /

FIDAFRICA /

FIDAFRICA /

Lalao Andriamanga

Guichet Unique des

Developpement de

ENTREPRISES (GUIDE)

Sahondra Rabenarivo

Associés

Keyserlingk - Rabenarivo

Investissements et du

ESPACE INGÉNIERIE

Ami Ravelomanana

BOARD

Cabinet Mazars Fivoarana

Technique et Economique

CABINET DELTA AUDIT

CABINET HK JURIFISC.

Ancien Bâtonnier

POLENAK LAW OFFICE

MACEDONIA

Bob Savic

NOTARY CHAMBER OF R. OF

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Rene Beltjens PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS SÀRL Serge Saussoy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sàrl Frank von Roesgen Schroeder & Associés

Marc Hansen SDV

MACEDONIA, FYR

Vladimir Vasilevski Betasped International Freight Forwarding

Jovan Andonovski Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia

Kosta Gligorievski Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia

Nikola Dinevski Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia Emmanuel Koenig

Benita Beleskova

IKRP Rokas & Partners

Theodoros Giannitsakis IKRP Rokas & Partners

Valentina Jovanovska Vasileva IKRP Roкаs & Partners

Violeta Angelova Gerovska IKRP Roкаs & Partners

Dejan Knezovic Law Office Knezovic & Associates

Valentin Pepeljugoski Law Office Pepeljugoski

Tatjana Popovski Buloski Law Office Polenak

Slavica Trckova Law Office Trckova Aleksandra Donevska

LAWYERS ANTEVSKI

Katerina Jordanova

LAWYERS ANTEVSKI

LAWYERS ANTEVSKI

Macedonian Court

Biliana Cakmakova

MODERNIZATION PROJECT

Mens Legis Cakmakova

Mens Legis Cakmakova

Mens Legis Cakmakova

Mens Legis Cakmakova

Zlatko Antevski

Nena Ivanovska

MAKSTONE

Advocates

Advocates

Advocates

Advocates

Liubica Ruben

Pavlinka Dameska

Valerjan Monevski

Frosina Celeska

Monevski Law Firm

NATIONAL BANK OF THE

Republic of Macedonia

Eva Velianovska

Vishwanee Boodhonee BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS

Andre Robert Benoit Chambers Rishi Pursem

Benoit Chambers Thierry Chellen

Benoit Chambers Iqbal Rajahbalee

BLC CHAMBERS D. Chinien

COMPANIES DIVISION

Marc Daruty de Granpre DARUTY DE GRANDPRE Architects Associates Ltd.

FARFAT ENTERPRISE LTD Gavin Glover

GLOVER & GLOVER CHAMBERS Catherine de Rosnay

Legis & Partners

Robert Ferrat Legis & Partners

Jean Phillipe Chan See

MAERSK LTD. Parikshat Teeluck

MAERSK LTD.

Reza Subratty MAERSK LTD.

Thierry Koenig

MAIGROT KOENIG

NAND-MDI GROUP LTD. André Bonieux

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Didier Lenette PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ram L. Roy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ramesh Doma PricewaterhouseCoopers

Robert Bigaignon PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Damien Mamet

PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Fabien de Marassé Enouf PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

PRIMECOM LTD. Ramdas Mootanah

PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTS Council

Deviantee Sobarun REGISTRAR-GENERAL'S Department (Government)

MEXICO

Oscar O. Cano Adeath Logistics S.A de

Mariano Enriquez-Mejia Baker & McKenzie

Iuan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo BARRERA, SIQUEIROS Y TORRES

LANDA

Juan José López de Silanes Molina BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Lanto Ralison

Laingoniaina Ramarimbahoaka MADAGASCAR CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL

Raphaël Jakoba MADAGASCAR CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL

Anthony Rabibisoa MAERSK LOGISTICS S.A.

Pascaline Rasamoeliarisoa

Florent Andriamahavonjy TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL - INITIATIVE MADAGASCAR Harimahefa Andriamitantsoa Tribunal de Première

INSTANCE MALAWI

Godwin Mwale 2PS CARGO CO. Richard Chakana 2PS CARGO CO. Edward Kaluwa COMBINE CARGO A. Rashid Jakhura FARGO GROUP OF COMPANIES Chimwemwe Kalua GOLDEN & LAW Dannie I. Kamwaza KAMWAZA DESIGN Partnership Alfred Majamanda MBENDERA & NKHONO Associates Modecai Msisha Nyirenda & Msisha Law OFFICES Iim Ghobede PricewaterhouseCoopers Kevin M. Carpenter PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Misheck Msiska

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Andrews Katuya SAVIANI & CO.

Davis Njobvu SAVJANI & CO

Duncan Singano SAVJANI & CO.

Krishna Savjani SAVIANI & CO. Roseline Gramani

SAVIANI & CO. John Dean

SDV Ltd. Joseph Chavula

SDŶ Ltd. Samuel Tembenu

Темвени, Маѕимви & Со. Marshal Chilenga TF & PARTNERS Bernard Ndau THE WORLD BANK Arthur Msowova Wilson & Morgan D.A. Raval Wilson & Morgan

MALAYSIA

Armeira Technologies Sdn. Bhd. Dinesh Ratnarajah AZMAN, DAVIDSON & CO. Zuhaidi Mohd Shahari Azmi & Associates BANK NEGARA BRILLIANT SOLUTIONS Tze Keong Chung CTOS SDN BHD Lee Hwa Furniture INDUSTRY SDN BHD LIDA PLASTICS SDN. BHD. Loganath Sabapathy

LOGAN SABAPATHY & CO. Tharminder Singh LOGAN SABAPATHY & CO. Chuan Keat Khoo PricewaterhouseCoopers Peter Wee PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Theresa Lim PricewaterhouseCoopersWee Leng Lee PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Melina Yong RASLAN - LOONG S.G. Emas Sdn Bhd. Geeta Kaur SDV Sdn Bhd Michel Barbesier SDV SDN BHD Tan Kee Beng SDV SDN BHD Chong Wah Wong SKRINE & CO., MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Koon Huan Lim Skrine & Co., member of Lex Mundi Kumar Kanagasabai Skrine & Co., member of Lex Mundi Liew Wei Ling Skrine & Co., member of Lex Mundi Hong Yun Chang TAY & PARTNERS Christopher Lee Wong & Partners Zain Azlan

ZAIN & CO.

MALDIVES

Mohamed Hameed ANTRAC PVT. LTD. Ahmed Murad Mazlan & Murad Law Associates Hussain Sirai MINISTRY OF HIGHER Education, Employment & Social Security Ibrahim Naeem Monetary Authority Nadiya Hassan Shaaheen Hameed PREMIER CHAMBERS

BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS Fizan Shah Shah, Hussain & Co. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS Shuaib M. Shah Shah, Hussain & Co. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS MALI Malick Badara Sow ATELIER D'ARCHITECTURE ET d'Urbanisme Bava Berthé Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO Ibrahima Makanguile CABINET I. MAKANGUILE Aboubacar S Diarra CABINET LEXIS CONSEILS Mahamane I. Cisse CABINET LEXIS CONSEILS Mamadou Moustapha Sow CABINET SOW & Collaborateurs Madhou Traore Centre National DE PROMOTION DES Investissements - CNPI ECOBANK - MALI Alassne Diallo Etude de Maitre Alassane Diallo Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Evelyne M'Bassidgé FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Fousséni Traoré FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Jean Claude Gnamien , FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Aïda Niare Toure JURIFIS CONSULT MALI Mamaidou Kanda Keita Ahmadou Toure OFFICE NOTARIAL AHMADOU Toure, Notaire a Bamako Amadou Camara SCP CAMARA TRAORÉ Djeneba Diop SCP D'AVOCAT DIOP-DIALLO Diop Mohamed Abdoulaye SDV MARSHALL **ISLANDS** Anthony Tomlinson

Jatindra Bhattray

RED LEAF PVT. LTD.

Shah, Hussain & Co.

Dheena Hussain

Sriyani Perera

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Philip A. Okney

Philip Welch

AGENCIES INC.

Jerry Kramer

Aliou Sall

MAURITANIE

Tidiane BAL

BSD & Associés

BSD & Âssociés

BUREAU TASMIM

Bouhoubeyni

Cheikani Jules

Cheikh Sall

Ahmed Salem Ould

CABINET ME SIDIYA

Dominique Taty

FIDAFRÎCA /

Raymond Any

FIDAFRICA /

Cabinet Bouhoubeyni

Yarba Ould Ahmed Saleh

ETUDE HADY MAQULOUDVALL

Maouloud Vall El Hady Seyid

ETUDE HADY MAOULOUDVALL

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Adama Demba Diop

Membre du Conseil

Brahim Ould Daddah

Hamdi Ould Mahjoub

Mine Ould Abdoullah

Salimou Ould Bouhoubeyni

Constitutionnei

PRIVATE PRACTICE

PRIVATE PRACTICE

PRIVATE PRACTICE

Hamoud Ismail

Adou Ould Bahbana

Mustafa Ould Bilal

MAURITIUS

Zakaria Thiam

TRIBUNAL COMMERCIAL

TRIBUNAL COMMERCIAL

TRIBUNAL COMMERCIAL

ARTISCO INTERNATIONAL

BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL

BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL

Yandraduth Googoolye

BANK OF MAURITIUS

Ajay Bhurtun

Urmila Boolell

CHAMBERS

Chambers

SMPN

Youssoupha Diallo

Mohamedou Ould Hacen

ASSURIM

Kenneth Barden

LAND REGISTRATION

Micronesian Shipping

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

MAURITANIA

Ahmed Cheikhsidiye

Ahmed Ould Radhi

BANQUE CENTRALE DE

Administration Authority

PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.

BECA INTERNATIONAL Consultants Ltd.

Monica Schiaffino Pérez BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Oscar de La Vega Basham, Ringe y Correa, member of Ius Laboris

Eduardo Revilla Calvo, González Luna, Moreno y Revilla, S.C

Enrique Nort Comision Nacional de Bancaria y de Valores

Isis Anaya Economic Development Ministry / Federal District Department

Exportim, Inc.

Alberto Avila Federation of Interamerican Construction Industry (FIIC)

Pablo Alvarez Trevino Federation of Interamerican Construction Industry (FIIC)

Alberto Saracho Martínez Fundación IDEA

Diego Canales Fundación IDEA

Arturo Perdomo GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.

Héctor Kuri GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.

Karla Baez GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.

Manuel Galicia

Galicia y Robles, S.C.

Ana Tatiana Ortega Robles Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Eugenia González Rivas Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Jorge Sanchez Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Julio Flores Luna Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Teresa de Lourdes Gómez Neri Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Hector Munez

Gerardo Lozano Alarcón Holland & Knight-Gallástegui y Lozano, S.C.

Juan Pablo Guerrero Amaparán Institutto Federal de Acceso a la Información Pública (IFAI)

Alberto Balderas JÁUREGUI, NAVARRETE Y NADER, S.C.

Hans Goebel JÁUREGUI, NAVARRETE Y NADER, S.C. Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly Jáuregui, Navarrete y Nader, S.C.

Frida Alonso Mexican Construction Chamber (CMIC)

Cristina Sánchez-Urtiz MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO, S.C. Guillermo Piecarchic

PMC & Asociados Carlos Montemayor

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Marco Nava

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Salvador Esquivel Bernal

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Yolanda Jaramillo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Adriana Lopez PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Alonso Montes PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Alvaro Gonzalez PricewaterhouseCoopers

LeGAL SERVICES Fernando Santamaria

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Gabriel I. Aguilar

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Marcela Crespo PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Mario Alberto Rocha PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Wendolin Sanchez PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Carlos Sánchez-Mejorada y Velasco

Sánchez-Mejorada y Asociados

Eduardo Solares Solares & Ruiz Quintana, S.C.

MICRONESIA

Wayne Bricknell E - CAD PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Anna H. Mendiola FSM Development Bank

Andrea S. Hillyer

INDEPENDENT Kenneth Barden

MINISTRY OF FINANCE Eric Emeka Akamigbo

POHNPEI STATE GOVERNMENT Salomon Saimon

Pohnpei State Government Stephen V. Finnen Stephen Finnen's Law Corporation

MOLDOVA

Irina Moghiliova Brodsky Uskov Looper Reed & Partners Neli Panaiti BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED & PARTNERS

Serghei Auzeac BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED & PARTNERS

Irina Verhovetchi BSMB LEGAL COUNSELLORS

Cornelia Cozonac Center for Journalistic Investigations

Eugen Osmochescu

Inga Grecu-Stavila First Cadastre Project Implementation Office

Vitaliy Nikolaevich Bulgac Independent Consultant

Politrans s.r.l. Alla Cotos

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Corina Jumbei PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Cristina Muntean

PricewaterhouseCoopers Mihaela Mitroi

PricewaterhouseCoopers Nelea Moraru

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sergiu Dumitrasco PricewaterhouseCoopers

Svetlana Ceban PricewaterhouseCoopers

Alexandru Munteanu PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Andrian Candu

PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Marin Moraru PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Silvia Grosu PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Ruslan Pirnevu Quehenberger-Hellmann SRI

Victor Burunsus

The World Bank

Lilia Carasciuc Transparency International Moldova

Alexander Turcan *Turcan & Turcan*

Carolina Parcalab Turcan & Turcan

Mariana Stratan

Turcan & Turcan Octavian Cazac

Turcan & Turcan

Roger Gladei Turcan & Turcan

Evgeniy Untilo Union of Employers in Building and Construction Materials Industry

Georghu Calugharu Union of Employers in Building and Construction Materials Industry Ion Railean UNION OF EMPLOYERS IN BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS INDUSTRY Zorica Peshić

Mike Ahern

Employers

Employers

Employers

EMPLOYERS

Employers

Jovana Ilić

Jovan Boljevic

Mark Crowford

Milena Roncević

Opportunity Bank

Prelević Law Firm

Aleksandar Miljković

Nenad M. Novaković

Agence Med S.a.r.l.

ALI KETTANI LAW OFFICE

Amin Hajji & Associés

ASSOCIATION D'AVOCATS

AMIN HAIII & Associés

Association d'Avocats

BARREAU DE CASABLANCA

BANK AL-MAGHRIB

Azeddine Kabbai

Rachid Benzakour

Younes Anibar

Anis Mahfoud

LA CORRUPTION

Aziz Abouelouafa

Bouchaib Labkiri

GLOBEXLINE SARL

Azzedine Kettani

Nadia Kettani

Rita Kettani

Marc Veuillot

Michel Lecerf

Kettani Law Firm

Kettani Law Firm

Kettani Law Firm

LANDWELL & ASSOCIÉS -

Landwell & Associés -

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Lefebvre

CABINET D'AVOCATS

Bensakour, Lahbabi

CABINET YOUNES ANIBAR

CMS BUREAU FRANCIS

Abdessalam Aboudrar

GLOBEX MARITIME CO.

COMMISSION LUTTE CONTRE

Myriam Emmanuelle Bennani

PRIVATE PRACTICE

MOROCCO

Mehdi Kettani

Amin Haiii

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ana Karanikic

Biljana Banovic

Branislav Begovic

Budimir Raičković

LAW OFFICE VUJACIĆ

MBA OFFICE MANAGER

MONTENEGRIN UNION OF

MONGOLIA

Tsogt Tsend Administrative Court of Capital City Batzaya Bodikhuu

Anand & Anand Advocates Battsetseg Ganbold Anderson & Anderson

David Buxbaum

Anderson & Anderson Enkhbulgantamir Togtokhbaatar

Anderson & Anderson

Odmaa Khurelbold Anderson & Anderson

Gansukh GTs Advocates

Batbayar Byambaa GTs ADVOCATES

Badarch Bavarmaa

Lynch & Mahoney Daniel Mahoney Lynch & Mahoney

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Bela Gutidze

Rusa Sreseli

Courtney Fowler

Matthew Tallarovic

Sergi Kobakhidze

TSETS LAW FIRM

George Danilović

Vladimir Dasić

Bojovic & Dasic,

LEGAL SERVICES

Dragana Radević

CENTER FOR

Marko Tintor

Montenegro

Igor V. Stijović

Ana Krsmanovic

Radoš Kastratović

Vasilije Bosković

Law Firm Bosković

LAW FIRM MARTINOVIĆ

LAW OFFICE VUIACIĆ

Nikola Martinović

Sasha Vujacić

Jelena Ilincić

Central Bank of

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

ENTERPRENEURSHIP AND

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

IGOR STIJOVIĆ LAW OFFICE

INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC

KASTRATOVIĆ LAW OFFICE

Studies and Prognoses

Azius

Khand Damdinsuren

MONTENEGRO

Nora Djadoun LANDWELL & ASSOCIÉS -**PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

LAPIRAMA GROUP INTERNATIONAL

Maria Belafia

Ahmed Bouhourrou MINISTÈRE DE L'EMPLOI ET DE LA FORMATION PROFESSIONNELLE

Nawal Jellouli MINISTRY OF FINANCE & PRIVATISATION

Hicham Naciri NACIRI & ASSOCIÉS - GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi

Richard Cantin NACIRI & ASSOCIÉS - GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi

Azdine Nekmouche Ordre des architectes de CASABLANCA

Rachid Senhaji Ordre des architectes de CASABLANCA

Réda Oulamine OULAMINE LAW GROUP

Azzedine Akesbi TRANSPARENCY MAROC Houcine Sefrioui UINL - IIHN

MOZAMBIQUE

Emilio R. Nhamissitane Advogado

Alexandra Carvalho Anastacia Chamusse

BANCO DE MOCAMBIOUE Eugénio Luis BANCO DE MOCAMBIOUE

Carlos de Sousa e Brito Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

Carlos Schwalbach Marcelo Mosse

CENTER FOR PUBLIC INTEGRITY

Auxílio Eugénio Nhabanga Fernanda Lopes & Associados - Advogados

Lara Narcy H. GAMITO, COUTO, GONÇALVES PEREIRA E CASTELO BRANCO & Associados

Pedro Couto H. Gamito, Couto, Gonçalves Pereira E CASTELO BRANCO & Associados

Telmo Ferreira H. Gamito, Couto, Gonçalves Pereira E CASTELO BRANCO & Associados

Jose Forjaz Jose Forjaz Arquitectos

Katia Tourais

Iennifer Garvey KPMG AUDITORES E **CONSULTORES**

MAGIN LDA

Adrian Frey Mozlegal Lda Vânia Mahotas Mozlegal Lda Manuel Didier Malunga NATIONAL DIRECTORATE OF REGISTRY AND NOTARIES António Veloso Pimenta, Dionísio e Associados Firza Sadek Pimenta, Dionísio e Associados Nipul Govan PIMENTA, DIONÍSIO E Associados Paulo Pimenta Pimenta, Dionísio e Associados Ioão Martins PricewaterhouseCoopers Malaika Ribeiro **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Rufino Lucas Eduardo Calú SAL & CALDEIRA Advogados e Consultores, LDA Ibrahim Agigi SAL & CALDEIRA -Advogados e Consultores, LDA José Manuel Caldeira SAL & CALDEIRA -Advogados e Consultores, LDA Iulio Mutisse SAL & CALDEIRA -Advogados e Consultores, Lda Luís Filipe Rodrigues SAL & CALDEIRA Advogados e Consultores, LDA Xiluva Rodrigues Matavele SAL & CALDEIRA Advogados e Consultores, LDA Isabel Garcia SILVIA GARCIA ADVOGADOS & Consultores NAMIBIA

Axel Stritter

EGLING, STRITTER & PARTNERS Hans-Bruno Gerdes Egling, Stritter & PARTNERS Frank Köpplinger G.F. KÖPPLINGER LEGAL PRACTITIONERS Hanno D. Bossau H.D. Bossau & Co. Herman Charl Kinghorn KINGHORN ASSOCIATES Josias Andries Agenbach KOEP & PARTNERS Peter Frank Koep KOEP & PARTNERS Renate Williamson Koep & Partners Richard Traugott Diethelm Mueller

Koep & Partners

Willem Carel Kotze Koep & Partners Onesmus Hanapho Namibia Power (NamPower) Ockhuizen Welbert Namibia Water Corporation (NamWater) Carina Oberholzer PricewaterhouseCoopers Dennis Hvman PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Erika Shikusinde PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Gerald Riedel PricewaterhouseCoopers Hennie Gous PricewaterhouseCoopers Mark Badenhorst **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Marius van Breda TRANSUNION ITC NEPAL Parshuram Koirala Advocate Prem Shanker Shrestha CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU LTD. Madan Krishna Sharma CSC & Co. / PricewaterhouseCoopers INTERNATIONAL TRADING Concern Megharaj Pokharel LEGAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES Sajjan B. S. Thapa LEGAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES Matrika Niraula NIRAULA LAW CHAMBER & Co Anup Upreti PIONEER LAW ASSOCIATES Bharat Raj Upreti PIONEER LAW ASSOCIATES Bijaya Mishra PRADHAN & ASSOCIATES Devendra Pradhan PRADHAN & ASSOCIATES Ramii Shrestha PRADHAN & ASSOCIATES Ram Chandra Subedi G.D Udas REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES Ashok Man Kapali Shangri-La Freight Pvt. LTD. Jagat B. Khadka Shangri-La Freight Pvt. LTD. Anil Kumar Sinha Sinha - Verma Law Concern Mahesh Kumar Thapa Sinha - Verma Law Concern TRINETRA BUSINESS CONCERN PVT. LTD. NETHERLANDS ALLEN & OVERY LLP Annekarien van de Velde Baker & McKenzie

Amsterdam N.V.

Karin W.M. Bodewes Baker & McKenzie AMSTERDAM N V

Piet Schroeder Baker & McKenzie Amsterdam N V

Hylda Wiarda BRONSGEEST DEUR Advocaten, member of Ius Laboris

Paul van der Molen CADASTRE, LAND REGISTRY and Mapping Agency

Jan Willem Schenk CMS Derks Star Busmann

Jellienke Stamhuis DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE Westbroek

Margriet de Boer DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE Westbroek

Mark G. Rebergen DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK

Siimen de Ranitz DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE Westbroek

Stefan Sagel DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE Westbroek

Arian Enneman EXPATAX BV GLOBE ITC

Glenn C. Haulussy HAULUSSY THE LAW COMPANY

Els Van der Riet Houthoff Buruma Lawyers, CIVIL NOTARIES, TAX Advisers

Henri Bentfort van Valkenburg Houthoff Buruma Lawyers, CIVIL NOTARIES, TAX

Advisers Jaap Koster HOUTHOFF BURUMA LAWYERS, CIVIL NOTARIES, TAX

Advisers Rolef de Weijs Houthoff Buruma Lawyers, CIVIL NOTARIES, TAX Advisers

Rutger Schimmelpenninck HOUTHOFF BURUMA LAWYERS, CIVIL NOTARIES, TAX Advisers

Michiel Wesseling Houthoff Buruma N. V., Member of Lex Mundi

Marcel Willems Kennedy Van der Laan

Jaap Jan Trommel

NAUTA DUTILH ATTORNEYS Jeroen Holland

NAUTA DUTILH ATTORNEYS Martiin Molenaar

NAUTA DUTILH ATTORNEYS Richard Bakker

Ocean - Trans International BV

A.G.M. Hoogeveen PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Belastingadviseurs N.V.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 187

Fons Hoogeveen PricewaterhouseCoopers Belastingadviseurs N.V.

Jan Bezem PricewaterhouseCoopers BELASTINGADVISEURS N.V.

Ralf Pieters PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Belastingadviseurs N.V.

Remco Van Der Linden **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Belastingadviseurs N.V.

Robert Van Galen

Robert Schrage ROYAL NETHERLANDS NOTARIAL ORGANIZATION

Hugo Reumkens VAN DOORNE N.V.

Liane van de Vrugt VÉDÉVÉ LEGAL B V

NEW ZEALAND

Anna Cowie ANDERSON CREAGH LAI Jeffrev Lai ANDERSON CREAGH LAI Matthew Allison BAYCORP ADVANTAGE Murray Tingey BELL GULLY Rob Towner Bell Gully Russell Lawn BuildLaw - Kumeu-Huapai LAW CENTRE Douglas Alderslade CHAPMAN TRIPP Geoff Bevan Chapman Tripp Jim Roberts Hesketh Henry Lawyers Michael McLean Toepfer HESKETH HENRY LAWYERS Richard Wilson IACKSON RUSSELL Robert Muir Land Information New ZEALAND Lowndes Associates -

CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

John Cuthbertson PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Kevin Best **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Wanita Lala

PricewaterhouseCoopers

John Powell Russell McVeagh SDV

Jania Baigent SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Andrew Matthews SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Ben Upton SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Shelley Cave

SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

World of Walls Ltd.

NICARAGUA

Minerva Adriana Bellorín Rodríguez ACZALAW

Aida Maria Herdocia Carlos Salinas Alvarado y Asociados, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gloria Maria de Alvarado Alvarado y Asociados, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

José Aníbal Olivas Cajina Alvarado y Asociados, member of Lex Mundi

Edgard Torres Mendieta ARIAS & MUÑOZ

Gustavo Adolfo Vargas Arias & Muñoz

Roberto Argüello Villavicencio ARIAS & MUÑOZ

Bendaña & Bendaña

Bruno Vidaurre Camara Nicaragüense de La Construcción

Fabiola Miranda Camara Nicaragüense de La Construcción

Humberto Carrión Carrión, Somarriba & Asociados

Jorge Molina Lacayo CETREX

Alfonso Sandino Granera Consortium - Taboada & Asociados

Rodrigo Taboada Rodríguez Consortium - Taboada & Asociados

Edgard Torres

Eduardo Garcia Herdocia Ana Teresa Rizo Briseño F.A. ARIAS & MUÑOZ

Bertha Argüello de Rizo F.A. ARIAS & MUÑOZ

Francisco Ortega FRANCISCO ORTEGA & ASOCIADOS

Gerardo Gonzalez Francisco Ortega & Asociados

Yali Molina Palacios Palacios, Molina, y Asociados

Alejandro Fernandez de Castro

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Andrea Paniagua PricewaterhouseCoopers

David Urcuyo Báez

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Edgar Mendoza PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mariana Martinez

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Martha Solorzano PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPEI

Ruth Huete PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Sergio Noguera PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ramon Ortega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Sergio David Corrales Montenegro Arnulfo Somarriba TRANSUNION

NIGER

Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO

Yayé Mounkaïla Cabinet d'avocats Mounkaila-Niandou

Bernar-Oliver Kouaovi CABINET KOUAOVI

Diallo Rayanatou Loutou CABINET LOUTOU -ARCHITECTES

Kharim Arzika Conservation Foncière

EcoBank - Niger

Marc Le Bihan ETUDE D'AVOCATS - MARC LE

BIHAN & COLLABORATEURS Idrissa Tchernaka Etude d'Avocats Marc Le BIHAN & COLLABORATEURS

Souley I. Hammi Etude d'Avocats Marc Le Bihan & Collaborateurs

Aïssatou Djibo Etude de Me Djibo Aïssatou

Haoua Diaouga Etude de Me Djibo Aïssatou

Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Evelyne M'Bassidgé FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Fousséni Traoré FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jean Claude Gnamien FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Marc Lebihan

Sahabi Oumarou Themis International Consultants

NIGERIA

Samuel Etuk 1ST ATTORNEYS

Olaleye Adebiyi Adebiyi & Associates

Gboalhan Agboluaje Aelex, Legal Practitioners & Arbitrators

Oluseyi Abiodun Akinwunmi Akinwunmi & Busari, Legal Practioners (A & B)

Ayodeji Olomojobi Aluko & Оуеводе

Barbara Akpotaire ALUKO & OYEBODE

Gbenga Oyebode Aluko & Oyebode Kofo Dosekun Aluko & Oyebode Oludare Senbore ALUKO & OYEBODE Adedapo Omole Asset Care Ltd. Adeshina Oluwaji BASE4 INVESTMENT (NIG.) LTD Olaniyi Ogunwole BASE4 INVESTMENT (NIG.) LTD Dozie Okwuosah Central Bank of Nigeria Anse Agu Ezetah

Chief Law Agu Ezetah & Co.

Benson Olumekun CLAY & POTTERS

Barr. N.U. Chianakwalam Corporate Affairs

Commission Churchill Williams

Corporate Affairs Commission

Dennis Ekumankama Corporate Affairs Commission

Adenike laoye ECOBANK - NIGERIA

Adamu M. Usman F.O. AKINRELE & CO.

Ike Mweje Federal Inland Revenue Service

Temple Iheanacho Federal Inland Revenue

SERVICE Olufemi Sunmonu

FEMI SUNMONU & Associates, Solicitors Abraham Oyakhukime

FIRST & FIRST INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES

Fred Onuobia G. Elias & Co. Solicitors AND Advocates

Catherine Kadennyeka Masinde *IFC*

Nouma Dione-Mbaye IFC

Joanna Kata-Blackman Sulaimon Alabi Yusuf LAGOS STATE PHYSICAL

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Benjamin Ikheloah

NIGERIAN INVESTMENT PROMOTION COMMISSION

Patrick Okonjo Околјо, Одіаwa & Евіе

Titilola Olateju Okonjo, Odlawa & Ebie

Henrietta Onaga PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ken Aitken PricewaterhouseCoopers

Chiedu J. Ebie Punuka Attorneys & Solicitors Mathias Okojie PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS Okorie Kalu PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS Tochukwu Onyiuke PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & LAND REGISTRY, CENTRE

Development

Knut Ekern

Morten Beck

Odd Hylland

Tove Ihle-Hansen

Bård Ivar. Koppang

LEGAL SERVICES

Christel Spannow

Legal Services

Finn Rime

Niels R Kiaer

Claus R. Flinder

Berit Stokke

Lex Mundi

Jorgen Lund

Lex Mundi

Stig Berge

Ian Backer

Tore Lerheim

OMAN

Sunil Iose

& Co.

& Co.

Balushi

Department

Ahmad Attahir

M O Baidab

OSLO KOMMUNE

FOR PROPERTY RIGHTS AND

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Carl Arthur Christiansen

Rime Advokatfirma DA

RIME ADVOKATEIRMA DA

Thommessen Krefting

THOMMESSEN KREFTING

THOMMESSEN KREFTING

Thomas Nordgård

Wikborg, Rein & Co.

WIKBORG, REIN & CO.

Wikborg, Rein & Co.

Ali Adam Mohd, Sulaiman

Ala'a Eldin Mohammed

Abu-Ghazaleh Legal

Abu-Ghazaleh Legal

AL ALAWI, MANSOOR JAMAL

AL ALAWI, MANSOOR JAMAL

Zubaida Fakir Mohamed Al

BANKING SURVEILLANCE

Adam Mohammed Adam

CONSULTANCY BUREAU

CONSULTANCY BUREAU

CONSULTANCY BUREAU

DR. TARIO AL BUSAIDI LEGAL

DR. TARIO AL BUSAIDI LEGAL

Dr. Tariq Al Busaidi Legal

John Alasdair Jeffrey

Mansoor Jamal Malik

Simen Smeby Lium

VOGT & WIIG AS

Simonsen Advokatfirma DA

GREVE LUND AS, MEMBER OF

GREVE LUND AS, MEMBER OF

Greve Lund AS, member of Lex Mundi

RAEDER ADVOKATFIRMA

SOLICITORS Babajide Ogundipe

Sofunde, Ösakwe, Ogundipe & Belgore

Aniekan Ukpanah Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Daniel Agbor Udo Udoма & Belo-Osagie Oyinda Ehiwere Udo Udoма & Belo-Osagie Sandra Nyada Udo Udoма & Belo-Osagie

Tunde Osasona Whitestone Worldwide Ltd.

NORWAY

Aardal Mekaniske Verksted A/S

Amund Fougner Advokatfirmaet Hjort DA, member of Ius Laboris

Anita Wirak Advokatfirmaet Hjort DA, member of Ius Laboris

Bente Løvik Ulven Advokatfirmaet Hjort DA, member of Ius Laboris

Christian Nyvold Advokatfirmaet Hjort DA, member of Ius Laboris

Kaja Moen Welo Advokatfirmaet Hjort DA, member of Ius Laboris

Mads Fuglesang Advokatfirmaet Selmer D.A.

Advokatfirmaet Selmer D.A.

DALAN ADVOKATEIRMA DA

Deloitte, member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

DLA PIPER NORWAY DA

Svein Sulland

Christel Spannow

Stein Fagerhaug

Vegard Sivertsen

Iohan Ratvik

Espen Trædal

Homble Olsby

Ole Kristian Olsby

Advokatfirma AS

Jónar Transport

Anne Ulset Sande

Helge Onsrud

Development

Magnar Danielsen

NORWEGIAN MAPPING

ANS

Karl Erik Nedregotten

NORWEGIAN MAPPING

LAND REGISTRY, CENTRE

Kvale & Co. Advokatfirma

AUTHORITY, CADASTRE AND

FOR PROPERTY RIGHTS AND

AUTHORITY, CADASTRE AND

Sowsan Al Mnsoury DR. TARIQ AL BUSAIDI LEGAL CONSULTANCY BUREAU

Hamad M. Al-Sharji

Mohammed Al Shahri Mohammed Al Shahri & ASSOCIATES Mohsin Al Haddad

Mohsin Al Haddad & Amur AL KIYUMI & PARTNERS Naveen K. Amin

Jeff Todd PricewaterhouseCoopers Paul Suddaby PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Pushpa Malani PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Subha Mohan SAID AL SHAHRY LAW OFFICE Christopher Knight TROWERS & HAMLINS Majid Al Toky TROWERS & HAMLINS Sean Angle TROWERS & HAMLINS

PAKISTAN

Rashid Ibrahim A. F. FERGUSON & CO. T. Ud-Din A. Mirza A. F. Ferguson & Co. Abdullah Enterprises Cyril Almeida ABRAHAM & SARWANA Farooq Abdullah ABRAHAM & SARWANA Jawad A. Sarwana Abraham & Sarwana Khalid Habibullah Abraham & Sarwana Waqar Hussain Abraham & Sarwana Zaki Ahmed Abraham & Sarwana ACMC LOGISTICS Adam Fabrics Pvt. Ltd. AL-HADI INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD. AMIR SONS APEX INTERNATIONAL Mohammed Ayaz APLL PRIVATE LTD. AROMA SURGICAL CO. Ash Traders International Atara Tarpaulin & Textile Industries Farooq Akhtar AZAM CHAUDHRY LAW Associates Maria Jamshaid AZAM CHAUDHRY LAW Associates Mohammad Qasim Qureshi Azam Chaudhry Law Associates Nadia A. Chaudhry Azam Chaudhry Law Associates AZGARD-9 LTD.

Bin Qutab Cargo INTERNATIONAL PVT. LTD. Bright Trade and INDUSTRIES BUNKER LOGISTICS COMMERCE INTERNATIONAL CROWN MOVERS WORLDWIDE CROWN TRADING COMPANY Tariq Nasim Jan DATACHECK PVT. LTD. Javed Vohra FAIR BROTHERS International GANKKY ENTERPRISES GEMOLOGICA Ali Adnan Ibrahim Georgetown University LAW CENTER Shahzad Rasool Globalink Transportation & Logistics Worldwide LLP GOLF & SPORTS GREENFIELDS INTERNATIONAL Ghulam Haider Shamsi Haider Shamsi & Co., Chartered Accountants IMAGE GARMENTS PVT. LTD. IVON TRADING COMPANY IIMPEX INC. Kairas Kabraji Kabraji & Talibuddin Faisal Daudpota KHALID DAUDPOTA & Co. Shumail Ahmad Butt M/s Butt & Sohail LLP, ATTORNEYS AT LAW Huma Shah M/s Sheikh Shah Rana & IIAZ Mehmood Y. Mandviwalla Mandviwalla & Zafar MARGALA ENTERPRISES N. S. CHEMICALS PROLINE CORPORATION Abdul Rahman QAMAR ABBAS & CO. Abdul Razzaq QAMAR ABBAS & CO. Arif Khan QAMAR ABBAS & CO. Ikram Fayaz QAMAR ABBAS & CO. Tariq Mahmood RAAZIQ INTERNATIONAL Javaid Basini RANGOONWALA GROUP OF CO. Shahid Mughal RANGOONWALA GROUP OF CO. Tariq Rangoonwala RANGOONWALA GROUP OF CO. Rehan's Christ INTERNATIONAL PVT. LTD. Sahil Freight Pakistan PVT. LTD. Sami U. Zafar SAMI ZAFAR & CO., Advocates and Legal **CONSULTANTS** SHAMIM & SHAMS CO.

Ali Jafar Abidi STATE BANK OF PAKSITAN The Karachi Tannery Pvt. TRADE VALLEY Saiiad Raza TRANSOCEAN SHIPPING Agencies Zeeshan Enterprises PALAU Kenneth Barden MINISTRY OF FINANCE Erin E. Johnson OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Frederick W. Reynolds OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY General Jeffrev L. Beattie OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY General David Shadel The Law Office of Kirk and Shadel Cristina Castro Western Caroline Trading Co. William L. Ridpath PANAMA Yadira Moreno Aguilar Castillo Love Alejandro Alemán Alfaro, Ferrer & Ramírez Alfredo Ramírez Jr. Alfaro, Ferrer & Ramírez Enna Ferrer Alfaro, Ferrer & Ramírez Jorge R. González ARIAS, ALEMAN & MORA José Miguel Navarrete AROSEMENA NORIEGA & Contreras, member of Ius LABORIS AND LEX MUND Julio Cesar Contreras III Arosemena Noriega & Contreras, member of Ius Laboris and Lex Mundi Ramon Valdes Arosemena Noriega & Contreras, member of Ius

LABORIS AND LEX MUNDI Shanina J. Contreras Arosemena Noriega &

Contreras, member of Ius LABORIS AND LEX MUNDI Luz María Salamina

Asociación Panameña de Crédito Maria Lourdes Chanis

CAPAC Michael Fernandez

CAPAC

Ricardo Eskildsen Morales Eskildsen & Eskildsen Jorge Garrido

Garrido & Garrido Mayra Obando

Garrido & Garrido Luis Chalhoub ICAZA, GONZALEZ-RUIZ &

Aleman

Ramon Varela Morgan & Morgan Ivette Elisa Martínez Saenz Patton, Moreno & Asvat Angel Dapena PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Francisco Barrios PricewaterhouseCoopers Jazmin J. Solis PricewaterhouseCoopers Ricardo Madrid PricewaterhouseCoopers Ramon Ortega PricewaterhouseCoopers Erick Muñoz Sucre Arias & Reyes PAPUA NEW

GUINEA

Tyson Boboro Allens Arthur Robinson Vincent Bull Allens Arthur Robinson Richard Flynn Blake Dawson Waldron David Caradus **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Esther Kendino PricewaterhouseCoopers Thomas Taberia PricewaterhouseCoopers Gaudi Kidu STRUCTON ARCHITECTS, LTD.

PARAGUAY

Hugo T. Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & Counselors Luis Breuer

Berkemeyer, Attorneys & Counselors

María Antonia Gwynn BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & Counselors

Maria Gloria Triguis Gonzalez Berkemeyer, Attorneys & Counselors

Angela Schaerer de Sosa ESCRIBANA PÚBLICA

Nestor Loizaga FERRERE ABOGADOS

Roberto Moreno Rodriguez Alcala Moreno Ruffinelli &

Asociados Esteban Burt

Peroni, Sosa, Tellechea, Burt & Narvaja, member of LEX MUNDI

Jorge Gomez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Karina Lozano PricewaterhouseCoopers

Larisa Guillen PricewaterhouseCoopers

Leonardo Decarlini PricewaterhouseCoopers

Nadia Gorostiaga PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ruben Taboada **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

189 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Santiago Jure Domaniczky PROGRAMA UMBRAL FAE María Debattisti Servimex SACI Néstor Gamarra SERVIMEX SACI Iulio Gonzalez C. Superintendencia de BANCOS - BCP Adriana Casati VOUGA & OLMEDO ABOGADOS

Perla Alderete Vouga & Olmedo Abogados

PERU

Fernando M. Ramos BARRIOS FUENTES GALLO Abogados

Luís Fuentes BARRIOS FUENTES GALLO Abogados Rafael Lengua

BENITES, DE LAS CASAS, FORNO & UGAZ ABOGADOS

Carlos Vegas Quintana CAMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCION

Herles Loayza Casimiro CAMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCION

Rafael Junco CAMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCION

Alfonso Alvarez Calderón ESTUDIO ALVAREZ CALDERON

Marco Antonio Alarcón Piana ESTUDIO ECHECOPAR

Anabelí González Estudio Ferrero Abogados

Gisella Domecq ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jesús Matos ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Iosé Antonio Olaechea Estudio Olaechea, member OF LEX MUNDI

José Antonio Valdez ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Lucianna Polar Figari ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Manuel Villa-García Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Martin Serkovic Estudio Olaechea, member OF LEX MUNDI

Guilhermo Auler Forsyth & Arbe Abogados

LIBIAK CORP. Jimy Atunga Rios

M. A. V. LOGISTICA Y Transporte S.A.

Marisol Nieto Carbajal Donato Hernán Carpio Velez

NOTARY OFFICE OF DONATO Hernan Carpio Velez

Alonso Rey Bustamante PAYET, REY, CAUVI ABOGADOS

Raul Lozano-Merino PEÑA, LOZANO, FAURA & ASOCIADOS

Peruvian Arts & Crafts de Jaime Zapata Espinoza

Diego Sanchez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Humberto Allemant PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Javier de La Vega PricewaterhouseCoopers

Walter Aguirre PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Hugo Silva Rodrigo, Elías, Medrano Abogados

Pedro Grados Smith Superintendency of Banking, Insurance & Private Pension Fund Administrator

PHILIPPINES

Ace Anarem International Trading, Inc.

Emerico O. de Guzman Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices (ACCRALAW)

Gilberto Gallos Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices (ACCRALAW)

Lilia E. Lising Banco de oro

Villanueva Banco de oro

Manuel Batallones BAP Credit Bureau

FAST-TRACT FREIGHT, INC. Alan Ortiz Follosco Morallos & Herce

Jesusito G. Morallos Follosco Morallos & Herce

Rachel P. Follosco Follosco Morallos & Herce

Antonio T. Bote INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATOR PHILIPPINES, INC.

Erich H. Lingad INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATOR PHILIPPINES, INC.

Juana M. Dela Cruz INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATOR PHILIPPINES, INC.

Herminio Liwanag JIMENEZ GONZALES LIWANAG BELLO VALDEZ CALUYA & FERNANDEZ

Lory Anne McMullin Jimenez Gonzales Liwanag Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez

Ma. Melva Valdez Jimenez Gonzales Liwanag Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez Maria Cristina Hernandez Jimenez Gonzales Liwanag Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez Melva Valdez Jimenez Gonzales Liwanag Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez

Myla Gloria Amboy Jimenez Gonzales Liwanag Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez

Victoria Limkico JIMENEZ GONZALES LIWANAG BELLO VALDEZ CALUYA & FERNANDEZ Rufo C. Venus

Metropolitan Bank & Trust Co.

Freddie Naagas OOCL LOGISTICS Gwen Grecia-de Vera

PJS LAW

Alexander B. Cabrera PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / ISLA LIPANA & CO.

Elmer R. Mitra, Jr. PricewaterhouseCoopers /

ISLA LIPANA & Co. Genevieve M. Limbo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS /

ISLA LIPANA & Co. Tammy H. Lipana PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS /

ISLA LIPANA & CO. Zayber B. Protacio PricewaterhouseCoopers /

Isla Lipana & Co. Joseph Omar A. Castillo Puyat Jacinto Santos Law Office

Roy Enrico C. Santos PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE

Janice Kae Ramirez Quasha Ancheta Pena &

NoLASCO Redentor C. Zapata QUASHA ANCHETA PENA &

Nolasco Gil Roberto Zerrudo Quisumbing Torres, Member firm of Baker and McKenzie

Kenneth Chua Quisumbing Torres, Member firm of Baker and McKenzie

MCKENZIE Rachelle Diaz Quisumbing Torres,

MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER AND MCKENZIE Connie G. Chu

Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Jazmin Banal Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de Los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Joseph Donato Bernedo Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi Ricardo J. Romulo Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de Los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi Rovet International

ENTERPRISE Rusvie Cadiz RV Freight ABD Services

Jean Caillard SDV, INC.

Roland Bay SDV, INC.

Nicanor N. Padilla Siguion Reyna Montecillo & Ongsiako

Rafael Khan Siguion Reyna Montecillo & Ongsiako

Silveraxis Inc.

Cecile M.E. Caro SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN Emmanuel C. Paras

SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN UNI-PRESIDENT CORPORATION

UNI-FRESIDENT CORPORATION

POLAND

Allen & Overy A. Pędzich Sp.k.

Bartłomiej Raczkowski Bartłomiej Raczkowski Kancelaria Prawa Pracy, member of Ius Laboris

Aleksander Borowicz BIURO INFORMACJI KREDYTOWEI

Zbigniew Skórczyński CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP Jan Niadzinski DSV

Ewelina Stobiecka E/N/W/C ATTORNEY AT LAW

Ewa Wiśniewska

Anna Sowinskaya Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Barbara Mycka Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Dariusz Tokarczuk Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Ewa Auleytner GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA

Grzegorz Banasiuk Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Marta Karmińska Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Michał Kocur GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA Piotr Sadownik

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA Sylvia Petrovskaya GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

Polska

IWS

Dariusz Wojciech Rzadkowski *KANCELARIA NOTARIALNA S.C.* Tomasz Brudkowski David Salgado Areias

ABREU ADVOGADOS

Duarte de Athayde

ABREU ADVOGADOS

Rui Peixoto Duarte

Abreu Advogados

Advogados, R. L.

João Cadete de Matos

BANCO DE PORTUGAL

Manuel P. Barrocas

Associados

Associados

Mark Bekker

Associados

Associados

Susana Rua

ASSOCIADOS

Figueiredo

Service

Service

Service

Service

Inês Setil

GARRIGUES

GARRIGUES

GARRIGUES

Filomena Rosa

Ioão Moucheira

Susana Cebola

Fernando Marta

Credinformacoes

António Luís Pereira

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF

Registry & Notary Civil

LEGISLATIVA E PLANEAMENTO,

Gabinete de Política

Ministerio da Justiça

Daniel Parejo Ballesteros

Diogo Leónidas Rocha

Isabel Martinez de Salas

Bekker Logistica

Carlos de Sousa e Brito

João Pereira Marques

Carlos de Sousa e Brito &

Carlos de Sousa e Brito &

Carlos de Sousa e Brito &

Manuel Silveira Botelho

António Frutuoso de Melo

e Associados - Sociedade de

BARROCAS & ALVES PEREIRA

BARROS, SOBRAL, G. GOMES &

BARROS, SOBRAL, G. GOMES &

Paula Alcântara Feliciano

Sónia Gonçalves Anjo

Patricia Perestrelo

Pedro Sousa Uva

Rita Maltez

Marta de Oliveira Pinto

Miguel de Avillez Pereira

Francisco Patrício

Trindade

Kochański, Brudkowski & Partners

Dagmara Lipka-Chudzik MAGNUSSON

Aneta Laskownicka MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Jaroslaw Wysocki MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Maksymilian Norwa MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Dominika Rogon Nikiel & Zacharzewski Law Office

Dariusz Okolski Око*lsкi Law Office*

PANALPINA Iwona Smith

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Magdalena Śmigrocka PricewaterhouseCoopers

Piotr Kaim PricewaterhouseCoopers

Anna Maria Pukszto Salans

Monika Czekalowska Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak, member of Ius Laboris

Tamasz Kanski Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak, member of Ius

LABORIS Fidala Jaroslaw Tokarczuk, Jędrzejczyk, Wspólnicy. Kancelaria prawna Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Rafal Dziedzic Tokarczuk, Jędrzejczyk, Wspólnicy, Kancelaria prawna Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

VANTIS

Ewa Butkiewicz Wardyński & Partners, member of Lex Mundi

Weronica Pelc Wardyński & Partners, member of Lex Mundi

Lech Giliciński White & Case W. Daniłowicz, W. Jurcewicz i

Wspólnicy sp. k. Barbara van der Mei

Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd.

Ewa Łachowska - Brol Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd..

PORTUGAL

Acácio Pita Negrão Abreu & Marques, Vinhas e Associados

Paulo Lowndes Marques Abreu & Marques, Vinhas e Associados

Carmo Sousa Machado Abreu Advogados Miguel Marques dos Santos GARRIGUES

Sara Gonçalves GARRIGUES

Pedro de Almeida Cabral MINISTÉRIO DA JUSTIÇA

Ana Margarida Maia Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados

Rui Amendoeira Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados

Susana Braz Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados

Mondego Trading, Lda Filipa Arantes Pedroso

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, Member of Lex Mundi

Madalena Pizarro Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, Member of Lex Mundi

Rui Patrício Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, Member of Lex Mundi

Mouteira Guerreiro, Rosa Amaral & Associados -Sociedade de Advogados R.L.

Jorge Pedro Lopes Polytechnic Institute of Bragança

John Duggan PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jorge Figueiredo PricewaterhouseCoopers

Lisa Helena Vaz PricewaterhouseCoopers

Marta Elisa Machado PricewaterhouseCoopers

Rita Marques PricewaterhouseCoopers

Michel Boutrand SDV

César Sá Esteves SIMMONS & SIMMONS

Maria Valente Simmons & Simmons

Terra Paralela Maria Manuel Leitão Marques UCMA Paulo Henriques

UCMA

PUERTO RICO

Alberto Lastra Camilo Almeyda

Juan Carlos Fortuno Fas Fortuno & Fortuno Fas, C. S. P.

Myrna I. Lozada-Guzmán Goldman Antonetti & Córdova

Thelma Rivera Goldman Antonetti & Córdova Javier Sánchez Antonio Arias *McConnell Valdés* Antonio Escudero-Viera

McConnell Valdés Jorge Ruiz Montilla McConnell Valdés

Victor Rodriguez Multitransport & Marine Co

Grisselle Lebron PricewaterhouseCoopers

Victor Rodriguez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Keila Ortega RALPH VALLONE JR., LAW OFFICES

Ralph Vallone RALPH VALLONE JR., LAW OFFICES Myriam E. Matos-Bermudez

Sosa Llorens, Cruz Neris & Associates James Arroyo TransUnion De Puerto

ROMANIA

Ados Trading

Rico

Alsunmarine & General TRADING SRL David Stabb Anghel Stabb & Partners Adriana Puscas BABIUC SULICA PROTOPOPESCU VONICA Dorín Coza BABIUC SULICA PROTOPOPESCU VONICA Veronica Grunzsnicki BABIUC SULICA PROTOPOPESCU VONICA Cosmin Anghel BADEA ASOCIATILIN Association with Clifford CHANCE Daniel Badea BADEA ASOCIATII IN Association with Clifford CHANCE Radu Ropota BADEA ASOCIATIL IN Association with Clifford CHANCE Beton De Nice BREAK BULK SERVICES SRL CARPATICA INVEST SRL Carmen Medar D & B DAVID SI BAIAS S C A PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Catalin Alexandru D & B DAVID SI BAIAS S.C.A., PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Emanuel Bancila D & B DAVID SI BAIAS S C A PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Sorin David D & B DAVID SI BAIAS S.C.A., PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

KARMAN SRL

Muşat & Asociatii Marius Pătrășcanu Musat & Asociatii Ion Dragulin NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA Dumitru Viorel Manescu NATIONAL UNION OF Romanian Notaries Tatiana Urimescu NATIONAL UNION OF Romanian Notaries Adriana Gaspar Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen, member OF IUS LABORIS, OF LEX Mundi & of SEE Legal Diana Emanuela Precup NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS, OF LEX Mundi & of SEE Legal Laura-Adina Duca Nestor Nestor Diculescu KINGSTON PETERSEN, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS, OF LEX Mundi & of SEE Legal Manuela M. Nestor NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU Kingston Petersen, member of Ius Laboris, of Lex Mundi & of SEE Legal PATELA SERV COM SRL Anda Rojanschi PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS Legal Services Adriana Radu PricewaterhouseCoopers Alex Massaci PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Alina Manescu **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Alina Rafaila PricewaterhouseCoopers Cristina Clujescu PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Inga Tigai PricewaterhouseCoopers Irina Hirjoaba **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Irina Preoteasa **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Mihai Vintu PricewaterhouseCoopers Mirela Birlodeanu **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Oana Manuceanu PricewaterhouseCoopers Raluca Mocanu PricewaterhouseCoopers Rene Bijvoet PricewaterhouseCoopers Anamaria Corbescu SALANS

Neil McGregor

Dominic Morega

Muşat & Asociații

Gelu Titus Maravela

Musat & Asociatii

Muşat & Asociații

Ileana Sovaila

Iulian Iosif

SCA

McGregor & Partners

Cosmin Bonea SALANS Cristian Mocanu SALANS Obie L. Moore SALANS Perry V. Zizzi SALANS Raluca Radu SALANS Tiberiu Csaki SALANS Andrei Săvescu Săvescu si Asociatii Alina Badea SCA Musat & Asociatii Serban Epure Alexandra Sova Sova & Partners Eugen Pop Sova & Partners Iuliana Negoita Sova & Partners Radu Cernov Sova & Partners Cristiana Stoica Stoica & Asociatii Attorneys-at-Law Razvan Dinca Stoica & Asociatii Attorneys-at-Law Sorin Corneliu Stratula Stratula Tomosoiu Mocanu Crenguta Leaua TANASESCU, LEAUA, CADAR & ASOCIATII Gina Gheorghe TANASESCU, LEAUA, CADAR & Asociatii Anca Danilescu Zamfirescu Racoți Predoiu LAW PARTNERSHIP Nicolae Hariuc Zamfirescu Racoti Predoiu LAW PARTNERSHIP RUSSIA Allen & Overy Legal Services Arsen Ayupov ALRUD LAW FIRM Lyudmila Merzlikina ALRUD LAW FIRM Irina Strizhakova Andreas Neocleous & Co., Legal Consultants Andrey Zhdanov Baker & McKenzie - CIS, LTD.

Evgeny Reyzman Baker & McKenzie - CIS, LTD.

Julia Borozdna Baker & McKenzie - CIS, Ltd.

Valery Getmanenko BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LTD.

Dmitry Tarasov Besedin Avakov Tarasov & Partners ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 191

Dmitry I. Melnikov Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Scott Senecal CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Yulia Solomakhina

Tulia Solomaknina Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

David Lasfargue

ESPRO Real Estate

Maria Gorban Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Gulmira McHale Dmitry Kurochkin

HERBERT SMITH CIS LLP Marat Agabalyan

HERBERT SMITH CIS LLP Oksana Ulyanova

Herbert Smith CIS LLP

Tatyana Fokina Herbert Smith CIS LLP

Vladimir Melnikov Herbert Smith CIS LLP

Igor Artemiev

Dimitry Kafanov Inmar Legal Co., Ltd.

JSC Orton Alyona Kozyreva

MACLEOD DIXON Igor Porokhin

Magnusson OAO Pigment

Natalia Agafonova Orrick (CIS) LLC

Ekaterina Chernova Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Olga Anisimova Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Evgeniy Gouk PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Gennady Odarich PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Konstantin Lapshin PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Maxim Kandyba PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES Maxim Kuznechenkov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Anastasia Malashkevich

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS RUSSIA B.V.

Andrey Shpak PricewaterhouseCoopers Russia B.V.

Evgenia Shuplesova PricewaterhouseCoopers Russia B.V.

Steven Snaith PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS RUSSIA B.V.

Elena Subocheva RUSSIN & VECCHI, LLC Tatiana Ponomareva Stanislav Sheverdyaev TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL RUSSIA Marlena Hurley

TRANSUNION CRIF DECISION SOLUTION

RWANDA

André Verbruggen Iosé Habimana BANOUE COMMERCIALE DU RWANDA Donatien Mucyo BARREAU DE KIGALI Minette Mutoni BARREAU DE KIGALI Francois Bikolimana

CABINET AUGECO SARL

Isaïe Mhayimana CABINET D'AVOCATS MHAYIMANA

Christian Joseph Ndondera CABINET HAGUMA

Jean Haguma

CABINET HAGUMA Alain Kabeia

Consultant

Celestin Kaberuka Electrogaz

Frederic Mutagwera

IB Nvibizi

Joseph Munyankindi Benjamin Ntaganira

KAMANZI, NTAGANIRA & Associates

Désiré Kamanzi Kamanzi, Ntaganira & Associates

Léopold Munderere

Marcellin Kamanzi

Olivier Nduhungirehe Membre de la Cellule de Reforme du Droit des AFFAIRES

Angélique Kantengwa NATIONAL BANK OF RWANDA

Pothin Muvara

Annie Kairaba-Kyambadde RWANDA INITIATIVE FOR Sustainable Development / LandNet

Jean Havugimana RWANDA REVENUE AUTHORITY

Pierre Célestin Bumbakare Rwanda Revenue AUTHORITY

Rodolphe Kembukuswa SDV

Suzanne Iyakaremye SDV

Jean Marie Vianney Mugemana Société Cerede S.A.R.L

Theophile Kazaneza

Jean Claude Nsengiyumva TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE DE NYARUGENGE

Richard Mugisha TRUST LAW CHAMBERS

Emmanuel Abijuru UNION DES BANQUES POPULAIRES DU RWANDA Eric Nsengimana World Freight S.A.R.L.

SAMOA

Murray Drake DRAKE & CO. Lawrie Burich L. BURICH - BUILDING **CONTRACTORS** Arthur R Penn LESA MA PENN Patea Malo Setefano MINISTRY OF NATURAL Resources & Environment Shan Shiraz Ali Usman

SÃO TOMÉ AND PRINCIPE

André Aureliano Aragão ANDRÉ AURELIANO ARAGÃO Advogado Agostinho Q.S.A. Fernandes DIRECTORATE OF TAXES Frederico da Glória Kiluange Tiny IURISTEP

Edmar Carvalho MIRANDA CORREIA Amendoeira & Associados

SAUDI ARABIA

Aboud H. Saklou Trading Est Samer Pharaon Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Abdul Aziz Zaibag AL - ZAIBAG CONSULTANTS David K. Johnson Al Juraid & Company / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Sami Al-Sarraj Al Juraid & Company / PricewaterhouseCoopersSoudki Zawaydeh Al Juraid & Company /

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Jochen Hundt

AL-SOAIB LAW FIRM

- Juergen Villmer AL-SOAIB LAW FIRM Mohammed Al-Soaib
- AL-SOAIB LAW FIRM
- George Sayen BAKER & MCKENZIE LTD. Nasser Alfarai
- Baker & McKenzie Ltd.

Amgad Husein FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP

Anas Akel Fulbright & Jaworski LLP

Mohammed Al-Ghamdi Fulbright & Jaworski LLP Majed Mohammed Garoub LAW FIRM OF MAJED M. GAROUB

LAW FIRM Ali Abedi TRADEPAC MARKETING LTD. The Alliance of Abbas F. GHAZZAWI & CO. AND HAMMAD & AL-MEHDAR Faten Abbar THE ALLIANCE OF ABBAS F. Ghazzawi & Co. and Hammad & Al-Mehdar Wisam Sindi The Alliance of Abbas F. GHAZZAWI & CO. AND

Hammad & Al-Mehdar Ebaish Zebar The Law Firm of Salah

Imad El-Dine Ghazi

Muntasir Osman

MAHASSNI

MAHASSNI

Adel Elsaid

PANALPINA

PANALPINA

SAMTCO

SIMAH

LAW OFFICE OF HASSAN

LAW OFFICE OF HASSAN

Prabagaran Ramasamy

Nabil Abdullah Al-Mubarak

SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU -

TALAL BIN NAIF AL-HARBI

Fayez Al-Nemer

AL-HEIAILANY Abdullah Al-Hashim

The Law Firm of Yousef and Mohammed Al-Jaddan

- Abou Bakr Gadour TOBAN LAW FIRM
- Muhammad Lotfi

TOBAN LAW FIRM

- Sameh M. Toban
- TOBAN LAW FIRM

SENEGAL

Fallou Dieve APIX -AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE l'Investissement et des GRANDS TRAVAUX

Rita Da Costa Fall APIX -Agence chargée DE LA PROMOTION DE l'Investissement et des Grands Travaux

- Fodé Diop ART INGÉNIERIE AFRIQUE
- Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO

Cheikh Fall

- Cabinet d'Avocat
- Khaled Abou El Houda CABINET KANJO KOITA
- Daniel Sedar Senghor
- ETUDE DE ME SEDAR SENGHOR Ibrahima Mbodi
- Etude Me Ibrahima Mbodi
- Ndjaye Mbodj Etude Me Ibrahima Mbodj
- Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mahi Kane FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers Matthias Hubert FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Nikola Janković

Popovic & Mitic

Lidija Djeric

Mike Ahern

Ana Stankovic

LAW OFFICES JANKOVIC,

Moravčevic, Vojnović

& Zdravković o.a.d. u

Iasna Milosavlievic

Relja Zdravkovic

AND MONTENEGRO

NINKOVIC LAW OFFICE

NINKOVIC LAW OFFICE

NINKOVIC LAW OFFICE

Prica & Partners Law

Prica & Partners Law

PRICA & PARTNERS LAW

PRICA & PARTNERS LAW

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Živković & Samardžić Law

Živković & Samardžić Law

Aleksandar Miliković

Aleksandar Dimitrov

Durdie Ninkovic

Ivan Krsikapa

Office

OFFICE

OFFICE

Office

Ana Calic

Jelena Edelman

Mihailo Prica

Ielena Diokić

Jovana Ilić

Beba Miletic

WOLF THEISS

WOLF THEISS

WOLF THEISS

Zorana Nikolic

Miloš Živković

Neboiša Samardžić

SEYCHELLES

ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Shelton M. Jolicoeur

Hughes N. Adam

Alex Ellenberger

Leslie Boniface

INTERNATIONAL LAW &

LAND MARINE LIMITED

LOCUS ARCHITECTURE PTY.

MINISTRY OF EMPLOYMENT

AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS

Corporate Services (PTY)

France Gonzalves Bonte

Serge Rouillon

OFFICE

OFFICE

LTD.

LTD.

Bojana Bregovic

Vidak Kovacevic

Olga Serb Gretic

SARADNJI SA SCHÖNHERR

Moravčevic, Vojnović

& ZDRAVKOVIĆ O.A.D. U

saradnji sa Schönherr

Moravčevic, Vojnović

& Zdravković o.a.d. u

SARADNJI SA SCHÖNHERR

NATIONAL BANK OF SERBIA

Pierre Michaux FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Mamadou Seck FORUM CIVIL, SECTION

Sénégalaise de TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL

Gilberto de Barros

Iean Paul Didier Thibault Ministère de la Justice

Oumy Kalsoum Gaye

Rita Dacosta Fall Michèle Renous

S.G.B.S. Mamadou Mbaye

SCP Маме Адама Gueye & Associés

Mame Adama Gueye SCP Маме Адама Gueye & Associés

Magatte Dabo TRANSFRET DAKAR

SERBIA

Rade Bačković Association of Serbian BANKS

Biro-Marketing

Mirko Kovac BOJOVIC & DASIC, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services

Snezana Minic BOJOVIC & DASIC, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services

Zorana Nikolic BOIOVIC & DASIC. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Legal Services Dimitrije Nikolic

CARGO TEAM

Miodrag Milosavljevic FUND FOR AN OPEN SOCIETY, Serbia

Drazen Turujlija GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sandra Simic GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

HARRISON SOLICITORS

Petar Stojanovic Joksović, Stojanović & PARTNERS Dubravka Kosic Kosic & Sutti

Igor Oljacic Kosic & Sutti

Marko Repic Kosic & Sutti

Marija Kostic LAW OFFICES JANKOVIC. Ρορονις & Μιτις

Nataša Cvetićanin LAW OFFICES IANKOVIC. Popovic & Mitic

Marie-Pierre Lloyd MINISTRY OF EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS Conrad Lablache PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE Bernard L. Pool POOL & PATEL

SIERRA LEONE

Amy Wright Susan Sistv BASMA & MACAULAY ECOBANK - SIERRA LEONE Darcy White PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS George Kwatia PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Gideon Ayi-owoo PricewaterhouseCoopers Kelvin Abdallah PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Thomas Murega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mariama Dumbuya Renner Thomas & Co. Centus Macauley Esq. ROBERTS & PARTNERS **Emmanuel Roberts** Roberts & Partners Lornard Taylor ROBERTS & PARTNERS Mohamed Bangura Roberts & Partners SADUKIA INVESTMENT MINING GROUP Shipping Agencies Ltd. Corneleius Max-Williams Shipping Agencies Ltd. Susna Sisay SISAY & ASSOCIATE Rowland Wright WRIGHT & CO. BARRISTERS & Solicitors

SINGAPORE

Angela Lim Baker & McKenzie, Wong & Leow Blue Oceans Venture Pte.

LTD. Caledonian Commodity Trading Pte, Ltd.

CITY DEVELOPMENTS LTD.

Nish Shetty CLIFFORD CHANCE WONG

Рте. Lто. Philip Rapp

CLIFFORD CHANCE WONG PTE. LTD. Mark Rowley

CREDIT BUREAU (SINGAPORE) PTE LTD.

Chi Duan Gooi Donaldson & Burkinshaw, member of Lex Mundi

May Ching Ida Han Donaldson & Burkinshaw, мемвеr of Lex Mundi

Manoj Sandrasegara Drew Napier Sushil Nair Drew Napier GLOBAL TRADE WELL Singapore Audrey Ng Kelvin Chia Partnership KEPPEL LAND INTERNATIONAL ITD Kong Hwa Chan Trading PTE LTD Shi-Chien Chia Ministry of Industry & TRADE Lui Hai Tan Ministry of Trade & INDUSTRY Hui Jia Ang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Paula Eastwood **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** QBB (PTE) LTD. Nanda Kumar Rajah & Tann Patrick Ang RAJAH & TANN Airinn Loh Schenker Pte. Ltd. Andrew Seah SCHENKER PTE LTD Cyril Dumon SDV INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

Service Point Shook Lin & Bok (in joint venture with Allen & OVERY) Chia Shi Chien SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY Siu Ing Teng SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY Han Li Toh SUBORDINATE COURTS Kannan Ramesh TAN KOK QUAN PARTNERSHIP Kit Min Chye TAN PENG CHIN LLC Shen Yi Thio TSMP LAW CORPORATION

WAN SIN IMPORT & EXPORT AGENCIES Beng Hong Ong Wong TAN & Molly Lim LLC

Cynthia Tan Wong Tan & Molly Lim LLC Yik Wee Liew WongPartnership

SLOVAKIA

Allen & Overy Bratislava, s.r.o. Andrea Jezerska Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi Jana Borska Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi Katarína Čechová Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi

Michal Zeman Čechová & Partners, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Tomáš Maretta Čechová & Partners, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Zuzana Gaalová Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi Iana Brezinova DEDÁK & PARTNERS Tomáš Kamenec Dedák & Partners Viktor Mišík Dedák & Partners Michaela Špetková Geodesy, Cartography and CADASTRE AUTHORITY OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC Roman Turok-Hetes NATIONAL BANK OF SLOVAKIA PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT GMBH Pavel Nechala PAVEL NECHALA & CO. Kristína Rúsková Peterka & Partners Lenka Očkaiková Peterka & Partners Ondřej Dušek Peterka & Partners Přemysl Marek PETERKA & PARTNERS Viera Gregorova Peterka & Partners Marcela Adamcova **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Radmila Benkova PricewaterhouseCoopers Todd Bradshaw PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Clare Vernon PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Peter Mateia PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Zuzana Dragúnová-Valerová PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Jaroslav Škubal Procházka Randl Kubr, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & Lex Mundi Peter Varga PROCHÁZKA RANDL KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS & Lex Mundi Michal Luknár SQUIRE SANDERS S.R.O. Jan Korecky ULC ČARNOGURSKÝ S.R.O. Martin Javorcek ULC ČARNOGURSKÝ S.R.O. Dagmar Zukalová ZUKALOVÁ COUNSELORS-AT-LAW **SLOVENIA** Nataša Božović BANK OF SLOVENIA Domen Neffat

Colja, Rojs & Partnerji

Saša Strahinič Colja, Rojs & Partnerji Drago Kos COMMISSION FOR THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION Barbara Kozaric Deloitte & Touche Export Center Andrej Jarkovič JANEŽIČ & JARKOVIČ Attorneys-at-Law & Patent Attorneys Aleksandra Jemc LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA Pavle Pensa Law Office Jadek & Pensa Renata Šterbenc Štrus Law Office Jadek & Pensa Srečo Jadek LAW OFFICE LADEK & PENSA Urša Penca LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA Miha Velkavrh Matjaz Nahtigal Odvetniki Šelih & Partnerji Mia Kalas Odvetniki Šelih & PARTNERII Daniiel Kerševan Panalpina Welttransport GmbH Alan Maher PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Anka Pogacnik PricewaterhouseCoopers Beta Stembal PricewaterhouseCoopers Crtomir Borec PricewaterhouseCoopers Janos Kelemen PricewaterhouseCoopers Klemen Mir **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Laura Thomson PricewaterhouseCoopers Rada Djukic PricewaterhouseCoopers Špela Repič PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Iani Sorsak , Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GMBH / ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Matthias Wahl Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GMBH / ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Tomaz Petrovic Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GMBH / ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Vid Kobe Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GMBH / ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW Natasa Pipan Nahtigal Šelih & Partnerji, member OF SEE LEGAL Bozena Lipei SURVEYING & MAPPING AUTHORITY

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 193

SOLOMON ISLANDS

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND TREASURY James Apaniai JAMES APANIAI LAWYERS Phillip Tagini MONASH UNIVERSITY Don Boykin PACIFIC ARCHITECTS, LTD. Gregory Joseph Sojnocki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS John Sullivan SOL - LAW Zarja Čibej

SOUTH AFRICA

Roelof Grové Adams & Adams Chris Todd BOWMAN GILFILLAN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Claire Tucker BOWMAN GILFILLAN, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Heidi Bell Bowman Gilfillan, member OF LEX MUNDI Matthew Bonner Bowman Gilfillan, member of Lex Mundi Tim Gordon-Grant Bowman Gilfillan, member of Lex Mundi Unathi Kondile

Bowman Gilfillan, member of Lex Mundi

Jacques van Wyk CLIFFE DEKKER

Llewellyn van Wyk CSIR

Gabriel Meyer DENEYS REITZ

St Elmo Wilken Deneys Reitz

Deutaimex International

Eamonn Quinn Eamonn David Quinn Attorney

Gretchen De Smit Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs Inc.

Ross Alcock Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs Inc.

Gourmet Provisions King Impex

MARI MONIQUE'S

Ormonde Import Export Services

Mark Badenhorst PricewaterhouseCoopers

Paul De Chalain PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sean Gilmour

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Q & N WEST EXPORT TRADING HOUSE Peter Sands

SDV

Ralph Zulman Supreme Court of Appeal of South Africa

T.T.C. The Transfer Company Pty. Ltd.

THE BUREAU Renee Kruger WEBBER WENTZEL BOWENS Anastasia Vatalidis

Werksmans Inc. Zinchem

SPAIN

ALLEN & OVERY Ana Armijo Ashurst Cristina Calvo Ashurst Ismail Fernandezanton Ashurst Juan Alonso Ashurst Nagore Corral

Ashurst

- Alejandro Valls Baker & McKenzie
- María Gracia Rubio Вакег & McKenzie

Rossanna D'Onza Baker & McKenzie

Alfonso Benavides CLIFFORD CHANCE

Carlos Vérgez Muñoz CLIFFORD CHANCE

Guillermo Rodrigo CLIFFORD CHANCE

Mercedes Olábarri CLIFFORD CHANCE

Nazaret Clemente CLIFFORD CHANCE

Juan Manuel Pardiñas Aranda *EQUIFAX IBERICA* Alberto Pimenta

Garrigues Antonio Fernández

GARRIGUES

Antonio Merchan GARRIGUES

Daniel Parejo Ballesteros GARRIGUES

Fernando Vives GARRIGUES

Gabriel Solís Pablos GARRIGUES

Isabel Martínez de Salas GARRIGUES

José Manuel Mateo GARRIGUES

Lorenzo Clemente Naranjo GARRIGUES

Agustin Del Río Galeote Góмеz-Асево & Ромво Аводадоs

Cristina Soler Góмez-Асево & Ромво Аводадоs

Daniel Marín Gómez-Асево & Ромво Abogados

Fernando de la Puente Alfaro INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS Carlos Valls IURIS VALLS ABOGADOS Eusebio Pujol IURIS VALLS ABOGADOS Agustín Bou IAUSAS John Coaley Plant SL Antonio Sanchez LANDWELL, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Ernesto Benito LANDWELL. PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Jaime Espejo LANDWELL, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Javier Garcia Camacho LANDWELL. PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Juan Vazquez LANDWELL, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Iulio Ichaso LANDWELL, PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Sara Gutierrez Landwell, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Iaume Cornudella LANDWELL, ABOGADOS Y Asesores Fiscales Maria Davila LANDWELL, ABOGADOS Y Asesores Fiscales Siro Arias LANDWELL, ABOGADOS Y Asesores Fiscales Ana Gómez Monereo, Meyer & MARINEL-LO Ana Sacristán Monereo, Meyer & MARINEL-LO Andrés Monereo Velasco Monereo, Meyer & Marinel-Lo Juan Soravilla MONEREO, MEYER & MARINEL-LO Mónica Regaño Aguirre Monereo, Meyer & Marinel-Lo

Philipp von Wolffersdorff Monereo, Meyer & Marinel-Lo

Sönke Schlaich Monereo, Meyer & Marinel-Lo

MUNICIPALITY OF LEGANÉS Juan Bolás Alfonso

Notariado Iván Delgado González

Pérez - Llorca

Pedro Pérez-Llorca Zamora Pérez - Llorca Roser Ràfols Roca Juyent Lawyers Iñigo Sagardoy Sagardoy Abogados,

мемвег of Ius Laboris Pilar Salinas Rincón

SÁNCHEZ PINTADO, NÚÑEZ & Asociados Alberto Núñez-Lagos

Burguera URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Alejandro Ferreres Comella URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ariadna Cambronero Ginés URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Cristina Ayo Ferrándiz Uría & Menéndez, member of Lex Mundi

Valentin Meriono Lopez Valentin Merino Arquitectos, SL.

SRI LANKA

Capital Reach Holdings Ltd.

CLARION INTERNATIONAL LTD. N.P.H. Amarasena Credit Information Bureau

Dharshika Herath Gunarathna Savantha De Saram

D.L. & F. DE SARAM Amalka Batepola

F.J. & G. DE SARAM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Avomi Aluwihare-

Gunawardene F.J. & G. DE SARAM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Inoka Jayawardhana F. J. & G. DE SARAM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Laksara Marapana F.J. & G. DE SARAM, MEMBER

OF LEX MUNDI Priyanthi Guneratne

F.J. & G. DE SARAM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Shehara Varia

F.J. & G. De Saram, member of Lex Mundi

Tudor Jayasuriya F.J. & G. De Saram, мемвеr of Lex Mundi

John Wilson Jr. John Wilson Partners

Amila Fernando Iulius & Creasy

J.M. Swaminathan

Julius & Creasy Kishani Jayasooriya

Julius & Creasy Menaka Balendra

Julius & Creasy

Neluka Seneviratne Julius & Creasy

Priya Sivagananathan

Santushi Perera Julius & Creasy Shane Silva JULIUS & CREASY Shashi Weththasinghe JULIUS & CREASY Tilak Wimalagunaratne

Julius & Creasy Kumudhini Jayasinghe Microtec Computer

SYTSTEMS Asiri Perera

MIT CARGO (PVT) LTD. Jagath Perera

MIT CARGO (PVT) LTD.

Noamal Goonewardena Nithya Partners

Paul Ratnayeke PAUL RATNAYEKE ASSOCIATES

Daya Weeraratne PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mahes Jeyadevan

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Shamila Wijeratne

Chandrani Suriyaarachchi SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

Charmalie Weerasekera Sudath Perera Associates

Kumudika Gammanpila Sudath Perera Associates

Sudath Perera Sudath Perera Associates Texco

Ramani Muttettuwegama *Tichurelvam Associates* Sithie Tiruchelvam *Tiruchelvam Associates*

ST. KITTS AND NEVIS

Marcella Liburd Bryant & Liburd

Anastacia Saunders CARIBTRANS Dahlia Joseph DANIEL BRANTLEY & ASSOCIATES

L. Everette Martin Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, Securities Exchange

HIGH COURT REGISTRAR Michella Adrien

Michella Adrien Law Office

Nicholas Brisbane N. Brisbane & Associates

Charles Walwyn PricewaterhouseCoopers

Neil Coates PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Vernon S. Veira Vernon S. Veira & Associates Kamesha Graham

WalwynLaw

ST. LUCIA

Gillian Vidal-Jules Attorney General's Chambers Bradley Paul Bradley Paul Associates Carol J. Gedeon CHANCERY CHAMBERS Thaddeus M. Antoine FRANCIS & ANTOINE

Andie A. Wilkie Gordon, Gordon & Co.

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil Gordon, Gordon & Co.

Mary Juliana Charles Gordon, Gordon & Co.

HIGH COURT REGISTRAR Mac Stephen Aubertin

Kimberly Roheman McNamara & Co.

Peterson D Francis

Richard Peterkin

INTERNATIONAL

Arthur Williams

Agnes E. Cato

Сато & Сато

CHAMBERS

WILLIAMS

Architects

SUDAN

Firm

Ltd.

Abozaid

Moulton Mayers

Nicole Sylvester

Richard Peterkin

MOULTON MAYERS

O. R. Sylvester & Co.

Mohamed A.M. Osman

Darka for Trading &

Abdel Gadir Warsama

Dr. Abdel Gadir Warsama

Ghalib & Associates Legal

DR. ADAM & ASSOCIATES

Consultancies & Services

LAW OFFICE OF ABDULLAH A.

Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer &

Hind Akasha Aboulela Hamid

Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer &

Osman Mekki Osman

Services Co. Ltd.

Mohamed Adam

HOUSE OF LEGAL

Abdullah Abozaid

Ashraf A.H. El Neil

ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Associates Advocates

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mira E. Commissiong

HIGH COURT REGISTRAR

Kay Bacchus-Browne

Bernadine Dublin

KAY BACCHS - BROWNE

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Douglas L.A. Williams

LAW FIRM OF PHILLIPS &

EOUITY CHAMBERS

Peterson D. Francis

Worldwide Shipping &

CUSTOMS SERVICES LTD.

PROCUREMENT SERVICES

PricewaterhouseCoopers

ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

Candace Cadasse Polius NICHOLAS IOHN & CO. Shireen Mohamed Hussein Ehmady Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates Tariq Mohmoud Elsheikh Omer Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates Omer Abdel Ati Omer Abdel Ati Solicitors

SURINAME

Anoeschka Debipersad A. E. DEBIPERSAD & Associates

Angèle J. Karg BDO ABRAHAMSRAIJMANN & PARTNERS

Anouschka Nabibaks BDO AbrahamsRaijmann & PARTNERS

Consuelo-Andrea Denz BDO AbrahamsRaijmann & PARTNERS

G. Clide Cambridge HANDELS-, KREDIETen Industrie Bank (Hakrinbank) N.V.

IOS STEEMAN SHIPPING N.V.

Johan Kastelein KDV Architects

Stanley Marica LAW FIRM MARICA

Jennifer van Dijk-Silos LAW FIRM VAN DIJK-SILOS

Radjen Soerdjbalie NOTARIAAT R.A. SOERDJBALIE

SWAZILAND

Bradford Mark Walker BRAD WALKER ARCHITECTS C.J. Littler C.J. LITTLER & CO. Mangaliso Magagula MAGAGULA & HLOPHE Phumlile Tina Khoza MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF Manzini Andrew Linsev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Paul Lewis PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Theo Mason PricewaterhouseCoopers José Rodrigues Rodrigues & Associates Service Magagula Shadrack Mnisi Sharp Freight SWD Pty. LTD. Vincent Galeromeloe TRANSUNION ITC Veli Dlamini

SWEDEN

Kjell Olsson Advokatfirman Lindahl Olof Hallberg Advokatfirman Lindahl Pernilla Carring Advokatfirman Lindahl

Dain Nevonen Advokatfirman Vinge K.B. Jenny Dangre Advokatfirman Vinge K.B. Robert Wikholm Advokatfirman Vinge K.B. Carl Östring Lars Hartzell Elmzell Advokatbyrå AB, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Karl-Arne Olsson GÄRDE WESSLAU Advokatbyrå Petter Holm Gärde Wesslau Advokatbyrå Bengt Kjellson LANTMÄTERIET Johann Lannering MAGNUSSON WAHLIN OVIST Stanbrook Christoffer Monell MANNHEIMER SWARTLING Advokatbyrå Henric Diefke Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå Jesper Kuschel MANNHEIMER SWARTLING Advokatbyrå Åsa Winblad MAQS LAW FIRM

Mats Berter MAQS LAW FIRM PANALPINA AB

Hedda Lapidus PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Hedda Stiernstedt PricewaterhouseCoopers Roger Gavelin PricewaterhouseCoopersOdd Swarting Setterwalls Advokatbyrå TIL TRADING UC AB Jesper Schönbeck VINGE KB, Advokatfirman, member of Lex Mundi Lars-Olof Svensson Wistrand Advokatbyrå **SWITZERLAND**

Peter R. Altenburger

Altenburger Philippe de Salis Borel & Barbey Andrea Molino BRUNONI MOLINO MOTTIS Adami Mauro Cavadini Brunoni Molino Mottis Adami CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF CANTON DE VAUD Ueli Huber Homburger Bernhard G. Burkard Notariat Bernhard Burkard Michel Merlotti Notary & Consultant

Claire Le Fort Pestalozzi Lachenal Patry, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Iakob Hoehn Pestalozzi Lachenal Patry, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Michael Kramer Pestalozzi Lachenal Patry, member of Lex Mundi Oliver Widmer PESTALOZZI LACHENAL PATRY MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Robert Furter PESTALOZZI LACHENAL PATRY. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Sandra Habermacher Pestalozzi Lachenal Patry, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Urs Klöti Pestalozzi Lachenal Patry, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Pierre Natural PIERRE NATURAL, NOTAIRE Andrin Waldburger PRICEWATERHOUSeCoopers Daniel Schmitz PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Robert P. Desax **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** SBI GRUPPE DER Schweizerischen BAUINDUSTRIE Daniel Steudler SWISSTOPO, DIRECTORATE FOR CADASTRAL SURVEYING Suzanne Eckert Wenger Plattner SYRIA Kanaan Al-Ahmar AL-AHMAR & PARTNERS Bisher Al-Houssami Al-Israa International FREIGHT FORWARDERS Ali Ezzo Rhebani ATTORNEY-AT-LAW AUDITING CONSULTING ACCOUNTING CENTER Mouazza Al Ashhab AUDITING CONSULTING ACCOUNTING CENTER

Karam I Bechara BANK AUDI

Abdul Raouf Hamwi CIVIL ENGINEERING OFFICE

Jacques El-Hakim HAKIM LAW FIRM

Lina el-Hakim HAKIM LAW FIRM

Youssef el-Hakim HAKIM LAW FIRM

Mazen Ibrahim Ibrahim & Alousy Law Firm

Rana Habbal Ibrahim & Alousy Law Firm

Joumana Jabbour Fadi Kardous

KARDOUS LAW OFFICE Fady Kardous

KARDOUS LAW OFFICE

Mazen N. Khaddour LAW OFFICE OF M. KHADDOUR & ASSOCIATES Nasim Awad Legality - Lawyers & Consultants Anas Ghazi LEGALITY LAW OFFICE Basmah Hafez MINISTRY OF FINANCE Mohamad Khodar Alsaid Ahmad MINISTRY OF FINANCE Osama Karawani Raed Karawani Housam Safadi Safadi Bureau Antoun Joubran SYRIAN ARAB CONSULTANTS LAW OFFICE Gabriel Oussi Syrian Arab Consultants LAW OFFICE Hussein Khaddour

Syrian Legal Bureau Nabil Sukkar THE SYRIAN CONSULTING Bureau

Faten Tibi UNDP

Moussa Mitry UNIVERSITY OF DAMASCUS / Louka & Mitry Law office

TAIWAN, CHINA

Zue Min Hwang Asia World Engineering & Construction Co.

Chaoh-Shinn Enterprise Co., Ltd. C F Tsai Deep & Far, Attorneys-

AT-LAW EVERAISE SUPPLIER TRADE Co., Ltd.

Chun-Yih Cheng Formosa Transnational, Attorneys-at-Law

John Chen Formosa Transnational, Attorneys-at-Law

Yuling Hsu FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL, Attorneys-at-Law

Ieffrev Lin JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION , Center

Iulie Chu IONES DAY

Iennifer Lin

LCS & Partners

Margaret Huang LCS & PARTNERS

Rich Lin LCS & Partners

Victor Chang

LCS & Partners Niken Automotive Accessories Co., Ltd.

Andrew Yeh PANALPINA LTD Shing-Ping Liu PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Wen-Horng Kao PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Eric Tsai Puhua & Associates, PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Ross Yang PUHUA & ASSOCIATES, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Catherine Liu SDV Ltd. Robert Hsu SDV LTD. Sum-Gain Biomedical SYSTEM CO., LTD. Edgar Chen TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Josh Fan TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Hui-ling Chen WINKLER PARTNERS Lloyd Roberts WINKLER PARTNERS YEONG UONG ENTERPRISE CO. YOUNG-G CORPORATION **TAIIKISTAN** Bakhtiyor Abdulhamidov

AKHMEDOV, AZIZOV & ABDULHAMIDOV ATTORNEYS

Shavkat Akhmedov Akhmedov, Azizov & Abdulhamidov Attorneys

Mansur Rakhimnazarov CISC "LEX"

Dilshod Kholmatov Jienshokh Bukhoriev

IFC

MINISTRY OF LABOR & SOCIAL PROTECTION

Natalva Rvashentseva PRAGMA CORPORATION

Nigina Salibaeva

PRAGMA CORPORATION Abdulkhamid Muminov

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Aliya Utegaliyeva PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bakhytzhan Kadyrov

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Courtney Fowler **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Elena Kaeva

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ilyas Jumambaev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Natalya Revenko

PricewaterhouseCoopers Sergi Kobakhidze

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Andrea Mario Dall'Olio TAIIKISTAN BEE - SME POLICY PROJECT

Djasurbek Teshaev TAJIKISTAN BEE - SME POLICY Proiect

Madina Nurmatova TAJIKISTAN BEE - SME POLICY PROJECT

Tolib Alimatov

TANZANIA

Angelo Mapunda FK LAW CHAMBERS Krista van Winkelhof FK LAW CHAMBERS

Vincent Mhina FK LAW CHAMBERS

Bernard m. Luanda HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Eliamani G. Mbise HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Johnson Jasson JOHNSON JASSON & Associates Advocates

Leopold Thomas Kalunga Kalunga & Co. Advocates

Gilbert Nyatanyi Мкопо & Со.

Ngwaru Maghembe Мкопо & Со.

Nimrod Mkono

Мкопо & Со.

Patrick Ache Мкопо & Со.

Shivani Patel Мкопо & Со.

Steven de Backer

Мкопо & Со. Wilbert B. Kapinga

Мкопо & Со. Duncan Owen

Phatisa - Innovative FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS

David Tarimo PricewaterhouseCoopers

Rishit Shah PricewaterhouseCoopers

Conrad Nyukuri PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Legal Services

Shabani Mwatawala PSM Architects

Alex Nguluma REX ATTORNEYS

Charles R.B. Rwechungura REX Attorneys

Farija Ghikas REX Attorneys

Sinare Zaharan REX Attorneys

Frederick Ringo RINGO & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF THE AFRICA Legal Network

Mugftafa Tharoo RINGO & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF THE AFRICA Legal Network

Gilbert Marilhet Sharbatho Mohamed H. Sumar Sumar Varma Associates

THAILAND

Allen & Overy Co., Ltd.

Suwat Kerdphon DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

EXIM DECCORNER COMPANY LTD. Steven Miller

Johnson Stokes & Master, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI KANOKSINPINYO CO. LTD Niwat Kanjanapumin

NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.

Pornsaran Sangsatra PANALPINA

Janist Aphornratana PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ornjira Tangwongyodying **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Pauline Manzano

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Seetha Gopalakrishnan PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Thavorn Rujivanarom

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS SALOM ELECTRIC CO., LTD.

Chalee Chantanayingyong Securities & Exchange

Commission Picharn Sukparangsee SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Vira Kammee SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

SPL NEWER@ LTD.

Thamnu Vasinonta THAI CONTRACTORS Association

Alongkorn Tongmee TILLEKE & GIBBINS INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Chusert Supasitthumrong Tilleke & Gibbins INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Cynthia M. Pornavalai . Tilleke & Gibbins INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Dussadee Rattanopas Tilleke & Gibbins INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

John Fotiadis . Tilleke & Gibbins INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Michael Ramirez Tilleke & Gibbins INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Pimvimol Vipamaneerut TILLEKE & GIBBINS INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Piyanuj Ratprasatporn TILLEKE & GIBBINS INTERNATIONAL LTD., MEMBER of Lex Mundi

Harold K. Vickery Jr. VICKERY & WORACHAI LTD.

Chinnavat Chinsangaram White & Case

Sakchai Limsiripothong White & Case

AUDEP INTERNATIONAL

TIMOR-LESTE

Ulderico Fernandes Alfandega

Aderito LCA de Araujo ARCHTIMOR ENGINEERING Consultant

Gina Corte Real BUSINESS REGISTRATION UNIT, DIRECTORATE OF COMMERCE Consultoria de Lei

Hugo Nogueira Campanico

CRA TIMOR Miguel Carreira Martins

CRA TIMOR Pedro Sousa DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND Property, Ministry of IUSTICE Cornelio Pacheco

IVK INTERNATIONAL MOVERS Jose Pedro Camoes

LBH-TL

Colin Heartwell Ministry of Development

Jackson Lay PALM SPRING ESTATES

Felismino Garcia Cardoso PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE, UN

COMPOUND Flavio Lorza PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE, UN

COMPOUND Pedro Andrade

PUBLIC DEFENDER

Cherryden Mazarado ROCKY CONSTRUCTIONS

Rafael Ribeiro

SDV

Roberto Monteiro SDV

Francisco Soares Servico do Imposto de TIMOR LESTE

Marcia Dawes Shearman & Sterling LLP

Tjia Soh Siang TIIA & TCHAI ASSOCIATES

Maria das Dores Gomes Tribunal Distrital de Dili

Rui Gomes UNDP

Eusebio Guterres UNIDO BUSINESS Regulatory consultant Candido Conceicao

USAID Vital dos Santos VSP - VITAL DOS SANTOS & Partners

TOGO

Adjoavi Assogbavi-Gbedey Romain Dansou Agence EPAUC nouvelle Coffi Alexis Aquereburu Aquereburu and Partners CABINET D'AVOCATS N'Sarma Mabiba Douti Assemblée Nationale

Foli Doe-Bruce

Charles Ki-Zerbo BCEAO Bleounou Komlan

TONGA

Inoke Afu

Don Jacobson

Tomasi Fakahua

Diana Warner

SUPREME COURT

Tony Ford

Lee Miller

TOBAGO

AGENCY LTD.

HSMDT LTD

Helen Ross

Ronald Patience

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

Nailasikau Halatuituia

Sione Tomasi Naite

FAKAHUA LAW OFFICE

Skip's Custom Joinery Ltd.

WASTE MANAGEMENT LTD.

TRINIDAD AND

CARGO CONSOLIDATORS

FITZWILLIAM STONE FURNESS

Mark Ramkerrysingh

- Smith & Morgan

Alvaro Montenegro

Stephen A. Singh

LEX CARRIBBEAN

Celeste Mohammed

M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO.,

М. НАМЕL-SMITH & CO.,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO.,

member of Lex Mundi

M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO.,

Myrna Robinson-Walters

М. Наме*L*-Smith & Co.,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nicole Ferreira-Aaron

M HAMEL-SMITH & CO.

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO.,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO.,

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ramdath Dave Rampersad

R.D. RAMPERSAD & CO.

R.D. RAMPERSAD & CO.

S. I. PRIMUS & SONS LTD.

YORK UNIVERSITY, OSGOOD

Ramesh Lutchman

TRINITY CHAMBERS

Thomas Johnson

HALL LAW SCHOOL

Abdelly & Associés

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Deborah Ragoonath

Peter Inglefield

Steve Beckles

TRANSUNION

TUNISIA

Samir Abdelly

Rena M. Mahadeo

Stacy-Lee Daniell

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jonathan Walker

Keomi Lourenco

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

LTD.

Dateline Shipping & Travel

Denis Cordel Bolloré DTI - SDV Jean-Marie Adenka

CABINET ADENKA Tiburce Monnou

Cabinet Akapo Kodjo John Kokou

CABINET D'AVOCATS JOHN KOKOU

Messan Raphael Ekoue Hagbonon CENTRE D'ETUDES D'ARCHITECTURE ET D'URBANISME

Kodko Cephas Keoula Chambre de Commerce et D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)

Samuel Sanwogou Chambre de Commerce et D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)

Adzewoda Ametsiagbe Direction Générale de l'Urbanisme et de l'Habitat

Doe-Bruce Adama Ruben

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Firmin Kwami Dzonoukou

FISC CONSEIL - CABINET DE

Jean Claude Gnamien

Amessi Midiohouan

Franklin Koffi Gbedey

Da-Blece Afoda-Sebou

Ordre National des

Architectes du Togo

Adjémida Douato Soededjede

Atchroe Leonard Johnson

SCP MARTIAL AKAKPO,

SCP MARTIAL AKAKPO,

Société d'Avocats

Société d'Avocats

Yentroudjoa Kantati

Tribunal du Travail

Tribunal de 1ere Instance

Martial Akakpo

Sonhave Gbati

DE LOME

Sronvie Yavoi

SCP AQUEREBURU &

CONSEIL FISCAL

Galolo Soedjede

Koffi Yao-Gackpo

Engineer

(ONAT)

SAFECO

PARTNERS

Darius Atsoo

ECOBANK - TOGO Adeline Messou FIDAFRICA /

Dominique Taty

Evelyne M'Bassidgé

FIDAFRICA /

FIDAFRICA /

Fousséni Traoré

FIDAFRICA /

FIDA FRICA

Mourad Abdelmoula Abdelmoula & Associés Salma Chaari Abdelmoula & Associés Monêm Achour ACHOUR & ASSOCIATES Mohamed Moncef Barouni ACR Adly Bellagha ADLY BELLAGHA & Associates Elvès Ben Mansour AVOCATS CONSEILS ASSOCIÉS Faiza Feki CENTRAL BANK OF TUNISIA Abderrahmen Fendri Conseil Audit Formation CAF SARL Mabrouk Maalaoui CONSEIL AUDIT FORMATION CAF SARL Abdelmalek Dahmani DAHMANI TRANSIT INTERNATIONAL Abdelfatah Benahii Ferchiou & Associés Meziou Knani Noureddine Ferchiou Ferchiou & Associés Meziou Knani Amel Ferchichi GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Amina Larbi GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Imed Tanazefti Gide Loyrette Nouel, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Kamel Ben Salah Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi Sami Kallel Kallel & Associates Faouzi Mili Mili and Associates Rafika Ben Aissa Bouslama Ministère de la Justice Rachid Tmar PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Eric Douay SDV Wessam Ben Mahmoud TURKEY Rüçhan Derici 3e Danışmanlık Ltd. Şti. Özlem Özgür Meriç ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL Orhan Yavuz Mavioğlu Alkan Deniz Mavioğlu DILMEN LAW OFFICE

ASROYAL

BARIYER MAKINA KALIP SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI.

Erim Bener BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

KPMG

Serkan Pamukkale BIRSEL LAW OFFICES BOLERO SOCKS

Ahmet İlker Doğan Çakmak Avukatlık Bürosu Ceren Kartari Cakmak Avukatlik Bürosu Naz Bandik Cakmak Avukatlik Bürosu Sebnem Önder Çakmak Avukatlık Bürosu Rıfat Günay CENTRAL BANK OF THE Republic of Turkey Avlin Yontar Cerrahoğlu Law Firm Banu Mert Cerrahoğlu Law Firm Begüm Ertabak Cerrahoğlu Law Firm M. Fadlullah Cerrahoğlu CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM Müjdem Aksoy Cerrahoğlu Law Firm Onur Gülsaran Cerrahoğlu Law Firm Zeynephan Oğuz Cerrahoğlu Law Firm Devrim Çukur ÇUKUR & YILMAZ Ebru Tuygun Deloitte & Touche Ekin Vukçuoğlu Özgülsen Deloitte & Touche Havati Arman Gezer DELOITTE & TOUCHE Hülva Yılmaz Deloitte & Touche Sait Gözüm Deloitte & Touche Ufuk Soğütlüoğlu Deloitte & Touche Emine Devres DEVRES LAW OFFICE ERDEMLER OTOMOTIV SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI. Egemen Karaduman ERNST & YOUNG TURKEY Feridun Güngör Ernst & Young Turkey İhsan Akar Ernst & Young Turkey Mustafa Çamlıca Ernst & Young Turkey Sadık Ferik Ernst & Young Turkey HIMERPA A.S. Alaattin Özyürek INVESTMENT SUPPORT AND PROMOTION AGENCY OF TURKEY Alpaslan Hamdi Kuzucuoğlu ISTANBUL METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY IOHN'S COFFEE Bülent Yiğit KADIKÖY 25. NOTERI Kekik Tarim Ltd. Kinetik Biomedikal Tek. SAG. SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI. Başak Diclehan

Kredit Kayit Bureau Yılmaz Nalcakar MED SHIPPING LOGISTICS TRANSPORT & TRADE LTD. CORPORATION Bilge Saltan Mehmet Gün & Co. Melike Akan Менмет Gün & Co. Sebnem Isık Mehmet Gün & Co. Yegan Üreyen Mehmet Gün & Co. Sadık Yamaç MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS & Settlement of Turkey NILPAR LTD. STI. Ufuk Üçkardeşler Norm Yapi Denetim Şirketi Novan Goksu Elvan Aziz Bikmen Ракѕоу & Со. Selin Barlak Ракѕоу & Со. Ferhat Pekin Pekin & Bayar Law Firm Ceyda Tapsın Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Elif Evren Catana PEKIN & PEKIN, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Fethi Pekin Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Gökben Erdem Dirican PEKIN & PEKIN, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi, member of SEE LEGAL Hande Hamevi Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Koray Altunordu PEKIN & PEKIN, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Şehnaz Güngör Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Sezin Gruner Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE LEGAL Sükran Kızılot Pekin & Pekin, member of Lex Mundi, member of SEE Legal Zeynep Akın PEKIN & PEKIN, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi, member of SEE LEGAL Adnan Nas PricewaterhouseCoopers Ekin Altıntaş PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Fikret Çetinkaya

Kazım Derman

Murat Emirhanoğlu

KPMG

KPMG

Esin Ertek

PricewaterhouseCoopers Faruk Sabuncu PricewaterhouseCoopers H.Barış Yalçın PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Benek Gvenilir Erdemir PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Burcu Tuzcu PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Çağıl Şahin Biber PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Ebru Yetişkin PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Güzel Yıldırım PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Nilgün Serdar PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Nilgün Simsek PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services SALKIM TARIM URUNLERI SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI. Selim Sarıibrahimoğlu Sariibrahimoğlu Law OFFICE Luc Fourcade SDV - Horoz Logistics Serap Zuvin SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES Sumerman International Avlin Tarlan TARLAN & PEKYALCIN LAW Office Derya Baksi Pekyalçın TARLAN & PEKYALCIN LAW OFFICE Tektron Dis Tic. Ltd. Sti. Ertac Öner THE CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS OF TURKEY TORSAN AUTOMOTIVE Turkish Industrialists' and BUSINESSMEN'S ASSOCIATION (TUSIAD) Esin Taylan Turunç & Savaşçın Noyan Turunç TURUNÇ & SAVAŞÇIN Selen Egeli Semiz Turunç & Savaşçın Simhan Savaşçın Başaran TURUNÇ & SAVAŞÇIN UNIMET METAL SAN. TIC. LTD. STI. Mustafa Alper YASED - INTERNATIONAL INVESTORS ASSOCIATION UGANDA David F.K. Mpanga A.F. MPANGA ADVOCATES Alan Shonubi Henry Muguzi ANTI CORRUPTION COALITION Uganda

Robert Kiggundu ARCH FORUM LTD.

Georgina Kugonza ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Cornelius Mukiibi C. MUKIIBI SENTAMU & CO. F.M.S Egonda-Ntende

HIGH COURT OF UGANDA COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Geoffrey Kiryabwire HIGH COURT OF UGANDA COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Kenneth Kakuru Kakuru & Co. Advocates

Charles Kalu Kalumiva KAMPALA ASSOCIATED Advocates

Ronald Tusingwire KAMPALA ASSOCIATED Advocates

John Fisher Kanyemibwa KATEERA & KAGUMIRE Advocates

Sim Katende Katende, Ssempebwa & Co. **Advocates**

Muzamiru Kibeedi Kibeedi & Co.

Kiryowa Kiwanuka KIWANUKA & KARUGIRE **Advocates**

Bernard Bamwine КWESIGABO, ВАМWINE & WALUBIRI ADVOCATES

Phillip Karugaba MMAKS Advocates

Rachel Musoke MMAKS Advocates

Walugembe Christopher MMAKS Advocates

Masembe Kanyerezi Mugerwa & Masembe Advocates

Godfrey Zziwa Muwanguzi, Zziwa & Musisi Advocates

Jimmy M Muyanja MUYANIA & ASSOCIATES

Ali Sengendo Mwebe, Sebaggala & Co.

Alex Rezida NANGWALA, REZIDA & CO. Advocates

Peter Mulira

Milton Turyaguma P.M. CONSULT LDT.

Jalia Kangave

PricewaterhouseCoopers Osborne Wanvoike

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Paul Frobisher Mugambwa PRICEWATERHOUSeCoopers

Plaxeda Namirimu PricewaterhouseCoopers

Robinah Lutaaya PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Russell Eastaugh PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Charles Mwebembezi SDV TRANSAMI LTD.

Clare de Wet SDV Transami Ltd. Fatuma Nabulime SDV Transami Ltd.

Nicholas Ecimu Sebalu & Lule Advocates and Legal Consultants

Parbat Siyani Seyani Brothers & Co. (U) LtD

Ezekiel Tuma SHONUBI, MUSOKE & CO. ADVOCATES

UKRAINE

Sergej Vladimirovich Stepovoj Absolut SV

Dmytro Korbut Andreas Neocleous & Co., Legal Consultants

Andrey Astapov Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Olga Romanenko Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Tatyana Kuzmenko Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Yevgeniy Karpov Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Anna Putintseva Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Sergiy Onishchenko Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Sergiy Zheka Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Konstantin Gribov DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC

Olena Bilozor

DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC Dmitry Martynenko

Zeeshan Wani GLOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & LOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLP

Sasha Androschuk Grischenko & Partners

Savva P. Poliakov Grischenko & Partners

Olexiy V. Pokotylo Hannes Snellman,

Attorneys-at-Law Ltd. Volodymyr Kuzminsky Hannes Snellman,

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD. Florentin Blanc

IFC

Sanjar Ibragimov IFC

Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy Ilyashev & Partners

Maksym Kopeychykov ILYASHEV & PARTNERS

Mariya Danchenko Konnov & Sozanovsky

Natalia Dotsenko-Belous

Konnov & Sozanovsky Olexiv Yanov

Law FIRM IP & C. CONSULT Olga Serbul

LAW FIRM IP & C. CONSULT

Oleg Y. Alyoshin OOO IF "IMAGE" Igor Dankov PricewaterhouseCoopers Jorge Intriago PricewaterhouseCoopers Maxim Korsheniuk **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Ron J. Barden PricewaterhouseCoopers Slavyana Kamburova PricewaterhouseCoopers Adrian Opaits PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Ivan Yurchenko PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services Kateryna Kokot PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Olga Melnychenko PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES Yuriy Sorochynskiy PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Oleksandr Padalka Shevchenko Didkovskiy & Partners Tatiana Buchko Shevchenko Didkovskiy & Partners Markian B. Silecky THE SILECKY FIRM Maryana Yarmolenko THE SILECKY FIRM Olena Brodovska VASIL KISIL & PARTNERS Valeriy Lukinov VENISSA LTD. UNITED ARAB

EMIRATES

Tarek Diab Abu-Ghazaleh Legal Adriatic Trading Establishment Akhila Basith Afridi & Angell, member of Lex Mundi James Bowden AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi **Jiries Saadeh** AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF Lex Mundi Shahram Safai Afridi & Angell, member of Lex Mundi Shahid M. Khan Al Roken & Associates Ishraga Abutaha AL SUWAIDI & CO. Suneer Kumar Al Suwaidi & Co. Mohammed Kawasami АL ТАМІМІ & СО. Sydene Helwick АL ТАМІМІ & CO. Allen & Overy LLP

Theresa Abrefa Berrymans Lace Mawer Saeed Abdulla Al Hamiz CENTRAL BANK OF THE UAE Jassim Ali Department of Economic Development - Dubai Laila Bu Abdulla Department of Economic Development - Dubai Dolphin Manufacturing LTD. Ahmed Abdul Raheem Mahmoud Emirates Advocates General Enterprises Co. Rania Yousseph HABIB AL MULLA & CO. Walid Karam HABIB AL MULLA & CO. Essa Al Zarooni HILAL & ASSOCIATES Henrik Petersen MAERSK KANOO LLC Dean Rolfe PricewaterhouseCoopers Hunter Fullarton PricewaterhouseCoopers Salvatore Ruocco PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ehab Lamie SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Yasser Omar SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Blaise Amikat TRIUM LOGISTICS LLC Jennifer Bibbings TROWERS & HAMLINS Samer Hamzeh TROWERS & HAMLINS **UNITED KINGDOM**

Aerona (Air & Sea) CUSTOMS CLEARING AGENTS Ltd. Allen & Overy LLP Andrew Wilson ANDREW WILSON & CO. Simon Cookson Ashurst Bernadette Faulkner Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Shreva Damodaran Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP T.A.R. Curran Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP Techia Braveboy Cleary Gottlieb Steen &

HAMILTON LLP COMPANIES HOUSE

David Crosthwaite Davis Langdon LLP

Katherine Ashton Debevoise & Plimpton LLP Michael Steiner

Denton Wilde Sapte

EXPERIAN LTD. FARMLEA LTD. Gregory A. Campbell Jon Atkey HER MAIESTY'S LAND REGISTRY IDC INTERNATIONAL Development Corporation TMINTERPOWER INTERNATIONAL LTD. IAMES P SIM & CO LTD Lyndon Norley Kirkland & Ellis LLP Richard Lister LEWIS SILKIN SOLICITORS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS MODELESOUE Northern Dairy Supplies LTD. OLIVEX LTD. Becky Borman PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS John Whiting PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Neville Howlett **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Nick Francis **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Richard Collier-Keywood PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Anjali Raman PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES Ian Carson PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Kelly Griffiths PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES

Leon Flavell PricewaterhouseCoopers

LEGAL SERVICES

Nistha Jeram-Dave PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Penny Bruce PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Services

Barry Mordsley SALANS

Amar Madhani Siммons & Siммons

Charles Mayo Simmons & Simmons Hannah Botham

Simmons & Simmons

Helen Gorty Simmons & Simmons

Nick Benwell SIMMONS & SIMMONS Lynn Hiestand

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom

SKYMART WORLDWIDE Christopher Mallon

Weil, Ĝotshal & Manges Emma Malkin

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES Katherine Stones

Weil, Gotshal & Manges

Sally Willcock Weil, Gotshal & Manges

UNITED STATES

Bellagio Foods, LLC Bemex International

CENTERLOAD Steven Horowitz

Clearly Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Arthur Kohn Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Christopher Hale Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

David Webb Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Desmond Eppel Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Fiana Kwasnik Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Lindsee P. Granfield CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &

HAMILTON LLP Luke A. Barefoot Cleary Gottlieb Steen & HAMILTON LLP

Michael Lazerwitz CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &

HAMILTON LLP Nathaniel Stankard

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Richard Conza Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Sandra Rocks Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Sarah Ten Siethoff Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Sean O'Neal Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Victor Chiu Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

David Newberg Collier, Halpern, Newberg, Nolletti, & Bock

DEE'S DESIGNS

Donald Bernstein

HARMONY IMPORTS Imke Ratschko

Імке *Ratschko Plc*.

Jacob Clothing Company, Inc.

Karen Wagner MWTI GLOBAL TRADE

My Chi To NYS Department of State

Azniv Ksachikyan Orrick, Herrington &

SUTCLIFFE LLP Rhea Saini

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Sam Miller Orrick, Herrington & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Outlet Season LLC.

PANALPINA INC. PARKER'S WINE BROKERAGE

Kelly J. Murrav

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Penny Vaughn

PricewaterhouseCoopers Robert Morris

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Stephen Anderson

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS RACH EXPORTS, INC.

Samuel Nolen RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI ROBINSON IMPORT EXPORT

Co Benjamin E. Gehrt

Seyfarth Shaw LLP, member OF IUS LABORIS

Bradford L. Livingston Seyfarth Shaw LLP, member OF IUS LABORIS

Joshua L. Ditelberg Seyfarth Shaw LLP, member

OF IUS LABORIS David Snyder

Snyder & Snyder, LLP Frederick Turner

Snyder & Snyder, LLP The Gear Spot

TRANSUNION

Stephen Raslavich UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY Court

URUGUAY

César I. Aroztegui Aroztegui & Asociados / BRONS & SALAS

Luis Baccino Aroztegui & Asociados / BRONS & SALAS

Marcelo Femenías Vidal BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI

Richard Iturria BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI

Laura Barreix BANCO DE LA REPUBLICA ORIENTAL DEL URUGUAY

Milton Cohen BANCO DE LA REPUBLICA ORIENTAL DEL URUGUAY

Luz Calvo de Gross CALVO-LOPARDO-PRATS & Asociodos

Matilde Milicevic Santana CLEARING DE INFORMES

Ricardo Mezzera ESTUDIO DR. MEZZERA

Alma Kubachek Estudio Juridico Notarial DE ALMA KUBACHEK

Flavia Gatti Ferrere Abogados

Verónica Raffo

Ferrere Abogados

Diego Galante GALANTE & MARTINS Alejandro Miller Artola Guyer & Regules, member of Lex Mundi Alvaro Tarabal Guyer & Regules, member of Lex Mundi Carlos Brandes Guyer & Regules, member OF LEX MUNDI Corina Bove Guyer & Regules, member OF LEX MUNDI Patricia Regules GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Jorge de Vita IORGE DE VITA STUDIO Juan Federico Fischer LVM Attorneys at Law Martín Prats Croci Ricardo Olivera-García Olivera & Delpiazzo Alfredo Inciarte Blanco Perez del Castillo, NAVARRO, INCIARTE, GARI Eliana Sartori PricewaterhouseCoopers Leonardo Decarlini PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Maria Noel Vidal PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Sergio Franco PricewaterhouseCoopersSylvia Diaz PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Nelson Alfredo Gonzales SDV S.A. Andrea Medina Shaw, Faget y Asociados Ltd., PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL SERVICES **Dolores** Storace Shaw, Faget y Asociados Ltd., **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Legal Services Sebastian Arcia SHAW, FAGET Y Asociados Ltd., PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Legal Services Ariel Imken Superintendencia DE INSTITUCIONES DE INTERMEDIACIÓN FINANCIERA - BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY Gerardo Vinoles VINOLES STUDIO **UZBEKISTAN** Vera Ni Anglesey Food

Zafar Khashimov Anglesey Food Petros Tsakanyan AZIZOV & PARTNERS

Alisher Inoyatov BERAD GROUP CO. Mals Akhmedov BIZNES ADVOKATLIK SERVISI

Abduaziz Azizov BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON Jamol Askarov , Chadbourne & Parke LLP Sitora Babadjanova CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP Alisher Shaikhov CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN Bekhzod Abdurazzakov Denton Wilde Sapte Irina Gosteva DENTON WILDE SAPTE Mouborak Kambarova DENTON WILDE SAPTE Natalya Apukhtina DENTON WILDE SAPTE Timur Khasanov-Batirov Derbes Brewery, Subsidiary OF BBH Khalid Farooq GLOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & LOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLP Rayshan Rakhmanov GRATA LAW FIRM Umid Aripdjanov GRATA LAW FIRM Nodir Rasulov Gravamen Fidelis & Fides LLP Edwin Hvde IDOLEC PTY. LTD. Nizomiddin Shakhabutdinov LAW FIRM LEGES ADVOKAT Alexander Samborsky NATIONAL CENTRE OF Geodesy & Cartography Abdulkhamid Muminov PricewaterhouseCoopers Akmal Rustamov PricewaterhouseCoopers Altaf Tapia PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Elena Kaeva **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Hairullah Akramhodjaev PricewaterhouseCoopers Vsevolod Payevkiy UZBEKISTAN - SME PROJECT VANUATU Mark Stafford BDO BARRETT AND PARTNERS Christopher Dawson DAWSON BUILDERS John Malcolm NATIONAL BANK OF VANUATU Dani Yawa PACIFIC LAWYERS Arthur Victor Faerua SCHOOL OF LAW UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC Silas Charles Hakwa SILAS CHARLES HAKWA & Associates VENEZUELA Carlos Velandia Sanchez

Asociación Venezolana

DE DERECHO REGISTRAL

"AVEDER"

Alfonso Porras Baker & McKenzie Carlos Plaza Baker & McKenzie Lubín Chacón Benson, Perez Matos, ANTAKLY & WATTS Eduardo Porcarelli CONAPRI Melissa Puga CONAPRI Mercedes Briceño CONAPRI

Arturo De Sola Lander De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados - Consultores John R. Pate

DE SOLA PATE & BROWN, Abogados - Consultores

Thomas I Pate Páez De Sola Pate & Brown. Abogados - Consultores

Luis Fernando Miranda Espiñeira, Sheldon y Asociados /

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ruben Gotberg

Espiñeira, Sheldon Y ASOCIADOS / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Francisco Gámez Arcava GÁMEZ & VERA, ABOGADOS

Carlos Dominguez Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque, member of Lex MUNDI

Fernando Pelaez-Pier Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque, member of Lex MUNDI

Hector Garcia Corredor Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque, member of Lex Mundi

John Tucker Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque, member of Lex Mundi

Jorge Acedo-Prato HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & Duque, member of Lex Mundi

María Paola Donghia Inciarte Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque, member of Lex Mundi

Bruno Paredes LOGISTIKA TSM

Pedro Mendoza Mendoza Davila Toledo

Pedro Azpurua PACK ENGENIEROS C.A.

Alex Grossmann Panalpina

Alejandro Giolito PricewaterhouseCoopers LEGAL SERVICES

Bernardo Pisani Rodriguez & Mendoza Enrique Itriago

Rodriguez & Mendoza

Servio T. Altuve Jr. Servio T. Altuve R. & Asociados

199 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Francisco Aleman Planchart TINOCO, TRAVIESO, Planchart & Nuñez Jeanette Villalta Rivas VENEZUELAN CHAMBER OF CONSTRUCTION

VIETNAM

My Linh Dao Baker & McKenzie Yee Chung Seck BAKER & MCKENZIE

Trang Vu CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - State Bank of Vietnam

Isabelle Robineau DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Nasir PKM Abdul Flécheux, Ngo & Associés

Bernadette Fahy GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jesse Lieberman GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Le Thuy Lan GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nghiem Thi Bang Tam GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nguyen Thi Thu Huyen GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nicolas Audier GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Thi Bang Tam Nghiem GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Thi Hoang Trinh Ngo GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

HIGH POINTS CITY CO. LTD. HOAI TRUNG TEA COMPANY

Dang The Duc

INDOCHINE COUNSEL

Khanh Quang Lai Indochine Counsel

Le Thuy Huy Nguyen INDOCHINE COUNSEL

Vu Anh Phan INDOCHINE COUNSEL

Hoang Anh Nguyen JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Pham Thu Hien Johnson Stokes & Master, member of Lex Mundi

LEONIS CO., LTD.

Phuc Le Hong LUATVIET - ADVOCATES &

Solicitors Konrad Hull LUCY WAYNE & ASSOCIATES

MYDANG TOURIST & TRADING Co., Ltd.

NGAN LONG CO. LTD.

David Fitzgerald **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

Dinh Thi Quynh Van PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Do Thi Thu Ha *PricewaterhouseCoopers* Nguyen Thu Thuy Vo *SDV*

THAI HA GRANITE LTD. Viet D. Phan

TRAN H.N. & Associates Van Anh Nguyen

VIETBID LAW FIRM VIETNAM NATURAL STONES CORPORATION

VINACRAFT CO.

Pham Nghiem Xuan Bac VISION & ASSOCIATES Tien Ngoc Luu VISION & ASSOCIATES

WEST BANK AND GAZA

Haytham L. Al-Zu'bi AL-ZU'BI LAW OFFICE, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Nada Atrash Architecture & Design

Karim Shehadeh Attorney-at-Law

Hashem M. Khaleel BDO NATIONAL BROTHERS

Khalil Ansara Chemonics International Hiba Husseini Husseini & Husseini Mohamed Khader LAUSANNE TRADING Consultants Muhammad Nassar Stone Farouq Zaiter PADIĈO Ali Faroun PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY Nancy Malki Panorama Rivad Maliki Sharhabeel Al-Zaeem Sharhabeel Al-Zaeem and Associates

Associates Sami Shehadeh Shehadeh Law Office

Таммам Trade Fadi Kattan Transjordanian

Engineering Ltd.

YEMEN

Louai Al-Meqbali Abdalla Al-Meqbali & Associates Abdalla Al-Meqbeli Abdalla Al-Meqbeli & Associates

Abdalla Qusai Abdalla Al-Meqbeli & Associates

Assayad Fisherman Co.

Khaled Al Buraihi Khaled Al Buraihi for Advocacy & Legal Services

Mohamed Taha Hamood Al-Hashimi *Монамед Тана Намоод* & Co.

TAHA HUSEIN ALHOTYBE

ZAMBIA

BARCLAYS BANK Robin Durairajah Chibesakunda & Co. Kanti Patel Christopher, Russell Cook & Co. Solly Patel Christopher, Russell Cook

ల్ Co. Deborah Bwalya Corpus Globe Advocates

Elias Chipimo Corpus Globe Advocates Harriet Kapampa Kapekele Corpus GLOBE ADVOCATES Chewe K. Bwalya D.H. KEMP & Co. Henry Musonda KIRAN & MUSONDA ASSOCIATES LTD.P591 Marjorie Grace Mwenda

M. G. Johnson-Mwenda & Co.

Chipo Munkombwe PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS James Harley PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jyoti Mistry PricewaterhouseCoopers

ZIMBABWE

Innocent Chagonda ATHERSTONE & COOK Beloved Dhlakama BYRON VENTURAS & PARTNERS Chris Venturas BYRON VENTURAS & PARTNERS Christos Venturas BYRON VENTURAS & PARTNERS Simplisius Chihambakwe CHIHAMBAKWE, MUTIZWA & PARTNERS

Erle Koomets Peter Lloyd GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS Harry Kantor KANTOR & IMMERMAN Paul Fraser LOFTY & FRASER David Masaya PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Manuel Lopes PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ostern Mutero Sawyer & Mkushi Stenford Moyo SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS Richard Beattie The Stone/ Beattie Studio Vanani Nyangulu V.S. Nyangulu & Associates



STANDING ORDER FORM

Standing orders are available to institutional customers only.

If you or your organization would like to automatically receive each new edition of **Doing Business** as it is published, please check the box below, complete your address details, and mail or fax this order form to us. This will establish a standing order for your organization, and you will be invoiced each year upon publication. You may also e-mail books@worldbank.org requesting your standing order for **Doing Business**. At any time you can cancel the standing order by sending an e-mail to books@worldbank.org.

I would like to automatically receive each new edition of **Doing Business**. I understand that I will be invoiced each year upon publication.

| Name | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Title | |
| Organization | |
| Address | |
| City | |
| State | Zip/ Postal code |
| Country | |
| Phone | |
| Fax | |
| Email | |

Institutional customers in the U.S. only: Please include purchase order.

Available for US customers only, international customers please contact your local distributor to establish a standing order.

Individuals interested in receiving future editions of **Doing Business** may ask to be added to our mailing list at books@worldbank.org.

Please indicate in your e-mail that you would like to be added to the **Doing Business** e-mail list.

By mail World Bank Publications P.O. Box 960, Herndon VA 20172-0960, USA

Online www.worldbank.org/publications

By fax +1-703-661-1501

Questions? *E-mail us at books@worldbank.org*

By phone +1-703-661-1580 or 800-645-7247